# LAUNCH COPY Tai Timu Tai Pari seachange







Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Ko te Pataka kai o Tikapa Moana Te Moananui a Toi In partnership with mana whenua and the following agencies:

🖌 Hauraki Gulf Forum Tikapa Moan Te Moananui a To









# FOREWORD

For over a millennia, the Hauraki Gulf / Tīkapa Moana has been a taonga to the people who belong to this nationally significant place.

The Hauraki Gulf / Tīkapa Moana (also known as Te Moananui-ā-Toi) is of the utmost cultural and spiritual significance to mana whenua through its rich history of settlement and use since waka first navigated its waters. It is an incredible natural environment and special place highly valued by all.

The Hauraki Gulf / Tīkapa Moana is under significant pressure and its communities have seen a marked decline in the mauri, environmental quality and abundance of resources.

Sea Change – Tai Timu Tai Pari is the project established in 2013 to act to reverse this decline.

Sea Change – Tai Timu Tai Pari is led by a governance group representing a partnership between mana whenua and local and central government agencies, having equal membership. The writing of the marine spatial plan was undertaken by a Stakeholder Working Group comprising 14 members reflecting a diverse range of interests including mana whenua, environmental and conservation, commercial and recreational fishing, aquaculture, land use, farming and infrastructure.

The development of the marine spatial plan was guided by the following vision:

He taonga tuku iho – treasures handed down from the ancestors Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi – the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is vibrant with life, its mauri strong, productive, and supporting healthy and prosperous communities.

The plan includes a number of significant principles and proposals to deliver on this vision. The plan challenges the status quo via bold and innovative measures creating a real 'sea change' for the Hauraki Gulf / Tīkapa Moana.

The plan is the culmination of an intensive open process resulting in New Zealand's first marine spatial plan. The input of Mana Whenua, the gulf communities, agencies and scientific expertise has been critical to the process.

The members of the Stakeholder Working Group worked in a highly collaborative manner, demonstrating significant levels of personal commitment, sacrifice, perseverance and vision to deliver this plan. The marine spatial plan could not have reached this point without the leadership of the Project Steering Group, and the strong support of mana whenua, agencies and the writing, project support and technical teams.

The next step for the marine spatial plan is the implementation process. There are high community expectations that the plan will be the catalyst for mana whenua, communities and agencies work together to return the Gulf to a place that is vibrant with life, has a strong mauri, is productive and supports healthy and prosperous communities.

We are delighted to recommend New Zealand's first marine spatial plan to be acted on by local and central government agencies to care for our prized taonga, the Hauraki Gulf / Tīkapa Moana.



**Paul F Majurey** Chair Project Steering Group Sea Change – Tai Timu Tai Pari

**Paul Beverley** Independent Chair Stakeholder Working Group Sea Change – Tai Timu Tai Pari



# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY RĀPOPOTOTANGA MATUA

The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is in serious trouble from many often inter-related causes. This process has been happening for some time and must be addressed. It is in the hands of us all to turn things around, rebuild healthy, functioning ecosystems that support the people and the economy, while restoring the values we hold for the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

Ka nui te raru o te mauri o Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi mai i ngā tūmomo pūtake. Kua roa kē tēnei āhua e pā ana ā, mātua me tahuri ki te rapu oranga. Kei roto i o tātou ringa katoa te tikanga hei takahuri i aua tūmomo pūtake e puta ake ano ai te oranga pūmau o ngā pūnaha hauropi hei toko ake i ngā tāngata me tō rātou ohaohanga me te hanga ngātahi anō i ngā uara o Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi.

Sea Change – Tai Timu Tai Pari has produced a marine spatial plan through a collaborative, stakeholder-led, co-governance process, with the involvement of many people who live, work, and play, in and around the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park and its catchments. We have worked closely with partner agencies to identify how to turn things around, and to focus on the long-term health and wellbeing of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

Kua puta he Mahere Pūwāhi Moana mai i a Tai Timu Tai Pari me ngā whiringa ngātahi o ngā hunga whai pānga me ngā tāngata maha e noho kāinga ana, mahi ana mō te oranga, whai tākaro ke ana rānei i te rohe o Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi me ōna kōawaawa. Kua mahi ngātahi mātou me ngā pokapū matua ki te whai huarahi e oti ai te takahuri me te whai mārika i te oranga pūmau o Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi.

The Plan has grown out of information that has been gathered over three years. The aim is to provide the future directions and actions that we all need to take in order to restore a healthy and abundant Hauraki Gulf Marine Park – Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi. It is not a prescriptive document, is non-statutory, and non-binding on agencies. It does, however, provide clear directives for all of us, agencies and communities alike, who have interests in, and responsibilities for, the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. emiemihia i roto ngā tau e toru. Ko te whainga matua ko te tohu i ngā tūmomo huarahi hei hāpai i te oranga pūmau o Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi kia puta ake i ōna hua. E hara te mahere nei i te kaupapa herehere erangi he mahere arataki kei waho mai i ngā kati ā-ture ā, kahore hoki e herehere ana i ngā pokapū. He tohu taki ara mō te katoa ā-tangata, ā-pokapū rānei e whai pānga atu ana ki a Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi.

When fully implemented, the Marine Spatial Plan will improve the health, mauri (life force and vitality), and abundance of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park by:

- Restoring depleted fish stocks and restoring benthic (sea floor) habitats that support healthy fisheries,
- Reducing the impacts of sedimentation and other land-based activities on water quality.
- Recognising and protecting cultural values.
- Enhancing the mauri of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.
- Protecting representative marine habitats.
- Promoting economic development opportunities for the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park while ensuring marine environments are restored.

A te wā e oti ai te whakatinana i te Mahere Pūwāhi Moana ka piki ake te oranga pūmau, te mauri me ngā hua tini o Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi mā te:

- Haumanu i ngā tūmomo ira ika me te mātai hauropi o te papa moana e hāpai ana i te oranga ake o aua tauranga;
- Ārai i te patere pokanoa pū oneone me ērā atu mahi ā-whenua ki te oranga pūmau o te wai;
- Whai aronga me te haumaru i ngā uara tikanga-ā-iwi;
- Haumaru i te mauri o Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi;
- Tiaki i te hauropi ā-moana; me te,
- Hāpai i ngā angitū ohaohanga mō Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi I ngā wā e hora ana kia haumanu ngā hauropi moana.

Kua puta ake te mahere nei mai i ngā pārongo kua

# WHILE THERE ARE MANY OPPORTUNITIES FOR ACTION, WE HAVE IDENTIFIED THE FOLLOWING INITIATIVES AS BEING KEY TO ACHIEVE THESE OUTCOMES:

1

### **MAHINGA KAI – FISH STOCKS AND AQUACULTURE**

- Transitioning commercial fishing methods that impact benthic habitat (including trawling, Danish seining and dredging) out of the Hauraki Gulf.
- Reviewing the management settings for priority fish stocks.
- 13 new areas prioritised for future aquaculture development, including mussels, oysters and fin fish.



### **BIODIVERSITY AND HABITAT RESTORATION INITIATIVE**

- Fifteen new marine protected areas, including no take (excluding cultural harvest on a case by case basis by special permit) areas nested within larger, special management areas with fisheries management objectives.
- Restoring historic habitats such as green lipped and horse mussel beds.



### A GULF SEDIMENT INITIATIVE

- Setting and achieving catchment sediment and nutrient load limits for all major catchments to minimise adverse impacts on water quality.
- Restoration and creation of major wetland systems to trap sediment before it reaches coastal waters.
- Land-based measures to ensure sediment stays on the land where possible to significantly reduce sediment reaching the coast.
- Stabilising sediment already in the marine environment.



### AHU MOANA INITIATIVE

Novel co-management areas covering the coastline of Tīkapa Moana / Te-Moananui-ā-Toi to provide for joint mana whenua and community co-management of local marine areas.



### KAITIAKITANGA/GUARDIANSHIP

• Connecting everyone including the next generation and different ethnicities to the marine environment to strengthen kaitiakitanga and guardianship.

Each chapter provides a different element of the overall Plan, but does not stand alone. These chapters form an integrated package, with each contributing to the others. Place studies throughout the Plan provide practical, localised examples of each subject.

# AHAKOA TE MAHA O NGĂ MOMO HUARAHI ANGITŪ KUA TOHUA KO ĒNEI E RĀRANGI IHO NEI HEI WHĀINGA AKE:



### MAHINGA KAI – FISH STOCKS AND AQUACULTURE

- He hūnuku i ngā tūmomo hao ika tauhokohoko e aweawe ana i te hauropi [pērā i ngā hao kupenga kukume me ngā hao ketu papa moana] ki waho kē atu o Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi;
- He arotake i ngā kaupapa whakahaere mō/ngā tūmomo ira ika whai hua; me ngā,
- Wāhanga tekau mā toru kua tohua mo āmuri ake nei hei rohe ahumoana kūtai, tio, ika taramutu.



### KAUPAPA RERENGA RAUROPI HAUMANU NÕHANGA

- Ngā wāhanga rāhui moana tekau mā rima, pērā i te rāhui kohinga kai [Ehara ko ngā kohinga āhei ā-iwi ia wā ia wā mā roto i ngā tikanga tohu mana] kei waenga I ngā rohe motuhake whānui kua tohua me ngā whāinga whakahaere hī ika; me te,
- Haumanu I ngā tauranga kūtai kukuroroa hoki.



### KAUPAPA PŪ ONEONE MŌ TĪKAPA MOANA / TE MOANANUI-Ā-TOI

- Te whakatau me te whai kia tutuki te pāteretere o te pū oneone me te taiora mō ngā kūawaawa matua kia iti iho ai te pānga ki te oranga pūmau o te wai;
- Haumanu me te waihanga ake o ngā pūnaha papa repo ki te hopu pū oneone i mua atu i te putanga ki ngā wai takutai;
- Ngā whāinga ā-whenua hei āta pupuri I te pū oneone ki te tuawhenua ahakoa hoki te aha kia kore ai e puta kē atu ki te takutai; me te,
- Ko te pupuri ake i ngā pū oneone kua puta noa atu ki te papa moana

### ΚΑυραρά απυ ΜοάΝα

• He rohe waihanga noa whakahaere ngātahi mō te takutai o Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananuiā-Toi hei uru ngātahi mō ngā mana whenua me ngā hāpori ake o aua rohe moana.



### KAITIAKITANGA

• He hononga ngātahi, mō te katoa ahakoa ko wai puta atu ki ngā reanga o āmuri ake nei, te ki rohe moana e piki ake ai te kaitiakitanga.

Kei ia wāhanga ngā tīpako o te Mahere Pūwāhi Moana whānui erangi kahore aua wāhanga e tū motuhake ana. He hononga ake o ia wāhanga ki te katoa. Ko ngā mātai o te katoa o te mahere e tohu ana i ngā tauira mō ia take mai i ngā hāpori.



Map overlaying proposed Marine Protected Areas (see map 4.2), proposed Aquaculture Areas (see map A2.1) and Interim trawl and Danish seine restrictions (see map 4.1).

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	FOREWORD	
	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
	RĀPOPOTOTANGA MATUA	3
1.	SETTING THE SCENE	
	HORA I TE KAUPAPA	21
	What is Sea Change – Tai Timu Tai Pari?	21
	Why do we need a Marine Spatial Plan?	21
	The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park	21
	The Economy of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park	22
	A turn-around Plan for the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park	22
	Navigating the Plan	25
PA	RT ONE: KAITIAKITANGA AND GUARDIANSHIP	
2.	MANA WHENUA	
	MANA MOANA	29
	A Māori perspective of Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi	
	Iwi of Hauraki and Tāmaki Makaurau	
	Tikanga Māori and kaitiakitanga: values, practices, and stewardship	
	Customary knowledge, rights and practices in law	
	The Treaty of Waitangi and Treaty settlements	
3.	WEAVING THE STRANDS: KAITIAKITANGA AND GUARDIANSHIP	
	HE WHIRINGA O NGĀ AHO: KAITIAKITANGA	35
	Place Study: Kaitiakitanga and Guardianship of Ōkahu Bay - Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei	
	Mussel Reef Restoration Project	
	Initiative One. Biodiversity and Habitat Restoration	40
	The problem	
	Biodiversity themes within the Plan - Tai Timu Tai Pari	
	The goal	
	Passive restoration	41
	Active restoration	41
	Reef restoration	41
	What you told us	43
	Objectives for restoring biodiversity and marine habitats	43

Initiative Two. Mahinga Kai / Pātaka Kai – Replenishing the Food Basket	
Fish stocks	44
Fish stocks objectives	
Aquaculture	46
Initiative Three. Sediment and Water Quality – Waipara	
The problem	
The goal	
What we are saying	
Initiative Four. Ahu Moana – mana whenua and Community coastal co-management areas	
Ngā tikanga - Principles	53
Kei te whakatinanatia tia – putting flesh on the bones / giving effect to the vision	55
Implementation measures	55
Initiative Five. Kaitiakitanga and Guardianship	56
Kaitiaki and guardianship in action	57
Watercare Harbour Clean-Up Trust	58
Rock fishing safety campaign	58

#### 

4.	FISH STOCKS
	IRA MOMO IKA61
	Background61
	A community perspective63
	A mana whenua perspective63
	A scientific perspective64
	Declining stock levels
	What do we want to achieve?70
	Overall themes for fish stocks71
	Management actions for Theme One – rebuilding fish stocks71
	Management actions for Theme Two – restoring habitats74
	Management actions which support both Themes One and Two79
	Implementation process
	Place Study: Te Ruamaahua – The Alderman Islands82

5. AOUACULIUR	E

AHUMOANA	
A mana whenua perspective	
Aquaculture objectives	
What do we want to achieve?	
How will we do it?	
Place Study: Wharekawa- mana whenua and aquaculture in the Firth of Thames	

#### 

6.	BIODIVERSITY	
	RERENGA RAUROPI	
	Biodiversity of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park	
	Biodiversity - a scientific perspective	
	What do we want to achieve?	
	How will we do it?	
	Marine Protected Areas	
	Marine Debris	
	Biosecurity	126
	Place Study: Ngāti Rehua Ngātiwai Ki Aotea - Taiko (Black Petrel) Restoration	130
7.	WATER QUALITY	
	ORANGA PŪMAU O TE WAI	132
	Theme A. Sediment	
	Theme B. Nutrients	
	Theme C. Heavy Metals	
	Theme D. Microbial pathogens	
	Risks and Threats	

	RT FOUR: PROSPEROUS COMMUNITIES	
W A	TANGA TUAWHA. KUTAHITANGA 162	
8.	. INSPIRING THE HAURAKI GULF MARINE PARK COMMUNITY	
	HE WHAKAHAU I TE HAPORI O TIKAPA MOANA TE MOANANUI-A-TOI	
	Where are we – what are the issues and opportunities?165	
	Objective 1. Engage 'hearts and minds'166	
	Objective 2. Embrace volunteering	
	Objective 3. Expand marine education opportunities167	
	Objective 4. 'One Gulf one message' strategy167	
	Place Study: Revive Our Gulf– the Mussel Reef Restoration Trust	
9.	PROVIDING ACCESS TO THE HAURAKI GULF MARINE PARK	
	HE TUKU URUNGA ATU KI TĪKAPA MOANA / TE MOANANUI-Ā-TOI	
	Objective 5. A place-based decision making approach172	
	Objective 6. Managing visitor experience173	
	Objective 7. Create and implement a Hauraki Gulf Marine Park transport strategy	
	Objective 8. Create a 'Blue Highway'173	
	Objective 9. Supplement Blue Highway with 'Walking on Water' strategy	
10.	DESIGNING COASTAL INFRASTRUCTURE	
	HE WAIHANGA AHOAHO PŪNAHA TAKUTAI178	
	Objective 10. Create and implement blue design principles180	
	Objective 11. Establish a Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Design Panel	
11.	IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PLAN	
	WHAKATINANA I TE MAHERE	
	Hauraki Gulf Governance Attributes	
	A co-ordinated approach to research and monitoring183	

REFERENCES	
NGĀ TOHUTORU	
ACRONYMS, AND MĀORI TERMS	
KUPU RĀPOTO, KUPU MĀORI	206
List of Acronyms	206
Kupu māori - Māori terms	206
APPENDIX 1: FISH STOCKS OF THE HAURAKI GULF	
PIRINGA 1: IRA IKA O TĪKAPA MOANA / TE MOANANUI-Ā-TOI	210
APPENDIX 2: AREAS IDENTIFIED FOR FUTURE AQUACULTURE DEVELOPMENT	
PIRINGA 2: NGĀ ROHE KUA TOHUA HEI WHANAKE AHUMOANA MŌ ĀMURI	240
APPENDIX 3: BIODIVERSITY AND MARINE PROTECTED AREAS	
PIRINGA 3: RERENGA RAUROPI ME NGĀ ROHE RĀHUI MOANA	
APPENDIX 4: WATER QUALITY	
PIRINGA 4: ORANGA PŪMAU O TE WAI	
APPENDIX 5: CULTURAL HEALTH INDICATORS	
PIRINGA 5: NGĀ TOHU ORANGA Ā-IWI	

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1	Kaitiaki at work at Ōkahu (Source. Richelle Kahui-McConnell)	38
Figure 3.2	Kaumatua Tamaiti Tamaariki laying the first phase of mussel reef restoration in Ōkahu Bay (Source. Charlotte Graham)	39
Figure 3.3	Tumutumuwhenua Marae of Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei, overlooking Okahu Bay. (Source. Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei)	39
Figure 3.4	Hauraki Gulf restoration successes	41
Figure 3.5	Mussel reef restoration, depositing shell	42
Figure 3.6	Before and after photos of mussel reef restoration	42
Figure 3.7	Relative importance of biodiversity and biosecurity issues. (Source. Sea Change Summer Survey 2014-2015 Results and Analysis report – Biodiversity and Biosecurity)	43
Figure 3.8	Gathering kaimoana and mussel farms in the Firth of Thames	45
Figure 3.9	Suspended mussel lines	46
Figure 3.10	Sea Change Summer Survey 2014-2015 Results and Analysis report - Fish Stocks	47
Figure 3.11	Exposed stream banks versus intact riparian margins	48
Figure 3.12	An example of built water treatment solutions	50
Figure 3.13	Scuba diving in the Gulf	51
Figure 3.14	Teaching mokopuna to gather kaimoana	52
Figure 3.1	Te Kotuiti – wakataua (war canoe) of Ngāti Paoa, at the opening of Te Ara Moana (the seagoing pathway) waka trail, 5th April 2014 (Source. Ngāti Paoa Iwi Trust)	56
Figure 3.2	The Watercare Harbour Cleanup Trust at work	58
Figure 3.3	Promoting safe rock fishing. Rock fishing safety campaign	58
Figure 5.1	A mussel farm on the surface, and snorkelling underneath	85
Figure 5.2	Oyster farm located at Clevedon	89
Figure 5.3	Value of salmon farming vs. other agriculture and aquaculture	89
Figure 5.4	Fishing over mussel farms (Source. Top and bottom pictures supplied by Coromandel Marine Farmers Association, middle two supplied by Raewyn Peart)	90
Figure 5.5	Tukumana Taiwiwi Te Taniwha – Ngāti Whanaunga /Ngāti Maru (1862 –1941)	. 100
Figure 5.6	Existing mussel farms are within 800m of the shoreline at Wharekaw	. 100
Figure 5.7	Wharekawa Māori owned land (purple), Iwi rohe boundary (white shading), regional boundary (yellow line), existing aquaculture (blue), and aquaculture applications (orange)	, . 101
Figure 5.8	The Wharenui - ancestral meeting house of Ngāti Paoa anad Ngāti Whanaunga at Wharekawa Marae, south of the mussel farms on the Wharekawa coastline (Source. Ngāti Paoa Iwi Trust)	. 102
Figure 6.1	Flesh-footed shearwater ( <i>Puffinus carneipes</i> ); one of the largest colonies of this species in New Zealand occurs at Mercury and Ohinau Islands	. 105
Figure 6.2	Shortbeaked common dolphins ( <i>Delphinus delphis</i> ), found throughout the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park	. 105

Figure 6.3	The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park contains a resident population of bottlenose dolphins ( <i>Tursiops truncatus</i> )	108
Figure 6.4	Large numbers of bar-tailed godwit ( <i>Limosa lapponica</i> ) and other shorebirds travel to the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park from Asia each year to feed	110
Figure 6.5	Dense bed of tuangi, New Zealand cockle ( <i>Austrovenus stutchburyi</i> ) on the edge of a tidal channel	111
Figure 6.6	Over-grazing by the kina/common sea urchin ( <i>Evechinus chloroticus</i> ) can transform large areas of reef from kelp forest to less productive 'urchin barrens'	111
Figure 6.7	Experiencing marine reserves (top-bottom): snorkelling around mangroves in an estuarine marine reserve at high tide; populations of fished species such as Snapper ( <i>Pagrus auratus</i> ) and Rock Lobster ( <i>Jasus edwardsii</i> ) recover and become more accessible within MPAs.	121
Figure 6.8	Examples of invasive, non-indigenous marine species. Upper right to left: club tunicate ( <i>Styela clava</i> ), the green seaweed ( <i>Caulerpa taxifolia</i> ), Chinese mitten crab ( <i>Eriocheir sinensis</i> ); Centre right to left: Australian droplet tunicate ( <i>Eudistoma elongatum</i> ), Northern Pacific seasta ( <i>Asterias amurensis</i> ), European shore crab ( <i>Carcinus maenas</i> ); Lower right to left: Mediterranean fan worm ( <i>Sabella spallanzanii</i> ), overbite clam ( <i>Corbula amurensis</i> ), wakame ( <i>Undaria pinnatifida</i> ).	ar 126
Figure 6.9	Mediterranean fan worms growing on native green shell mussels (Perna canaliculus)	127
Figure 6.10	Mediterranean fan worms attached to the hull of a boat; invasive species can be rapidly t ransported over large distances on the hulls of vessels, as well as on contaminated materials and equipment	127
Figure 6.1	Above bottom: Asian date Mussel ( <i>Arcuatula senhousia</i> ); Top: extensive mat of Asian date Mussels in Tāmaki Strait, inner Hauraki Gulf, about 10 m water depth, June 2008. ( Source: Ministry for Primary Industries and J. Williams, NIWA)	128
Figure 6.1	Nicola McDonald, Chairperson of the Ngāti Rehua Ngātiwai ki Aotea Trust Board and Chris Howe, Conservation Director (NZ) for World Wildlife Fund cut the Tāiko welcome cake (Source. Ngāti Rehua Ngātiwai ki Aotea Trust)	130
Figure 6.2	Bottom - Kawa Marae, Aotea. Above - Ngāti Rehua whānau handle a juvenile and adult tāiko. (Source. Ngāti Rehua Ngātiwai ki Aotea Trust)	131
Figure 7.1	Port Jackson	132
Figure 7.2	Mahurangi Harbour	134
Figure 7.3	Purangi River Cooks Beach	135
Figure 7.4	Long Bay wetland	135
Figure 7.5	Green infrastructure – Constructed wetlands at Wynyard Quarter	136
Figure 7.6	Riparian planting to help stabilise stream banks and capture sediment	137
Figure 7.7	Forestry Operation at Whangapoa	138
Figure 7.8	Large scale earthworks for residential development	138
Figure 7.9	Eroding hills at Port Jackson	139
Figure 7.10	Moehau before storm	139

Figure 7.11	Moehau after storm illustrating sediment runoff from highly erodible steep land	140
Figure 7.12	Northern Coromandel – silt meets sea after rainfall	141
Figure 7.13	Cows on a Hauraki Dairy Farm	147
Figure 7.14	Boats at Islington Bay	156
Figure 7.15	Native Land Court survey number ML 1892, 1869. Inset ML 2252-9	159
Figure 7.16	Photograph of Thames Foreshore in 1869 (Source. Sir George Grey Special Collections, Auckland Libraries, 7-A11453)	161
Figure 7.17	Matai Whetu Marae at Kopu south of Thames (Source. Te Korowai Hauora o Hauraki, 2015)	161
Figure 8.1	Mussel dredging in the 1950s	168
Figure 8.2	Seeding a reef (Source. NIWA, permission granted by M. Morrison)	170
Figure 8.3	Before and after mussel restoration photos - Visit the Mussel Reef Restoration Trust at http://reviveourgulf.org.nz/	171
Figure 10.1	An Example of Blue Infrastructure	180

# LIST OF MAPS

Map 1.1	Hauraki Gulf Marine Park presented according to a mana whenua world view (explained in Chapter 2)	23
Map 1.2	Hauraki Gulf Marine Park presented according to the western world view	23
Map 2.1	Recorded archaeological sites, defensive pā sites, and early Māori title block boundaries, drawn south-north according to a mana whenua world view	31
Map 2.1	Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Marae and remaining land in Māori title. (Marae icons source. Afterglow)	33
Map 3.1	Sediment travel within the Park	49
Map 3.1	Ahu Moana across the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park	54
Map 4.1	The inner Hauraki Gulf, Four nautical mile (7.4km) eastern Coromandel coastal buffer, and existing trawling and danish seine ban areas within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park	76
Map 4.2	Locations of MPA Type 1 and Type 2	77
Map 4.3	Scallop beds in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park	78
Map 4.4	Te Ruamaahua and surrounding Ahu Moana. Oriented south-north, the white arrow shows the viewpoint from Hahei in Figures 4.1 and 4.2 (Aerial Photography source. ESRI Ltd)	83
Map 5.1	Existing aquaculture sites, indicative areas preferred for future aquaculture development, and areas unsuitable for aquaculture. See Appendix 2 for detailed locations and explanations of the numbered aquaculture sites	96
Map 6.1	Mapping of marine habitat types within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park	109
Map 6.1	Overview map of proposed MPA network and two scenarios sets. See Appendix 3 for detailed MPA maps shown in the overview	124
Map 7.1	Muddiness monitoring in the Auckland region	142
Map 7.2	Possible sedimentation rate monitoring sites	143
Map 7.3	Auckland regional trends in coastal nutrient concentrations.	148
Map 7.4	Locations where water-column eutrophication objectives apply	149
Map 7.5	Heavy metal hotspots as concentrations of copper, lead and zinc in coastal sediments. Appendix 4 describes these in more detail	151
Map 7.6	Trends in the concentrations of A) copper, B) lead, and C) zinc in coastal sediments around the Auckland urban isthmus. Arrow colour indicates whether the trends are statistically significant (red) or not (blue). Arrow size is proportional to the rate of change (mg/ kg/yr). Concentrations were obtained using strong acid digestion of the <500 µm sediment fraction. Data provided by Auckland Council.	153
Map 7.7	Marine ecology health grades, 2012-2014. This is a combination of the Benthic Health Index (Mud and Metals) and the Traits Based Indicator. Reproduced from Auckland Council State of the Environment Report 2015.	154
Map 7.8	Swimming safety within Auckland Council, from data collected over the three summer seasons (2011 – 2014). Reproduced from Auckland Council-State of the Environment Report-2015. Waikato Regional Council does not currently monitor swimming safety	157

Map 7.9	Kauaeranga foreshore showing sediment plumes from the Waihou and Piako Rivers, legal parce boundaries (yellow lines), remaining Māori-owned land (red), and Ahu Moana (light blue). Map is drawn south-north. (Sources. CRS LINZ NZ, Māori Land data Māori Land Court. Photo	el
	Google Earth 2016)	160
Map 8.1	Extent of mussel dredging within the Hauraki Gulf in 1958	169
Map 9.1	Elements of a Hauraki Gulf Marine Park transport strategy	174
Map 9.2	Example of 'Blue Highway' Showing Inter-Connected Transport Links and Access to Hauraki Gulf Communities	176

# **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 4.1	Values of Quota and catches within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park 2012-2014	61
Table 5.1	Description of preferred indicative aquaculture areas	95
Table 11.1	Research topics identified in the Plan	187
Table A2.1	Indicative aquaculture areas	241

# LIST OF APPENDICES MAPS

Map A1.1	Bottom biota recorded during exploratory trawl surveys carried out in 1901 and 1907 by the Inspector of Fisheries, L. F. Ayson (see Ayson 1901, 1908). Trawl lines are overlaid on a grid showing the number of bottom trawls undertaken between 1 January 2011 and 1 January 2014. Details about the bottom biota were provided for the red trawl lines, as indicated (Source. Figure 6.8 of Kelly et al. 2014).	. 230
Map A2.1	Proposed Aquaculture Areas	. 242
Map A2.2	Locations of proposed aquaculture and aquaculture exclusion areas	. 243
Map A2.3	Distribution of sea grass and mangroves in Whangapoua Harbour (Source. Halliday et al. 2006)	. 252
Map A3.1	Overview/location maps for proposed MPA network and alternative scenarios.	. 290
Map A3.2	Mokohinau Islands MPA Scenario 1	. 292
Map A3.3	Mokohinau Islands MPA Scenario 2	. 293
Map A3.4	Little Barrier – Hauturu MPAs	. 295
Map A3.5	Cape Colville MPAs	. 296
Map A3.6	Alderman Islands – Te Ruamaahua MPAs Scenario 1	. 297
Map A3.7	Alderman Islands – Te Ruamaahua MPAs Scenario 2	. 298
Map A3.8	Mercury Islands – Ahuahu / Whakau MPAs	. 299
Map A3.9	Hahei MPAs	. 300
Map A3.10	Slipper Island – Whakahau MPAs	. 301
Map A3.11	Pakiri Leigh MPAs	. 302
Map A3.12	Whangateau Harbour MPAs Scenario 1	. 303
Map A3.13	Whangateau Harbour MPAs Scenario 2	. 304
Map A3.14	Kawau Bay MPAs Scenario 1	. 305
Map A3.15	Kawau Bay MPAs Scenario 2	. 306
Map A3.16	Tiritiri Matangi MPAs Scenario 1	. 307
Map A3.17	Tiritiri Matangi MPAs Scenario 2	. 308
Map A3.18	Map A3.18. The Noises - Otata Motuhoropapa MPAs	. 309
Map A3.19	Rangitoto & Motutapu MPAs Scenario 1	. 310
Map A3.20	Rangitoto & Motutapu MPAs Scenario 2	. 310
Map A3.21	Firth of Thames – Tīkapa Moana and Rotoroa Island MPAs	. 311
Map A3.22	Motukawao Group MPAs	. 312
Map A4.1	Combined nutrient scores	. 320
Map A4.2	Combined soil conservation scores	. 320
Map A4.3	Auckland Council's Consolidated Receiving Environments	. 323
Map A4.4	Wetland complex on the Kauaeranga River near Thames	. 324
Map A4.5	Auckland East coast catchment landcover	. 327

# LIST OF APPENDICES FIGURES

Figure A1.1	Packhorse crayfish (Source. Ministry for Primary Industries)	. 225
Figure A1.2	Hāpuku	. 226
Figure A1.3	Inter-relationships between fishing, habitat, stocks, and land-based effects. Reductions in harv (pathway 6) result from stock effects due to harvest (pathway 1) and habitat effects (pathway 2 and 7). Land-based impacts interact with this dynamic; including damage to habitats (pathway 3) and direct effects on harvested stocks (pathway 4). In some situations, fishing and land-based effects may interact directly, e.g. the re-suspension of fine land-derived sedime through disturbance of the seafloor by bulk fishing methods. Feed-back loops are also possible between stocks and habitat (pathway 5, the dotted line) through trophic cascades, such as see in some situations between lobsters/large carnivorous reef fish, urchins, and kelp forests. (Source. Adapted from Armstrong & Falk-Peterson 2008)	vest ents en . 228
Figure A1.4	Present day biogenic seafloor habitats in Te Rawhiti Strait, Bay of Islands. Top, mixed seafloor cover of subtidal seagrass and algal turfs with juvenile snapper (0+); bottom, <i>Caulerpa</i> sp. (a fleshy green macro-algae) bed on soft sediment with juvenile snapper. (Source. NIWA)	. 231
Figure A1.5	Total catch rates of Lethrinus plus Lutjanus (kg/30 min trawl) based on annual research data for a) the zone closed to trawling in October 1985, b) the zone open to trawling; for c) and d), the proportion of seabed with large (closed square) and small (open circle) benthos based on annual research data for c) the closed zone, and d) the open zone. Standard errors and lines of best fit are shown. (Source. Sainsbury et al. 1988)	. 233
Figure A1.6	Prions and shearwaters interacting with a 'boil-up'	. 235
Figure A1.7	Buller's shearwater's feeding in association with a trevally school, Poor Knights Islands	. 236
Figure A1.8	Red-billed gulls feeding around and in the wake of a trevally school on crustaceans, Mokohinau Islands (Source A7, A8, A9. Chris Gaskin, Karen Baird)	. 236
Figure A1.9	Representation of Natural Capital concept (Source. New Zealand Treasury, 2011 Working Towards Higher Living Standards for New Zealanders)	. 237
Figure A2.1	Section showing the vertical distribution of coastal vegetation types at this site (Source. Section F, Graeme & Dahm 2006)	. 249
Figure A3.1	Example of a sliding baseline. Western side of Meola Reef; top, 1920s with tubeworm mounds and rock with little sediment and no Pacific oysters (Oliver 1923); middle, 1982 with Pacific oysters and little sediment (Dromgoole & Foster 1983); bottom, 2010 with Pacific oysters and large patches of consolidated sediment. Mangroves can also be seen to appear in the background (Source: figure 16 of Shears 2010)	. 277
Figure A4.1	Integrated Catchment Modelling	. 344



# **1. SETTING THE SCENE**

# HORA I TE KAUPAPA

The development of the Marine Spatial Plan was guided by the following vision:

## He taonga tuku iho – treasures handed down from the ancestors

Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi – the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park vibrant with life, its mauri strong, productive, and supporting healthy and prosperous communities.

## WHAT IS SEA CHANGE – TAI TIMU TAI PARI?

Sea Change – Tai Timu Tai Pari is a collaborative and co-governance process tasked with preparing a marine spatial plan for Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananuiā-Toi (the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park). It is a bold and innovative initiative to improve the entire Hauraki Gulf Marine Park and its catchments by taking a fresh look at management, and to develop a roadmap for the future. This Plan identifies issues and offers solutions, it invites you to grasp the challenges now as it will be a long time before another such opportunity comes our way.

## WHY DO WE NEED A MARINE SPATIAL PLAN?

Pressures on the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park are high, and increasing. Forecasts indicate that by 2030 more than 2.8 million people will be living within 80km of the Park. The associated development and intensification of land-use will add pressure to Auckland's aging infrastructure and its receiving environment. Boat and ship numbers on the water, already crowded on some occasions, will increase with this growing population, and a corresponding growth in imports and exports. Thousands of tonnes of fish and shellfish are extracted by commercial and recreational fishers each year and new non-indigenous marine species continue to appear. Alongside are land-use practices which introduce significant sediment loads, nutrients, pathogens, marine debris, and other contaminants, to the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

Key components of the natural ecosystem have been lost, such as subtidal mussel beds that used to filter the water of the entire Firth of Thames in one day. Vast areas of horse mussels have been destroyed by sediment and benthic disturbance. Declining biodiversity is reducing the ocean's capacity to provide food, maintain water quality, and recover from stressors. Limited progress has been made towards allowing fish stocks to rebuild, creating new marine protected areas (MPAs), or improving the capacity of mana whenua to implement their kaitiakitanga and rangatiratanga rights.

Even with these many pressures the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is incredibly resilient, and we see limited signs of recovery. The Plan intends to build on these, and provide the way forward for a coordinated programme of active restoration.

## THE HAURAKI GULF MARINE PARK

The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act 2000 recognised the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park as being nationally significant. The Park spans 1.2 million hectares of ocean and approximately 2550 kilometres of coastline, extends 12 nautical miles (22km) seaward, and covers the entire east coast of the Auckland and Waikato regions, including the Coromandel Peninsula. The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is a taonga of the utmost cultural and spiritual significance to mana whenua through its rich history of settlement and use since the first waka (ancestral canoes) navigated its waters many centuries ago. Māori and Western world views of the Park are displayed in Maps 1.1 and 1.2 on the right

## THE ECONOMY OF THE HAURAKI GULF MARINE PARK

The catchments of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park encompass a substantial portion of the economy of New Zealand and support the lives and livelihoods of more than 1.5 million people (around one in three New Zealanders). From the industry and services powerhouse of Auckland to the fertile farms of the Hauraki plains, the rich marine waters to the forests and parks of the Coromandel and Hunua Ranges, and from the recreation on beaches and islands throughout the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, the catchments support and underpin a wide range of industries and activities.

The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is much more than an 'asset', or a piece of natural capital that produces things that are bought and sold. The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is the environment upon which the economy sits. Key components of the economy include:

#### • FISHING – COMMERCIAL HARVESTING.

The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park forms an important part of New Zealand's commercial fisheries especially for snapper.

• NON-COMMERCIAL FISHING – RECREATIONAL AND CUSTOMARY FISHING.

Recreational fishing is a major activity on the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park with more than 220,000 fishers estimated to be active in the Park.

• AQUACULTURE.

The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is one of New Zealand's foremost centres for the aquaculture sector.

#### • TRANSPORT.

As well as transport in and around the catchment itself, the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park provides crucial shipping corridors, particularly into and out of the Ports of Auckland.

#### • ON THE LAND.

When we think of the Hauraki Gulf, we tend to think of the wet (and salty) part. But the landward part of the Park's catchment, of which about 60% is farmed, is integral to its economy.

#### • TOURISM.

The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is a hotspot for tourism, drawing not only on the 'locals' that make up one third of New Zealand's entire population, but also leveraging off Auckland as a key transit point and destination for international visitors.

A great deal of additional analysis of the economy of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park has been identified as a necessary part of the implementation of the Plan.

This Plan is the work of many people from all walks of life. The common theme threading throughout everything that we have been told has been the urgent need to restore our Gulf. The recommendations we have made will draw different reactions within our communities and there will be many discussions. But one thing is certain – we must all make compromises if the right result is to be achieved. No one person, organisation, or agency can restore our Gulf. This task is in the hands of every single one of us.

## A TURN-AROUND PLAN FOR THE HAURAKI GULF MARINE PARK

In October 2013, key leaders with an interest in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park were invited to participate in a democratic selection process to form the Stakeholder Working Group (SWG) representing those sectors that have an impact on or an interest in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. The group includes Mana whenua, recreational and commercial fishing, farming, aquaculture, industry, community, and environmentalists, and has worked in partnership with central and local government agencies. All Stakeholder Working Group members have long-term personal and cultural connections with local community groups, alongside a deep knowledge of, and a set of priority concerns for, the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.





Hauraki Gulf Marine Park presented according to a mana

whenua world view (explained in Chapter 2)

Map 1.1



Hauraki Gulf Marine Park boundaries from two world views

### Who are the Stakeholder Working Group?

- Jake Bartrom: Coromandel, youth and recreation.
- Matt Ball: Auckland, Ports of Auckland.
- Laurie Beamish: Ngāi Tai ki Tāmaki, mana whenua member.
- Joe Davis: Ngāti Hei, mana whenua member.
- Katrina Goddard: Waipu, environmental.
- Alison Henry: Whitianga, community.
- David Kellian: Warkworth, commercial fishing.
- Callum McCallum: Clevedon, aquaculture.
- Scott Macindoe: recreational fishing.
- Dirk Sieling: Whitianga, farming and recreational fishing.
- Tame Te Rangi: Ngāti Whātua, mana whenua member.
- Lucy Tukua: Ngāti Paoa, mana whenua member.
- Conall Buchanan: Paeroa, farming.
- Raewyn Peart: Point Chevalier, environmental.

The SWG has an appointed independent chair, Paul Beverley.

In addition to the above, Alan Proctor (recreational fishing) was a member of the SWG from 2013 until 2015, and Nick Main the independent chair and Kaaren Goodall the independent facilitator from 2013 until mid 2015.

The Stakeholder Working Group has developed the Plan through extensive engagement with mana whenua, local communities, and stakeholder groups, gathering science and mātauranga from many sources including technical experts, and considerable contributions from local and central government agencies.

### **Partner agencies**

Through the process of preparing the Plan we have had four partner agencies assisting by providing information, technical advice, and guidance. These are:

- Waikato Regional Council (WRC)
- Auckland Council (AC)
- Department of Conservation (DOC)
- Ministry for Primary Industries (MPI)

Each of the partner agencies has provided technical advice as requested, and attended Stakeholder Working Group meetings. The agencies are also represented on the Project Steering Group.

### **Community engagement**

From January to June 2014 SWG members attended many of the twenty-five group discussions, or 'listening posts', which were held up and down the coast, on islands, and in catchments of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. These included more than 250 participants and provided members with valuable opportunities to ask questions and learn from those who know the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park best - its people. Many were also asked to contribute thoughts and ideas more formally through on-line surveys.

### Roundtables

To inform the work required, the SWG established seven issues-based 'Roundtables' to explore different pressures on the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. In addition to the SWG members, these Roundtables included stakeholders with expertise and interest in the following topics:

- 1. Mātauranga Māori
- 2. Water quality and catchments
- 3. Fish stocks
- 4. Biodiversity and biosecurity
- 5. Infrastructure
- 6. Aquaculture
- 7. Accessible Gulf

#### Mana whenua engagement

Throughout the Sea Change – Tai Timu Tai Pari process there has been significant involvement by mana whenua (representatives of local iwi). In addition to having four mana whenua members on the Stakeholder Working Group, the Mātauranga Māori Round Table provided a Māori perspective to Sea Change – Tai Timu Tai Pari. This group also ran the programme of engagement with Māori, holding a series of hui (meetings) on Marae and at public venues across the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. Additionally an online survey of mana whenua was conducted.

# The plan creation process and agreed principles

Following this wide range of information gathering approaches the task of the SWG was to develop the responses and interventions needed to address the issues facing the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

Several important principles developed and agreed by the Stakeholder Working Group are:

- 1. The Plan is developed as an integrated package to be implemented as a "whole". Those implementing the Plan should not pick and choose between the proposed actions.
- 2. A key principle guiding the implementation of the plan will be the preservation of the integrity and value flowing from the current and future Treaty settlements. Accordingly, none of the Sea Change proposals, restrictions, actions or other measures will diminish or detract from any commercial or noncommercial Treaty settlements or related interests of any kind, whether capable of being held or exercised individually or collectively.
- 3. The community, stakeholders and mana whenua must be substantially involved in subsequent planning and decision-making and implementation of the Plan.

- 4. Throughout the document we provide dates for agencies to implement actions. These dates should be interpreted as the end of that particular year; e.g. something that should be completed by 2018 refers to 31 December 2018.
- 5. With regards to MPAs (Marine Protected Areas) there were some very limited areas where the Stakeholder Working Group did not reach a consensus. Where this occurred we have identified options, or scenarios that reflect the different outcomes sought by members. In order to gain consensus or sufficient support to select and progress one of the options discussions with mana whenua, local communities and stakeholders will be required for all these areas.
- 6. The Stakeholder Working Group members have worked closely together through a collaborative process which has resulted in the mechanisms set out in this plan. All Stakeholder Working Group members agree to and support the plan and no member or group has a veto over the implementation of the plan moving forward. The collaborative spirit that has been reflected in the Stakeholder Working Group should continue as mana whenua, communities and agencies work together to implement the plan to uplift and enhance the mauri of Tīkapa Moana/Te Moananui-ā-Toi.

## NAVIGATING THE PLAN

The plan is broadly divided into four sections grouping related chapters and issues. Each chapter contains a description of the current situation, identifies objectives for the subject and a series of actions for implementing these objectives.

Wherever possible we have provided target dates for agencies to implement actions, although we realise that these will ultimately be implemented on a priority basis. Prioritisation and implementation is addressed in the final chapter of the Plan.

The four overarching concepts that underpin the Plan are described in the diagram over the page.

## KAITIAKITANGA (Guardianship)

Applying kaitiakitanga and guardianship involves all communities in sustaining and enhancing the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park for future generations. It promotes a sense of place, provides for a shared ownership of the responsibilities of kaitiakitanga and guardianship - now and for future generations - with measurable steps along the way to achieve the vision.

The Kaitiakitanga chapter is contained within he whiringa o ngā aho: kaitiakitanga.

### NAHINGA KAI, PĀTAKA NAHINGA KAI, PĀTAKA NAHINGA KAI, PĀTAKA Peplenishing the Food Bask Replenishing the Hauraki Gulf

The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is recognised as a pātaka (food basket) and management approaches must balance protecting and enhancing the food producing capacity of the coastal area with the needs of the Park's habitats and inhabitants.

The Fish Stocks and Aquaculture chapters are contained within Mahinga Kai.

Ki Uta Ki Tai is an holistic approach to managing, restoring and protecting terrestrial freshwater ecosystems and marine areas. It acknowledges the linkages between terrestrial and marine ecosystems within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

The Biodiversity and Water Quality chapters are contained within Ki Uta Ki Tai.

P. Beer to Reef or Mountains to Sea Kotahitanga means unity or collectivity, and involves each one of us exercising our rights and responsibilities in a way that strives towards collective goals while recognising the autonomy and needs of each participant.

The Infrastructure chapter is contained within the Kotahitanga section.



#### **INITIATIVES**

The initiatives described after the Executive Summary are presented at the end of Chapter 3.

A series of case-studies is also spread across the Plan to provide practical illustrations throughout. These tell mana whenua and local community place-based stories of kaitiakitanga, guardianship, and management within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

### PRIORITISATION AND IMPLEMENTATION

The final chapter discusses the need for much greater knowledge about the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park through research, monitoring, and the development of indicators, including cultural health indicators. It provides an explanation of the need to prioritise implementation, and discusses future governance needs for the Park. PART ONE: KAITIAKITANGA AND GUARDIANSHIP WĀHANGA TUATAHI: KAITIAKITANGA



### MANA WHENUA MANA MOANA.

"Te mana Atua kei roto i te tangata ki te tiaki i a ia, he tapu"

Sustain the divine power that sustains wellbeing, sacred essence.

## WEAVING THE STRANDS: KAITIAKITANGA AND GUARDIANSHIP. HE WHIRINGA O NGĀ AHO: KAITIAKTANGA.

'If you want to go fast, go alone. If you want to go far, go together' – Listening Posts.

The kaitiakitanga and guardianship of Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi – The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is both the focus of Part One, and the overarching theme of Sea Change – Tai Timu Tai Pari. Kaitiakitanga is commonly translated as guardianship or stewardship. Mana whenua are the kaitiaki of their ancestral lands, a responsibility of the highest order handed down to the current generation by their Tupuna (ancestors) over many centuries. Many other New Zealanders rely on and are passionate about the Hauraki Gulf, and the theme Kaitiaki and Guardianship acknowledges that mana whenua, the wider community, and their agencies, each has a role if the vision of Sea Change is to be realised.

Part One, Kaitiakitanga and Guardianship, is made up of two chapters. Chapter 2, mana whenua Mana Moana introduces the iwi (tribes) and hapū (sub-tribes) of Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi, describes tikanga (values and practices) and their view of their world, then explains their legal and Treaty of Waitangi rights and interests. Chapter 3, Te Raranga - Weaving the Strands describes a synergistic, interwoven approach to restoring and safeguarding the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. Mātauranga Māori and western knowledge are seen as complimentary rather than conflicting, and mana whenua and other New Zealanders passion and energy is harnessed, and their ways of doing things brought together.

Five initiatives are presented at the end of Part One. These condense the most significant intended planning responses and actions from the various subject-specific chapters of the Plan. They sift multistranded and sometimes complex issues objectives and courses of action into short clear statements of what needs to be done, and how we propose to do it.

# 2. MANA WHENUA

# MANA MOANA

The Plan attempts to interweave Western perspectives, values, interests, and management approaches, with those of mana whenua. Mana whenua describes the relationship of Māori with their ancestral lands, and is the term used to refer to local iwi (Māori tribes) and hapū (sub-tribes) in the Plan. Mana translates as authority or prestige, and local Māori both derive mana from their lands and waters, and have customary authority over them.

Because Māori perspectives, values, interests, and management approaches are foreign to many New Zealanders, and as they are an integral part of the Plan we introduce the mana whenua iwi Māori (tribes) of Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi – the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. We then explain mana whenua values and practices, briefly describe the current Treaty settlements environment in which Sea Change was developed, and consider Māori rights, interests, and practices arising from settlements, in common law or legislation, as these relate to the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. Corresponding mana whenua issues, objectives, and actions are included throughout the sections of the Plan.

## A MĀORI PERSPECTIVE OF TĪKAPA MOANA / TE MOANANUI-Ā-TOI

The Māori view of the world considers Aotearoa (the North Island) to be the fish, pulled up by the ancestral demigod Maui, from his waka Te Waipounamu – the South Island. Te Ika a Maui (the great fish of Maui) is conceptualised with its head to the south and tail to the north, so local Māori talk of travelling up to Wellington, while other New Zealanders talk of travelling down (see Maps 1.1 and 1.2 p. 4). The Coromandel Peninsula is known as Te Tara o Te Ika ā Maui, the barb on the tail of Maui's stingray, or as Te Paeroa ō Toitehuatahi (the long mountain range of Toitehuatahi). Maps in this chapter and for the place studies show this world view.

The name Tīkapa Moana refers to ceremonies held to protect the crews of the Tainui and Te Arawa waka

(voyaging canoes) on the small island called Tīkapa or Takapū (which means gannet) off Cape Colville. Moana is the name attributed to the waters of the Hauraki Gulf and the Bay of Plenty, after the early Polynesian explorer Toitehuatahi. The two names are used together in the Plan to reflect different traditions of mana whenua across the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. The tribes of Hauraki and Tāmaki descend from the crews of these and many other waka.

The Hauraki Gulf includes the earliest places occupied by Māori, some more than a thousand years ago according to tribal history./There are many accounts of journeys from Hawaiki to Rarotonga, the Tahitian Islands, then Rangiahua (the Kermadec Islands), ending in Hauraki and Tāmaki Makaurau. Tribal dynasties evolved from these ancient travellers, expanding through Aotearoa, often intermarrying with earlier peoples, adapting their traditions and practices to their new home. Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi has been intensively occupied since these earliest arrivals. The extent of historic occupation can be seen in Map 2.1 (over page), which shows recorded archaeological sites, defensive pā sites, and early Native Title Māori land blocks from the Native Land Court. While the recorded sites are thought to be only 30 percent of actual sites, they are strongly concentrated along the coast. This reflects Māori dependence on the moana, and that they were a seafaring people.

## IWI OF HAURAKI AND TĀMAKI MAKAURAU

Mana whenua of Hauraki, Tāmaki Makaurau, and Mahurangi include Ngāti Whātua, its hapu Ngāti Whātua o Orakei, and Te Uri o Hau, whose combined rohe (ancestral areas of interest) extends from the Kaipara Harbour to Mahurangi and into central Auckland. The combined rohe of Te Kawerau-a-Maki, Ngāti Te Ata Waiohua, Ngāti Tamaoho, Ngāi Tai ki Tāmaki, Te Ahiwaru, and Te Akitai Waiohua extends from the Waikato River mouth to the western beaches north of Auckland, and across the Auckland Isthmus and inner Gulf Islands and back to the northern Kaiaua coastline. The rohe of Ngāti Wai, and its two hapū Ngāti Manuhiri and Ngāti Rehua extends from around Whangarei in the north, Aotea (Great Barrier Island), Hauturu (Little Barrier Island), and back to Warkworth. The Marutuahu confederation consists of Ngāti Maru, Ngāti Tamaterā, Ngāti Paoa, Ngāti Whanaunga, and the aligned Te Patukirikiri. The Marutuahu rohe is almost the same area as the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, although it extends south toward Tauranga. Waikato-Tainui has interests in Tāmaki Makaurau. Ngāi Tai also has lands in Hauraki, along with Ngāti Hako, Ngāti Hei, Ngāti Porou ki Hauraki, Ngāti Pūkenga, Ngāti Rāhiri Tumutumu, and Ngāti Tara Tokanui. This list may not be complete, and many of these iwi have multiple hapū (sub tribes) with ancestral areas and interests inside and outside of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

The lands of Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi are unique in Aotearoa for the nature of tribal rohe. Elsewhere iwi occupy largely contiguous areas. While this occurs here too, these are interspersed with what Hauraki elder Taimoana Turoa called 'kāinga pockets', places where multiple iwi and hapū have interests. This is a product of a turbulent history and long competition for this most sought after place, and it's many resources, and the relationships between iwi that have resulted. This tribal complexity has been a significant driver in the final shape of the Marine Spatial Plan, particularly for its Māori provisions such as the proposed Ahu Moana - mana whenua community co-management areas.

Descriptions of local mana whenua are provided in the place studies across the Plan. Today Māori have lost most of their traditional lands, as shown in Figure Two, but they continue to strive to fulfil ancestral kaitiaki obligations across their rohe. Today there is a resurgence of elements in the landscape that reflect mana whenua, pou (carved boundary markers), and Marae being two of the most visual elements, both often feature ancestors and events from over a thousand years of Māori occupation. Today Māori hold little of their traditional land. Remaining land and marae within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park are shown in Map 2.2.

Notably, many of the Marae shown in Auckland City are urban, community or pan-tribal Marae, some belonging to iwi not traditionally from this area.

## TIKANGA MĀORI AND KAITIAKITANGA: VALUES, PRACTICES, AND STEWARDSHIP

Tikanga Māori includes traditional practices and customs, and determines the way Māori interact with the world around them. Some tikanga central to environmental management are briefly introduced.

> "Kaitiakitanga is the ethic and practice of protection and conservation of the natural environment and the resources within it on which people depend. It is considered an obligation of mana whenua to maintain the lands and waters to which they whakapapa (have a genealogical relationship)."

### Kaitiakitanga

Māori do not see themselves as separate from the natural world, but related through whakapapa, whereby all elements (living or otherwise) descend from Papatūānuku (Mother Earth), Ranginui (the Sky Father) and their children. Accordingly, a Māori world view is distinct from a Western one, in which mankind has dominion over the rest of the world. For Māori the use of natural resources is subject to kinship obligations. For this reason kaitiakitanga is concerned with maintaining a natural and appropriate balance, particularly between the needs of people and those of Papatūānuku, their mother earth, Tangaroa, her son and Atua of the sea, and all the species that descend from them.



Map 2.1 Recorded archaeological sites, defensive pā sites, and early Māori title block boundaries, drawn southnorth according to a mana whenua world view.

A number of other tikanga are important to management of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park and to the Plan. Mana, the authority derived from and in relation to ancestral lands, waters and resources was described above.

Tapu and noa (loosely, sacred and profane) are important tikanga that order human relationships and direct our behavior toward the natural environment. For example the mixing of any bodily waste in waters from which kai is taken is extremely offensive to Māori because of its tapu nature. Manaakitanga, obligations to nurture and look after manuhiri (outsiders) mean that local whānau (extended families), hapū (sub-tribes) and iwi (tribes) loose mana (prestige and authority) if unable to provide manuhiri with the kaimoana (seafood) for which the area and its marae are traditionally famous.

# Mauri – The spirit and life supporting capacity of Water

For Māori, mauri is the vital essence or spirit found in all elements of the natural world. In relation to water mauri is often equated to life-supporting capacity, as the Waitangi Tribunal's (1995) Te Whanganui-a-Orotu Report.

"The purity of water is precious and jealously guarded because the mauri, the vital essence, is the same spiritual stuff as vivifies and enlivens human beings and all other living things. To violate the purity of water is therefore to violate your own essential purity."

Freshwater is revered for its associated tapu and healing qualities. In fact water remains a central feature of many spiritual practices today. Together with mauri, water has its own mana, or power, and is thus deserving of respect and protection as a taonga, or resource of immense material and spiritual value (Waitangi Tribunal, 1998).

The mauri of Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi has been substantially weakened by land use effects, and

over-harvesting of kaimoana (sea food) for nearly two hundred years. This has left the waters with reduced resilience, or ability to absorb or cope with new and existing pressures. Fortunately, mauri can be restored. Conservation measures include rāhui (closures), which are instituted through handed down rituals and ceremonies. Restoring and sustaining a taonga like the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park to a state of mauri ora (a strong mauri), is central to the duty of kaitiakitanga (obligations as guardians or stewards of ancestral lands and waters) of mana whenua hapū, iwi and whānau.

An objective of the Plan is to restore protect and enhance the mauri of marine, estuarine and fresh water in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

"An objective of the Plan is to restore, protect and enhance the mauri of marine, estuarine and fresh water in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park."

## CUSTOMARY KNOWLEDGE, RIGHTS AND PRACTICES IN LAW

Mātauranga (Māori world views and knowledge) relating to water, fisheries, and to the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is a vast body of knowledge spanning a thousand years. This includes centuries of familiarisation with the environment, detailed understanding of natural systems and cycles, and learning which management approaches work, and which don't. This cannot be replicated or replaced by western science. The inclusion of indigenous people's knowledge and practices in environmental management is required in international conventions to which New Zealand is signatory. The mana whenua peoples described above continue to exercise ancestral rights to harvest local kaimoana and to participate in the management of their ancestral places.

Māori rights and practices are provided for in New Zealand legislation. Examples include customary recognitions and rights orders under the Marine and



Map 2.1 Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Marae and remaining land in Māori title. (Marae icons source. Afterglow)

Coastal Area (Takutai Moana) Act 2011 (MACA), deeds of recognition in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act, RMA instruments including heritage orders and recognition as heritage authorities (section 187), section 33 transfers of powers and functions, and joint management agreements in section 36B, rohe moana and customary management tools within fisheries legislation, including mahinga mātaitai (traditional food gathering areas), taiāpure (local fisheries), and rāhui (temporary closures), and the ability for kaitiaki to allocate permits for harvesting kaimoana for cultural purposes. In some places Māori still own title of coastal lands extending into the coastal marine area. Examples are given in the Sea Change case-studies of ways hapū and iwi of Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananuiā-Toi utilise statutory instruments, and participate in the management of their ancestral lands, waters, and fisheries.

# THE TREATY OF WAITANGI AND TREATY SETTLEMENTS

The Treaty of Waitangi was the founding document of New Zealand, signed between Māori and the Crown in 1840. It guaranteed Māori undisturbed possession of their ancestral lands, waters, fisheries and other taonga. In modern times a range of Treaty principles have been established by the courts and Waitangi Tribunal. These include a Crown duty of active protection of Māori rights and interests, and recognition that the relationship between the two parties is one of partnership.

Despite this raft of statutory recognitions and rights some of the most important examples of Māori involvement in the management of their ancestral lands and waters have derived from Treaty settlements. These include statutory acknowledgements and property vesting, but also comanagement arrangements, including settlements such as the Waikato River settlement, which established a massive restoration initiative for the Waikato River, with local iwi being partners and participants at all levels.

The Plan was written when regional Treaty claims negotiations were taking place for settlements for at least 19 iwi and hapū. These settlements will significantly change the cultural, economic and political landscape in Hauraki and Tāmaki Makaurau. Greater iwi involvement in environmental management will include iwi-council/ Crown management of Hauraki and Coromandel Peninsula waterways, and discussions are planned for the co-governance and management of Hauraki and Auckland harbours. The results of those settlements will be important for the make-up of the governing body of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, and for implementing the plan.

In earlier settlements iwi secured Treaty-protected rights to fisheries when the Crown sought to establish the quota management system. The Waitangi Tribunal acknowledged that Māori have commercial, recreational and customary fisheries interests, and these were identified in the Treaty of Waitangi (Fisheries Claims) Settlement Act 1992 and subsequent Acts. As a result iwi are now major players in aquaculture and commercial fisheries. They are also keen recreational fishers, maintain customary harvesting practices, and many still rely on kaimoana to feed their whānau.

Mana whenua and the Stakeholder Working Group have agreed that this Plan must not dilute or otherwise affect Treaty settlements. Those settlements clearly record that the redress provided to mana whenua was only a very small percentage of their losses suffered as a result of breaches of the Treaty. That fact reinforces the importance of protecting the redress that has been provided through Treaty settlements.

"They are intended to reflect the tikanga of mana whenua alongside the values and views of local communities in all the different circumstances that exist across the Hauraki Gulf."

Ahu Moana, the mana whenua and community comanagement areas initiative, is intended to bring in many of these statutory rights and practices, and to integrate local near shore management across these many statutes in a way that local communities are involved. They are intended as a means of cutting through exhausting and uncertain existing statutory processes and provisions for existing legal customary rights and practices. They are intended to reflect the tikanga of mana whenua alongside the values and views of local communities in all the different circumstances that exist across the Hauraki Gulf.
# 3. WEAVING THE STRANDS: KAITIAKITANGA AND GUARDIANSHIP

# HE WHIRINGA O NGĀ AHO: KAITIAKITANGA

To achieve the vision of the Sea Change process and the Plan, mana whenua, the wider community and agencies (Central Government and Local Government) will have to work collectively utilising a bi-cultural management framework shaped by the ethics of Guardianship and Kaitiakitanga. Application of Guardianship and Kaitiakitanga principles will promote all communities sustaining and enhancing the mauri (life essence or well-being) of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park for future generations.

For Māori, all things, both tangible and intangible are interconnected and possess mauri – a life force or vitality derived from the Atua (Gods). This guides our interactions with the environment, and sustaining and protecting mauri is therefore central to the exercise of Kaitiakitanga / Guardianship.

A wealth of local knowledge is held about the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, its ecosystems and its catchments. Place-based narratives of Māori and local communities describe a long experience of living in a particular area. Place-specific experiences, our cultural and spiritual beliefs, institutions and ways of doing things, and the way we look at the world as a result, weave us together as communities of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

Our combined knowledge (mātauranga and scientific) and knowledge within local communities, equips us – if we learn from what has taken place in the past - for the task of restoring the mauri of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park and of its inhabitants. The task ahead is turning that knowledge into actions.

Making substantive changes cannot be achieved through rules and regulations alone. The people who love or depend on the Gulf need to embrace change and ensure their knowledge, understanding, commitment and passion furthers this collaborative drive to restore the mauri of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

Recently in New Zealand, Te Urewera and the Whanganui River (Te Awa Tupua) became the first landscape features in the world to be given status as a legal being. This is very much in line with a Māori view of the world, in which rivers and mountains are considered relatives. It resonates well with many other New Zealanders too, and when people come to see the natural environment as a living being they are less likely to abuse it.

Gulf communities need to adjust their relationships with the lands and waters around them. Rather than thinking of the environment and its bounty as an entitlement, considering it as a being in its own right will help us to rethink our reciprocal responsibilities, and work toward a better balance. Currently environmental management thinking is preoccupied with mitigating effects rather than striving for mutual benefit. Sea Change – Tai Timu Tai Pari aims to turn this around.

Sea Change promotes building and maintaining strong relationships between agencies and local communities, mana whenua and industry in order to share mātauranga, knowledge and good practices. We need to celebrate our individual and collective sense of this place, and build on the long relationships in order to realise the potential of effective comanagement. This will not be all plain sailing, but the process we have mapped will provide the opportunity to strengthen relationships, to learn from each other, and to empower communities and mana whenua to achieve local aspirations.



## **Stakeholder visions**

The visions people have for the Gulf are expressed below in a selection of quotes from members of the public at listening posts. We provide further listening post quotes in each of the main chapters of Sea Change.

## Whangamata

I would love for my grandchildren to be able to surf the bar like I do now but in better quality waters.

### Maraetai

The revival of Taniwha stories that give a sense of tradition, history and ecology.

We want a pristine beautiful harbour, enhance this or at least preserve it. It is something special and we don't know how lucky we are. In the end it's adding value to us all.

### Thames

Need places to connect with nature, the "breathing space".

Character of the island and the reason we are all here. Needs to be a balance between future growth and our community, those things we find special – peace and quiet, not many people, walking the dog on the beach. Our freedom to enjoy what we have here.

### Mahurangi

I'd like to see it preserved as much as possible in its most natural state. Also want to see it used with a conscious and caring approach.

The goal is to leave things better than we've found them.

## **Mercury Bay**

The noises in the summers – a lot of noise from seabirds, ocean teeming with kahawai, crack open a kina and all the fish would come –so much life, so much vibrancy.

It's most important that the next generations can enjoy what we enjoyed – walking the beaches, being safe, the freedom, fishing or boating or whatever – a similar experience.

### Maraetai

The underlying theme for me is sustainability and not only for kaimoana but for a growing population.

### **Great Barrier**

Reduce plastic and pollutants from the mainland to our island. I'd like an environment where we could be rubbish free.

That mana whenua have at least equal governance and management arrangements in final decision making.

Get back to the ancient understandings - Ngā Whetu o te Rangi, mai ki uta ki tai, tai noa tu te ki te kōpua o te whenua

### Thames.

Conserve for the future.

We need to identify the values we want to preserve. That might mean we have to lock up areas; and we have to identify areas that enable investment opportunities, as a gateway to these protected areas

### Ngatea

Three top issues for Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi are Cultural Heritage protection, Kaitiakitanga and Natural resource management and decision making.

It's the atmosphere, the fresh air, whales, dolphins, birds – the expectation and anticipation of what you might see out there (while fishing).

### Waiheke

We are blessed and have to do our best to keep it!

Love the freedom of the Gulf.

### Orewa

The coast is part of a lifestyle for everybody – lifestyle is an enlarged word – discovery, adventure is part of the way of life.

### Sounds of the sea.

If we all hurt together for the benefit of the Gulf, though, I'm sure we can come up with ideas where we don't all have to hurt so much.

### **St Marys Bay**

Coast is a magnet. Everybody loves the coast and being by the sea. Part of it's in you.

We need to sacrifice now so that we can have more.

# PLACE STUDY: KAITIAKITANGA AND GUARDIANSHIP OF ŌKAHU BAY - NGĀTI WHĀTUA ŌRĀKEI

Iti ka rearea, teitei kahikatea ka taea – The small bird can scale the great height of the kahikatea (Ngāti Whātua Ōrakei proverb).

The spiritual significance of Whenua Rangatira ('chiefly or noble land') at Ōrākei and Ōkahu Bay is recognised by Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei through its vigorous campaigns to safeguard the place, which links Tāngaroa, Papatūānuku, Tāne-mahuta and Ranginui (water, land, forest and sky). Under the Orakei Act (1991) the land is set aside as a Māori Reservation for the common use of the hapū and the citizens of Tāmaki Makaurau (Auckland), it is the oldest co-governance arrangement between mana whenua and Local Government.

Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei plays a major role in the strategic planning of the use and development of hapū owned and co-managed whenua, guided by Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei values. The whenua and moana (land and sea) are highly impacted by urbanisation, former coastal terrestrial ecosystems are decimated, infrastructure construction has desecrated the mana and mauri of the hapū and the marine environment, which receives large quantities of heavy metal and pathogen laden sediment into an over-engineered receiving environment that can no longer flush and regulate itself naturally. Examples are the construction of Tāmaki Drive (which covered the sewer pipes that previously caused death and disease to Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei), piping of streams, and the construction of rock walls, marinas, and stormwater infrastructure.

In order to address these impacts, the hapū developed "Ko te Pūkākī", the only hapū based terrestrial ecological restoration programme in the region. Over the 48 hectares of reserve land the hapū refuses to use poisons or sprays that are considered to destroy the mauri of the whenua. The hapū has planted 200,000 native plants grown in their purpose built nursery, to ensure these whakapapa to the whenua. The replanting provides a korowai (cloak) to protect Papatūānuku, and also provided training, employment and vocational pathways for hapū members for over 15 years.



The award winning marine environment restoration programme, Ōkahu Catchment Ecological Restoration Plan (Kahui-McConnell, 2012) includes a suite of methods to 'bring the fish back' and achieve the cultural health indicator "A healthy bay has our whānau in it". The programme includes tidal creek re-instatement. naturalisation of all waterways, a mussel reef restoration programme, and removal and mitigation of engineering and infrastructure. The restoration programme is underpinned by an adaptive management strategy that amalgamates mātauranga Māori and science to inform and develop restoration initiatives, and importantly, creates vocational and educational pathways for hapū members to implement kaitiakitanga practices.

Management of the traditional coastline and foreshore include initiatives such as the revival of traditional customary practices, the development of a whare waka on the foreshore, development of a waka ama/paddle centre adjacent to their land within the bay, opposing marina developments, and advocating for and achieving the removal of moorings from the Bay, to be implemented through the Auckland Unitary Plan by 2018.

# MUSSEL REEF RESTORATION PROJECT

The mātauranga (traditional knowledge) of Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei has informed the restoration of mussel beds in Ōkahu Bay, Waitematā Harbour, since 2013. In order to restore the pātaka kai (food cupboard) that was formerly present this mātauranga identified existing mussel reefs, in order to extend their reach, and biologically appropriate areas for placement according to knowledge of tides and fresh water flows. Ōrākei Water Sports laid the first mussels using conventional means of

laying them on the seabed. The next phase is to use existing three dimensional structures (constructed rock walls) to grow the mussels on, to avoid them being smothered on the sedimentloaded sea floor. This will include utilising existing mussel beds that whakapapa (have lineage) to the bay, and working with Kairaranga (weavers) to create kupenga (nets) to collect and stabilise the mussel onto rocks until they attach themselves. Research partnerships with the University of Auckland are investigating heavy metal uptake in mussel shells from such an impacted receiving environment. Adaptive management is setting the direction for methodology changes to ensure restoration of the mauri of the hapū and their ancestral bay. The goal of the mussel reef restoration is to return the fish to the bay, return the pātaka to its former state, and have whanau interact with their traditional bay as their ancestors have done for over 600 years.



**Figure 3.2** Kaumatua Tamaiti Tamaariki laying the first phase of mussel reef restoration in Ōkahu Bay (Source. Charlotte Graham)



**Figure 3.3** Tumutumuwhenua Marae of Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei, overlooking Okahu Bay. (Source. Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei)

# INITIATIVE ONE. BIODIVERSITY AND HABITAT RESTORATION

# THE PROBLEM

Biodiversity is a critical component of human wellbeing and sustainable development. When species disappear the "ecosystems services" they provide do too. With marine biodiversity loss comes a reduction in the ocean's capacity to provide food, maintain water and air quality, and recover from stressors such as pollution, disease, extreme weather events, rising temperatures, and ocean acidification.

With an expanding population, forecast to exceed 2.8 million living within 80 km of the Hauraki Gulf by 2030 (Statistics NZ, 2014), intense pressure is placed on our natural resources within the marine and coastal environment from inappropriate land use, nutrient and sedimentation run-off, pollution, over extraction, and harmful fishing techniques.

# BIODIVERSITY THEMES WITHIN THE PLAN - TAI TIMU TAI PARI

There are three main, inter-related themes incorporated within the biodiversity section of this Plan: 1). Ecosystems - Restoring healthy functioning ecosystems throughout the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park including those in freshwater, estuarine, inshore and deep water areas; 2). Habitats - Protecting, enhancing and restoring the full range of habitats throughout the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park; and, 3). Species - Protecting and restoring the diversity and abundance of all species within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

## THE GOAL

The overall biodiversity goal is to restore the lost natural ecosystem function in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, for replenished abundance and diversity of life.

The rehabilitation and restoration in the Gulf is an overarching aspiration of Sea Change, including more abundant fisheries, strengthen mauri of Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi and its inhabitants, and improved health and functioning of the Gulf.

A great deal of significant work has and is being done by a network of community-based charitable trusts and mana whenua to eradicate animal and plant pests from many Hauraki Gulf islands. Restoration of these islands safeguards the breeding sites for many of the seabird species that live in and visit the Gulf and provides safe habitat for a large number of native insects and reptiles including our iconic tuatara. As well, there are projects underway to restore margins of streams and rivers to protect freshwater and diadromous (which use both salt and fresh water in their lifecycles) species and to provide both living and breeding habitat. What happens under the water is not so easily seen, but the degradation of marine habitat from sedimentation, disruptive harvesting practices, and nutrification is now better understood.

But we have identified the need for more information, and a consistent and integrated inter-agency approach to monitoring and reporting, in order to better understand the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park and work toward its restoration. Sea Change identifies the need for communities, mana whenua, relevant sector groups, alongside the agencies, to implement this Plan together.

## Marine Protected Areas

MPAs range from "no take" marine reserves that prohibit any extraction, but often allow scientific research, non-extractive commercial activities and recreation, to 'multiple use zones' where there are fewer restrictions.

# PASSIVE RESTORATION

Passive restoration involves the retirement or mitigation of key stressors (e.g. high seafloor fishing gear impacts and/or sedimentation in areas of high importance) to allow natural regeneration.

MPAs are a form of passive restoration. By closing off areas to external pressures, or removing a particular activity the area may be able to naturally regenerate. The six marine reserves in the Hauraki Gulf provides a window into the recovery of marine ecosystems.

## ACTIVE RESTORATION

Active restoration involves the transplanting/establishment of new habitat patches/areas through direct human intervention. While the scale issues are significant, initial restoration attempts for cockles and seagrass in Whangarei Harbour and elsewhere have shown promise; and green-lipped mussel restoration efforts in the Gulf are uncovering key hurdles to overcome in re-establishing beds, both biophysical, and social.

## **REEF RESTORATION**

Restoration of biogenic habitat such as seagrass meadows, shellfish beds and mussel reefs that provide important ecosystem services and functions (filtering water, provide habitat for fishes and other invertebrates to shelter and grow) as well as opportunities for mahinga kai may succeed where the pressures that caused the original loss no longer exist and the seabed substrate is suitable for recolonisation.

> ...We need to see past the blue – that the marine environment is worthy of protection.

Listening Posts.



Figure 3.4 Hauraki Gulf restoration successes





Figure 3.5 Mussel reef restoration, depositing shell



Figure 3.6 Before and after photos of mussel reef restoration

# WHAT YOU TOLD US

- Healthy marine habitats are critical.
- MPAs are seen as the most important means to protect the marine ecosystems and habitats, and biodiversity in the Gulf.
- Whole of catchment management planning is also an important means of marine protection and can prevent impacts from land-based activities.
- Use areas like nature island reserves and extend existing marine reserve areas as protected areas to help with biodiversity regeneration.

# OBJECTIVES FOR RESTORING BIODIVERSITY AND MARINE HABITATS

For the three themes described above we arrived at 17 objectives, five for restoring healthy functioning ecosystems, four for protecting, enhancing, and restoring habitats, and eight for restoring species diversity and abundance.

Two of these described in Initiative One, active and passive restoration, are: 1) Restore historic ecosystem functionality of bivalve beds by 2040 to recover selfsustaining, expanding, filtering capacity and secondary production; and, 2) Systematically identify by 2018 and protect by 2020 representative and ecologically important marine habitats throughout the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park using a variety of tools including marine reserves, benthic protection areas, customary management tools and other spatial management tools.

A comprehensive suite of actions is detailed in the Plan for achieving these objectives.

## RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF BIODIVERSITY AND BIOSECURITY ISSUES



**Figure 3.7** Relative importance of biodiversity and biosecurity issues. (Source. Sea Change Summer Survey 2014-2015 Results and Analysis report – Biodiversity and Biosecurity)

# INITIATIVE TWO. MAHINGA KAI / PĀTAKA KAI – REPLENISHING THE FOOD BASKET

The Sea Change theme Mahinga Kai/ Pātaka Kai recognises Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi as a food basket. Protecting and replenishing a bountiful food basket will help to maintain increases biodiversity and the health capacity of the coastal area, and meets the spiritual and physical needs of mana whenua and all communities.

## **FISH STOCKS**

The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park has supported commercial and non-commercial fishing for more than 170 years, and that of Māori for closer to a millennia. The Park forms an important part of New Zealand's commercial fisheries, including local artisanal fishermen, and supports a large recreational fishing community of around 220,000 active fishers. Mana whenua have significant commercial fishing interests secured in Treaty Settlements, are traditionally keen fishers, and have protected customary rights.

Today there continue to be many issues of concern around the state of fish stocks, localised depletion and the ability of ecosystems to support healthy fisheries in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. Our overall vision for fish stocks is to manage fisheries and marine habitats together, to increase abundance and biodiversity, in order to provide multiple benefits. The outcomes we are seeking are:

- Increased abundance of all species, recognising the interconnectedness of ecosystems and the impact that loss of one species or habitat has on others.
- An end to any further loss of biogenic habitats, and cessation of activities which hinder their ability to recover through ongoing disturbance, due to the large extent of historic loss and their importance in the life cycle of many species.
- A flourishing Hauraki Gulf Marine Park fishery that focuses on harvesting high quality, high value fish.
- A return to localised abundance that provides for recreational and cultural wellbeing.

Sustainable harvesting indigenous flora and fauna species, particularly taonga (culturally important) species, is important to enhancing the mana of mana whenua, and also for the well-being of the wider community. In order to achieve our goal of restoring the mauri of Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi, changes are required to the way in which fishing occurs in the Park. Bottom trawling, Danish seining, and dredging are fishing methods that should be transitioned out of the Gulf over time.

"In the fished areas the management needs a huge shake up; bottom methods like trawling should be kicked out of the Gulf. We need to leave more fish in the sea. (Getting to) 20% of the original biomass has had a huge impact on the rest of the ecology; the kina barrens are there because there's not enough snapper and crayfish there." Listening Posts.

## FISH STOCKS OBJECTIVES

There are two broad themes and overarching objectives to the Fish Stocks chapter: 1, Using an ecosystem-based approach to manage the harvest of wild fisheries in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park in order to rebuild depleted fish stocks within a generation; and 2, Putting in place mechanisms to protect and enhance marine habitats in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park so that the current decline is reversed and healthy habitats are restored. We have set 7 objectives for the first theme, and three for the second, which together will achieve the outcomes stated above.



Figure 3.8 Gathering kaimoana and mussel farms in the Firth of Thames

# AQUACULTURE

There are 210 hectares of consented oyster farm space in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, accounting for nearly half of national production, and approximately 1500 hectares of mussel farms. The Gulf's aquaculture industry provides a number of economic and social benefits, including creating wealth and employment, supporting Māori development, providing for research and development, and supporting other sectors such as charter fishing and tourism.

Our overall goal for aquaculture is that prosperous aquaculture positively contributes to the health and wellbeing of the people and environment of the Hauraki Gulf. There are several objectives that will help realise this vision for Aquaculture:

- By 2018, have a 'three tiered' regulatory regime in place for aquaculture that enables aquaculture in identified areas where the overall benefits of aquaculture to the Park are maximised, allows caseby-case consideration of aquaculture in areas which may be suitable but which have not been identified as an area where benefits will be maximised, and restricts aquaculture in areas which are not suitable for aquaculture.
- By 2020 a robust and supportive regulatory framework (based on the above) provides clear and consistent policy, rules, monitoring and engagement requirements for the community, industry and mana whenua.
- By 2020 mana whenua aspirations regarding aquaculture need to be provided for.
- By 2020 iwi, the industry, government, universities and research institutes support research and innovation through the creation of a Hub for Aquaculture Excellence.



Figure 3.9 Suspended mussel lines

In order to achieve our desired objectives, Sea Change has identified 13 areas within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park that should be prioritised for future aquaculture development. It also identifies areas that are not suitable for aquaculture, and recommends means to ensure potential adverse ecological effects associated with aquaculture are appropriately managed. What you told us:

- There is a willingness to compromise and accept recreational fishing impacts – via rāhui, MPAs or catch/size limits – but only if commercial fishing operations are made sustainable, restricted or removed from the Gulf.
- Fishing technologies and sectors that damage the seafloor and its habitats are not acceptable.
- Provide fishing quotas for all species and place limits on size (under/over) ensuring that there is equity between recreation and commercial fishers.
- Quotas are not targets', a campaign would be valuable, people need to be educated to take what they need and leave the rest for another day - 'tiakina te pātaka kai (take care of our food cupboard).'
- Introduce or strengthen penalties for people or organisations breaking the rules and give regulatory agencies the funding and resources they need to enforce compliance.

## RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF FISH STOCK ISSUES



**Figure 3.10** Sea Change Summer Survey 2014-2015 Results and Analysis report - Fish Stocks

# INITIATIVE THREE. SEDIMENT AND WATER QUALITY – WAIPARA

## THE PROBLEM

In the Plan we identify and deal individually with five aspects of water quality and marine pollution in chapter 6. These are sediment, nutrients, heavy metals, microbial pathogens, and other risks and threats. This initiative focuses on sediment. We found excessive sediment runoff from the land to be the main cause of degraded marine habitats in estuaries, harbours and the Inner Hauraki Gulf.

## THE GOAL

Our overall goal is to reduce sediment entering the coastal marine area, to levels which support healthy marine habitats. This will, in turn, support more abundant marine life and fish stocks and provide greater opportunities for people and communities to enjoy the Gulf.

Our objectives for sediment are to:

- Minimise sediment erosion off the land
- Capture sediment runoff before it reaches the marine
  environment
- Stabilise sediment already deposited in the marine environment including the Firth of Thames.



Figure 3.11 Exposed stream banks versus intact riparian margins

## WHAT WE ARE SAYING

The Gulf Sediment Initiative will be a high-powered, proactive collaborative initiative to drive the implementation of the actions set out below. Drawing inspiration from the Waihou Valley Scheme which, during the 1970s, engaged in a concerted effort to reduce erosion within the Waihou catchment, the Gulf Sediment Initiative will provide the impetus to reverse the current sediment degradation in the greater Hauraki Gulf.

The initiative will be inclusive of agencies, mana whenua and communities. It will draw on kaupapa Māori approaches, the best available knowledge and will be innovative in securing resources and targeting interventions.

Within the gulf sediment initiative there are eight major actions that will collectively help achieve the desired outcomes:

- Catchment management plans
- Establishment of catchment sediment load limits
- Increase Sediment Traps in contributing freshwater waterways
- Better waterway management
- Ensuring good sediment management practice
- Review of forestry impacts on sedimentation
- Protection of highly erodible soils
- Addressing Sediment in the Coastal Marine Area

Three sediment-related objectives are set out in the Plan. These combined with three for nutrients, and four each for heavy metals and microbial pathogens form a comprehensive package of objectives, and a plan of action, to address the pollution of the waterways and waters of the Park. ...I love sediment treatment wetlands. They're the best thing ever – beautiful birds, the water being cleaned. I just love them! A few years ago the neighbours were worried about mosquitos, but the opposite has happened. It's a lovely thing to look at it functioning so well.

Listening Posts.





Figure 3.12 An example of built water treatment solutions

...The one thing I've noticed is sedimentation from all the developments. Now they put sediment ponds in. I can remember doing a dive course, and the person in front of you would kick up all the mud off the bottom. Stanmore Bay is always muddy. A few years ago it would have been clear. I notice the sand that came off the coast. The shell beds were corrugated. There was so much shellfish there.

Listening Posts.



Figure 3.13 Scuba diving in the Gulf

# INITIATIVE FOUR. AHU MOANA – MANA WHENUA AND COMMUNITY COASTAL CO-MANAGEMENT AREAS

The Ahu Moana - mana whenua and community co-management areas ('Ahu Moana') are a mechanism designed to allow mana whenua and local communities to work together in the future to manage their coastal areas. Ahu Moana will be initiated and jointly managed by coastal hapū/ iwi and local communities, but will not affect their ability to use other statutory management tools, including MPAs in the future.

Ahu Moana are localised near-shore co-management areas along the length of the Hauraki Gulf and its islands, that will extend from mean high water springs (the high tide mark) generally out 1km. The word ahu means to nurture or build up, and to move with purpose in a certain direction. Moana is the ocean. The name 'Ahu Moana' therefore represents our intention of restoring our coastal fisheries and environments, and the shared determination of mana whenua and local communities to improve them for our children and grandchildren.

Ahu Moana will focus the energy and knowledge of local hapū/iwi and communities, including local kaitiaki and recreational and artisanal fishermen. This knowledge is critical for the effective management of local fisheries and coastal waters. Local people have 'skin in the game' and suffer the most immediate effects from overfishing or pollution.

It will take some time for mana whenua and communities to find the best ways to implement Ahu Moana. It is important to note that, on commencement, Ahu Moana will not prevent or restrict commercial or recreational fishing, aquaculture, marine protection or other activities in these areas. However, it may be that mana whenua and communities may decide that there is a need for such restrictions in the future, to provide protection or to restore an area for example.



Figure 3.14 Teaching mokopuna to gather kaimoana

# NGĀ TIKANGA - PRINCIPLES

These are the principles that apply to Ahu Moana:

- A 50:50 co-management approach between mana whenua and local communities.
- Ahu Moana and MPAs will not dilute Treaty settlements.
- Where Ahu Moana intersect with MPAs, the more stringent rules will prevail.
- Commercial and recreational fishing are allowed in Ahu Moana.
- Fishing and other activities may be restricted by mana whenua and local communities in Ahu Moana to protect fisheries or the environment.
- Customary harvest may take place in all areas except during rāhui or where more stringent rules prevail<sup>1</sup>.
- Ahu Moana areas do not restrict the establishment of future no take marine reserves or other MPAs.
- Ahu Moana areas do not restrict the establishment of future aquaculture areas.
- Ahu Moana areas do not restrict access to the marine environment.

While providing the opportunity for local community involvement in coastal management, Ahu Moana are also intended to assist mana whenua to fulfil ancestral kaitiaki obligations, and to recognise the historic, traditional, cultural, and spiritual relationship of the tangata whenua with the Hauraki Gulf and its islands, which is specifically provided for in the purpose of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act 2000.

Ahu Moana will be designed for the unique circumstances that exist within the Hauraki Gulf, Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi. They are able to be integrated with existing (and future) fisheries and conservation instruments, such as marine reserves and MPAs, mahinga mātaitai, taiāpure and rāhui within fisheries legislation, Māori customary rights provided for in the Marine and Coastal Area (Takutai Moana) Act 2011, heritage orders under the RMA, or deeds of recognition in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act 2000.

Ahu Moana will act as a korowai (traditional cloak) to enclose some of the MPAs proposed through this Marine Spatial Plan, and existing marine reserves. In some places MPAs and Ahu Moana will coexist, hence the principle that where this happens the more stringent rules prevail.

Importantly Ahu Moana will allow for the bringing together of mātauranga Māori and local knowledge, including that of recreational and artisanal fishers, alongside scientific data, to provide responsive and adaptive management. They will strengthen iwi and hapū relationships with local communities in their shared spaces.



Map 3.1 Ahu Moana across the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park

The intention is that the chain of Ahu Moana will operate 'organically', as it does under the care of kaitiaki who have been issuing customary permits for decades. While no formal network exists, kaitiaki go to lengths to cooperate to regulate pressure across kaimoana beds. If local pipi beds or pāua populations are depleted permit holders are sent to the nearest strong beds, with agreement by that hapū. Kaitiaki are aware of the impact on neighbours if they restrict their beds, so if all of the beds are depleted they are all closed.

# KEI TE WHAKATINANATIA TIA – PUTTING FLESH ON THE BONES / GIVING EFFECT TO THE VISION

It is possible that the legal vehicle for Ahu Moana will be realised through Treaty settlements, or dedicated legislation. Discussions about harbours co-management and Marine and Coastal Area (Takutai Moana) Act customary rights are taking place in Treaty negotiations at this time for many local iwi, and this provides a unique opportunity to design and implement this innovative comanagement approach with communities.

## **IMPLEMENTATION MEASURES**

The establishment of Ahu Moana will involve the following elements:

- There could be a Treaty settlement-negotiated bespoke (designed for the specific circumstances) arrangement for Ahu Moana through future Treaty settlement processes.
- There is a need to determine collective and iwi/hapū-specific areas.
- Mana whenua local community committees would be established (with the appointment process to be developed).
- There would be integration with adjacent or intersecting MPAs; as the same people are likely to be on both committees.
- There would be co-ordination with the Hauraki Gulf Forum, MPI, DOC, local authorities and other agencies, and with instruments such as for mahinga mātaitai and taiāpure and under the Marine and Coastal Area (Takutai Moana) Act.
- Some existing functions could move to Ahu Moana committee, e.g. from harbour committees.
- There will also need to be coordination with DOC and regional council administration of esplanade reserves, coastal riparian strips, and other landward protected areas.
- There could be a single implementation phase or a number of phases, depending on hapū and community preparedness.

# INITIATIVE FIVE. KAITIAKITANGA AND GUARDIANSHIP

Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi is an icon worth preserving and restoring, it holds 'the best coastline in the world'. It is a learning ground that provides active transmission of cultural knowledge and intergenerational stories by continued interaction with the ecosystems.

Sustaining the mauri of the park, its resources, inhabitants, and many places, is central to the exercise of Kaitiakitanga / Guardianship. This is an overarching theme of Sea Change – Tai Timu Tai Pari. In our vision each one of us has rights and responsibilities here, and strives to protect the Park and its treasures. This includes mana whenua, our children, everyday citizens, newcomers to the area, businesses, government agencies, and councils. There is a very clear link in people's minds between the health of Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi and the wellbeing of those who make use of it and are connected to it. People are more likely to take care of the environment when they have access to wilderness places and experiences.

"Kaitiakitanga, whakapapa, and papakāinga are highly valued and as such, the deep connection between whānau and Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi are inseparable" -Mātauranga Māori Survey.



**Figure 3.1** Te Kotuiti – wakataua (war canoe) of Ngāti Paoa, at the opening of Te Ara Moana (the seagoing pathway) waka trail, 5th April 2014 (Source. Ngāti Paoa Iwi Trust)/

## The kaitiakitanga and guardianship outcomes we are seeking are:



Recognising the ancestral history and traditional use of Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi by mana whenua and the 'sense of place' the wider community have towards the Gulf



Protecting the mauri and natural values of the moana, freshwater, coastal and terrestrial ecosystems, wāhi tapu sites and other identified taonga against adverse impacts caused by use, infrastructure and accessibility



Providing coastal facility and walkways plans which allow for a spectrum of experiences where we can channel demand, and have other locations remain a wilderness experience which is less frequently visited



An extension of coastal parks and reserves and natural areas to interact with to increase access and create opportunity to build relationships with the coastline for new migrants and the wider community



A centralised social media and marketing campaign to inspire kaitiakitanga/ guardianship by collecting stories, sharing them and celebrating that sense of place and connection



A transport strategy providing well publicised and regular public transport to a range of locations with transport hubs that offer multiple types of affordable transport options to connect to the coast Alongside a 'Walking on Water' campaign



A Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi 'One Gulf One Message' communication and marketing strategy which centralises information to highlight campaigns and kaitiakitanga/ guardianship initiatives



Engaging the next generation so that they value Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi more than the previous generations by providing a centralised support and advocacy strategy for organisations that are educating about the marine environment and protecting and restoring the mauri of the environment

## KAITIAKI AND GUARDIANSHIP IN ACTION

There is a huge number of ways that individuals and groups already tiaki / care for and protect their valued places. There are friends of the island associations that have worked tirelessly over decades to eradicate pests and replant Gulf islands, sometimes in partnership with DOC or regional councils. Dune and harbour care groups operate in many areas, and hapū and whānau are also active in protecting ancestral lands and waters. We highlight two examples of kaitiakitanga in action.

# WATERCARE HARBOUR CLEAN-UP TRUST

Man-made rubbish is a widespread issue for Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi, rubbish ending up on the Gulf's beaches is dominated by plastics, which are environmentally persistent, disperse widely and cause a wide range of impacts. The bulk of rubbish near Auckland mainly comes from land-based sources, while fishing related material dominates further afield.

The Watercare Harbour Clean-Up Trust continues to remove large amounts of rubbish from coastal areas, working in conjunction with volunteers to clean the shoreline, estuaries and mangrove areas of the Waitematā Harbour, Tāmaki Estuary and islands in the Gulf.



**Figure 3.2** The Watercare Harbour Cleanup Trust at work

# ROCK FISHING SAFETY CAMPAIGN

We also need to look after each other, and promote safety in our ever increasing interactions with the Park and its waters. Rock fishing continues to be one of Aotearoa's most dangerous pass times. Fishers continue to place themselves at risk on Auckland's rugged and unpredictable west coast by failing to heed simple safety advice that could save their lives.

In 2013 drowning statistics show that the most common recreational activity contributing to drowning is swimming, followed by rock fishing/land based fishing. Fishing populations continue to be transient, predominantly male and, culturally and linguistically diverse. Multi-agency education programmes have made significant impact on reducing the number of land-based fishing fatalities by influencing behaviour change and promoting a safety culture among this high risk group of aquatic recreationalists. While lifejacket wearing among fishers continues to increase they appear to be resistant to change when it comes to other risky behaviours.



**Figure 3.3** Promoting safe rock fishing. Rock fishing safety campaign

PART TWO: REPLENISHING THE FOOD BASKET

WĀHANGA TUARUA: MAHINGA KAI – HE KOHINGA KI TE KETE KAI





## FISH STOCKS. IRA MOMO IKA.

Ātea moana, tauranga ika, toka mātaitai

Managing fisheries and marine habitats together, to increase abundance and biodiversity, in order to provide multiple benefits.

## AQUACULTURE. AHUMOANA.

The Stakeholder Working Group vision is that prosperous aquaculture positively contributes to the health and wellbeing of the people and environment of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

Mahinga kai are food gathering places. Part two recognises that the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is an important pātaka (food basket), and that many people enjoy and rely on its bounty for their livelihood, for recreation and to feed their families, and for cultural purposes such as sustaining marae and nurturing visitors. Operating within the Park we have large scale fishing companies and marine farms, and also artisanal local and family owned businesses, whose owners spend a large portion of their lives on the water. Māori are both large scale and local fishers and marine farmers.

Sea Change sought to balance the needs and aspirations of people to fish and grow seafood, with other demands on the Park, and the needs of fish and marine life, seabirds, marine habitats, and the moana (oceans). The results are contained in two chapters. Chapter 4, entitled Fish Stocks, presents a comprehensive description of fishing within the Park, and economic, social, cultural and environmental matters relating to fishing. It outlines objectives for fish stocks, ways that the various sectors involved can contribute to improving the health of fisheries and the many habitats of the Park, and the management actions intended to achieve this. Chapter 5, Aquaculture, similarly lays out the current extent of aquaculture within the Park, including economic, social, cultural and environmental matters. Part two describes our objectives for fish stocks and marine farming within the Park, and plots a path to achieving these.

# 4. FISH STOCKS

# IRA MOMO IKA

## Ātea moana, tauranga ika, toka mātaitai

Managing fisheries and marine habitats together, to increase abundance and biodiversity<sup>1</sup>, in order to provide multiple benefits

## BACKGROUND

The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park has supported commercial and non-commercial fishing for more than 170 years, and that of Māori for a millennia. With the undertaking of large scale commercial fishing over a long period of time (trawling was first introduced to the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park in 1899 and Danish seining in 1923), and the popularity of recreational fishing, the fish stocks of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park are under significant pressure. While the setting of Total Allowable Catches in 1986 arguably saved many fisheries around New Zealand from further decline, this success was not universal, and today there continues to be many issues of concern around the state of fish stocks, localised depletion and the ability of ecosystems to support healthy fisheries in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

The availability of kai moana in local areas is a fundamentally important element of cultural wellbeing. It enables mana whenua to participate in the communal experience of collecting, preparing and eating local foods and fulfilling their manaaki manuhiri obligations (providing hospitality) at their marae. It also enables the transfer of Mātauranga Māori across the generations, including understanding of life cycles, species management and food harvesting methods. Ultimately, localised resource depletion affects iwi and hapū identity. Today Māori fisheries are artificially designated as customary, commercial, and recreational, and Māori strive to balance their roles and interests across the three. in an effort to feed their families and fulfill their kaitiaki role.

1

2

The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park forms an important part of New Zealand's commercial fisheries – especially for snapper. While the area of the Park forms only a small part of the 'Snapper 1' stock area (i.e. the management area for the snapper population in this part of the country), it accounts for around one third of the catch from the area. The average quota value of snapper caught in the Park over the period 2012 – 2014 (i.e. 3 years) was more than 80% of the value of the entire commercial catch (excluding crayfish) in the area, as shown in the table below<sup>2</sup>.

	QUOTA VALUE	CATCH VALUE*	CATCH VOLUME
ALL SPECIES	\$73.897 million	\$8.87 million	10,574 tonnes / year
SNAPPER	\$63.16 million	\$7.58 million	2,049 tonnes / year

# Table 4.1Values of Quota and catches withinthe Hauraki Gulf Marine Park 2012-2014

The commercial fishing sector makes a significant contribution to the nation as well as to the communities around the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park through providing jobs, incomes and a local fish supply, as well as generating export earnings. Community events associated with the sector include the Auckland Seafood Festival and the Whitianga Scallop Festival. Due to the large mana whenua interest in Total Allowable Commercial Catch shareholdings, the commercial fishing sector also makes a contribution to the economic welfare of the mana whenua of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. Commercial fishers seek to maximise the overall yield from the fishery. Different fishing methods yield different qualities of fish, with methods such as longlining yielding higher value fish than bulk methods such as trawling and seining.

Biodiversity refers to diversity within species, between species and of ecosystems.

The figures above are based off FishServe published ACE prices and MPI reported catch data 2012 – 2014. Quota price was capitalised at 12% based off Annual Catch Entitlement (ACE) market data. This was based off historical Annual Catch Entitlement and quota market data as well as that used in previous Statistics NZ Fisheries Monetary Reports (we have used the Annual Catch Entitlement (ACE) figures as a proxy for Catch Value).

Recognising the cultural, social, economic and environmental importance of the Hauraki Gulf, government passed the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act 2000 (HGMP Act). This sets out a set of management objectives for the Hauraki Gulf that overlay management under the Fisheries Act. They include the following matters of particular relevance to fisheries management:

- Protection and where appropriate enhancement of the life-supporting capacity of the environment of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.
- Protection, and where appropriate enhancement of kaimoana with which tangata whenua have a relationship.
- Maintenance and, where appropriate, enhancement of the contribution of the resources of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park to the social and economic well-being of people and communities and those which contribute to the recreation and enjoyment of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

In order to achieve our goal of restoring the mauri of the Hauraki Gulf and the objectives of the HGMP Act, and for it to be increasingly productive and supportive of thriving communities, changes are required to the way in which fishing occurs in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. Positive changes are already occurring. Commercial fishers have made efforts to reduce juvenile catch, to place observer cameras on trawlers and to introduce electronic reporting systems.

Over the years, the recreational sector has volunteered a series of catch reduction measures for snapper and has recently embraced a significant bag limit reduction and size limit increase. The equipment and skill used by recreational fishers continues to develop. Generally fish are caught one at a time, after a few minutes on the line, and fish can be released in good condition. Modern lures work best when fishers actively fish, using rod and reel to impart movement. Most fish are lip hooked and lures tend to catch fewer small fish. Kingfish and kahawai are often caught and released. The increased size limit for snapper (to 30 cm for recreational fishers) has also increased the number released. Better fishing and handling practices to ensure fish are released in good condition have been widely promoted as has the recent phenomena of catch, photograph and release length-based fishing contests. A growing number of anglers practise a conservation catch

policy with utilisation of catch also becoming a more conscious behaviour – www.freefishheads.co.nz being a good case in point.

The management actions set out below build on these positive initiatives by both sectors.

The outcomes we are seeking are:

- Increased abundance of all species, recognising the interconnectedness of ecosystems and the impact that the loss of one species or habitat has on others.
- An end to any further loss of biogenic habitats, and cessation of activities which hinder their ability to recover through ongoing disturbance, due to the large extent of historic loss and their importance in the life cycle of many species.
- A flourishing Hauraki Gulf Marine Park fishery that focuses on harvesting high quality, high value fish.
- A return to localised abundance that provides for recreational and cultural wellbeing.

In improving the management of fisheries within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, and restoring habitats of importance to fisheries, the plan is intended to support a flourishing and financially successful commercial fishing sector. We have identified some of the benefits to the industry of the implementation of this plan as being:

- Obtaining greater value for fish caught within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.
- Greater confidence in the ongoing sustainability of fish stocks within the Park to underpin new investment in the industry.
- Improved ecological health of the Park, leading to improved productivity of fish stocks and therefore potentially enabling improved harvest levels on an ongoing basis (through improved fisheries habitat, reduction in juvenile mortality, and removal of overfishing)
- Improved community relations.

We recognise that although the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is the most heavily researched marine space in New Zealand, the scientific basis on which we have undertaken our work is far from complete and there are still many significant knowledge gaps. We have supplemented the available science with other information sources including Mātauranga Māori and local community knowledge. We have recommended in Chapter 11, Implementation of the Plan, that a more rigorous and integrated research and monitoring programme be undertaken in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, including the use of cultural indicators, to provide a stronger platform to inform future management decision-making. However we cannot wait for perfect knowledge. It was very clear to us that action was required now. So although, from a purely scientific perspective, the information base to support some of the recommendations below may be uneven, this is balanced by an overwhelmingly strong sentiment from local communities and mana whenua of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park that a sea change is needed to increase abundance and biodiversity.

## **A COMMUNITY PERSPECTIVE**

Fishing is a popular recreational activity in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, with around 220,000 active fishers in the Park. Numbers are likely to continue increasing with projected population growth. Recreational fishers gain a range of values from fishing, in addition to the provision of food. They value being able to catch a wide range of fish and sizes in accessible localities and therefore benefit from high stock levels and suffer disproportionately from localised depletion. The ability to pass on fishing knowledge to the next generation is also critical in this age of digital escape. As well as providing many social benefits, recreational fishing supports a large industry consisting of firms who support fishers including boat builders, service providers, tackle and bait suppliers, charter operators and attendant hospitality and accommodation providers. Recreational fishing in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park supports a growing high value international and domestic tourism economy.

Artisanal fishermen and women live in many of the Park's communities. Smale-scale local businesses, often leasing catch entitlement off the large fishing companies, they provide much needed jobs, and rely on abundant fish stocks for a living. They too want the opportunity to pass on their knowledge (and their boats) to their children, as their fathers did.

## **A MANA WHENUA PERSPECTIVE**

For Māori all ocean species descend from Tangaroa, the god of the sea, and live within his domain. Kaimoana was a primary protein source for many hapū. Its availability was therefore crucial to tribal survival and prized kaimoana grounds were jealously guarded. Over millennium mana whenua accumulated a vast knowledge of their fisheries, and developed methods for ensuring that local kaimoana grounds were not depleted. The nature of Māori fisheries was investigated by the courts when Māori took legal action in an effort to ensure that Māori rights were preserved when the quota management system was being introduced. Justice Greig of the High Court wrote: <sup>3</sup>

"I am satisfied that there is a strong case that before 1840 Māori had a highly developed and controlled fishery over the whole of the coast of New Zealand, at least where they were living. That was divided into zones under the control and authority of hapū and tribes of the district. Each of these hapū and tribes had the dominion, perhaps the rangatiratanga, over those fisheries. Those fisheries had a commercial element and were not purely recreational or ceremonial or merely for the sustenance of the local dwellers".

Mana whenua have widespread fishing interests – in customary, commercial and recreational fishing. This reflects the centuries old connection mana whenua have with Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi. The many tribes of the seas of the HGMP have received full and final Treaty of Waitangi settlements for their commercial fishing interests and are therefore are a major participant in commercial fishing through the fisheries Treaty settlement under the Treaty of Waitangi (Fisheries Claims) Settlement Act 1992.

The Stakeholder Working Group have agreed that this plan must not dilute or otherwise affect Treaty settlements. Those settlements clearly record that the redress provided to mana whenua was only a very small percentage of losses suffered as a result of breaches of the Treaty. That fact reinforces the importance of protecting the redress that has been provided

<sup>3</sup> NZ Māori Council and Anor vs. Attorney-General (Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries) and Anor. High Court, Wellington, CP 553/87

through Treaty settlements. A key principle guiding the implementation of the plan will be the preservation of the integrity and value flowing from the current and future Treaty settlements. Accordingly, none of the Sea Change proposals, restrictions, actions or other measures will diminish or detract from any commercial or non-commercial Treaty settlements or related interests of any kind, whether capable of being held or exercised individually or collectively<sup>4</sup>.

Māori are also traditional fishers, and many rely on kaimoana to feed their whānau. Additionally, mana whenua have a legally protected customary entitlement, much of which is used to sustain locals (Māori and Pakeha), manuhiri (visitors), and local marae. The customary take is a small portion of the overall fisheries harvest. The Ahu Moana (mana whenua and community coastal co-management areas) initiative will not, in the first instance, affect commercial, recreational and cultural fishing activity. However, there will be provision for bylaws to be promulgated to restrict fishing as deemed necessary for the wellbeing of the area, the local community, or the kaimoana.

# A SCIENTIFIC PERSPECTIVE

## **Declining stock levels**

Scientific estimates of the total biomass in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, based on modelling commercially fished "mobile" species, indicate that the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park currently supports less than half of the biomass present in 1925 (with species biomass declines varying between species). However, estimates of historical and today's relative abundance are imprecise. While 'fishing down' of the virgin biomass of populations is inherent in commercial fisheries, a number in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park have been fished to well below an optimum level, in terms of the species itself, and/or its wider role in ecosystem functioning. Overharvesting of fish has had a significant impact on the mauri and ecological health of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

Snapper and rock lobster in particular, as the most dominant and iconic fisheries species in the Hauraki Gulf, are currently well below target stock levels. Other species for which stock status concerns exist include John <u>Dory, porae, gur</u>nard and trevally, while there is a lack of information for flatfish (several species), jack mackerel, leatherjacket, parore, rig and tarakihi. For many stocks, the Total Allowable Commercial Catch (TACC) for the fisheries management area incorporating the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is currently unable to be caught including for flatfish, gurnard, John Dory, Hāpuku, rock lobster both red and Packhorse and others. This means that the current commercial catch is, in practice, unconstrained by the TACC.

There have been no allowances made for non-commercial fishing interests or mortality caused by fishing for the following fish stocks in Fisheries Management Area 1 (FMA1) – gurnard, trevally, hāpuku, blue cod, John Dory, Jack Mackerel among others.

With regards to rock lobster there are widespread anecdotal accounts and concern, including from commercial fishers on the water, that there has been a large-scale decline in abundance across the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park including inside some marine reserves. As a keystone functional species on 'mid-Gulf' rocky reefs, rock lobster regulate kina to densities low enough to prevent the establishment of 'urchin barrens', allowing more productive kelp forests to exist. Packhorse lobsters probably also once provided similar functions, but have been reduced to very low abundances. Similarly, other species such as hāpuku, once wide-spread across shallow water systems, have had their range reduced to deeper shelf and slope waters, with an unknown loss of ecological function. They continue to decline in abundance and size/ age even in these remaining deeper water stocks.

Non-commercial intertidal shellfish fisheries, especially cockles (tuangi) and pipi, are also under pressure from both over-harvesting and environmental factors, with increased sedimentation and muddiness being the most likely drivers. The closure of beaches to harvest has not always resulted in these populations recovering, suggesting the above factors are at play and/or a lack of larval sources.

## Spatial scales of fisheries management

Fish stocks are generally managed at large spatial scales, and as such deal with fish abundance across entire regions. Ideally, a stock would be managed spatially across its full life cycle (spawning, larval settlement, juveniles, and adults) but this is often not practically possible. Stock/ population boundaries are however, poorly known for many New Zealand species, and many stock boundaries are pragmatically set on distinctive geographic features, which may or may not be biologically relevant. For example, the SNA1 (snapper) stock is comprised of three sub-stocks: East Northland, Hauraki Gulf, and the Bay of Plenty, with the latter areas having a significant but poorly estimated level of fish mixing by movement. East Northland is largely separate from the others.

Managing these stocks at these large spatial scales largely ignores issues around 'spatial depletion'. This is where smaller local areas may hold less fish than other areas within the range of the overall stock, making access and catching of fish by some sectors, such as recreational and customary, more difficult. These depletions can be caused by both natural variation (e.g. yearly climate effects) and/or (over) fishing of some areas.

As customary and recreational fishers often have less mobility than commercial fishers and/or are relatively fixed as communities, localised depletion makes catching fish more difficult and expensive for them. Examples of localised depletion issues in other parts of New Zealand include blue cod in the Marlborough Sounds and Fiordland, which in both cases resulted in directed management actions to address conflicts between sectors and overexploitation. Localised depletion issues are not well documented formally in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, but have anecdotally included issues around kahawai, snapper, trevally, parore, crabs, flounder, mullet, rock lobster and scallops.

A further issue of having large stock areas is that harvesting is able to be undertaken anywhere within the stock area. This can mean that catch per unit effort data, that may appear stable across the entire quota management area, can mask stock declines as commercial fishers tend to target areas of abundance and will spatially shift their effort when the stock becomes depleted in localised areas.

### Kahawai - an example of localised depletion

Recreational fishers became concerned about the state of the kahawai stock in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park due to a lack of large surface schools and low catch rates. This was attributed to overfishing by some and the fish remaining offshore by others. A large scale influx of large kahawai into the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park over the last several years further complicated the story.

Large scale fish movements are common across many species, which means that (in addition to local over-fishing) localised depletion may occur because the stock is being targeted elsewhere (e.g. this may occur for snapper which move between deep and shallow/ onshore and offshore seasonally); and/ or because of changes in environmental conditions, which may stop fish migrating into an area (e.g. the large seasonal snapper migrations into the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park are thought to not come as far in during cooler water temperature years).

An example of this occurring has been for flatfish and grey mullet in the Kaipara Harbour, with these two species falling within FLA1 and GMU1 respectively (both of which include the upper west and east North Island coasts). Small dory fishers using gillnets and/or ring-nets are able to fish anywhere within these stocks, and a perceived focus of small dories from outside the Kaipara region on the putatively high abundances of these species in the Kaipara Harbour led to conflict between 'locals' and 'outsiders' (Hartill 2004). Further improvements in the fish stocks of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park could be subject to similar issues and conflicts under the present management regime.

## Food supply for seabirds

The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is the seabird capital of the world. However the breeding success of many species is dropping and adult birds are now foraging further afield. There are concerns that food supplies within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park may not be sufficient for seabirds breeding here. One possible hypothesis is that reductions in kahawai, trevally and jack mackerel numbers as a result of industrial-scale purse seining has led to fewer surface feeding aggregations of these species (also known as 'boil-ups'), where they drive up and concentrate small baitfish. In turn, this might reduce the availability

of small baitfish to foraging seabirds. However, there is currently insufficient scientific information available to assess whether such a mechanism is operating, or whether kahawai, trevally and jack mackerel have been or remain depleted through targeted purse seining.

## Impacts on habitat and fish stocks

Commercial fishing impacts extend beyond the direct effect of removals of adult fish. Most methods return some level of catch of other commercially marketed species, juvenile fish and non-commercial species including habitat formers (biogenic habitats). Fishing practices that result in high juvenile catch impact the health of the fishery through removing a proportion of the future harvestable adult population. Unwanted catch risk differs between fishing methods with the trawl fishery having a juvenile bycatch rate many times higher than the long line fishery.

Unwanted catch does not represent all of the species affected, as many are impacted by fishing gear but are not physically caught and bought to the surface. In particular, bottom trawling, Danish seining, and scallop dredging all involve gear contact with the seafloor, with the effects generally increasing with heavier larger fishing gear, greater hydrodynamics drag, faster towing speeds, and on softer/finer sediments. The greatest effects are on low energy environments (including the resuspension of fine sediments), and biogenic habitats, with a key issue being the removal of larger, long lived, slow growing, fragile, erect, sedentary species, and associated habitat complexity. Impacted species groups include sponges, bryozoans, seaweeds, hydroids, polychaete worms, soft and hard corals, and horse mussels. Re-suspension of fine sediments by trawling, Danish seining and dredging is also a serious concern.

Green-lipped mussels are a particularly significant case for the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, where commercial dredging for this species in the Firth of Thames and inner Gulf during the 1920s to 1960s completely eliminated an estimated 500 km<sup>2</sup> of mussel beds, which have failed to recover in the 60 years since. These beds almost certainly provided fundamental 'ecosystem services' including water quality through their filter-feeding, significant increases in primary and secondary production (as shelter and growing surfaces for invertebrates and plants), nursery habitat for juvenile fish, and foraging areas for adult fish. More broadly, bottom fishing methods have also removed valuable biogenic habitat areas of sponges, bryozoans, horse mussels and other biogenic habitat forming species. Recent advances in technology (such as electronic net monitoring and 3-D bottom scanning technology) have put more foul territory at risk of disturbance, as they enable trawl gear to be towed into foul ground. Over the same time period, land-based effects, especially sedimentation, have negatively impacted on these habitats closer to the land (e.g. the loss of most subtidal seagrass from the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, making it functionally extinct <sup>5</sup>).

Collectively, the loss of these biogenic habitats has fundamentally reduced their ecological roles in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, including as critical fish nurseries (as well as performing many other roles). Where they still exist, many of these habitats hold high densities of juvenile fish, and increase the survival and growth of juveniles, leading to a disproportionate per unit area contribution to adult populations (and associated fisheries).

With the reduction of these habitats, a number of species may now face 'habitat bottlenecks', where the overall production of juveniles is constrained by a lack of sufficient habitat to support them. In such situations, the rebuilding of fish stocks back towards most historical abundances without associated habitat management could be problematic if the carrying capacity of the environment has been significantly reduced. Such issues are increasingly being recognised both nationally and globally, and are being integrated into ecosystem based fisheries management initiatives.

## Effects of loss of habitat

Traditionally the role of habitat has been largely ignored in fisheries management. However, in recent decades the impacts of fishing activities on seafloor habitats and associated assemblages (beyond just the targeted species) has become the focus of a great deal of research (e.g., Auster et al. 1996, Auster & Langton 1999, Kaiser 1998, Watling & Norse 1998, Hall 1999, Ball et al. 2000, Collie et al. 1997, Collie et al. 2000a, b, Kaiser & de Groot 2000). While impacts vary across different systems, and fisheries types, it is clear that impacts are generally significant in magnitude and extent, and are one of the greatest human

<sup>5</sup> Functional extinction is defined here as where a population of a species is reduced to such low densities that it no longer plays a significant role in ecosystem function.

impacts on both coastal and deepwater ecosystems (Thrush & Dayton 2002, Kaiser et al. 2006, Tillin et al. 2006).

The link between habitat presence, extent and quality and the abundance and production of fisheries species is not yet a well-developed concept in the realm of fisheries research and management. Habitat considerations are not yet included in the stock assessment of major species, either in New Zealand or internationally (e.g., Armstrong & Falk-Petersen 2008). Incorporating habitat knowledge into population dynamics, especially at the scales at which fisheries management operates, remains a major challenge. This omission results in such issues being afforded less weight, as stock assessments are the central tools in fisheries management (Armstrong & Falk-Petersen 2008).

Stock assessments are generally focussed on pure harvest effects on stocks. More recently, quantitative and qualitative damage assessments of gear impacts have received attention, but the consequent cascade effect of habitat loss onto stocks, and then into associated fisheries yields have been largely neglected.

Land-based impacts are another important stressor, in particular increased sedimentation; as well as marine industries. These can include both impacts on habitats (e.g., smothering, clogging of filter-feeding habitat formers, reductions in light penetration and competitive regimes for plants), and direct impacts on the fisheries species themselves (see Morrison et al. 2009 for a New Zealand focussed review). Finally, in some situations there are also feedback loops from the reduction of some stocks (in abundance and size structure) into reductions in habitat type and associated productivity. A well-documented example in New Zealand is where high level predators such as snapper and rock lobsters are fished down to low levels on shallow rocky reef systems, removing their control of sea urchins by predation pressure, which then graze down kelp forests, converting them into 'urchin barren' habitats (Babcock et al. 1999), which have lower primary productivity (see Shears et al. (2008a) for Hauraki Gulf Marine Park examples).

The Park has experienced large declines in the abundance of many habitats, in particular through the loss of biogenic (living) habitats, which provide numerous ecosystem goods and services, including supporting fisheries. These include 'foundation species' which create habitat for other species, including wide spread horse mussel, green-lipped mussel and scallop beds, kelp forests, soft and hard corals, sponge gardens, bryozoan fields, polychaete worm meadows and red algal beds.

Some habitats, such as subtidal seagrass meadows and benthic green-lipped mussels, are now effectively functionally extinct in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, although intertidal seagrass is making a comeback in some areas. Research in other regions where such habitats still exist, such as some of East Northland's harbours (e.g., Parengarenga and Rangaunu), and the coastal sea of Te Rawhiti Strait, Bay of Islands, show that these habitats support high abundances of juvenile fish (especially of snapper), and are likely to be critical habitats, providing a disproportionately high contribution per unit area to fisheries adult stocks.

### Natural capital and ecosystem services

All of the activities that occur in and around the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park and its catchments depend on the area's natural resources and the 'services' they provide. In this sense, the natural resources of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park can be considered as a type of 'natural capital', which, along with other types of capital is needed to create the things we value. Natural capital, on its own, or combined with other types of capital, provides a means of creating the things enjoyed by people. This process is often referred to as the provision of 'ecosystem services' which provide us with food, water and other raw materials. But they also help regulate and support the environment itself, upon which we all depend. A healthy environment can be equated to richness in natural capital. Te mauri ora o te taiao is an important goal in itself, it provides collective benefits, and we need to preserve it and its ability to nurture us. Appendix 3 describes in more detail the assessment of ecosystem services that we believe should be undertaken to support the implementation of this plan.

## Habitat restoration

While numerous research has now shown how trawling and dredging impacts on soft sediment seafloor habitats, there is (rather surprisingly) little published research on how habitats and environments recover once such impacts are removed (as opposed to fished target species). Time scales of recovery and re-establishment of associated key ecological functions are likely to be slow.

The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park has been intensively and extensively fished for many decades, and much of the seafloor structure was removed in the early days of industrial fishing. Combined with land-derived issues, especially sedimentation, ongoing bottom contact fishing has probably worked to remove additional seafloor structures and prevent regeneration of habitats.

The rehabilitation and restoration of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is an important objective, which offers the potential to increase fisheries production as well as the overall mauri, health and functioning. This can take both passive and active forms. Passive restoration involves the retirement/ mitigation of key stressors (e.g. high seafloor fishing gear impacts and/or sedimentation in areas of high importance) to allow natural regeneration; while active restoration involves the transplanting/establishment of new habitat patches/areas through direct human intervention. While the scale issues are significant, initial restoration attempts for cockles and seagrass in the Whangarei Harbour and elsewhere have shown promise including green-lipped mussel restoration efforts in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

A nested approach, with larger areas being used for passive restoration, within which active restoration efforts are undertaken, can be a positive way forward. Moving towards an ecosystem based approach to fishing, where habitat management is seen as central to fisheries production, is likely to allow for higher longer term fisheries yields, within a fundamentally more productive and healthy ecosystem.

# Red snapper in the Gulf of Mexico – a model for the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park?

A notable example of where juvenile survival has been increased through habitat restoration is in the Red Snapper fishery in the Gulf of Mexico. In Alabama, almost 20,000 habitat structures were deployed in an area that was previously almost entirely without natural structures on the seabed. Along with the cessation of shrimp trawling in the area, this new habitat enabled large numbers of 1+ juveniles to survive. Now the fishery is expanding and providing increased yield and age structure to the population. Such an approach could be considered for the new inner Gulf bottom trawling and Danish seining closure area.

## A community perspective

Alongside the scientific perspective discussed above (and in Appendix 3), the Stakeholder Working Group has been provided very clear feedback that the 'social licence to operate' of the commercial fishing sector is predicated on changing the way in which fishing occurs. There was overwhelming support for the removal of bottom trawling, seining and dredging in the Listening Posts and community surveys we conducted in the initial stages of the Sea Change process. Ongoing discussions with all elements of the communities in which we reside has continued through the Plan development.

# A selection of quotes from members of the public at listening posts



### Whangamata

Trawlers (15 years ago) destroyed fish habitat by trawling the seabed. I want legal sized fish so abundant that I catch my limit every time. Commercial fishing should all be done by long line, do away with dredging.

### Hamilton

I wish that habitat was improving, not in decline, it would include banning of bottom trawling.

Trawling, gill netting and seining should be banned in the entire Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. Not banning commercial fishing entirely, just these methods.

### Mahurangi/Snells Beach

In the fished areas the management needs a huge shake up; bottom methods like trawling should be kicked out of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. We need to leave more fish in the sea. (Getting to) 20% of the original biomass has had a huge impact on the rest of the ecology; the kina barrens are there because there's not enough snapper and crayfish there. I wish to push it up to 40% or 50% of the biomass.

### **Great Barrier**

I think everybody, or 90% of locals, practice conservation, they don't clear the whole lot out. Protect the fish by getting people on board locally and close off an area for a time, like during spawning time. Obvious ways like no trawling in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, we manage it so there's fish in the future, we know what's going on here locally.

#### Orewa

Some activities would be barred – maybe a fishing bar but you can long line; controls introduced over the spawning season 6-8 weeks - that kind of thing.

When the quota system came in, the fishermen sold out and it all changed. There was less connection with the industry to the community around here. You can't even buy fish from a fisherman any more!

Those big trawler boats [purse seiners] should be forced to fish further out. They should not be allowed in the marine park area. They are large offshore fishing fleets, using sophisticated location systems, helicopter spotters and they wipe out entire schools, taking all our fish so there is nothing for the small time commercial fisher.

There is a conflict between these large scale commercial fishers and game fishermen – I've been in the water where the large fleet has tried to bully us out of the area. They impose themselves on people who have far more right, in my eyes, to those fish.

The Summary and Outcomes of Sea Change – Tai Timu Tai Pari Community Engagement (January 2014 – February 2015) noted the following:

- There is a willingness to compromise and accept recreational fishing impacts – via rāhui, MPAs or catch/size limits – but only if commercial fishing operations are made sustainable, restricted or removed from the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.
- Fishing technologies and sectors that damage the sea floor and its habitats are not acceptable.
- Provide fishing quotas for all species and place limits on size (under/over) ensuring that there is equity between recreation and commercial fishers.
- The 'Quotas are not targets' campaign was valuable: people need to be educated to take what they need and leave the rest for another day. 'Tiakina te pātaka kai.'
- Introduce or strengthen penalties for people or organisations breaking the rules and give regulatory agencies the funding and resources they need to enforce compliance.

A survey completed in the summer of 2015 found that the following are very important:

- Fish stock abundance;
- Sea floor impacts; and
- Protecting and restoring marine habitats.

In addition, a survey of mana whenua conducted last year provided similar support for the removal of these methods.

The collective perspectives of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park community overwhelmingly advocate for change. The Fish Stocks section of the Plan thus responds to the community's desire for a change to the status quo and a move toward a more abundant, environmentally healthy Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

# WHAT DO WE WANT TO ACHIEVE?

In developing the objectives and management actions for fish stocks we have focused on the following key issues:

- The need to **increase the ability of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park to produce more fish:** by restoration and protection of habitats of importance to juvenile fish (green-lipped and horse mussel beds, seagrass beds, sponge and coral gardens etc.). This necessitates transitioning fishing methods out of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park that can cause further damage and/or prevent habitat recovery through impacting the seabed. It also requires reducing sediment inputs from land (addressed in the Water Quality chapter).
- The need to adjust harvest levels to rebuild fish stocks within a generation so that there is greater abundance for the benefit of customary, recreational and commercial fishers as well as for the environment more generally.
- The desirability of **generating the greatest value from the fishery,** through encouraging commercial methods that produce the highest quality and therefore highest value fish (e.g. artisanal methods such as long-lining) and recognising not only the considerable economy supported by recreational fishing today but its potential to fundamentally expand the economy with restored abundance.
- The need to **ensure local abundance of fisheries** (through reducing localised impacts of fishing activities as well as achieving broader habitat and stock recovery) to support marae-based customary harvest, recreational fishers and local communities.
- The need for **nested spatial management** to address pressures on vulnerable habitats and species (using a combination of marine reserves, customary management tools and other marine protected area designations) (addressed in the Biodiversity Chapter).

It is also essential that significant government investment is put into increasing our knowledge of the Hauraki Gulf. We need to have a better understanding of key habitats and ecosystems, species abundance and the impacts of human activities. The Water Quality and Biodiversity sections of this Plan should be read alongside the Fish Stocks section; in particular with regard to sediment (Water Quality) and MPAs (Biodiversity). The objectives and actions for these themes will support those set out below, and in particular help protect and restore habitats of importance to fisheries, to jointly move towards healthy and abundant fish stocks.

It should also be recognised that the approach to be taken to fish stocks in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is not intended to have broad application elsewhere. It is based on the specific circumstances within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, which are unique, and reflect:

- The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act 2000 which requires the Minister to have regard to the matters of national significance and management objectives set out in the Act when setting or varying any sustainability measure (including the total allowable catch and total allowable commercial catch) under the Fisheries Act which applies to the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. There is also a requirement to 'have particular regard<sup>6</sup>' to these matters when undertaking other functions under the Fisheries Act.
- The important role of the Hauraki Gulf as a fisheries spawning and nursery area for the wider north-east coast.
- The role of the Hauraki Gulf in supporting the largest pre-European Māori population in the country and very strong interest of mana whenua, encompassing numerous iwi and hapū groupings, in the ongoing health of local fisheries. This has resulted in a nationally-unique landscape of tribal lands and waters.
- The location of the largest population centre (the wider Auckland region, estimated to reach two million by 2030).
- The largest number of people practising recreational fishing in the country.
- The long history of commercial fishing in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

<sup>6</sup> The Court of Appeal has considered this in 'the Kahawai case', which the obligation puts MPI and the Minister to be on *inquiry*. It is insufficient to simply claim the matter has been considered. The Minister must mount an inquiry and give greater weight to achieving the purpose of ss 7, 8 than other relevant factors.
## OVERALL THEMES FOR FISH STOCKS

There are two broad themes to the Fish Stocks section:

- Using an ecosystem-based approach<sup>7</sup> to manage the harvest of wild fisheries in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park in order to rebuild depleted fish stocks within a generation.
- 2. Putting in place mechanisms to protect and enhance marine habitats in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park so that the current decline is reversed and healthy habitats are restored.

Inherent in these themes is the need for the commercial and recreational fishing sectors to take responsibility for their impacts on the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park and to play their part in achieving the rebuild of stocks and restoration of the habitats on which those stocks depend. Significant investment by all parties, including government, will be needed to achieve the level of change required.

#### **Objectives for Theme One – rebuilding fish stocks**

 Ensure all harvested stocks<sup>8</sup> of wild marine species are at or above the management target prescribed by the Harvest Strategy Standard or equivalent, taking into account the desirability of restoring natural age and size structure to populations and addressing localised depletion:

Where there is currently sufficient information to set a management target to be reached by 2030.

- a) For all other species by 2040.
- 2. Put in place measures by 2018 to significantly decrease mortality of undersized fish caused by all harvesting sectors and methods.
- 3. Put in place an effective management regime to address recreational harvest pressure on the inter-tidal zone by 2021.
- 4. Ensure that local tikanga and mātauranga inform fisheries management, as well as the considerable historical knowledge and wisdom of more recent settlers.

- 5. Have in place robust methods to monitor and predict fish populations and to understand the underlying driving mechanisms of population change by 2020.
- 6. Lift the value per unit of fish harvested.
- 7. Establish the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park as a separate fisheries management area by 2018.

#### **Objectives for Theme Two - restoring habitats**

- 1. Remove fishing methods, which harm benthic habitats and/or prevent their recovery, from the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park by 2025.
- 2. Have in place spatial mechanisms to protect ecologically important habitats by 2018 including a variety of MPAs and customary fisheries management tools (see Biodiversity section).
- 3. Initiate a programme of action by 2018 to achieve long term habitat restoration including developing and testing innovative ways of restoring degraded habitats.

## MANAGEMENT ACTIONS FOR THEME ONE – REBUILDING FISH STOCKS

#### **Fish stock reviews**

- 1. As part of the implementation of the plan, establish a detailed schedule for the review of related groups of key harvested species within 6 months. The schedule will identify:
  - a) Priority groups of related species for initial reviews and rationale for identifying these.
  - b) Set timing and information requirements for reviews.
- 2. Priority species<sup>9</sup> will be identified through the application of the following criteria:
  - a) There is evidence (based on science, mātauranga and local knowledge) that the stock is well below target levels or there is uncertainty as to the current status of the stock; and/or

<sup>7</sup> The term 'ecosystem-based management' means different things to different people, and there is no one universal definition. Here we use it in the context of managing fisheries species and their harvest with explicit regard to their interactions with other species, habitats, and the ecological functions they provide within the ecosystem.

<sup>8</sup> This includes both QMS and non QMS stocks.

<sup>9</sup> Potential priority species include pāua, rock lobster, hāpuku, paddle crabs, gurnard, pilchards, John dory, flatfish, grey mullet, scallops, snapper, porae, trevally, kahawai, Jack mackerel and tarakihi.

- b) There is evidence of localised depletion; and/or
- c) They are reef species; and/or
- d) The species has economic, recreational and/or cultural significance; and/or
- e) The species plays a significant role in the ecosystem.
- 3. Initiate reviews in accordance with the schedule to:
  - a) Determine evidence-based target stock levels for each stock (incorporating science, mātauranga and local knowledge).
  - Ensure that the targets are consistent with, or higher than, those provided for in the Harvest Strategy Standard.
  - c) Apply the Harvest Strategy Standard Operational Guidelines default proxies for stock target levels where scientifically-based targets are not set.
  - d) Address localised depletion, considering the need for finer scaled management.
  - e) Set catch limits for each stock to ensure the target stock level is achieved by 2040 at the latest, with targets to be achieved by 2030 for stocks which currently have sufficient information to set a management target.
  - f) Set other controls as required to meet the target stock level such as gear restrictions, seasonal closures, bag limits and size limits.
  - g) Have regard to fisheries interactions with the larger Quota Management Areas.

The intention is to establish a fisheries review process for the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park that is transparent, well-informed and appropriately scaled; that is responsive to fisheries management issues as they arise; and that avoids unnecessary costs and bureaucracy.

#### Immediate action for rock lobster stocks<sup>10</sup>

- 4. Initiate an urgent review of:
  - a) The current management rule and other recreational and commercial harvest controls which apply to rock lobster stocks within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, to be completed by 2018, with particular regard to the catch per unit effort fisheries index and total allowable catch for rock lobster, to ensure a rebuild of stocks to levels which maintain sustainable harvest and healthy ecosystems.
  - b) Whether closures of the fishery are warranted.
  - c) The makeup and resourcing of the National Rock Lobster Management Group to ensure all interests are adequately represented.

#### Focused management for kina

- 5. Implement a package of management measures aimed at reducing the density of kina, improving the condition of harvestable kina and restoring healthy kelp forests, which could include:
  - a) Placing areas of kina under cultural management.
  - b) Subdividing the SUR1B management area to create a new 1C area for the Hauraki Gulf and increasing the total allowable catch for that area.
  - c) Restoring the abundance of kina predators, including rock lobster and snapper, to levels that keep kina populations under effective control
  - d) Providing for kina aquaculture.
  - e) Educating the public on the benefits of consuming kina.
  - f) Regularly monitoring the health of kelp forests, and spatial extent of kina barrens, and using this information to inform the setting of the total allowable catches for kina, snapper and rock lobster.

#### Addressing sequential depletion of hāpuku

- Implement measures aimed at restoring abundant hāpuku stocks throughout the Hauraki Gulf including:
  - a) Protecting areas of hāpuku habitat through the establishment of MPAs [see Biodiversity chapter].
  - b) Completing a review by 2018 of the current total allowable catch and regulatory framework for hāpuku harvest.

#### Urgent review of purse seining

- 7. Undertake an urgent review of purse seining within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, to be completed in 2018, including:
  - a) Potential impacts on seabird foraging behaviour and breeding success.
  - b) Potential impacts on ecosystem health of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, including impacts on the food chain and other fish stocks.
  - c) The value of the harvested fish in the market place and within the ecosystem.
  - d) The appropriateness of the total allowable commercial catch and quota management area.
  - e) The potential impacts of withdrawal of bottom trawling and Danish seining from the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park on catch levels.
  - f) The location of voluntary closure areas and possible expansion to the southern east coast part of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park
- 8. In the interim, prior to the completion of the review, no new purse seining vessels are to operate within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

#### Protection of vulnerable species<sup>11</sup>

- 9. Rebuild intertidal species<sup>12</sup> by:
  - a) Listing species that can be recreationally harvested (e.g. pipi, cockles etc.) by 2017 and considering seasonal closures for those species.
  - Placing an immediate moratorium on recreational (non-cultural) harvest for indigenous species not on the list until a new management regime is put in place.
- 10. Develop a new management regime (in conjunction with mana whenua) by 2021 to effectively manage non-commercial harvest pressure on intertidal areas, including:
  - a) Deploying effective tools to regularly monitor the health and abundance of kaimoana beds across the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park (including mana whenua and community shellfish monitoring).
  - b) Putting in place responsive management mechanisms which are properly resourced and which can readily adjust to changing pressures and environmental health (including support for local kaitiaki).
  - c) Integrating the management regime with those for Ahu Moana – mana whenua and community co-management areas as they are established [see Biodiversity chapter].
- 11. Review controls on other harvested non-quota species by 2020 to ensure that appropriate recreational bag limits and localised spatial/seasonal closures are in place.
- Prohibit all recreational and commercial set netting (excluding ring netting) on reefs<sup>13</sup> by 2017 to protect vulnerable reef species. Introduce a standard for ring netting.
- 13. Identify brood stock source populations for scallop and green-lipped mussel beds by 2020 and consider closure to ensure healthy breeding populations to help replenish other areas throughout the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.
- 14. Develop a programme to better resource local kaitiaki to participate in marine and fisheries management by 2018.Implement a targeted education programme for new New Zealanders by 2018 to ensure they understand the fisheries regulations and the reasons for them.

<sup>11</sup> Potential vulnerable species include intertidal species, tuangi, pink maomao, reef fish, hāpuku, porae and red moki.

<sup>12</sup> Intertidal is defined as above the lowest astronomical tide (chart datum); intertidal species are defined as those that occur either wholly above chart datum (e.g. cockles, various barnacle species), or whose populations have an intertidal life stage, but may also occur as subtidal populations, e.g. pipi, green-lipped mussels.

<sup>13</sup> Reef is defined as a single natural rock feature that has a base footprint of 25 m<sup>2</sup> or more, or a mosaic of smaller rocks in close proximity to each other which in aggregate encompass an area of 25 m<sup>2</sup> or more.

#### Reduction of mortality of sub-legal and small fish

- 15. Require commercial fishers deploying long-lines, and recreational fishers targeting snapper, within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, to use suitable hooks to minimise capture of undersize fish, such as appropriately sized Japanese recurve and/or appendage hooks by 2017.
- 16. Investigate the potential benefits of increasing the minimum size of snapper caught by commercial fishers to be the same as that for recreational fishers, and methods to avoid catching smaller fish, by 2017.
- 17. Improve the dissemination and uptake of the voluntary protocol for recreational fishers on good handling and release practices utilising a range of opportunities (eg charter fishing, videos, TV shows and education in schools).

#### Reporting and observer coverage

- 18. Improve fisheries information and compliance through:
  - a) By 2017, requiring recreational fishing charter vessels to report all their catch.
  - b) By 2018, implementing sufficient observer coverage for charter boats to obtain reliable figures on seabird interactions.
  - c) By 2018, establishing a system to enable the voluntary reporting of catch and observations of seabirds and marine mammals by recreational fishers.
  - d) By 2020, achieving 100% camera or in-person observer coverage on long-line, trawling, Danish seining and purse seining vessels operating within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.
  - e) For other commercial fishing vessels operating within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, working towards achieving 100% camera or in-person observer coverage with milestones of 20% by 2018 and 40% by 2020.

## MANAGEMENT ACTIONS FOR THEME TWO – RESTORING HABITATS

#### Transitioning to seabed-friendly fishing methods

The following actions are designed to achieve the transition of bottom trawling and Danish seining out of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. Acknowledging that during the transition, there is likely to be a need to retain some bulk fishing capacity, we have made interim provision for Danish seining to continue in the Inner Gulf (above the current trawl line) after bottom trawling has been withdrawn from the area. This is on the basis that Danish seining has less impact on benthic habitat and sediment re-suspension than trawling and is a more targeted method with less juvenile mortality and unwanted catch. It is therefore to be preferred as an interim bulk method within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

- 19. Establish and resource a multi-stakeholder advisory group (including recreational and commercial fishing interests, mana whenua, the environment sector, government and scientists) to address the impacts of fishing methods on benthic habitats within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park and to achieve the objective of the removal of all bottom trawling, Danish seining and scallop dredging from the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. The advisory group will be tasked with developing detailed implementation steps designed to achieve the following in a practical and fair manner:
  - a) From 2016, the avoidance of any additional bottom trawling or Danish seining vessels commencing operations within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.
  - b) From 2017, in collaboration with the fishing industry, universities and crown research institutes, expediting of research and development into innovative new harvest methods that avoid the negative impacts of current bottom contact methods.

- c) By 2018, the withdrawal of bottom trawling from the Inner Gulf within the line shown on Map 4.1 (Cape Colville to Cape Rodney); from the east coast of the Coromandel Peninsula within the line shown from Devils Point in the north to the southern boundary of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park extending 4 nautical miles out from land, and from areas within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park identified as Type 1 and 2 MPAs in Map 4.2.
- d) By 2018, the withdrawal of Danish seining from the east coast of the Coromandel Peninsula within the line shown on Map 4.1 (a line from Devils Point in the north to the southern boundary of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park extending 4 nautical miles out from land); the area within the Inner Gulf below the current inner trawl line; and from the areas identified as Type 1 and 2 MPAs in Map 4.2.
- e) By 2019, the completion of habitat mapping an assessment of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park to identify the impacts of bottom contact methods on benthic habitats and redistribution of sediments and the likely impacts and benefits of the withdrawal of bottom contact methods. The purpose of this assessment is to identify the spatial areas referred to in the phased withdrawal under f), g) and h) below.
- f) By 2020, the withdrawal of bottom trawling and Danish seining from areas identified as being of 'High' priority based on ecological importance.
- g) By 2023, the withdrawal of bottom trawling and Danvish seining from areas identified as being of 'Medium' priority based on ecological importance.
- h) By 2025, the withdrawal of bottom trawling and Danish seining from the entire Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.
- By 2030, a review of progress in achieving the restoration of the Park's benthic habitats, and implementation of any further actions required to restore benthic habitats to the extent possible.
- j) Put in place mechanisms to prevent any displacement of these methods to other areas, including the east coast of the Coromandel Peninsula and the nearby Islands, during the transition.

#### Removing scallop dredging

- 20. Use a phased approach to transition commercial and recreational scallop dredging out of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park:
  - a) Immediately restrict the use of scallop dredges to existing scallop beds as shown on Map 4.3.
  - b) Immediately allow the use of Underwater Breathing Apparatus (UBA) for commercial scallop harvesting. (UBA is already permitted for recreational and cultural harvesting).
  - c) By 2018 ban the use of scallop dredges in areas less than 20m deep within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.
  - d) By 2025, prohibit the use of scallop dredges within the entire Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.
  - e) Provide research, development and funding support, including looking at overseas developments, to enable scallop fishers to transition to other methods (e.g. robots) that do not impact the seabed.
  - f) Investigate opportunities for scallop aquaculture.

The eventual removal of methods such as bottom trawling, Danish seining and dredging that have negative effects on habitats out of the Hauraki Gulf is the most effective means of achieving the outcomes we are seeking. We do recognise however that, in the future, new technologies (e.g. Precision Seafood Harvesting - http:// www.precisionseafoodharvesting.co.nz) may be developed that allow new methods provided those negative effects are avoided. We do not believe that these technologies are sufficiently advanced yet to be promoted as part of the solution through this Plan.



Map 4.1 The inner Hauraki Gulf, Four nautical mile (7.4km) eastern Coromandel coastal buffer, and existing trawling and danish seine ban areas within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park









#### Habitat restoration

- 21. Drawing on scientific, customary and local knowledge:
  - a) Map the historical and current extent of culturally and ecologically important habitats within the Hauraki Gulf/Tīkapa Moana by 2018.
  - Protect existing culturally and ecologically important habitats through MPAs (see Biodiversity Chapter).
  - c) Undertake an ecosystem services valuation of the habitats within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park to support the business case for investment in habitat restoration by 2018.
  - d) Identify priority areas where passive<sup>14</sup> and active restoration will be initially focused, taking into account current conditions by 2018.
- 22. Initiate a high-profile *Hauraki Gulf Restoration Initiative* with the following elements:
  - a) Mobilisation of mana whenua and community members to engage in active restoration activities. Inclusion of culturally significant marine places and areas outside coastal marae in restoration efforts.
  - b) Removal of unnecessary regulatory barriers to restoration.
  - c) Support, resourcing and scaling up of current green-lipped mussel reef restoration initiatives in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.
  - d) Initiation of a horse mussel restoration programme, with an initial focus on the Mahurangi and Whangapoua harbours.
  - e) Establishment of a research programme, in partnership with mana whenua, universities and research organisations, focused on a rapid identification of potentially successful approaches to active restoration.
  - f) Identification of additional sources of stock and spat collection mechanisms.

- g) Investigation of closer links with the aquaculture industry including linking supply of restoration stock to aquaculture consents and allocating aquaculture space for the cultivation of shellfish for restoration efforts.
  b) Nesting active restoration efforts within larger
  - h) Nesting active restoration efforts within larger passive restoration areas.
  - i) Learning from restoration efforts elsewhere.

### MANAGEMENT ACTIONS WHICH SUPPORT BOTH THEMES ONE AND TWO

#### Establish a separate fisheries management area and quota management area for the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park

- 23. Establish a multi-stakeholder advisory group (including recreational and commercial fishing interests, mana whenua, the environment sector, government and scientists) by 2017 (potentially a sub-group within a revamped Hauraki Gulf Forum and a continuation of the fisheries implementation group described in item 28 below) to provide recommendations to the Minister for Primary Industries, and other Ministers as appropriate, on fisheries measures and regulations under the Fisheries Act applying to the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, and other relevant matters, including:
  - a) Regulations to set specific catch limits for QMS species caught within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park as determined by the review process.
  - b) Recreational fisheries regulations that apply specifically to the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.
  - c) The results of an investigation into splitting quota for QMS species within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park by 2018.
  - d) Creating a separate fisheries management area and quota management area for QMS species within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park by 2020.
  - e) The deployment of any new commercial or recreational fishing methods within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.
  - Funding required to adequately resource fisheries management within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park including scientific research, stock assessments, monitoring and enforcement.

14 Passive restoration involves removing or mitigating against present day human-generated stressors which are acting to prevent natural system regeneration/recovery.

<sup>79</sup> 

- 24. Apply the following principles to fisheries management decision-making within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park:
  - a) The Environmental Principles set out in section 9 of the Fisheries Act 1996.
  - b) The Purpose and Objectives set out in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act 2000.
  - c) Tikanga Māori kaitiakitanga.
  - d) Ecosystem-based management (as referenced in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act).
  - e) The precautionary approach (as referenced in section 10 of the Fisheries Act).

The Hauraki Gulf Fisheries Advisory Group would report directly to the Minister of Primary Industries who would make the final decision on regulations and other measures under the Fisheries Act. A similar arrangement of direct Ministerial advice currently operates for some fisheries. The Group would liaise with other fisheries management groups.

#### Support for fisheries management decision-making

- 25. Develop and begin implementing a mana whenua fisheries management strategy that accommodates current and future Treaty settlements (both individual iwi and collectives) by 2018 to ensure that future fisheries management in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park:
  - a) Supports customary fishing rights and traditional fisheries resources and habitats.
  - b) Supports active mana whenua involvement in fisheries management including provision for mātaitai, taiāpure and rāhui.
  - c) Provides for mana whenua economic and social well-being aspirations.
- 26. Develop and begin implementing a Gulf-wide fisheries research and monitoring system which:
  - a) Measures population age and size structure, spatial abundance and depletion, and cyclical and seasonal changes.
  - b) Monitors the health of habitats of importance of fisheries.

- c) Develops and applies cultural health indicators for fisheries that incorporate kaupapa environmental monitoring tools.
- d) Improves our understanding of, and ability to, manage the ecological functions of the Hauraki Gulf which generate fisheries resources; e.g. spawning aggregations, larval transport/ connectivity, nursery habitats, migrations and predator/prey dynamics.
- 27. Develop and coordinate a fisheries community/mana whenua science and care network across the Hauraki Gulf.

We recognise that putting in place a comprehensive Park-wide fisheries research and monitoring system will not be cheap, simple or occur quickly and agencies need to prioritise the potential research and monitoring. If central government research spending is reorientated to support this effort, then there are a range of other potential funding sources which are also likely to align. Some of it may already exist in part, for example sampling of catch in commercial fish sheds, State of the Environment reporting, and various public good science and other projects. Other past initiatives provide precedents for the type of effort required here including the Ocean Survey 2020 funding and recent large direct allocations of government funding to freshwater and pest management issues.

28. Encourage the development of a Hauraki Gulf Marine Park brand for fish sustainably caught, with benthic friendly methods, within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

We believe that significant additional value can be achieved for fish commercially harvested within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park by environmentallyfriendly methods through effective branding and marketing to a quality and environmentallyconscious market. A compelling story of how the industry is innovating to actively support the recovery of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park and protection of its unique species would ensure that many consumers would be willing to pay more for fish caught in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

### **IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS**

We recognise that there are many actions being recommended, with significant practical and cost implications. There is clearly a need to develop a strong fisheries implementation plan to progress our recommendations. A multi-stakeholder group (including recreational and commercial fishing interests, mana whenua, the environment sector, government and scientists) will be formed, under the auspices of the Hauraki Gulf Forum and supported by MPI, to recommend an implementation plan by the end of 2017, including to:

- Identify funding sources and research priorities, recognising implementing the plan is in the public interest. In principle there is no intention to impose additional new costs on quota owners for managing fish stocks over a smaller spatial area based on the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. New costs should be borne by government in its role of managing fisheries and the broader marine environment in the public interest.
- 2. Further develop the transition process to move bottom trawling, Danish seining and dredging out of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.
- 3. Consider whether there should be a requirement to use a 6 inch mesh cod end for Danish seining nets deployed in the Inner Hauraki Gulf.
- 4. Undertake an economic impact analysis and develop an assistance package associated with the transition of bottom contact methods out of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, and during the interim, within the proposed MPAs. The assistance package will be multidimensional, and may include financial support, investment in new vessels and/or refitting existing vessels, investment in new technology development and training for people involved in the commercial fishing sector. Principles for an assistance package need to be developed as part of implementation but should learn from global best practice as nothing at this scale or significance has been undertaken in New Zealand previously. It must:
  - Be innovative;
  - Be fair, honest, and transparent;
  - Be based on agreed principles;
  - Recognise actual costs and the desirability of avoiding displacement of effort; and

- Draw on learnings from other negotiated outcomes (such as Treaty of Waitangi settlements, establishment of MPAs in Australia etc.).
- 5. Develop the ongoing devolved governance arrangement incorporating a range of stakeholder interests to provide recommendations to the Minister for Primary Industries on fisheries management issues within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.
- 6. Prioritise research and monitoring, linked into the broader Hauraki Gulf Marine Park research and monitoring needs identified in this document.

Achieving the changes required in the commercial fishing sector to achieve the fish stock objectives set out in this plan will require government assistance to facilitate the restructure of the industry. Such assistance needs to be provided as an integral part of the implementation of the management actions set out above.

## PLACE STUDY: TE RUAMAAHUA – THE ALDERMAN ISLANDS

The Aldermen Islands are known among Hauraki Māori as Te Ruamaahua. Located 15 kilometres east of Tairua, the nine islands of varying size bear numerous remnants of Māori occupation over many centuries. The islands are home to rare and threatened indigenous plants, birds, reptiles, and insects, and the surrounding waters are important recreational and commercial fisheries.



**Figure 4.1** Te Ruamaahua – The Alderman Islands from the north-east. Ruamaahua-nui Island (to viewers left) is the Kei or stern, and the bow or Tūrere is Ruamaahua-iti Island, Middle chain as they are known today personifies the hull or Tākerenui and its ill-fated crew. (Source. Landcare NZ)

#### **Ruamaahua traditions**

Hauraki Gulf publications have translated the name Ruamaahua "thrust up from the depths", referring to the volcanic origin of this group of rocky outcrops and islands, and this explanation was given by the late Hauraki elder Taimoana Turoa. According to the Ngāti Hei tradition, the name Ruamaahua recalls an epic ill-fated southern voyage of the ancient Waitaha O Hei ancestor, Tama-Rere-Tii and his crew. The double hulled waka built for the voyage was named Te-Rua-o-Māhu, or Te Rua O Māhuhu-ki-Te-Rangi, a Ngāti Hei celestial reference to the pointer stars of the Southern Cross, and sometimes to the Milky Way. The Waitaha explorers set out to find the source of the southern lights, Te-Whare-tiaho a-Maui (Aurora Australis). In their consultation with the Atua (gods) prior to the voyage they were instructed to stay "kei raro te ria a Marere-O-Tonga" beneath the protection of the guiding southern star known as Marere-O-Tonga; coming home they would be guided by schools of parāoa (sperm whales) and upokohue (blackfish) on their annual return to the warmer waters known today as Whangaparāoa. This they did, but while returning their rangatira (chief) died by choking on a small fish.



**Figure 4.2** Te Ruamaahua from Hahei. The white frame locates Figure 4.6 above, its left side bisecting the pā Hereheretaura and right side the pā Te Pare. (Source. Joe Davis)

Crossing from Tuhua (Mayor Island) on the final leg of the home journey to Whakahau (Slipper Island), the waka and its exhausted crew succumbed to huge seas, it was destroyed and many died, but one or two survivors described the voyage and the wonders they had seen at the Antarctic. The two massive hulls were said to have separated and damaged beyond repair. One was found at Whakahau, and the other at Māhuhu-ki-Te-Rangi (Māhurangi Island near Whitianga). Hence, Paku, the Ruamaahua Islands, and Mahurangi Is are all intricately linked with this Antarctic journey.

The Ruamaahua Islands are the personification of the waka Te Rua-o-Māhu and the memory of its illfated commander and crew. The Middle Chain Islands being the waka and crew, Ruamaahua Nui the stern, and Ruamaahua Iti its bow. In tangi at Wharekaho the wairua of the tūpāpaku (the deceased) is sometimes said to have returned to the heavens on the celestial waka of Te Rua-O-Māhu Ki-Te-Rangi ki te Whare-Tiaho-A-Maui, e tu anā tera taha, "Go-alight the waka of Te Rua-A-Māhu, to Maui' house of light, it is on that side that you will now stand".

The islands are of particular cultural, spiritual, and environmental importance to Ngāti Hei, Ngāti Hako, and Marutuahu. For generations, they have been culturally harvesting oi (grey-faced petrel) from the islands on an annual basis, up until the 1990s, when concern was raised by birders that the oi numbers were declining. Since then rāhui (closures) have been imposed, and a pest eradication program maintained, and population numbers have steadily rebuilt. However, even during recent decades a token small-scale collection has continued as a means of maintaining the practice and the relationship with the islands.



**Map 4.4** Te Ruamaahua and surrounding Ahu Moana. Oriented south-north, the white arrow shows the viewpoint from Hahei in Figures 4.1 and 4.2 (Aerial Photography source. ESRI Ltd).

#### Gifting of the Ruamaahua Islands

In 1959, a Section 438 Trust was established for the benefit of the descendants of Ngāti Hako, Ngāti Hei and the four Marutuahu iwi, with twelve trustees appointed from them. Since 1959, several replacement trustees have been appointed, including Joe Davis from the Sea Change SWG, who sits on the Trust for Ngāti Hei, and gave the above kōrero.

In 1963, the Crown accorded the islands the status of wildlife sanctuary with the primary objective at the time of purchasing the islands. In 1968, the Crown made an offer to the Trust to purchase the islands. Although this offer was rejected, the trustees agreed to gift the islands to the Crown under certain conditions, namely:

- That the Islands be set aside as a specifically named reserve and any change of designation or use be referred back to owners for their consent;
- That should the Islands be no longer required as a reserve, they would automatically revert back to previous ownership;
- That the owners be permitted to land on the islands to take mutton birds and sea-foods under permit by the Trustees.
- Subsequently, the gifting was formalised in 1969. The islands are classed as "nature reserves" and managed by the Department of Conservation. They are home to many indigenous plants and animals of important cultural, environmental and economic importance to Hauraki Māori, including oi (greyfaced petrel), and today there are approximately 30,000 - 40,000 pairs of oi breeding on the islands annually. Manaaki whenua, Landcare New Zealand, has partnered with iwi over this time to use mātauranga Māori to understand the long-term trends in oi numbers on the Islands and identify what type of factors might be causing changes in the population.

The area around the islands is rich in kaimoana so is frequently visited by the public for recreational diving and fishing. However, it remains an important fishery for mana whenua.

#### What will co-management look like at Te Ruamaahua?

As is the case at nearby Hahei Marine Reserve, members will represent the local community and mana whenua. But the community here is quite different to that on the adjacent mainland, and the shape of their representation may be too. Recreational and artisanal fishermen within the community have a vast knowledge of this area, are affected by its management, and their cooperation here is essential given that the islands are remote and any management difficult to enforce, perhaps they might occupy some community seats.

The legal status of the islands means that DOC will likely retain a greater role than elsewhere, and the gifting conditions will always have to be met. The proposed adjacent and overlapping MPAs (or SMA) will also need to align, and it is possible that the same committee night administer both.



**Figure 4.3** Ko Te Rā Matiti marae at Wharekaho, north of Whitianga (Source. http://www.maorimaps.com)



Figure 4.4 Baby Oi. (Source, Landcare NZ)

# 5. AQUACULTURE

## AHUMOANA

The Stakeholder Working Group vision is that prosperous aquaculture positively contributes to the health and wellbeing of the people and environment of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

Within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park there are nearly 1500 hectares of consented mussel farm space, mainly within the Wilson Bay zone in the Firth of Thames, producing around 30,000 tonnes per year, accounting for over a quarter of national production. Production from the existing farms is predicted to double to 60,000 tonnes per year by 2025 based on improved productivity, development of consented farms within the Wilson Bay zone, and small extensions to existing farms outside the zone.

There are 210 hectares of consented oyster farm space in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, accounting for nearly half of national production. Two thirds of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park's oyster production occurs in the Auckland region, with Mahurangi Harbour (108 ha of farms) being the centre of the industry. There are currently no finfish farms in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. However, there is 90 hectares of space in the Wilson Bay zone (of which 18 hectares is Treaty settlement space) and 300 hectares of space in the Coromandel Marine Farming Zone (of which 60 hectares is Treaty settlement space). The Waikato Regional Council will begin a tender process for the Coromandel Marine Farming Zone in late 2016.

Oysters are typically grown on wooden racks, trays and baskets fixed to structures on intertidal flats. In some areas, oysters that are ready for harvesting are transferred from the racks to long-line farms where they are suspended in baskets. This allows the oysters to flush themselves of any sediment or bacteria they may have ingested while in the intertidal zone. Mussels are grown on long lines in water depths of 10–45 m. Fish are held in pens or nets, which reach from the surface to depth, suspended under a surface structure, typically in water depths of 20–30 m.

Oyster farming and mussel farming (collectively known as shellfish farming) are examples of nonfed aquaculture, since oysters and mussels extract phytoplankton from the water by filter feeding and no additional feeding is required. Fish farming is an example of fed aquaculture where fish are fed manufactured feed pellets. This introduces additional product into the marine area with potentially greater environmental impacts.





**Figure 5.1** A mussel farm on the surface, and snorkelling underneath

## A MANA WHENUA PERSPECTIVE

The Māori Commercial Aquaculture Claims Settlement Act 2004 addressed Māori rights relating to aquaculture. It consisted of three phases in which iwi received assets to settle commercial aquaculture obligations for a representative 20% of total approved aquaculture space. First iwi were compensated with cash for "pre-commencement space" (coastal space approved under the regime operating between 1992 and 2004), equivalent in value to 20% of allocated space. Second, where these were implemented, iwi received 20% of new aquaculture management areas (AMAs) created between 2004 and 2011. Finally, iwi are entitled to 20% of new forecasted aquaculture space since 2011, but this may be paid in space, cash, or a combination of these.

To date some aquaculture settlements have been finalised for the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park; for the eastern Firth of Thames and Aotea/Great Barrier. These resulted in Hauraki iwi jointly establishing fisheries and aquaculture businesses, and becoming one of the major aquaculture participants within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. Their role in the sector is likely to increase as further settlement space is allocated.

## Mana whenua tikanga and concerns relating to aquaculture

As well as being important aquaculture industry players as a result of Treaty settlements, Māori hold mana moana with associated inherited kaitiaki responsibilities. They therefore have dual roles in relation to aquaculture which require careful negotiation. As kaitiaki, local hapū and iwi are mindful of potential negative effects associated with aquaculture. Marine farms compete for traditional coastal marine space, and occupy areas in which mana whenua have traditional interests. This is further complicated by the fact that, in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, the extent of customary rights has not yet been tested or addressed.

Physical structures present potential impediments to iwi use of significant resources, such as kaimoana grounds, and create barriers to culturally important practices such as traditional waka routes and modern waka-ama. Of particular concern are the visual effects of marine farms on the experience and enjoyment of whānau that still reside on ancestral coastal lands, and for those reconnecting with lands returned via Treaty settlements. In the absence of iwi involvement over recent decades, Hauraki Gulf marine farms have been located inappropriately close to coastal wāhi tapu (sacred sites).

Marine farms are also a potential barrier to mana whenua environmental and kaimoana restoration goals, and bring a risk of entanglement and loss of territory for marine mammals. Coastal hapū are regular witnesses to paru, rubbish resulting from farms, including lost floats and lines. But they are also concerned with pollution that is unseen, the accumulation of detritus and waste on the seabed. Tikanga Māori includes codes of conduct, based on centuries of living in a particular area, which may be offended by some activities associated with marine farming. For this reason, iwi seek involvement in any plans for new marine farms.

Despite significant shareholdings in commercial fishing and aquaculture companies, some individual iwi and hapū have experienced barriers to participation in aquaculturerelated statutory processes. As a result, marine farms have been approved without consideration of effects on mana whenua values and interests. Barriers to participation limit the opportunity for farms to proactively address tikanga issues and mana whenua concerns, and places hapū and iwi in a reactive mode.

#### A community perspective

We found through our community engagement process that people have both positive and negative perspectives on aquaculture. In general, shellfish aquaculture is viewed positively. The overall sentiment clearly recognises the importance of the industry to local communities in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

## A selection of quotes from listening posts regarding aquaculture

#### Thames

Mussel farms are not a problem – you can go fishing in them, they're not a hazard to my interests.

Mussel farms have increased tourism in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park because of the good fishing around the farms. Increased charter boat fishing.

#### Orewa

Mussel farms...affects sailing anchorages. In past, filtered the water but farms affect public ownership.

#### **St Marys Bay**

What about fish farms? They are just horrendous. I've dived under salmon farms in the Sounds.

Concern about the idea of salmon and fish farming with all the intensive feed that goes into the water but oysters and mussels are filtering.

#### **Great Barrier Island**

Mussel farms were a family thing – they were a community thing from here, and the people were from here. Two to three mussel farms are still locally owned but are leased out. Some of them are Sanford owned, and there are locals harvesting

#### Waiheke

Mussel farms attract giant snapper. They are like a supermarket – you go out and catch what you need for dinner

#### Kaiaua

I see people in this room who looked a lot younger and happier a few years ago, who have put years of energy into battling and worrying about the impact of extended aquaculture, their worry is returning.

The Summary and Outcomes of Sea Change – Tai Timu Tai Pari Community Engagement (January 2014 – February 2015) noted the following:

- Aquaculture is valued for its economic and environmental benefits, but its impacts on natural character, water quality and other uses of the marine environment need to be closely managed.
- Many people think aquaculture enhances recreational fishing.
- Agencies need to provide more research opportunities to identify both the benefits and effects of aquaculture.
- Agencies need to support the aquaculture industry to be in the right place and doing the right thing by the environment.

### **AQUACULTURE OBJECTIVES**

We have identified a set of objectives that will collectively realise this vision and ensure that:

- There is a thriving aquaculture industry in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park that supports local communities, including mana whenua.
- Marine farms are sentinels for a healthy environment and contribute to the restoration of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park's mauri.
- Negative effects of aquaculture are avoided or managed so that a healthy environment is maintained.
- Environmental degradation which affects aquaculture is addressed so that the industry is not negatively impacted.
- Cultural, environmental and economic aspirations of mana whenua are supported and Treaty Settlement rights protected.
- The community has adequate certainty regarding the effects of aquaculture, while the industry has certainty for investment and sufficient flexibility to innovate, diversify and adapt to changes in the business and natural environment.
- Well-targeted and sensible monitoring of aquaculture is carried out and is integrated with Gulf-wide state of the environment monitoring.
- Marine farms in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park are part of the Aquaculture New Zealand's A+ Sustainable Aquaculture programme.
- Conflicts over the use of space are minimised.
- The regulatory framework is clear and consistent across the entire Hauraki Gulf.
- There are a variety of scales and types of aquaculture in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park and innovation and research is actively promoted.
- Areas suitable for the various types of aquaculture currently undertaken are identified, and allowance is made for other types that are not currently found in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

## Economic and social impacts of Aquaculture

#### **Beneficial impacts**

The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park's aquaculture industry provides a number of beneficial economic and social impacts including creating wealth and employment, supporting Māori development, providing for research and development and supporting other sectors such as charter fishing and tourism.

#### Value in the product that is produced

Aquaculture is a significant primary industry in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. Currently 27% of NZ's total Greenshell Mussel and 45% of Pacific Oyster production is grown in the Auckland and Waikato regions. This production is worth about \$52m per year for mussels and \$7.3 m for oysters in export revenue. It contributes about \$31m to Waikato's GDP and \$28m to Auckland's GDP<sup>1</sup>.

#### **Provides employment**

The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park's aquaculture industry provides direct full-time employment for over 340 people in Auckland and 370 in Waikato. Indirect employment brings the total across both regions to over 900 people<sup>2</sup>. Employment on inter-tidal farms is usually located close to the farms, while employment on sub-tidal farms, such as mussel farms is centred around the landing facilities that service those farms. In the Firth of Thames, the main landing facility is the Sugarloaf Wharf at Te Kouma in the southern part of Coromandel Harbour. This brings employment to areas with fewer other opportunities.

Employment in processing is about 3-4 times higher than in the farm based operations and is located in towns and cities with sufficient population to provide a reliable source of employees and the necessary infrastructure (water supply, wastewater facilities and transport links). Mussels and oysters grown in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park are processed in Whitianga, Tauranga, Warkworth and South Auckland. Oysters are also processed in Coromandel town.

#### Supports Māori development

Māori-owned farms in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park have directly supported Māori development in Hauraki and allow Māori to express kaitiakitanga in practical ways. Returns from farms owned by Hauraki Māori have funded health, education and social services. For example, they contributed to funding the evolution of the Manaia Primary School (26 students and 2.5 staff) to a Kura ā Iwi with a roll of 130 students and 13 teachers. Māori businesses are a major part of the aquaculture sector and are expected to grow as a result of the delivery of the Crown's Aquaculture Treaty Settlement obligations in the coming twelve months.

#### Aquaculture supports research and innovation

Aquaculture in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park already supports some educational and research activities and this opportunity can be leveraged further because of the proximity of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park to a large highly skilled workforce, the proximity to a number of existing tertiary educational facilities, and the proximity and accessibility of the aquaculture activities within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. There is the potential for the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park to become a hub of aquaculture excellence, supported by research and innovation relating to all aspects of aquaculture activity including environmental enhancement projects.

## Future growth of aquaculture can support increased benefits

There is growing demand for seafood, both domestically and internationally, so that the value derived from aquaculture production in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park has the potential to significantly increase. To date, there are 1480 ha of consented space for mussel farms and 210 ha for intertidal oyster farms. National forecasts suggest that by 2035, mussel farming may seek to grow by an additional 920 ha and intertidal oyster farming by 145 ha. Growth in aquaculture will create additional employment opportunities and will lead to subsequent growth in associated sectors.

As a first step, support for increased productivity in the existing farms, and incremental increases in areas around existing farms, should occur where a net benefit is achieved. This will likely result in cost efficiencies and the minimisation of additional impacts on the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park's environment. However, in some existing locations, expansion may not be appropriate due to environmental constraints. Although these measures will provide some increased capacity, there will almost certainly be demand for new areas of marine space to be made available for aquaculture as markets expand and new marine farming technology develops. Aquaculture of new species, not currently farmed in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, could also play a role in increasing the value derived from aquaculture.

Whilst growing finfish is an extremely efficient way of producing protein, there are ecological impacts including the amount of fish food needed which should be considered. Finfish farming returns more dollars per hectare than many other forms of agriculture and aquaculture. For example, the New Zealand salmon industry returns 2000 times as much money per hectare as beef and sheep meat<sup>3</sup>.

#### Aquaculture supports other sectors

The presence of marine farms can also support other sectors, in particular charter fishing boats and recreational fishing (as mussel farms attract snapper and other fish), and tourism and seafood restaurants. Aquaculture also makes seafood more available to everyday consumers.

#### Negative social impacts

Marine farms may exclude some human uses of the coastal marine area, including water sports, recreational boating and commercial fishing (although legally vessels are permitted to transit through marine farms and small powered vessels often do for recreational fishing purposes). The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is the most highly utilised area for commercial and recreational boating in the country, with the number of yachts and launches predicted to increase significantly over the next 20-30 years.

Marine farms can be a navigational issue for vessels if located in popular cruising routes. They have the potential to be a navigational hazard during the day and night time if not well marked. Marine farms should not be located in areas suitable as safe anchorages for vessels as these are essential for safe boating and are becoming increasingly over-crowded with the growing number of vessels. There can be noise and disruption impacts on adjacent landowners, and the opportunity cost from using public space for aquaculture instead of for other purposes.



Figure 5.2 Oyster farm located at Clevedon

3



**Figure 5.3** Value of salmon farming vs. other agriculture and aquaculture

Industry investment opportunities in the New Zealand Salmon industry (2012), Coriolis Research, p15

#### **Environmental impacts**

As with the social effects descried above, aquaculture brings with it both positive and negative environmental effects. Both types are considered here.



**Figure 5.4** Fishing over mussel farms (Source. Top and bottom pictures supplied by Coromandel Marine Farmers Association, middle two supplied by Raewyn Peart)

#### **Beneficial impacts**

#### **Restorative potential**

There are ecological benefits that can be derived from aquaculture. Mussels and oysters feed on phytoplankton by filtering them out of the water as it flows past. In doing so they indirectly remove nutrients from the water and filter out other particulate matter such as sediment. They excrete the inedible material as 'pseudo faeces', which settle to the seafloor, removing them from the water column. A single mussel can filter up to 75 litres of seawater each day.

In this way, mussel farms can replicate some of the ecological functions of the natural sub-tidal mussel beds that were once widespread throughout the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park region, although currently on a much smaller scale. Historically mussel beds covered hundreds of square kilometres of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park and made a major contribution to maintaining water quality. These beds have largely disappeared due to dredging in the 1950s and 60s, and subsequent high sediment loads, but were capable of filtering all of the water of the Firth of Thames every day. The development of additional shellfish farms will increase the filtering of water in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park with resultant water quality benefits. Shellfish restoration projects, which seek to restore rich benthic habitats in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park for both ecological and water quality reasons, can benefit from the support of shellfish aquaculture (and other types of aquaculture which are valuable in this respect, e.g. seaweed, may develop in the future). For example the Revive our Gulf project, which aims to restore the mussel beds in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, has been supported by the aquaculture industry through the provision of live mussels that were unsuitable for the commercial market. These have been dropped to the sea floor in an attempt to recreate selfsustaining wild mussel beds.

It is important that restoration projects continue to benefit from support like this into the future. Other potential positive synergies may develop, such as through the provision of waste shell for deposition in the marine area or seaweeds for the collection of spat.

#### Creates habitat for other species

Marine farms create an 'artificial reef' effect through the physical structures of the farm and the crop on them providing shelter and food for other species. Small fish shelter among the crop lines, and this attracts bigger fish to prey on them. Snapper in particular are attracted to farms as they prey on mussels. Live mussels and shells accumulate on the seafloor under a farm. As farms are usually placed over soft sediments (rather than rocky reefs), this adds biogenic structure to the seafloor and may attract scavenging and predatory organisms such as starfish.

Accumulation of organic matter on the seafloor can provide hard substrate for other organisms to grow on, potentially increasing species abundance and diversity, including more predators (e.g., starfish), scavengers (e.g., sea cucumbers) and decomposing organisms (e.g., worms and bacteria).

#### Monitoring the environment

As marine farms require very high water quality they act as a sentinel in the environment. For example, seawater at shellfish farms is intensively monitored for bacterial contamination and harvesting is sometimes halted following any rainfall event due to the presence of E. coli in runoff from land.

Monitoring of the environment surrounding aquaculture farms, when targeted towards strategic issues, could assist in developing a better overall picture of the health of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, the impacts of aquaculture, including both positive and negative impacts as well as cumulative effects, and the influence of water quality (in particular sediments and nutrients).

#### Adverse ecological impacts

There are potential adverse ecological effects associated with aquaculture that need to be well managed. In general, fed aquaculture is intensive, has external inputs into the water column and has the potential for greater adverse effects than non-fed aquaculture, but it typically has a smaller physical footprint. Non-fed aquaculture is more extensive (requiring a larger area to be economically viable) and so typically affects a greater area, but the ecological effects are less intense.

#### **Biosecurity**

Aquaculture is unlikely to be the cause of a new pest incursion into New Zealand, but marine farm structures provide potential habitat for pest organisms to colonise, which become a reservoir for further spread. Movement of equipment, vessels and stock is a potential mechanism for the movement of pests (as are recreational and commercial vessels).

Biosecurity risks are not just non-native species arriving but include diseases, pathogens, parasites and other biological threats. The effect of diseases on farmed populations has raised concerns in New Zealand. For example, the effect of a herpes virus, especially between 2009 and 2011, on the introduced Pacific oysters.

#### Water-column effects - shellfish farming

The main effect on the water column from farming shellfish is the extraction of phytoplankton, zooplankton and organic particulates by the farmed shellfish. Phytoplankton forms the base of the marine food web; depletion therefore has the potential to impact on other species. Zooplankton includes fish eggs and larvae and its depletion therefore could potentially affect localised fish stock recruitment. The short-term composition of plankton communities can also be altered. The depletion zone usually only extends a short distance from the farm and is influenced by flushing rates, currents, depth, wind, etc. Depletion can be minimised by locating farms in areas with good flushing and/or high natural levels of phytoplankton. On the other hand, shellfish farms benefit from some land-sourced nutrients and can assist in mitigating negative effects of land sourced nutrients through extracting nitrogen.

#### Water-column effects - fin fish farming

Decomposition of fish faeces and uneaten food releases dissolved nutrients into the water column and can result in nutrient enrichment, impacting water quality. It may also change the species composition of phytoplankton with flow on effects in the food web. Potential problems can be minimised by good management, locating farms in areas that are deep and well-flushed, not overstocking them and avoiding areas which are nitrogen enriched.

#### Seabed effects

Both shellfish and finfish farming result in deposition of organic matter on the seabed. Negative impacts of accumulated organic matter include organic enrichment, reduced diversity and elevated levels of organic carbon. These impacts are much greater with fed-aquaculture, due to the deposition of high-nutrient faeces and uneaten feed on the seabed, which can transform well-aerated sediments into low-oxygen zones. In extreme cases the seafloor can become anoxic (lacking oxygen) as all the available oxygen is consumed in the decomposition of the organic matter. This eliminates all life except mats of bacteria. These conditions have been seen under salmon farms in New Zealand, but never under shellfish farms. Such effects can be reduced through good management, avoidance of overstocking and locating farms in deep, well-flushed areas and away from ecologically significant seabed areas.

#### Effects on wild stocks

When selective breeding is used for farmed species which are also present in the wild, the mixing of farmed and wild populations can potentially impact on the genetic structure of wild fish populations. There is also the risk of the transfer of diseases and parasites between farmed and wild stocks. This is mainly an issue for finfish farming where escapes can roam widely and mix with the wild population. This means that there needs to be tight control over finfish farm infrastructure to avoid the risk of escapees. On the other hand, released farm fish could be used to supplement wild stocks (for example, in Japan it is a part of the conditions of having a fish farm that stock is released to build up the wild stocks). These issues require ongoing research.

#### Effects on wildlife

Marine farms may exclude wildlife; either directly through displacing desired habitat, or indirectly through human presence or excessive noise. On the other hand, marine farms can attract fish, birds and marine mammals due to the increased availability of prey species that are attracted by the habitat provided by farm structures, as well as for artificial reefs.

Marine farms have the potential to exclude or modify how marine mammals use habitat when they impact on foraging, resting and nursery areas and migration routes. In addition, marine mammals can become entangled in structures, ropes and other non-biological waste material. Underwater noise associated with farm activities may also interfere with natural behaviours. The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park has several endangered marine mammal species, including Bryde's whales, bottlenose dolphins and orca, which need to be safeguarded from any adverse impacts from aquaculture. This can be achieved through careful siting of farms and good management of equipment to minimise any waste material entering the marine environment.

Areas with significant or outstanding conservation value for other wildlife may also demand additional safeguarding from impacts. The Firth of Thames intertidalflat Ramsar site is an example. On the one hand, some overseas studies suggest that shorebirds may benefit from the establishment of marine farms through the provision of extra feed, so long as detritus from the farm does not smother the seabed. On the other hand, the disturbance of waders could increase with marine farms in the immediate vicinity (boat traffic, presence of farm workers, noise), and the cost to birds of disturbance may be high when they are putting on weight prior to their annual migration.

#### Effects on landscape and natural character

Marine farming on the sea surface, by its very nature, introduces human-made structures and activities into a natural environment. This can include buoys, racks, sea cages, supporting structures and vessel movements. Such structures and activities can adversely impact on natural landscape and natural character values of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

The concepts of landscape and natural character encompass both the 'naturalness' of an area, which is the extent to which it is free from human-made structures and influences, and people's experience of that naturalness. Retaining the naturalness of high value coastal landscapes and seascapes is important to protect cultural values and the quality of life and economic prosperity of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. Because much of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park has been heavily developed, particularly around Auckland and the Coromandel Peninsula, it is important that we protect remaining areas with high landscape and natural character values. This can be achieved through locating marine farms in appropriate areas that avoid adverse effects on these values.

#### Effect of additives and chemicals

Chemicals associated with marine farming may include feed additives, antifoulants, and treatments for bacterial diseases or parasites like sea lice. Currently no chemicals are used in shellfish farming, apart from treated timber for inter-tidal oyster farm racks, or in salmon farming apart from copper in antifoulants and zinc in feed. Antibiotics are not currently used in New Zealand. Good management practice minimises the use of additives and chemicals, and consent conditions can restrict their use.

#### Hydrodynamics

Structures in the water have an impact on currents and waves. This has the potential to reduce currents and wave energy. This may be positive by reducing the wave energy reaching the coasts, and hence reduce shoreline erosion, or could negatively affect surf breaks. Effects can be reduced by locating farms in areas that are not a significant part of the swell corridor for popular surf breaks, by orienting infrastructure so it does cut across main current flows and by modelling the hydrodynamic effects, including cumulative effects of any proposed large scale aquaculture development.

#### **Cumulative effects**

Individual marine farms may be judged to have an acceptable ecological effect but they need to be considered in the context of both other marine farms and other human activities that are stressing the same ecosystem. This becomes particularly important as additional farms are proposed, existing activities increase in intensity and new activities appear.

## WHAT DO WE WANT TO ACHIEVE?

# By 2018, have a 'three tiered' regulatory regime in place for aquaculture that:

- Specifically enables aquaculture in identified areas where the overall social, economic and environmental benefits of aquaculture to the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park are maximised.
- Allows case-by-case consideration of aquaculture in areas which may be suitable but which have not been identified as an area where benefits will be maximised.
- Restricts aquaculture in areas which are not suitable for aquaculture.

There is potential for significant growth in the aquaculture sector. To determine where aquaculture should best be located and how it should be managed, there needs to first be consideration of the benefits of aquaculture and how these can be maximised, and then consideration of the matters that are important to ensure appropriate siting, scale and management of aquaculture as described above. Consideration also needs to be given to where aquaculture should not be located to provide some certainty for the community, industry and the environment.

#### By 2020 a robust and supportive regulatory framework (based on the above) provides clear and consistent policy, rules, monitoring and engagement requirements for the community, industry and mana whenua

A clear, robust and supportive regulatory framework, which clearly sets out where aquaculture is best located and where it should not go, will help to ensure a prosperous aquaculture industry which is strongly supported by the community. Central government and local authority policy and regulatory documents that provide certainty and consistency of regulation and monitoring requirements across jurisdictional boundaries will provide industry with the confidence for long term investment. The application of good practice industry guidelines, practises and standards is also very important as is the widespread adoption throughout the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park of Aquaculture New Zealand's A+ Sustainable Aquaculture programme.

In addition to the ecological and landscape/natural character issues set out above, Council decisions regarding aquaculture should avoid adversely impacting on culturally significant areas, in particular wāhi tapu (both terrestrial and marine). Allocations of new coastal marine space need to avoid pātaka kai, mahinga mātaitai and mana whenua food gathering areas. Councils also need to be mindful of community aspirations to participate in decisionmaking over the location of marine farms.

The regulatory approach should encourage increased production from existing space, where located in appropriate areas, as well as the reorientation or relocation of existing farms to other suitable areas where this has the potential to significantly increase productivity and reduce environmental impacts.

The regulatory framework should encourage a diversity of scale of aquaculture farms, scale of operator and type of operator. The allocation of marine farming space should prioritise operators with stronger links to the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park communities and whose operations will have greater positive socio-economic and environmental outcomes. Small-scale, maraebased marine farms should also be supported. This can be achieved through appropriately weighting the tendering process for space.

Restrictions should be placed on the circumstances in which consents can be transferred to others and should require that development is completed within 5 years of the consent being granted.

## By 2020 mana whenua aspirations regarding aquaculture need to be provided for

Mana whenua are involved in aquaculture, are pragmatic, and many hapū and iwi<sup>4</sup> recognise potential benefits – economic, social and environmental – from marine farming done well. Where mana whenua have been applicants for marine farms, or have been meaningfully engaged by applicants, there have been positive results, as described in the Wharekawa kūtai place study. But there is clearly scope to better realise mana whenua aspirations for aquaculture. Local hapū and marae aspire to establish nearby small-scale marine farms, as pātaka kai, for their wellbeing and sustenance.

#### By 2020 iwi, the industry, government, universities and research institutes support research and innovation through the creation of a Hub for Aquaculture Excellence

There is potential for the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park to become a hub of aquaculture excellence, supported by research and innovation relating to all aspects of aquaculture activity including environmental enhancement projects, new species, new technologies, and climate change mitigation.

## HOW WILL WE DO IT?

#### Identify preferred locations for aquaculture within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park

We have undertaken a detailed assessment of possible locations for future aquaculture development. These have been identified with the expectation that further investigation will be undertaken on a place-by-place basis to identify potential benefits and effects and to further define the boundaries.

Many of the negative impacts discussed above can be avoided or managed by locating farms appropriately. Attention has been paid to biophysical factors, environmental factors, minimising adverse effects on sites of significance to mana whenua, natural character and landscape, and minimising exclusion of other users of coastal space. Different species and farming methods have different biophysical requirements. The spatial element of managing aquaculture is not simply about avoiding areas with environmental constraints, but also about identifying the water space that is well-suited to farming and areas where the benefits of aquaculture will be maximised.

Table 5.1 and Map 5.1 identify areas that are considered likely to be appropriate for future aquaculture development, and Appendix 2 provides a detailed map of the proposed locations and analysis that underpins the recommendations. The areas identified are a preliminary guide, based on our initial assessment which indicated that aquaculture is likely to be suitable in the vicinity of these locations. The analysis also identified the boundaries of areas within which we considered that some marine farming would be appropriate and these are shown in Appendix 2. The boundaries have been carefully drawn to exclude areas where farms would likely have negative locational effects. It is not envisaged that marine farming would occupy all or even the bulk of these areas.

These indicative sites do not override the regional coastal planning and resource consent application processes, and it is these which will ultimately decide the zoning for and authorisation of a marine farm. It is through these processes that the candidate areas will be subject to more detailed site investigation and assessment of environmental effects and more precise boundaries will be determined. These processes will also enable greater iwi, public and industry involvement in the decisionmaking process through the Resource Management Act 2001 consultation, submission and appeal rights. Early engagement with iwi by councils and applicants is essential.

Because commercial scale aquaculture of any finfish species likely to be grown in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park has not taken place anywhere in New Zealand as yet, we recommend sufficient trialling of the species proposed and comprehensive monitoring to show that there are no significant environmental effects. This would provide more certainly for the industry and the community, before full scale farms are released.

The sites identified in the Table are based on current knowledge of the industry and its growth aspirations, biophysical and natural character attributes of the areas, other uses of marine space, and mana whenua aspirations. We expect that new entrants to the sector, new types of aquaculture, or new technologies will almost certainly emerge in the future and further opportunities for these may need to be considered at that time.

<sup>4</sup> Any reference to mana whenua is not the position of all iwi of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park but reflects the opinion of those we discussed aquaculture with. Work is required with each iwi to determine their individual priorities and perspectives.

In addition, iwi and hapū should be supported to prepare plans identifying the potential location of future iwi/hapū operated commercial or customary marine farms to inform forward planning for aquaculture across the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

SITE	LOCATION	SPECIES
1	Thames	Inter-tidal shellfish (oysters)
2	Kaiaua	Inter-tidal shellfish (oysters)
3	Coromandel Harbour South	Inter-tidal shellfish (oysters)
4	Coromandel Harbour North	Inter-tidal shellfish (oysters)
5	Whangapoua	Inter-tidal shellfish (oysters)
6	Maraetai	Inter-tidal shellfish (oysters)
7	Colville	Subtidal shellfish (mussels and fish)
8	Great Mercury	Subtidal shellfish (mussels and fish)
9	East Coromandel	Subtidal shellfish (mussels and fish)
10	South Great Barrier Island	Subtidal shellfish (mussels and fish)
11	Western Firth	Subtidal shellfish (mussels)
12	Ponui	Subtidal shellfish (mussels)
13	Whitianga	Subtidal shellfish (mussels)

Table 5.1Description of preferred indicativeaquaculture areas



**Map 5.1** Existing aquaculture sites, indicative areas preferred for future aquaculture development, and areas unsuitable for aquaculture. See Appendix 2 for detailed locations and explanations of the numbered aquaculture sites.

#### Identify areas where aquaculture should be restricted

Areas which are unsuitable for aquaculture need to be identified in order to provide certainty for industry, the community and the environment. An initial identification of unsuitable areas is shown on map 5.1. There are other areas which are unsuitable and the full spatial range of these will need to be identified by councils.

#### A robust regulatory framework and monitoring regime that supports mana whenua, industry and local communities

The identification of preferred locations and inappropriate locations need to be supported by consistent policies, rules and other methods in order to provide industry with the clarity and certainty it needs to make large scale investment decisions and to deliver on mana whenua and local community expectations regarding protection of the environment and engagement with councils and industry. At present, inconsistent decision-making and monitoring requirements across council boundaries are an impediment to further growth of the sector. In many cases, mana whenua have been given insufficient input to aquaculture decision making and monitoring.

#### Planning framework

Regional coastal plan reviews should occur by 2018. The focus needs to be on the community providing input at the planning stage in terms of identifying suitable sites to zone as suitable for aquaculture and to zone as unsuitable. The reviews should address the following matters:

1. Provision of more permissive resource consenting for those areas identified as suitable in Table One (as further defined through the plan review process), than for aquaculture applications outside those areas (we suggest a restricted discretionary status for new farms). This will provide the industry with an incentive to grow in a planned manner, through reducing the significant costs, timeframes and uncertainty associated with a full discretionary resource consenting process. For those sites identified

as unsuitable for aquaculture, non-complying activity status and associated policies and objectives should apply.

- 2. Provision for the re-consenting of existing farms as a controlled activity, where they are located in areas identified as suitable for aquaculture in the regional coastal plan, although retaining the requirement to undertake a site-based assessment of environmental effects.
- 3. Full-scale finfish farms are not to be released until there has been sufficient trialling of the species proposed and comprehensive monitoring has shown that there are no significant environmental effects.
- Provision for small scale aquaculture (less than 5 hectares), in areas identified as suitable for aquaculture in the regional coastal plan, as a limited

notified restricted discretionary activity, to reduce the consenting barriers to establishment but still providing for a robust consenting process taking in to account cumulative effects.

- 5. Provision for experimental aquaculture sites of less than 3 hectares and of no more than five vears duration. as a controlled activity, in areas identified as suitable for aquaculture in the regional coastal plan, to provide for the small scale piloting of new species and methods. Experimental aquaculture involving finfish species, which is located in areas outside those identified as suitable for finfish farming but within areas identified as suitable for other forms of aquaculture should be a restricted discretionary activity.
- 6. Provision for the expansion, readjustment and/or relocation of existing marine farms based on a robust set of criteria.
- 7. Recognition of mana whenua values and interests in any planning and resource consenting decision-making and providing for joint planning, learning and employment opportunities through such mechanisms as combined marine farmer and iwi forums.
- 8. Inclusion of criteria for tendering new aquaculture space which recognises the importance of providing for a range of operators and maximising the cultural, social economic and environmental benefits of marine farms to the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

 Provision for imposing conditions of consent that require applicants to be certified by Aquaculture New Zealand's A+ Sustainable Aquaculture programme, and to incorporate technological and industry improvements.

#### **Monitoring framework**

Monitoring of marine farms should be designed, so that the information collected contributes to a wider understanding of the dynamics and state of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, as well as identifying any adverse environmental impacts of individual farms. This can be achieved through:

- 1. Providing consistent farm-by-farm monitoring and reporting requirements across the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.
- 2. Carefully designing monitoring requirements so that the information generated can be utilised within the broader Park-wide monitoring programme.
- 3. Developing and using cultural indicators as part of the monitoring and restoration regime and involving mana whenua in the monitoring programme, particularly for measuring any cultural effects (discussed in more detail in the Implementation Chapter).
- 4. Ensuring that any data generated through farm monitoring programmes is freely available to councils, iwi, research institutions and the public.
- 5. Considering delegations of council monitoring functions to iwi.

Implementing an integrated marine monitoring system for the Park will require additional resources. The aquaculture industry can provide a valuable contribution to this. We recommend that any council and central government funds raised through tendering new aquaculture space within the Park be utilised to help fund an improved Park monitoring system including, in the first instance, the deployment of additional monitoring buoys.

#### Supporting research and innovation through the creation of a hub for aquaculture excellence

The benefits of the development of a hub jointly run by universities, industry, iwi and government for research and innovation for aquaculture in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park could be valuable for both the industry, at a local, national and international scale, and for those that seek to better understand the state of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park and the changes that are occurring. It would also provide opportunities for stewardship of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park for larger parts of the community and provide greater opportunity for jobs in a highly productive and skilled research sector.

Aquaculture in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park already supports some educational and research activities and this opportunity can be leveraged further because of the proximity of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park to a large highly skilled workforce and a number of tertiary educational facilities, and the accessibility of the aquaculture activities within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

The hub should be dually focused on environmental and commercial matters (as opposed to pure research) and could consider subjects such as:

• **Restoration benefits** - The development of additional shellfish farms has the potential to increase the filtering of water in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, as well as restoration of benthic mussel beds using unwanted mussel shells. While the extent of the potential positive impact of this is unknown, and will vary from species to species, it is important that this potential is maximised. The hub could lead research into the potential of aquaculture to contribute to the restoration effort for the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park and how such contributions could be enhanced.

- New species The hub could coordinate and lead the investigation into species not currently farmed in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park such as finfish, seaweeds, kina and sea cucumbers. These are experimental at this time and not commercially farmed in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, so more work is required before these become a commercial reality. For example, farming of sea cucumbers under farms may reduce the depositional and organic enrichment impacts. Other positive effects may be achieved such as farming seaweeds, which directly remove nutrients from the water, may increase localised oxygen content, and provide additional habitats for some fish and shellfish species
- New technologies and modelling New technologies will continue to evolve, both in NZ and overseas, that could assist with aquaculture development, and monitoring. The hub could have a technology development and / or testing focus to ensure that as new technologies become available, they are tested and proven to be appropriate for deployment in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. Determining the aquaculture carrying capacity of potential farm sites requires sophisticated science, including modelling. For example, biophysical models have been used to understand the potential adverse effects due to phytoplankton depletion associated with mussel farms in the Wilson Bay zone.
- **Climate change** As the global average temperature increases and CO2 within the ocean begins to reach saturation, the ability of the ocean to absorb carbon

may alter significantly. At some point in the future removing carbon from the ocean may need to be considered. One method for achieving this could be through shellfish farming. Shellfish absorb carbon as they grow and convert it into calcium carbonate (CaCO3) to form their shell. The effectiveness of this method is still unknown and will vary significantly depending on species, stocking densities and a range of other variables – a research and innovation hub could lead this type of investigation.

• Ocean acidification - Increasing carbon dioxide in the atmosphere is causing the ocean to acidify. This changes the chemistry of the water, which in turn affects marine ecosystems and organisms including kai moana. Currently Ngāti Whātua and Ngāti Paoa are working alongside NIWA, the Universities of Auckland and Otago and the Cawthron Institute (including the aquaculture industry, MPI, regional councils, DOC and the Hauraki Gulf Forum) on the Coastal Acidification Rate, Impacts & Management Project. This 4-year project funded by the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment will monitor the rate that New Zealand coastal waters are acidifying. The project will also determine the effect of ocean acidification on important species like green shell mussel, pāua and snapper. The project will focus on three sites around New Zealand, one of which is the Firth of Thames Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi. We have data on water chemistry in the Firth that show it may be experiencing acidification – a research hub could also continue input into this research.

# PLACE STUDY: WHAREKAWA- MANA WHENUA AND AQUACULTURE IN THE FIRTH OF THAMES



Figure 5.5 Tukumana Taiwiwi Te Taniwha – Ngāti Whanaunga /Ngāti Maru (1862 –1941)



Figure 5.6Existing musselfarms are within 800m of theshoreline at Wharekaw

The Kaiaua coastline, known as Wharekawa to mana whenua, is the rohe of Ngāti Paoa and Ngāti Whanaunga. Having once relied on the great mussel reefs within Tīkapa Moana, today most of the reefs have not recovered from the dredging of the mid-1900s. However, now as then, the coastline has ideal conditions for mussels. The coastline is subject to a tidal wave of mussel farming applications, almost all off-shore of the early land block Wharekawa number 4. This concentration of aquaculture activity is one of the pressures we are seeking to address in the Plan.

#### Mātauranga Māori - traditional fisheries knowledge

Wharekawa 4 was confirmed by the Native Land Court as the estate of four Ngāti Whanaunga hapū, Te Mateawa, Ngāti Puku, Ngāti Rangiaohia and Ngāti Kotinga. They had been the kaitiaki of this area since the coming of Marutuahu to Hauraki, probably in the 16th century.

Over this timespan iwi have built up a vast mātauranga, a body of traditional knowledge, about the coasts and harbours, and the kaimoana that lives there. This knowledge is key to understanding and managing local resources. Tukumana wrote down some of his knowledge of kūtai:

"If arose a wind from the North and if the wind blew towards that hill [Hauroa], or if the wind it blew down from it or lowered so that it drove (banked up) the sea land ward, then is seen the mussels (kuku and kūtai) – and they come ashore, not in the least were broken a single one of these mussels cast ashore – all were quite fresh though quite ashore. Nor was a single mussel to be seen outside the sea mark (below high tide mark).

But if the wind is rising at the time it is flood tide – at dead low tide there will be no mussels cast up. If it should happen that the wind veers about to that mountain [Kohukohunui] when it is only half tide, those mussels will all be broken – and will be found also spread about all over the place".

Ngāti Whanaunga and Ngāti Paoa still live at Wharekawa, at their papakāinga at Kaiaua, Waihihi, and on the last remaining substantial piece of Māori land on the coastline at Waimango. While they have shares in aquaculture through the pan-tribal Hauraki fishing companies, they are not directly involved in mussel farming.



**Figure 5.7** Wharekawa Māori owned land (purple), Iwi rohe boundary (white shading), regional boundary (yellow line), existing aquaculture (blue), and aquaculture applications (orange)

While there are currently only about 100 hectares of farms in the vicinity, applications are lodged for several thousand hectares. This Plan has recommended a range of alternative locations where aquaculture should be promoted.

#### The value of local relationships

Local hapū and whānau and the mussel farmers have established a group to oversee monitoring, develop a restoration plan, and undertake restoration initiatives in the vicinity. The Tūwhituaroa Aquaculture Steering Group was agreed between to the parties as a condition of consent. Called the Tūwhituaroa Aquaculture Steering Group provides a meaningful platform for the local hapū and whānau to exercise kaitiakitanga.

A critical aspect in the successful establishment of a kaitiaki steering group at Wharekawa is the long relationship between mana whenua and the local mussel farming families. Several of the owners have lived in the area for many generations, and the resulting relationship is cherished by local Māori and the farmers alike. It is hoped that this will provide a model for future marine farms.



**Figure 5.8** The Wharenui - ancestral meeting house of Ngāti Paoa anad Ngāti Whanaunga at Wharekawa Marae, south of the mussel farms on the Wharekawa coastline (Source. Ngāti Paoa Iwi Trust)

PART THREE: RIDGE TO REEF OR MOUNTAINS TO SEA

> WÁHANGA TUATORU: KI UTA KI TAI



RERENGA RAUROPI.

He moana mauri ora

Healthy functioning ecosystems with replenished abundance and diversity of life.

## WATER QUALITY. ORANGA PŪMAU O TE WAI.

Integrated Catchment Management "Ki Uta Ki Tai" (mountains to the sea) is a holistic way of managing ecosystems within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

Ki Uta Ki Tai, meaning from mountains to the sea, is a traditional holistic way of understanding and managing the environment. Consistent with western models such as integrated catchment management, Ki Uta Ki Tai is the approach adopted in Sea Change to restore and protect the terrestrial freshwater ecosystems and marine habitats of the Park, by recognising the critical linkages between terrestrial and marine ecosystems. Again, Māori and western/ scientific perspectives, knowledge, and approaches are incorporated in our effort to understand what is happening within the Park, and within our proposed management response. Part Three is central to achieving the overarching vision guiding Sea Change: He taonga tuku iho (treasures handed to us from our ancestors), Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi- the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is vibrant with life and healthy mauri, increasingly productive, and supporting healthy and prosperous communities.

Ki Uta Ki Tai consists of two chapters, Chapter 6 on Biodiversity and Chapter 7 on Water Quality. Chapter 6 is broken into four main themes, biodiversity, MPAs, marine debris, and biosecurity. As per previous chapters, objectives are stated relating to each theme, and management actions proposed. Chapter 7 presents a description of the main contaminants of the waters of the Park, sediment, nutrients, heavy metals, and microbial pathogens. Problems associated with each are identified, followed by an assessment of what is needed to address the issue, how we will achieve this, and the means by which we will determine success. Finally, particular risks and threats facing the Park are investigated, and responses to these proposed.

# 6. **BIODIVERSITY**

## **RERENGA RAUROPI**

### He moana mauri ora

Healthy functioning ecosystems with replenished abundance and diversity of life.

This Chapter encompasses four main sections;

- 1. Biodiversity;
- 2. MPAs;
- 3. Marine debris; and
- 4. Biosecurity.

Although they are presented as separate sections in the Chapter, there are close linkages between each section, so they should be considered as an integrated package. Biodiversity has significant links with many other sections of this Plan, especially Fish Stocks and Water Quality.

## BIODIVERSITY OF THE HAURAKI GULF MARINE PARK

The waters and islands of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park are rich in life, including many species of seabirds, mammals, fish, and diverse invertebrates including sponges, corals, bryozoans, crustaceans, gastropods, worms, and bivalves. Large areas of kelp forests occur on many of its reefs, including large brown seaweeds which form habitats for many reef fish species, as well as smaller red and green seaweeds. On the soft sediments where light levels are sufficient for plants to grow, additional species occur such as rhodoliths, and calcareous algae which grow as stone-like forms, providing habitat for many other species. Dense beds of horse mussels, dog cockles and other shellfish species grow in some areas, while other seafloor areas support assemblages of burrowing sea urchins, sea cucumbers, scallops, and brittle-star beds.

Species include tiny copepods with long antennae, ribbon like mm-long *Oikopleura*, jellyfish and salp chains, while larger crustaceans such as euphasids at times occur in large swarms. Small pelagic fish such as pilchard and anchovies feed on the zooplankton, and at time are pushed to the surface and concentrated by larger fish predators such as kahawai, forming 'boilups' that also attract seabirds and dolphins.



**Figure 6.1** Flesh-footed shearwater (*Puffinus carneipes*); one of the largest colonies of this species in New Zealand occurs at Mercury and Ohinau Islands

Out in the deeper water, hard corals (including black corals and gorgonian trees) occur, along with populations of hāpuku. In the water column, phytoplankton provide food for a range of zooplankton species, while some zooplankton prey on others.



**Figure 6.2** Shortbeaked common dolphins (*Delphinus delphis*), found throughout the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park

Similarly, trevally sometimes feed on concentrated euphasids and other invertebrate prey at the surface, creating noisy surface schools as they suck up prey.

The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park also changes through the seasons, as many fish migrate in large schools for spawning, while seabird species roam even further afield, or congregate at nesting sites to raise the next generation.

Seabirds and marine mammals occur throughout the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, the species and numbers present at any time varying according to seasonal migration patterns and breeding cycles. Twenty-seven species of seabirds breed within the Marine Park. While raising chicks these species tend to spend a greater proportion of their time feeding within the waters of the Marine Park, whereas at other times of the year many leave for rich feeding grounds in the North and Southeast Pacific Ocean. While bottlenose and shortbeaked common dolphins and Bryde's whales live and feed in the outer Hauraki Gulf, many other species of whale and dolphin pass through it as part of regular seasonal migrations to and from distant destinations, or in the pursuit of prey. Closer to shore the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park's numerous harbours and estuaries, and the vast tidal flats of the Firth of Thames, are used by tens of thousands of migratory and resident shore birds from at least 77 different species.

### **BIODIVERSITY - A COMMUNITY PERSPECTIVE**

We have heard overwhelmingly from the public that marine biodiversity, MPAs, and biosecurity, are some of the most important issues for the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. Many people want to see more marine reserves. Concerns about declining health and impacts of human activities on marine ecosystems and the species that live in them are commonplace, as the quotes from Listening Posts below demonstrate.

#### A selection of quotes from members of the public at Listening Posts about biodiversity



#### Mahurangi

Someone told me that all the crabs are going. You don't see them now. I can remember the crabs during my holidays. Without the crabs there's nothing for the flounder to crunch up. What's happened to them? You don't see children looking (for little creatures) in rock pools either. Where have the crabs gone to? Are the pools all silted up?

#### **Mercury Bay**

Aquariums in the rock pools – we would make a fish zoo. I remember my feet in the rock pool with all the little shrimps nibbling your feet.

I can remember as a youngster, when the tide was out we would find huge holes in the sand made by snapper, and lots of pipi.

*Tiri I just love! We both help out on Motutapu, where the birds are. Restored ecology is attracting people.* 

#### Thames

Its (reduced fish catch) reflected in the sea birds. We see petrels, and there's still plenty of gannets but you don't see the terns sitting on the beach like there used to be, the black back or the red ones.

Very few kelp beds any more.

#### Tairua

Coastal Marine Reserves: easy to access (rather than going by boat) for families and kids.

#### **St Marys Bay**

I think marine reserves have made a difference. I'd like some more (marine) reserves. I think they breed the fish and you are going to get the range of mussels that bring the smaller fish and they bring the bigger fish – you create the whole eco system.

I support the proposals for more marine protected areas. Reserves help the fish to breed and live safely.
## **Point England**

Parore for crayfish bait were everywhere, flitting and darting. Even so I set a net last week and got eight or nine parore and eight or nine trevally. We don't eat parore. There are still schools in the mouth of the estuary of mullet and trevally. And snapper in amongst the mangroves.

The seaweed used to be six-foot-deep and two chain wide after a storm, the flies would come and blow their eggs through it, then it would be crawling with maggots, then the piper would come, then the kahawai and kingies to feast.

## Tairua

Kina barrens are massive! On the west coast the kelp is better. On the east coast there is less kelp and lots of barrens. You've got to swim right out over the barrens.

Mangroves need to be managed – not total removal. Look at where they are protecting land, have other roles such as marine ecosystem services, or impeding access.

## Kaiaua

Securing habitat for shorebird, health of inter-tidal area, securing high-tide bird roosts, unobstructed.

I am concerned about the sea floor. With the reduction or removal of all the mussels we now have so many more invasive species. We have sea squirts. My family and I do the scallop fest thing but now have parasites in the scallops – where did they come from? Ballast from big container ships? And the fan worm... it's really concerning.

The sea floor is like a garden or a paddock – if it is healthy then the whole system will be healthy.

## **St Marys Bay**

Reef restoration can take place through volunteer action and make a difference in three months.

#### Whitianga

Dune restoration has helped with erosion and the provision of walkways means the majority of people don't walk over the dunes to the ocean beach, but use the paths

## Orewa

Marine reserves have got to be somewhere tourists can get to – need parking, accommodation, decent access, things like that.

#### **St Marys Bay**

There are no terns any more or very few – we used to look for terns diving. Now we look for gannets to indicate where kahawai are.

Used to get lots of sharks – hammerheads and others – in 1970s and prolific amount of flounder.

Used to be much more crayfish – at marine reserves there are loads more but reefs in between there are kina barrens.

There are a lot of eagle rays – they are very prevalent at oyster farms, they go in between the poles and our legs. They are lovely creatures.

#### **Great Barrier**

Without reserves the fish are bombarded with noise and other stressors like being caught on a hook. Reserves provide safe places, especially for crayfish to breed.

We need a really large network of marine reserves, partly educational, so the fish have an opportunity to establish themselves. Look at the contact at Goat Island. There's a push back – 'not in my backyard'. We need another 20 (MPAs) and of a reasonable size – 80% or 90% for fishing. Fishermen don't have to have all the Gulf. I'm talking about ones that are good for the Gulf...

#### Whangateau Harbour

Changing mentality: a marine reserve to show/tell people how rich the marine environment is. Change the mentality to enjoyment not just catching.

The Summary and Outcomes of Sea Change – Tai Timu Tai Pari Community Engagement (January 2014 – February 2015) noted:

- Families using the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park suggested that fishing and other types of recreation should be allowed in areas accessible to the coast, with marine reserves in less accessible areas. Others use marine reserves for different types of recreation.
- People want to protect what we have in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. Places and species that are unique to Aotearoa should be protected forever.
- Marine reserves allow people to experience biodiversity and discover something new in their own backyard.



**Figure 6.3** The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park contains a resident population of bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*)

## **BIODIVERSITY - A SCIENTIFIC PERSPECTIVE**

Hauraki Gulf Marine Park marine habitats range from shallow, extremely sheltered waters of estuaries and harbours, to exposed offshore islands and reefs and deep outer shelf and upper continental slope sediments (see Map 6.1). Extensive shallow rocky reefs occur around much of the coastline, except in the Firth of Thames which is dominated by soft sediments. Deep rocky reefs are located on the outer shelf northeast of Mokohinau Islands, east of Great Barrier Island and Coromandel Peninsula, and west of Little Barrier Island. High-current habitats occur in and around Colville Channel and Waitematā Harbour. This high level of habitat diversity is reflected in the diversity of marine life within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park (e.g. Morley & Hayward 2009; Lee et al. 2015).

Phytoplankton growth throughout much of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is driven by nutrients upwelled over the outer continental shelf in spring and early summer. This supports a relatively high biomass of large zooplankton (e.g. krill, hyperiid amphipods, salps and jellyfish). This zooplankton, and a variety of squid and small bait fish that feed on it, are important in the diets of fish species such as Jack mackerel, kahawai, trevally and kingfish, as well as a highly migratory fish community that includes whale sharks, manta rays, tuna and marlins. Seabirds and marine mammals that live in and visit the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park also rely on this food source.

The whales and dolphin populations of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park are relatively diverse. Resident species are Bryde's whale, common and bottlenose dolphins, and killer whale. Bryde's whale, bottlenose dolphin and killer whale are considered threatened species due to their naturally small population sizes.

The New Zealand Bryde's whale population is thought to be largely confined to the northeast North Island with a large proportion of individuals resident within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. As the populations of southern right whale, humpback whale, blue whale and New Zealand fur seal continue to recover from human hunting, encounters with these species are increasing, with southern right whales and fur seals increasingly seen in urbanised areas such as Waitematā Harbour.



Map 6.1 Mapping of marine habitat types within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park

The entire North Eastern Coastal Marine Bioregion, particularly the area between Cape Brett and Waihi, including the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, is a globally significant seabird biodiversity hotspot (Gaskin & Rayner 2012). The greatest diversity and abundance of nesting seabirds occurs on predator-free offshore islands, with relatively few species still breeding at mainland locations. Of the 27 species of seabirds breeding in the region, four, the New Zealand fairy tern, Pycroft's petrel, black petrel, and New Zealand storm petrel, breed nowhere else. Nineteen of the species breeding in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park are listed as threatened species. The New Zealand fairy tern and New Zealand storm petrel are two of the rarest seabirds in the world.

The numerous harbours, estuaries and the extensive intertidal flats located at the head of the Firth of Thames provide nationally and internationally significant coastal bird habitat. The Firth of Thames RAMSAR<sup>1</sup> site supports up to 25,000 mostly migratory wading birds belonging to at least 77 different species. These birds move and forage throughout the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, as well as moving between east and west coast harbours, to take advantage of the opposing tide times to feed.



**Figure 6.4** Large numbers of bar-tailed godwit (*Limosa lapponica*) and other shorebirds travel to the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park from Asia each year to feed

Many small estuaries and beaches also provide critical habitat for threatened banded dotterel and Northern New Zealand dotterel. Areas recognised as containing nationally and regionally important wading and shore bird habitats include Colville Bay, and the Waitematā, Coromandel, Whangapoua, Whitianga, Tairua, Wharekawa, and Whangamata harbours.

## Human activities contribute to the ongoing loss of indigenous marine biodiversity

Human impacts on the indigenous biodiversity of the Hauraki Gulf began with hunting/foraging and increased freshwater inflow and sedimentation of harbours and estuaries following deforestation of the surrounding catchments by the first Polynesian settlers (Hayward et al. 2004). These impacts intensified in European times as deforestation, channelisation of waterways, and wetland reclamation increased, and then again as land use shifted from predominantly rural to increasingly urban in the 1950s (Hayward et al. 2004). Non-indigenous marine species and disease outbreaks are among the most recently recognised threats to indigenous marine species. Non-indigenous organisms began arriving in our waters on the hulls of the first European sailing vessels (e.g. wood-boring bivalves) and the number establishing here continues to increase, reflecting the changes in the level and nature of international shipping, and New Zealand's dependence on it.

Loss of indigenous marine biodiversity has been greatest in coastal habitats, particularly urban harbours and estuaries. In the Firth of Thames, where extensive coastal wetlands have been drained and converted to agricultural land, forest clearance and mining have resulted in large historic inputs of sediments to the marine environment, and poorly managed trawling and shellfish dredging resulted in the almost total loss of subtidal mussel reefs, scallop and oyster beds by the mid-1960s.

<sup>1</sup> The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, called the Ramsar Convention, is the intergovernmental treaty that provides the framework for the conservation and wise use of wetlands and their resources.



**Figure 6.5** Dense bed of tuangi, New Zealand cockle (*Austrovenus stutchburyi*) on the edge of a tidal channel

Habitat homogenisation and loss, barriers to fish migration, pollution, and over fishing have, all reduced sea bed, reef and estuarine biodiversity. Estuaries provide important nursery habitat for a number of common coastal fishes (Morrison et al. 2014a-d) and represent critical migratory corridors for a high proportion of our native freshwater fishes. Within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, Great Barrier Island, the Coromandel Peninsula, and the catchments surrounding Waitematā Harbour, still retain considerable freshwater fish values. The Waitematā Harbour, although highly modified, has a relatively high diversity of small intertidal and shallow subtidal fish species compared to other New Zealand estuaries, and the larvae of all the freshwater species occurring in the surrounding catchments migrate through it (Larcombe 1973; Lowe & Morrison 2012). The inanga (Galaxias maculatus, the main 'whitebait' species) also spawns in riparian vegetation above Mean High Water Mark, and the giant bully (Gobiomorphus gobioides), a little known native species, has been recorded from the tidal reaches of several streams in the catchment (McDowall 1990). Significant remnants of indigenous coastal wetland and saltmarsh still occur in Manaia Harbour, Coromandel Harbour (south side of Preece Point), Colville Bay, Whangapoua Harbour, Tairua, Wharekawa and Whangamatā Harbours, as well as a number of sites around the coastline of Great Barrier Island. The small

estuary on Whangapoua Creek, Great Barrier Island is notable for intact indigenous coastal vegetation sequences and a remnant subtidal green-lipped mussel reef (McLeod et al. 2014).

Beyond the coastal fringe, fishing has had, and continues to have, a pervasive influence on marine biodiversity, including: the direct removal of large amounts of shellfish and finfish biomass, the alteration of size structures of target species with consequent flow-on effects on ecological processes such as predator-prey relationships, the localised extinction of some species (e.g. hāpuku) and the reduction to low numbers of others (e.g. packhorse lobster), the bycatch of threatened species, and the disturbance and destruction of benthic habitats and associated fauna and flora (Morrison et al. 2014a,d; Maxwell & MacDiarmid 2016).

Alteration of predator-prey dynamics due to local depletion of snapper and rock lobster populations has been shown to be the main driver creating kina (the sea urchin *Evechinus chloroticus*) barrens<sup>2</sup> throughout the mid- Hauraki Gulf Marine Park and northeast North Island generally (Shears & Babcock 2002, 2003; Salomon et al. 2008; Leleu et al. 2012).



**Figure 6.6** Over-grazing by the kina/common sea urchin (*Evechinus chloroticus*) can transform large areas of reef from kelp forest to less productive 'urchin barrens'

Kina barrens are shallow areas of rocky reef where the only large organism present in any abundance are sea urchins. These urchins have become domimant as their natural predators have been fished down to low numbers. The grazing of the rock surfaces by the urchins prevents the establishment of new kelp forests.

But a more profound effect of fishing on the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park has been the almost complete removal of the extensive beds of green shell mussel and horse mussels that characterised much of the Firth of Thames, Tāmaki Strait, and inner Gulf, between Waiheke and Kawau Islands (McLeod et al. 2011, 2014; Morrison et al. 2014d).

The loss of these beds of large bivalves has greatly simplified the benthic ecosystem by removing a large amount of three dimensional structure provided by the mussel shells and the organisms growing on them (e.g. sponges, hydroids, ascidans). Over 500 km<sup>2</sup> of green shell mussel beds are estimated to have been lost from the Firth of Thames and Tāmaki Strait (McLeod et al. 2011, 2014; Morrison et al. 2014d). The area of horse mussels and other non-commercial species such as sponges that has been lost is unknown, but anecdotal evidence suggests that the area covered by horse mussels was comparable to that of green shell mussels (Paul 2014).

Other habitats probably greatly reduced in extent and abundance include bryozoans, sea pens, sponges, gorgonians, and hard corals (in deeper waters). At present horse mussel beds appear to be largely confined to some estuaries and inshore areas that have not been dredged or trawled. Threats to remaining populations include infrastructure development, sedimentation, pollution, non-indigenous marine species, anchor damage, and recreational scallop dredging. Other activities that have contributed to observed declines in biodiversity nationally and or globally include:

- Sediment sedimentation of the seafloor smothers marine life, adversely affecting filter-feeding animals, covers plants surfaces preventing photosynthesis, and prevents new plant spores and animal larvae from establishing. Suspended in the water column, it has negative effects on visual feeding predators and pelagic filter-feeders, and reduces the amount of light that reaches the seafloor, adversely affecting plant growth and the depths to which they can grow. It is the single largest contributor to poor water quality in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.
- Nutrient inputs from land these can cause algal blooms that lead to the development of anoxic conditions in sediments and the water column.
  Phytoplankton blooms and the growth of epiphytes<sup>3</sup> driven by elevated nutrient levels can also increase shading of sea grass and benthic macro algae (seaweeds), leading to declines in these species.
- Increasing copper, lead and zinc levels in estuaries – at high concentrations these can be lethal to marine life, but even at lower concentrations they can affect development, growth, and reproduction.
- **Plastic refuse** this can kill marine species by entanglement and ingestion; large amounts of rubbish continues to enter the marine environment around Auckland.
- Vessel strikes The first vessel-struck Bryde's whale was reported in the Gulf in 1996. From 1996 up until September 2014, a total of 44 whales have been found dead, with a further three reported dead but their carcasses not recovered. Of the 44 whales, only 20 had sufficient data collected to assign the definite or probable cause of death and in 17 whales (85%) vessel-strike was the most likely cause of death. Since September 2013, Ports of Auckland and the shipping industry have worked to reduce vessel speed in the Hauraki Gulf, with the result that only one whale has been killed by ship strike since then. No whales have been killed by ship strike since September 2014. Collisions with recreational vessels also contribute to deaths of smaller species, particularly penguins.

<sup>3</sup> 

An epiphyte is a plant that normally grows harmlessly upon another plant e.g. filamentous algae growing on seagrass blades, which in turn are grazed by invertebrates such as gastropods and small limpits.

Finally, global climate change represents a chronic, long-term disturbance to marine ecosystems. Environmental changes associated with climate change include increased sea surface temperatures, changes in the frequency and intensity of storms, changes in ocean circulation, and ocean acidification. Sea-level rise will also create challenges for the conservation of coastal biodiversity through impacts on intertidal habitats and the composition of coastal vegetation types (in response to changes in immersion-emersion and salinity regimes). Negative effects of global sea-level rise on marine biodiversity will be greatest in estuarine and coastal ecosystems. The most obvious effect will be the loss of existing coastal lagoons and wetlands, shore bird nesting, roosting and foraging areas, and intertidal habitats, unless the ecological effects of coastal inundation are anticipated and planned for. Increased coastal erosion may also result in increased amounts of terrestrial sediment entering the coastal zone.

## What does the loss of indigenous marine biodiversity mean?

High biodiversity is associated with high biological productivity, so that one of the most obvious consequences of a large scale loss of biodiversity is a reduction in food production. This has been dramatically demonstrated in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park by the historic loss of over 500 km<sup>2</sup> of green shell mussel beds from the Firth of Thames. As well as representing an important food source, these beds provided habitat for a wide range of other invertebrates, and nursery and foraging habitat for fishes. They also provided other important ecological functions, such as filtering seawater, and linking water column production to the seafloor (called bentho-pelagic coupling) by feeding on plankton and excreting waste products, as well as increasing their own size. Observations of remnant Mussel beds in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park indicate they support a distinct assemblage of macroinvertebrates, with 3.5 times the density, 3.4 times the biomass and 3.5 times the productivity of surrounding soft sediment areas. The density of small fishes on these beds is 13.7 times higher than in surrounding areas, and the estimated loss in predatory fish production across the former extent of the beds is 200-16,000 tonnes per year (McLeod et al. 2014; Morrison et al. 2014). The effect on estuarine fishes in the Firth of Thames of deforestation (sediment) and conversion of the Hauraki Plains wetlands to agriculture is revealed by the observation of a brief customary fishing trip about three miles up the Piako River in 1902 (see below).

Benthic primary producers found on soft sediments, the habitat type which dominates most of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, include sea grass, small red and green seaweeds and microphytobenthos (small primary producers including diatoms that live on the sediment surface, sometimes seen by divers as a 'brown fuzz' that may colour shallow sandy sediments). Similarly, the shallower rocky reef systems hold many kelp species, especially the larger brown kelps such as E. radiata and species of Carpophyllum and *Sargasum*, which substantially increase primary production and provide important habitats for many species. These range from tiny crustaceans, such as amphipods and shrimps which are responsible for most of the animal production on shallow rocky reefs (e.g., around 78% at Leigh, Taylor, 1998), through to larger fishes and invertebrates, some of which (e.g. lobsters and snapper) help to maintain the kelp forests and minimise kina barrens (Babcock et al. 1999).

As all plant species require light for photosynthesis, seaweeds are naturally limited in how deep

Assisted by a native lad I twice lifted the net in about three-quarters of an hour, with the following result: 581 eels from 1 ft. to 4 ft. in length, the largest the size of one's arm; eight dozen flounders, of various sizes; large numbers of aua or kātaha (Agonostoma forsteri) [yellow-eyed mullet]; about 60 lb. or 70 lb. weight of pilchard or mohimohi (Clupea sagax), two varieties; a few Snapper, mullet, and kahawai; and hundreds of young red-cod, rarii (Lotella bacchus), and what I believe are the young rock-cod, or kōkopu or rāwaru (Percis colias) [blue cod]. The red-cod were from 8 in to 4 inches in length, and the rawaru, or as the natives here call them, "toitoi" or "panepane," from 2 inches to 6 inches long. Very large quantities of a kind of whitebait were also caught at the same time.

Captain G. Mair, Notes on fish found in the Piako River, read before the Auckland Institute, 4 August 1902

they can grow. Unfortunately, declining water quality (including turbidity in the water column strongly reducing sunlight penetration), the direct smothering of plants photosynthetic surfaces by fine sediments, and sediments covering potential settlement surfaces for plant spores to create new plants, means that major reductions in benthic primary production across much of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park (and wider New Zealand) have almost certainly occurred (Morrison et al. 2009). Added to these affects are the mechanical disturbance of the seafloor from fishing and other human activities, which have fundamentally effected the abundance and extent of these plant species. For some species this has resulted in their virtual ecological extinction in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park (they no longer provide the important functions that they once did). For example, subtidal seagrass is now very rare in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, although historically it was common (Powell 1937). With its loss has gone all the important functions it provided, including acting as very high value fish nurseries per unit area, and the wide range of species which it supported (Morrison et al 2014a-d).

The disturbance of large areas of seafloor by trawling and dredging suggest that major reductions in benthic primary production across much of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park are likely. Combined with the extensive loss of biogenic habitats from other stressors, the present day Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is less diverse and productive than it was historically (Thrush et al. 1998; Talman et al. 2004, Morrison et al. 2009, Maxwell & MacDiarmid 2016), or could be in the future. This conclusion extends to its ability to recover from disturbance, and to provide important regulating services such as nutrient recycling, as well as direct economic values such as higher fisheries production (Morrison et al. 2014d).

## The role of Marine Protected Areas

The use of Marine Reserves, Parks and other spatial management tools to protect biodiversity from human impacts is now wide-spread across many countries. The level of protection varies. Full 'no-take' marine reserves are designed to release all fish and invertebrate species and assemblages within the reserve from the effects of fishing, while partial protection areas may serve other functions, such as protecting the benthic habitats from protection, and/or removing the specific impacts of some fishing methods or other activities on the area.

There is a large scientific literature on marine reserves, much of it focused on the recovery of larger harvested animal species (mainly lobsters and fish), but also others such as abalone (pāua) and sea urchins (including kina). While the level and speed of recovery varies with the size and age of the reserve, the level of enforcement, and other factors such as the presence of wider-scale environmental decline, in general populations of heavily exploited species do recover in abundance, size and age in no-take marine reserves ('old-growth structure') (Babcock et al. 2010, Willis 2013). These on-average bigger sized and older individuals also provide important regulatory functions on habitats. Partial protection, where some forms of fishing are still permitted, does not allow such population recovery. For example, at the Mimiwhangata Marine Reserve, East Northland, commercial fishing is excluded but recreational fishing is permitted. Surveys showed that snapper numbers were no greater inside the Park than outside, and in fact were the lowest recorded for all of the areas surveyed (Denny & Babcock 2004). It was noted that the impression of such areas having greater fish abundance may actually increase recreational fishing pressure.

Perhaps the most widely known mechanism is that of trophic cascades between kina, kelp, lobsters and larger carnivorous fish such as snapper, which are widespread across temperate shallow reef ecosystems. In parts of north-eastern New Zealand, kina barrens (areas of shallow reef with large numbers of sea urchins and little else of any body size) are considered to be the result of a release from high predation pressure from large snapper, and in particular lobsters (Babcock et al. 1999). The kina remove the adult kelp plants, and/or prevent the establishment of new plants, by high grazing pressure. Such barren rock flats are less productive than those supporting kelp forests (Salomon et al. 2008). There are significant time lags in such dynamics. When the Leigh Marine Reserve was established many kina had grown too large to be easily predated on, and so the return of the kelp forests was dependent not only on the re-establishment of a predator population, but also on the larger kina eventually dying off from old age and factors such as disease.

Marine reserves are receiving significant international research attention on their importance as larval production areas through much higher adult numbers, and the subsequent 'export' of larvae out into fished regions where production of larvae may be considerably lower. Modelling of snapper larvae exported from the Leigh Marine Reserve suggests that significant larval subsidies are likely up to 40 km around the reserve depending on larval behaviour and El Niño-Southern Oscillation patterns (via wind forcing effects) (LePort et al. 2014). However, no empirical evidence is yet available for any New Zealand species.

In New Zealand, marine reserves are not considered as part of formal fisheries management frameworks, but they are likely to be a critical part of the tool-box for moving towards more ecosystem based (fisheries) management. The Type Two MPAs included in this Plan offer significant potential to protect and restore the ecological functioning of important seafloor habitats.

The use of marine reserves to protect important habitat, rather than fished species, has not yet been widely adopted in coastal New Zealand, although a string of marine reserves has been established in Fiordland to protect sensitive invertebrate communities ('china shops') on the fiord walls. There are, however, several examples of closures under fisheries legislation to protect significant fisheries habitat. These include the Separation Point closure in Tasman Bay and the Wairoa Hard closure in Hawke Bay. The setting aside in 1980 of 156 km<sup>2</sup> of seafloor off Separation Point (between Tasman and Golden Bays) to protect the extensive bryozoan fields found there from bottom-impacting fishing methods was a groundbreaking example of benthic habitat management (Mace 1981).

These bryozoan fields were considered to support large populations of juvenile tarakihi, snapper, red cod, John dory, and other species (Saxton 1980a, b). In 1981 the Wairoa Hard was also closed to all commercial fin fishing to protect juvenile fish habitat, in this case seaweeds and sessile invertebrates (sponges, hydroids and horse mussels) (Stevenson et al. 1987). Recent work on the Separation Pont bryozoan fields has shown that the benthic secondary (invertebrate) productivity of this closed area is significantly higher than that of the surrounding areas (Handley et al. 2014), though no equivalent fish surveys have yet been completed (Morrison et al. 2014c,d). Worryingly, evidence is accumulating that this area is now under threat of increasing degradation from landderived sedimentation (Grange et al 2003, Morrison et al. 2009, Jones et al., in press). Such inter-relationships reinforce the need for this Plan to work on multiple fronts, including strong and fundamental interactions of the biodiversity and fisheries components with the water quality section of the plan.

MPAs can also be used to provide added protection for particular species by protecting areas of importance during vulnerable life-history stages (e.g. spawning and nursery habitats, critical feeding areas, migratory corridors), protecting self-sustaining populations, or providing a buffer from human disturbance or threats. Current examples of species specific MPAs in New Zealand include some places (primarily estuaries) protected under the Wildlife Act 1953, marine mammal sanctuaries established under the Marine Mammals Protection Act 1978, and the whale sanctuary and New Zealand fur seal sanctuary established under the Kaikoura (Te Tai o Marokura) Marine Management Act 2014.

Varying levels of protection may occur within species-specific sanctuaries (e.g. spatial controls on set netting generally do not extend across the full extent of most existing marine mammal sanctuaries) and between them, depending on the species in question. Although the Plan does not contain any specific proposals for species-protection MPAs it identifies objectives for the protection and restoration of populations of vulnerable and at risk species that could lead to the establishment of these in the future. Particular issues of concern identified by the Stakeholder Working Group were the impact of ship strike on Bryde's whales, chronic disturbance of whales and dolphins, seabird foraging and a lack of food for seabirds, the risk of oil spill arising from large commercial vessels navigating through Craddock Channel and between the Mercury and Ohinau Islands and the mainland, and the potential for surface structures to increase the risk of mammalian predators establishing on predatorfree islands.

## WHAT DO WE WANT TO ACHIEVE?

## **Biodiversity themes**

There are three main, inter-related themes incorporated within the biodiversity section of this Chapter:



Threading through these themes is the underlying need for education and understanding, kaitiakitanga and stewardship to ensure the well-being of the mauri and health of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. It is also essential that sustainable economic growth within the Park is based on a healthy functioning marine ecosystem.

We articulate objectives for each of the three biodiversity themes, then propose a series of management actions for reaching these.

## Objectives for Theme A Restoring healthy functioning ecosystems

- Maintain and restore the quality of ecosystem services provided by the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, including its estuaries, coastal waters and sea floor habitats.
- 2. Ensure that all government agencies and sectors consider potential impacts of their activities on the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park's ecosystems as an integral part of their decisionmaking systems by 2018.
- 3. Establish a long-term research programme by 2018 focused on better understanding the dynamics of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park's ecosystems and impacts on them, including comprehensive mapping and description of seafloor habitats within the Park.
- 4. Establish a monitoring system that measures the ecosystem health of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park as a whole by 2018.
- 5. Establish a baseline and achieve measurable improvements in the overall ecosystem health of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park by 2025.

## Objectives for Theme B Protected, enhanced, and restored habitats

- Systematically identify by 2018 and protect by 2020 ecologically important marine habitats throughout the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park using a variety of tools including marine reserves, benthic protection areas, customary management tools and other spatial management tools.
- Restore ecologically significant habitats throughout the Hauraki Gulf by 2040<sup>4</sup>.
- Restore historic ecosystem functionality of bivalve beds by 2040 to recover self-sustaining, expanding, filtering capacity and secondary production.
- 4. Understand the risk and impacts of marine disposal of spoil on marine biodiversity by 2018 with a view to eliminating any (more than minor) impacts of the activity within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park by 2025.

## **Objectives for Theme C** Restored species diversity and abundance

- Halt any further decline in biodiversity within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park by 2025.
- 2. Restore species diversity and abundance so that there are healthy functioning populations within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park by 2040.
- 3. Ensure threatened species are not put at risk from fisheries bycatch within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park by 2025, with a view to eliminating all threatened species bycatch.
- 4. Understand seabird foraging habits (especially during their breeding seasons) and ensure that there is adequate food supply for Seabirds in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park by 2025.
- 5. As far as practicable, eliminate Bryde's whale ship strike from the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park by 2025.
- 6. Avoid any increase in human disruption of the Bottlenose dolphin population in the Hauraki Gulf.
- 7. Significantly increase the amount of freshwater habitat that can support healthy populations of Eel and Whitebait species (Link to catchment management plans) by 2020. Actively manage all populations of threatened species in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park so that they all exhibit a stable or increasing population trend within three generations (of each species).

4 Objectives to restrict and remove destructive fishing methods can be found in the Fish stocks Chapter.

## HOW WILL WE DO IT?

## Management actions for Theme A – Restored healthy functioning ecosystems

## Ecosystems-based decision-making

- Develop guidance material on how an ecosystem management/Mātauranga Māori management approach should be applied to fisheries, conservation and resource management decision-making in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park and its catchments by 2018.
- Require agencies to report progress to the Hauraki Gulf Forum, every two years, towards applying an ecosystem/Mātauranga Māori management approach. If significant progress in applying the approach has not been made after four years (i.e. two reporting periods) consider applying a stronger regulatory approach to achieve the change required.

## Ecosystem research (Link to Fish Stocks and Water Quality)

- 3. Develop a 10-year Hauraki Gulf Biodiversity Research Plan by 2018 to enable better understanding of:
  - Current gaps in information by undertaking a Gulf wide desktop gap analysis;
  - All inshore and offshore habitats through comprehensive habitat mapping and description;
  - Interrelationships between habitats and species;
  - Links between Shorebirds and Seabird foraging behaviour, state of fish stocks and other environmental indicators;
  - Ecosystem services provided by different habitats and species;
  - Cumulative impacts of pressures on the wider Gulf system;
  - Impacts of anthropogenic light on marine species;
  - Impacts of anthropogenic sound on marine species.

- 4. Coordinate and source funding to enable the Hauraki Gulf Biodiversity Research Plan to get underway by 2018 through:
  - Integrating Gulf research projects into existing research programmes;
  - Focusing and coordinating local and central government research funding into Hauraki Gulf Marine Park priorities;
  - Partnering with Universities to focus academic and student research on ecosystem projects within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park;
  - Working with the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (which provides government research funding) to include Hauraki Gulf Marine Park ecosystem research into public good science funding programmes; and
  - Philanthropic funding.

## Management actions for Theme B – Protected, enhanced, and restored habitats

- 5. By 2020, establish the MPAs identified in this plan following a process of consultation with mana whenua, local communities and stakeholder groups.
- By 2018, identify any gaps in the MPA network with specific attention to Waiheke Island and Aotea – Great Barrier Island. Establish further MPAs if required<sup>5</sup>.
- 7. Initiate a research programme to understand the impacts (including contaminants and invasive species) of the marine dumping of spoil on the Hauraki Gulf including dumping outside of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Boundary (e.g. over the edge of the continental shelf) and to investigate alternative disposal options including on land.
- 8. By 2018 Identify freshwater and estuarine areas suitable for restoration (i.e. riparian habitat) and initiate a programme of actions to achieve long-term restoration.
- 9. Develop and test innovative ways of restoring degraded habitats, and protecting these areas, involving mana whenua and community groups.

<sup>5</sup> The Stakeholder Working Group was approached by community representatives from Waiheke and Aotea (Great Barrier) seeking that marine protected areas be included in the Plan for both islands. Because the SWG also heard conflicting views and concerns at not being consulted regarding proposals it was considered more appropriate for the location of MPAs for the two islands to be decided by those communities as part of the implementation of Sea Change.

## Management actions for Theme C – Restored species diversity and abundance

## Shorebirds and Seabirds

- 10. Maintain the mammalian predator-free status of all predator-free islands in the Hauraki Gulf.
- 11. Establish a collaborative working group to report and advise on the status of Seabird and Shorebird populations and important breeding sites within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, including any adverse impacts, management actions and research affecting these. The work of this group will include:
  - Reviewing National and Regional Marine Oil Spill Contingency Plans with respect to the protection of Seabird and Shorebird populations in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park from the adverse effects of oil spill by 2019; and identification of industries that need to specifically consider potential effects on Shorebirds and Seabirds in their Site Marine Oil Spill Contingency Plans by 2020.
  - Assessment of the risk to Seabird and Shorebird populations posed by the wreck of the Niagara by 2020.
  - Review of the risk to Seabirds posed by ongoing public access to Pokohinu/Burgess Island, Mokohinau Islands by 2019, including agency contingency planning for predator incursion and fire.
  - Prioritisation of the research recommendations in Gaskin & Rayner 2013 (Seabirds of the Hauraki Gulf: Natural History, Research and Conservation)
  - Development of priority management actions and research for Shorebirds by 2019.
- 12. Work towards the elimination of all Seabird and Shorebird by-catch in fisheries by:
  - Increasing camera or in-person observer coverage to all commercial fishing vessels operating in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park to improve bycatch information.
  - Implementing a programme to better estimate recreational fishing Seabird bycatch.

- Supporting ongoing refinement, improvement and uptake of Seabird mitigation measures.
- Significantly up-scaling existing programmes focused on education and outreach targeted towards recreational fishers to reduce Seabird bycatch.
- Investigating the effectiveness and feasibility of spatial and/or temporal closures when most atrisk Seabirds are foraging and breeding within the Hauraki Gulf.
- 13. Improve the quality of seabird and Shorebird terrestrial habitat by:
  - Identifying terrestrial areas of importance to threatened Shorebirds and Seabirds by 2020.
  - Increasing legal protection for roosting and nesting sites for Seabirds on beaches and coastlines.
  - Maintaining existing predator control programmes at high priority mainland sites, and extending these by encouraging and supporting local communities to undertake effective predator control for lower priority (less threatened) species.
  - Coordinating and supporting community-led projects aimed at protecting and restoring important habitats that benefit Shorebirds and Seabirds by 2025.
  - Regularly monitoring reproductive success of Seabirds and Shorebirds.

## Bryde's whales

- 14. As far as practical work towards eliminating Bryde's whale deaths by ship strike through the following actions:
  - Support the voluntary protocol to reduce the speed of ships travelling through the Hauraki Gulf, with a target to keep speeds to no greater than 10 knots on average, acknowledging that there needs to be some flexibility to allow for oceanographic variation such as tides and other exigencies.
  - Continue regular monitoring of the speed of ships transiting the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park (currently undertaken voluntarily by the International Fund for Animal Welfare - IFAW).
  - Undertake necropsies of all dead Bryde's whales, subject to mana whenua consent, to identify the cause of death.

- In the event of further Bryde's whale deaths due to ship strike, or the above target not being met by 2018, convene a meeting of the Bryde's Whale Collaborative Group to examine what further action, if any, is necessary.
- Support ongoing Bryde's whale research to provide a better understanding of the distribution and movements of the Whales and threats to them.

## Bottlenose dolphins

- 15. No new permits should be issued to approach and interact with Bottlenose Dolphins within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, including swimming with the Dolphins.
  - All existing permits that authorise interaction with Bottlenose Dolphins within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park should exclude interactions with Bottlenose Dolphins when next reviewed.
  - Establish and fund a monitoring programme to identify any adverse effects of the exercise of the current marine mammal tourism permits in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

#### Diadromous fishes<sup>6</sup> (including Whitebait and Eels)

- 16. Initiate a programme by 2018 to identify and progressively remove barriers to the movement of diadromous species by:
  - Constructing fish passages where needed; or
  - Where required, modifying infrastructure to remove the obstacle (recognising that this may not be practical in tidal areas or for flood control structures) to fish movement.
- 17. Ensure all new structures affecting freshwater systems provide for fish passage where possible (recognising that this may not be practical in tidal areas or for flood control structures).
- 18. Increase spawning areas for diadromous species by:
  - Identifying (and where required assisting with) restoring īnanga spawning habitat in key areas (link to restoration in catchment plans).
  - Working with landowners to increase understanding of the issue and to develop migration route and riparian habitat restoration plans for private properties.

<sup>6</sup> A general life history category describing fishes that spend different parts of their life cycles in fresh water and sea water.



**Figure 6.7** Experiencing marine reserves (topbottom): snorkelling around mangroves in an estuarine marine reserve at high tide; populations of fished species such as Snapper (*Pagrus auratus*) and Rock Lobster (*Jasus edwardsii*) recover and become more accessible within MPAs.

## **MARINE PROTECTED AREAS**

MPAs are a form of passive restoration. By closing off areas to external pressures, or removing a particular activity, the area may be able to naturally regenerate. The six existing marine reserves in the Hauraki Gulf provide a window into the recovery potential of marine ecosystems.

A common theme highlighted in the Listening Posts was a concern for declining species and habitats, and a clear desire for more marine reserves. A parallel result came from an Auckland Council People's Panel survey published in 2014 which showed that 39% of respondents had visited a marine reserve in Auckland, whereas only 24% had fished in the ocean. These results, along with extensive ecological analysis, led the Stakeholder Working Group to conclude that we had a clear mandate to recommend creation of more MPAs.

## **Marine Protected Area objectives**

- Establish a network of MPAs to assist the protection and passive restoration of at risk, high value and representative ecosystems in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park and to boost the abundance of fish stocks.
- Create a nested approach with MPA establishment, which recognises that some areas should be heavily restricted in the uses allowed to best enable ecosystems to recover (no take other than for customary harvesting purposes – by special permit on a case by case basis<sup>7</sup>). These no take areas should generally be nested within larger areas that allow greater levels of recreational and commercial activity whilst protecting the benthic habitats from damaging human activities.
- Establish continuous in-shore co-management areas for the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. These, for the most part, would extend from Mean High Water springs (the high tide mark) out to 1km. In some places they would extend further out to take in significant fisheries or places, or to edge-protect MPAs. This is discussed in more detail in the Ahu Moana Initiative, and later in this chapter.

## **Types of MPAs**

There are four types of Marine Protected Area:

- Type 1: no take marine reserves (other than for customary purposes on a case by case basis by special permit<sup>7</sup>).
- Type 2: benthic protection (restrict all commercial and recreational fishing methods that impact on the benthic habitat).
- Special Management Areas (SMA, no commercial fishing allowed and restricted recreational fishing allowed).
- Ahu Moana (mana whenua and community comanagement areas).

## Type One MPAs (no take marine reserves other than for customary purposes<sup>7</sup>)

*Purpose: To protect, enhance and restore the full range of* marine communities and ecosystems and outstanding, rare, distinctive or nationally important marine habitats in order to protect the mauri of the Hauraki Gulf.

## **Objectives of Type One MPAs**

- 1. Set aside places where mana whenua and communities want to experience abundance and diversity of marine and coastal life.
- 2. Conserve and protect cultural and spiritual values and practices associated with nature according to tikanga such as solitude, protection of wāhi tapu, and connection to tupuna.
- 3. Identify and protect the full range of marine communities and ecosystems with high biodiversity value by 2020.
- 4. Identify and protect enough of each habitat type to ensure ecosystem integrity and resilience.
- 5. Through these areas develop a baseline to better understand the ecological integrity of ecosystems within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park including progressing the knowledge on impacts of human activities.
- 6. Provide reference areas for marine research, monitoring and education.
- 7. Provide opportunities for the enjoyment of restored marine environments through education, and

sustainable recreation and tourism. 122

## Design and management principles for Type One **MPAs**

The following design and management principles apply to all new MPAs located within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park:

- Mana whenua, local communities and stakeholders will take a leading role in the implementation phase through a co-design process. In addition, there needs to be early engagement with adjacent land owners.
- Adverse impacts on the commercial fishing sector of creating protected areas need to be addressed.
- Co-governance and co-management of protected areas will be put in place once they are established.
- There should be provision for customary take in protected areas (see explanation below).
- Any concessions granted within the protected areas will be non-exclusive.
- A 25-year generational review is to be undertaken for each new protected area.
- In some of these proposals two options have been given where the SWG was unable to reach consensus on one. Where there are two options we expect the local community to be fully engaged with a sufficient level of support.
- The establishment of all MPAs will be subject to engagement with and gain a sufficient level of support from local community.

Customary take in Type 1 MPAs will be on a case by case basis by special permit. There are two perspectives on how to approach the 'case by case basis by special permit' principle, which are set out below:

• Mana whenua's support for Type 1 MPAs is based on a presumption that customary take can occur in any protected areas, where permits are issued by kaitiaki, unless rāhui or other agreed closures are in place. Inherent in the concept of kaitiakitanga is respect for an area, its biota and the need for its preservation or protection. Kaitiaki have and will continue to exercise discretion and judgment over the issuing of permits or not for a particular area and/or species.

 Other stakeholders support for Type 1 MPAs and other aspects of the Marine Spatial Plan is based on the presumption that while provision should be made for customary take in a Type 1 MPAs, this will be on a caseby-case basis by special permit as reflected in the current legislation. This issue should be addressed in the codesign process with mana whenua, communities and stakeholders prior to the establishment of each MPA. The mechanism for the authorisation of any customary take should also be developed though that process.

## Type Two MPA – benthic protection

Purpose: Maintain, restore and protect ecologically important habitat while allowing for compatible uses.

## **Objectives of Type Two MPAs**

- 1. Identify, restore and protect key habitats (e.g. biogenic habitats) in order to maintain the integrity of ecosystems and their functioning by 2020.
- 2. Significantly increase the productivity of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park by 2035.
- 3. Exclude activities (e.g. dredging, bottom trawling, Danish seining, dumping and sea bed mining) that damage habitats by 2025.
- 4. Potentially serve as a buffer to areas with a higher level of protection (thereby implementing a nested approach).
- 5. Potentially support restoration projects.

## Special Management Areas (SMAs)

Special Management Areas are designated as protected for almost all species and habitats, while allowing for carefully managed and targeted sport fishing of several high value sport fish species under a 'small volume, high value' harvest regime<sup>8</sup>. Their dual purpose is to protect the integrity and healthy functioning of the system, while also allowing for a high value economic activity (sports fishing) to create economic returns. Other high-value economic activities, such as diving and eco-tourism, are also encouraged.

## **Objectives of Special Management Areas**

- 1. For destinations such as the Mokohinau and Alderman archipelagoes, use as a management tool to protect the biodiversity present, while also allowing for low impact, high value sport fishing for selected species and diving experiences to occur.
- 8 While the SWG has agreed to put forward proposed SMAs, they were not fully supported by every SWG member.

- 2. Promote nationally and internationally as a remarkable experience where the benefits of protection combined with far thinking management can be showcased to a wide audience.
- 3. Provide for employment and economic activity for communities and areas where these opportunities are limited and highly valued.

## Ahu Moana – mana whenua and community co-management areas

Described previously in the Ahu Moana initiative, these co-management areas are intended to provide for adaptive management of the coastal and mainly near-shore environments.

In accordance with Māori practices, Ahu Moana do not permanently close off areas, but allow for dynamic management. While their starting point is that commercial and recreational fishing is allowed, Ahu Moana provide the ability for the prohibition of fishing or particular harvest methods, or the temporary closure of areas to allow species or habitat restoration. This is expected to result in more responsive management than currently provided by fisheries and marine protection legislation, where responses (for example closing local tuangi/cockle beds) require high level engagement with Crown departments, and can take years to put in place.

Importantly, Ahu Moana are intended to be used as korowai (cloaks) to wrap around other types of MPA, buffering them from the edge pressures previously described.

## **Proposed MPA network**

Fifteen MPA sites have been identified across the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. All of these were identified for their habitat and ecological values, and were based on the information provided by our science advisors. Nine Type 1 marine reserves and ten Type 2 benthic protection areas were agreed and recommended by the SWG.

Five areas - Mokohinau Islands, Tiritiri Matangi, Kawau, Motutapu / Rangitoto, and the Alderman Islands - were also agreed and recommended by the SWG as areas that would benefit from protection, but a decision was not reached on a single size, location, or shape for the Type 1 MPAs and which other type



Map 6.1 Overview map of proposed MPA network and two scenarios sets. See Appendix 3 for detailed MPA maps shown in the overview

of protection would be applied. The SWG members arrived at two options for each of these areas, which include both Type 1 MPAs as well as Type 2 protection. A different option, at the Alderman Islands, is Scenario 2, which provides for an SMA (no commercial fishing with restricted recreational fishing) bordering a Type 1 MPA. As well, the Whangateau Harbour has two options for co-management between mana whenua and the local community. In order to gain consensus or sufficient support to select and progress one of the options, discussions with mana whenua and local communities will be required for all these areas.

## **MARINE DEBRIS**

Marine debris includes litter as well as discarded or lost fishing gear, aquaculture equipment, abandoned vessels and structures, flotsam (floating wreckage of a ship or its cargo) and jetsam (part of a ship, its equipment or cargo that is purposely cast overboard). The adverse impacts of marine debris include death of marine life caused by ingestion and entanglement, changes to the integrity and functioning of habitats, release of toxins into the food chain, degradation of the amenity value of beaches and waterways, hazards to navigation, and as a vector for introduced species (both terrestrial and marine).

While lost or abandoned fishing gear may affect ecosystems for months or even years, the amount of litter entering the marine environment is a significant, and growing, global problem. The vast majority of debris entering the marine environment is from diffuse landbased sources. Although deliberate dumping does occur, litter is primarily transported to the sea in storm water and by the wind.

Plastic litter is of particular concern due to its environmental persistence, large volume, chemical composition, and widespread dispersal. Plastics photodegrade in UV light but do not bio-degrade, so they persist in the environment. Seabirds that feed on small prey near the surface can mistakenly ingest plastic pellets floating on the water. When ingested, plastics, particularly microplastics and fragmented items, weaken and kill Seabirds through starvation and false feelings of satiation, irritation of the stomach lining, and failure to put on fat stores necessary for migration and reproduction. Globally over 170 marine species are known to ingest plastic debris. Within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park there has been very little research on the adverse effects of marine debris, however a recent study of six east coast North Island offshore islands found large amounts of plastics associated with flesh-footed shearwater burrows on Ohinau Island, Coromandel Peninsula.

As regurgitated plastics were not found around the burrows of Seabirds that forage closer to shore, it was suggested that the shearwaters may be picking plastic up as far away as the southeast Pacific Ocean. Within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, most of the debris found along the coastline of the inner islands is plastic from domestic sources, followed by glass and aluminium, whereas commercial fishing and other marine based activities contributed a much larger proportion of the debris found on the outer islands.

Of principal concern to the community is the potential contamination of the marine food chain by plastic litter. Plastics are consumed by fish and the chemical components are absorbed into the flesh of the animal, which can end up affecting human health through exposure to carcinogens (cancer causing chemicals) and endocrine disrupters (which negatively affect human development).

## Marine debris objectives

- 1. Reduce the quantity of marine debris generated.
- 2. Improve the collection and removal of marine debris within stormwater and marine systems, including particular risk items such as fisheries debris and shipwrecks, where appropriate.
- 3. Improve the understanding of the sources and impacts of marine debris on wildlife and the food chain.
- 4. Support existing organisations which are raising awareness and working to clean up marine debris in the marine environment

## **Management actions**

- By 2018, source funds for a public-education campaign on litter in the marine environment. This could include signage at popular beaches and boat ramps and on bait bags, and the use of digital and social media to inform and educate the public.
- 2. By 2018, develop and implement a comprehensive framework for evaluating and monitoring progress with reducing litter and other marine debris.
- 3. By 2019, complete a formal desktop review using best local and international knowledge on the risks of contamination of the food chain from plastic marine debris, and develop further actions as necessary based on the findings of the research.
- 4. By 2020 develop research priorities for improving understanding of the sources and impacts of marine debris on marine life and the food chain within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

## BIOSECURITY

Introduced marine species (termed Non Indigenous Species) pose a serious threat to marine ecosystems throughout the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. The Hauraki Gulf is a major point of entry and departure for international vessels, and a central hub for recreational vessels and the maritime transport industry. The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park therefore presents a major initial point of entry for marine pests and diseases into New Zealand's waters, followed by subsequent transport to other regions as the species gains a foothold and then expands its distribution. The persistent spread of the marine pest Mediterranean fan worm (*Sabella spallanzanii*) throughout New Zealand is an example.



**Figure 6.8** Examples of invasive, non-indigenous marine species. Upper right to left: club tunicate (*Styela clava*), the green seaweed (*Caulerpa taxifolia*), Chinese mitten crab (*Eriocheir sinensis*); Centre right to left: Australian droplet tunicate (*Eudistoma elongatum*), Northern Pacific seastar (*Asterias amurensis*), European shore crab (*Carcinus maenas*); Lower right to left: Mediterranean fan worm (*Sabella spallanzanii*), overbite clam (*Corbula amurensis*), wakame (*Undaria pinnatifida*).

In addition to shipping, there are various other human activities within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park that contribute to the spread of marine invasive species, including activities related to aquaculture, and numerous recreational and tourism activities (both land-based and at sea). At least six non-indigenous species with the potential to cause serious harm to the marine environment have already become established in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, with five of these arriving in the past 15 years. Another four new species have been reported since 2011, one of which (the Mediterranean fan worm Sabella spallanzani) is a high risk species capable of causing serious problems. Since arriving, it has become widespread, including on artificial structures such as wharf pilings and pontoons, in the limited subtidal seagrass areas between Meola Reef and the Harbour Bridge, around Tāmaki Strait, and on Firth of Thames aquaculture structures, as well as being established in east Northland and in the Lyttleton Port. Individuals have also been detected in the Tauranga, Gisborne, and Nelson harbours.

Currently there are more than 141 non-indigenous marine species known to occur in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. Many of these have been present for decades without any significant known (positive or negative) impacts to economic, ecological, recreational, social and cultural values and/or human health. However, some have spread significantly and do have impacts, both positive and negative, depending on their abundance and the existing values in the affected area. An example of a positive impact for aquaculture is the economic value of farmed Pacific Oysters (Crassostrea gigas). However, from an environmental perspective, this species has come to dominate many intertidal estuarine reefs, displacing native rock Oysters and other species, and causing silt to accumulate. In some extreme cases, reefs have been encased by a metre or more of thick dead shell. In soft sediment upper estuarine areas, they have overgrown most of the hard surfaces available, increasing the trapping rate of fine sediments, and causing issues to both recreational users (swimming, fishing, boating) and commercial users (net fishing, silting up of navigation channels). This species is now a dominant non indigenous species globally, and is continuing to expand its distribution.

Other economically valuable species include the Greentail Prawn (Metapenaeus bennettae) detected in the Waitematā Harbour and Tāmaki Strait, and the large Mantid Shrimp (Oratosquilla oratoria), currently confined to the Kaipara and Hokianga harbours on the west coast. Examples of negative effects include ecological impacts through competition for food and space with native species, economic impacts for the aquaculture industry through fouling, spreading disease, and impacts on recreational and cultural values by changing habitats. Our current knowledge of the ecological impact of non indigenous species on native species and assemblages is very rudimentary. We know from terrestrial and international examples that impacts on natural ecosystems can be profound. In some cases, species may 'sit quietly' for a number of years without any major impact, but then change their interactions and rapidly emerge as a major issue. Asian date Mussels might be such a species; when first detected they were assessed and thought to be relatively benign and localised (Creese et al. 1997). However, since then it has been found as extensive 'carpets' in parts of Tāmaki Strait and surrounds (see figure below, from Morrison et al. 2014b), where few other species appear to be able to co-exist with it. It is now a dominant component of adult Snapper diets in the inner Hauraki Gulf and Waitematā Harbour (Lohrer et al. 2008). Of note, it also forms very extensive monospecific beds in the Kaipara Harbour, with few other species aside from large numbers of 11-armed Starfish preying on them. However, at some limited locations date Mussels support dense beds of an invasive Gracillara sp. (a red macro-algae), which in turn appear to facilitate high numbers of juvenile Snapper (i.e., acts as a nursery habitat, Morrison et al, in prep.). Such different impacts at different locations highlight the ecological complexity of the non indigenous species issue.



**Figure 6.9** Mediterranean fan worms growing on native green shell mussels (*Perna canaliculus*)



**Figure 6.10** Mediterranean fan worms attached to the hull of a boat; invasive species can be rapidly transported over large distances on the hulls of vessels, as well as on contaminated materials and equipment

Other well-known marine invasive species in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park include the clubbed tunicate (*Styela clava*), Asian paddle crab (*Charybdis japonica*), and the Asian seaweed Undaria pinnatifida.

Once established, the eradication of non-indigenous marine species is extremely difficult or impossible, and very expensive. There have been no successful complete eradications of marine pest species in New Zealand. Therefore, more stringent control measures are required to prevent non-indigenous marine species from entering the country (State of our Gulf 2014).

To be able to address negative impacts of marine invasive species there is a need to:

- Better understand the presence and distribution of non-native marine species within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.
- Understand the impacts (ecological, economic, recreational and cultural) of these species.
- Use existing tools and methods to detect, eradicate or control impacts of established species and develop new tools and methods in the future.
- Reduce the risk of the introduction of new species or further spread of established species through pathway/vector management.





Figure 6.1 Above bottom: Asian date Mussel (*Arcuatula senhousia*); Top: extensive mat of Asian date Mussels in Tāmaki Strait, inner Hauraki Gulf, about 10 m water depth, June 2008. (Source: Ministry for Primary Industries and J. Williams, NIWA)

## **Biosecurity objectives**

The overall goal is to identify, manage and mitigate threats to the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park from pests and diseases through prevention, early warning and detection, eradication, and control measures. Specific objectives to achieve this goal for marine biosecurity are:

- 1. By 2020, develop pathway management plans and pest management plans to prevent the arrival and further spread of new and existing species and diseases, especially to high value areas.
- 2. By 2020, increase regional monitoring and surveillance efforts to be able to detect and respond quickly to new introduced species.
- Where feasible, eradicate or control present species using available and evolving tools and methods.
- Increase stewardship through an informed and engaged industry and public.

The Biosecurity Act 1993 regulates biosecurity management within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. One of the provisions in the legislation (section 52) requires permission to be obtained from the chief technical officer before an activity can be undertaken which spreads a species identified as 'unwanted'. This requirement has unwittingly impeded the transport of Mussels within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, as part of Mussel restoration projects, as the Mussels sourced from marine farms host 'unwanted species'. Given the importance of Mussel reef restoration to the Park, a solution to this issue needs to be found as a matter of urgency.

## **Management actions**

## Strengthening co-ordinated regional action

- Central government agencies and councils are to provide coordinated management and funding to support biosecurity efforts on existing pest free islands (e.g. Treasure Islands work) and enhance eradication programs for other islands and mainland areas within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park by 2020.
- Expand the *Top of the North* Partnership<sup>9</sup> to include industry and key stakeholders with a goal to produce and implement a Marine Biosecurity Strategy by 2018. This strategy should cover prevention, early warning, eradication and control measures.
- 3. Develop regional pathway management and pest management plans by 2020, and implement by 2025, through the Top of the North Partnership, acknowledging and building on existing initiatives and ensuring alignment with (the development of) national pathway management plans<sup>10</sup>.
- 4. Establish a regional surveillance programme by 2018 to complement existing national surveillance.
- 5. Investigate the utility of using existing monitoring programmes to pick up new marine introductions, taking into account the different monitoring techniques required to sample different (artificial) substrates.
- 6. Coordinate monitoring efforts between regions, including sharing resources and combining funding.
- Increase assistance provided to marine users to identify and report specific non-native marine species, and create a central portal for monitoring and publishing results.
- 8. Promote voluntary measures to reduce biosecurity risks in the absence of regulatory tools.

## Eradicating or controlling species

9. Support the development of new tools and methods to eradicate or control unwanted species, taking into account (evolving) overseas initiatives.

10. Encourage the take of non-native marine species not listed under the Biosecurity Act and support feasibility studies into the viability of commercial extraction of marine pest species (e.g. Asian paddle crab).

## Increasing stewardship

- 11. Support current education and awareness programmes and initiatives (e.g. by providing funding) and carry out regular coordinated education campaigns targeting sectors such as the recreational boating community, marine farmers, marina operators, and tourists participating in marine activities<sup>11</sup>.
- 12. Establish a coordinated information network on marine pest species management.

#### Enabling mussel and other restoration projects

- 13. By 2017, identify and implement an effective solution to the current obstacles created by the Biosecurity Act which are impeding Mussel reef restoration projects within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.
- 14. Coordinate and source funding to support marine biosecurity initiatives in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park through for example:
  - Integrating Hauraki Gulf research projects into existing research programmes.
  - Focusing and coordinating local and central government research funding into Hauraki Gulf priorities.
  - Partnering with universities to focus academic and student research on Hauraki Gulf ecosystem projects.
  - Including Hauraki Gulf ecosystem research into public good science funding programmes.
  - Philanthropic funding.
  - Applying a user fee for users of marinas and ports.
  - Craft Risk Management Strategy 2018.

<sup>9</sup> Department of Conservation, Ministry for Primary Industries, Northland Regional Council, Waikato Regional Council, Auckland Council, Bay of Plenty Regional Council and Gisborne District Council coordinate on marine biosecurity matters

<sup>10</sup> Examples of topics that should be included are consistent management plans for marinas and ports, rules around managing mooring zones, measures to address risks related to hull fouling (e.g. mandatory cleaning of boat hulls and equipment and regular hull inspections), measures to address biosecurity threats related to aquaculture activities (e.g. movement of aquaculture gear, barge cleaning, discharges from processing facilities, transmission of diseases and pathogens to wild populations), and measures to control imports of products (e.g. freezing times/ temperatures for bait to kill parasites/viruses/bacteria)

<sup>11</sup> Examples of activities include signs at boat ramps, tackle shops, and boat maintenance shops, presentations at marina's and community facilities include aquaculture industry.

## PLACE STUDY: NGĀTI REHUA NGĀTIWAI KI AOTEA -TAIKO (BLACK PETREL) RESTORATION

Kia mau i ngā taonga tuku iho Kia kaitiaki o to tätou Ao Māori Kia whai ki tōu tatou mana Motuhake Kia eke ki te karamatamata o te rākau Together we can move mountains We are our own best champions Economic independence and profitability Social responsibility and participation

Ngāti Rehua Ngātiwai ki Aotea is a hapū of Ngāti Wai. Aotea, Great Barrier Island, is their ancestral land. Ngāti Rehua are tangata whenua and mana whenua of Aotea, Hauturu, the Pokohinu Islands, Rakitu, Rangiahua and other outlying islands and rocky outcrops.

Within the Ngāti Rehua Ngātiwai ki Aotea Trust Hapū Management Plan, the hapū has identified environmental consultation requirements. One of these, in particular, is the provision for opportunities for Ngāti Rehua Ngātiwai ki Aotea to be involved in the integrated management of natural resources in ways that:

- Recognise the holistic nature of Ngāti Rehua Ngātiwai ki Aotea world views.
- Recognise any protected customary right in accordance with the Marine and Coastal Area (Takutai Moana) Act 2011.
- Restore or enhance the mauri of freshwater and coastal ecosystems.

In November 2015, as part of this inspirational objective, Ngāti Rehua Ngātiwai ki Aotea and Southern Seabirds Solutions organised the first formal blessing and welcoming ceremony for the Tāiko/Black Petrel which is Aotea/Great Barrier's most iconic species. Tāiko are Seabirds that migrate 10,000 kilometers each year from Peru to their home nesting burrows on Hirakimata (Mt. Hobson). Apart from a few on Hauturu/Little Barrier Island, Tāiko nest nowhere else – Aotea is its island. Unfortunately, Tāiko are in dangerous decline and in serious need of protection.

Tangata Whenua welcomed manuhiri (guests) including the World-Wide Fund for Nature, Southern Seabirds, Haruaki Gulf Forum, Forest and Bird, the Great Barrier Island Environmental Trust, the Local Board, Ōkiwi School, media representratives and others. About 50 people climbed beyond Windy Canyon through the mist-shrouded forest to the sound of Ngāti Rehua's ceremonious welcoming. Representatives from the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park's fishing industry attended and explained how they now educate fishing staff, and have measures in place to prevent Tāiko mortality while fishing. Students from Ōkiwi school gently sang waiata to the Tāiko, as a male bird found in his burrow was handled by skilled hands and shown to everyone.



**Figure 6.1** Nicola McDonald, Chairperson of the Ngāti Rehua Ngātiwai ki Aotea Trust Board and Chris Howe, Conservation Director (NZ) for World Wildlife Fund cut the Tāiko welcome cake (Source. Ngāti Rehua Ngātiwai ki Aotea Trust)

Ka hoki te manu tāiko ki uta Ka hoki te manu tāiko ki tai Hoki mai ra ki Hauturu ki Aotea He kōhanga ki te tihi o Hirakimata He hua manu ki te ao E tāiko e

The kōrero was concluded by Rodney Ngawaka stressing the significance of the maunga to tangata whenua and their desire to see increased Tāiko numbers and a functional food-chain supporting them. This ceremony for Tāiko was a significant, positive step along the way to halting the decline of this bird.

Sea Change endorses the goals of restoring biogenic reefs to the Firth of Thames, Tāmaki Strait and the Waitematā Harbour to improve water quality and provide habitat, and the restoration of Seabird populations; and supports projects such as the Revive our Gulf, the Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei, Ngāti Rehua Ngātiwai Ki Aotea restoration projects, to achieve these goals. Soar above the land o Tāiko Soar out to sea o Tāiko You shall return to Hauturu and Aotea To nest again at Hirakimata (Mount Hobson) And give birth to the world once again o Tāiko





**Figure 6.2** Bottom - Kawa Marae, Aotea. Above - Ngāti Rehua whānau handle a juvenile and adult tāiko. (Source. Ngāti Rehua Ngātiwai ki Aotea Trust)

# 7. WATER QUALITY ORANGA PŪMAU O TE WAI

Integrated Catchment Management "Ki Uta Ki Tai" (mountains to the sea) is a holistic way of managing ecosystems within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

Water quality is one of the greatest areas of concern affecting the health and mauri of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. Water quality is degraded in some parts of the Hauraki Gulf, however, there are many other parts for which there is not enough information to draw conclusions on the current state. This lack of information is a risk.

The most common known causes of water quality degradation trace back to contaminants that are washed from the land into the coastal marine area through freshwater runoff. These contaminants include sediments, nutrients, heavy metals, and microbial pathogens. Poor water quality impacts virtually all uses and values associated with the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. It is therefore vitally important that we identify the causes and effectively address them, in order that healthy water quality is restored where it is currently degraded.

Mana whenua consider the state of the moana as an imbalance caused by humans. Tikanga requires that appropriate action is taken to restore balance. This is expressed as take-utu-ea, meaning that when events result in an injury a response commensurate with the scale of the offending action is required, in order to return to a state of equilibrium. In this case, the issue is the state of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, a response is needed to restore the mauri.

Although there have been considerable efforts to address water quality issues in recent years, these have not been sufficient to cope with the scale of the problem. A step change in effort is required if the current situation is to be turned around. There remains a great deal to be done!

This Chapter describes four categories of contaminant that are together significant contributors to water quality problems in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. Accordingly objectives and actions are presented for the water quality themes of sediment, nutrients, heavy metals, and microbial pathogens.

While each of these main drivers of water quality is considered separately, we recognise that, in reality, there are many overlapping causes and solutions, so that an integrated approach to catchment management is ultimately required. This section identifies the desired future state for water quality and the actions that we need to take to get there. The objectives for each of the four themes are explained in detail, and supporting information provided, in Appendix 4.



#### Figure 7.1 Port Jackson

## A selection of quotes – what people told us about water quality

## Hamilton



With the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park it's

about what's coming down the catchment. Look at the land that drains into it. It's rare through land based exercises that someone says 'what about the impact on the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park or the marine environment?' The commissioners don't mention the impact. Get the land aspect right, what's draining into the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, and you'll get the rest right.

## Thames

Ensure the waterways into Tīkapa and indeed all moana are controlled and managed in a way that ensures the protection of all waterways, particularly activities that occur on farmlands and ngahere that might threaten our waterways.

## Maraetai

I think the little things matter – the Whitebait, the Cockles. That means land use is important – say for īnanga to follow their life cycle. If we control sediment, we get water clarity for filter feeders especially where both sides of a channel have a bit of protection (planting). From the little things, the big things are sustained – a good paddock gives good seabeds.

## Whitianga

Water and land for me are inseparable.

## **THEME A. SEDIMENT**

## What is the problem?

Excessive sediment runoff from the land is the main cause of degraded marine habitats in estuaries, harbours and the Inner Hauraki Gulf.

Our **overall goal** is to reduce sediment entering the coastal marine area to levels which support healthy marine habitats. This will, in turn, support more abundant marine life and fish stocks and provide greater opportunities for people and communities to enjoy the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

## What do we need to achieve?

Our objectives for sediment are to:

- Minimise sediment erosion off the land;
- Capture sediment runoff before it reaches the marine environment; and
- Stabilise sediment already deposited in the marine environment including the Firth of Thames.

## How will we do it?

- 1. Catchment management plans
  - a) By 2025, prepare an integrated harbour and catchment management plan for every catchment that drains into the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, in consultation with local communities, and using Mātauranga Māori, local knowledge and scientific information.

Catchment management planning enables an integrated approach to be applied to the reduction of sediment and other contaminants from individual catchments, taking into account the special characteristics of each area. The catchment management plans will help drive statutory planning processes and budget allocation by management agencies. They will also provide support for action by landowners and local communities. Appendix 1 discuss catchment management plans in more detail.

- By 2019, develop and begin implementing catchment management plans for the following highest priority catchments to achieve significant sediment reductions:
  - Whangapoua (noting that a Harbour and Catchment Plan has been prepared by Waikato Regional Council but needs to be fully resourced and implemented).
  - Waihou/Piako.
  - Wairoa.
  - Mahurangi (noting that a great deal of work has already been undertaken in the catchment, but greater resourcing and effort is still required).

These priority catchments have been selected as places to start on the basis of the following criteria:

- The largest impact on the marine environment (levels of sediment produced and sensitivity of receiving environment).
- The greatest threat from current and future activities (e.g. future forestry harvesting).

Additional plans will then need to be prioritised for development.

## Prioritisation of catchments:

Considerable land owner, council, industry and community resources will be required to bring about the required changes to reduce sediment inputs, and obviously not everything can be done all at once. Prioritisation of spending requires careful consideration of the ability to make a difference, cost, and capability and capacity of landowners to work with council.

Models can assist with prioritisation. They can be used to identify 'critical source areas' in the catchment – areas where, for instance, sediment erosion or nutrient loss is greatest. Where these areas are connected by transport pathways to vulnerable aquatic receiving environments, they should receive priority attention. Models can also be used to estimate the cost of taking action to reduce the flow of sediment and of applying mitigation. In addition, they can estimate the benefits of likely improvements (reduction in sediment runoff or nutrient loss, for instance) following mitigation (Appendix 1 has more detail on this approach).

## 2. Establish catchment sediment load limits

- a) By 2022 reach agreement with agencies, communities and mana whenua on overall sediment load limits for all catchments draining into the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park by:
  - Developing implementable sediment targets applicable to the estuaries and inner coastal waters of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park that can be converted into objectives and then catchment sediment load limits.



Figure 7.2 Mahurangi Harbour

- ii. Implementing a comprehensive set of workable catchment sediment load limits for protecting ecosystem integrity, functioning and associated values throughout the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.
- iii.Implementing a framework for ensuring compliance and accountability.
- iv. Implement land use practice changes required and reporting on monitoring with reference to achieving the 2050 target.
- v. Achieving catchment sediment load limits by 2050, to achieve generational change. Appendix 1 contains a more detailed explanation of load limit settings.

Catchment sediment load limits enable the cumulative effects of sediments on the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park to be managed. Reaching agreement on the limits to sediment runoff, and keeping within those limits, will preserve what we value about the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, enhance the mauri and provide a firm basis on which councils, mana whenua and communities can manage land use within catchments. It has taken generations to create today's sediment problems. These actions will start reversing that, the timeframes recognise the realities and scale of the task.

## 3. Increase sediment traps in contributing freshwater waterways

Progressively increase the number and spread of natural, managed (wetlands, floodplains and ponding areas) and engineered sediment traps:

- a. By 2021 initiate at least five significant new wetland systems along river courses, at the nexus of tributary streams and/or at the heads of estuaries.
- b. By 2026 initiate the construction of at least 15 significant new wetland systems.
- c. Encourage and incentivise the establishment of wetlands and sediment traps on private land through the deployment of on-farm advisers and targeting of cofunding schemes.
- d. Incorporate green infrastructure such as swales, wetlands and permeable surfaces into all new urban developments.
- e. Facilitate and incentivise wetland restoration and/or creation through inclusion as mitigating or offsetting conditions for sediment-generating activities requiring resource consent (such as forestry harvesting and earthworks).
- f. Where practicable, engineer sediment traps into future capital works for new and existing infrastructure (such as the Waihou Valley and Piako River Schemes and roading developments).
- g. By 2035, have in place a network of natural and/ or artificial sediment traps at strategic points in all catchments draining into the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.



Figure 7.3Purangi River Cooks Beach



Figure 7.4 Long Bay wetland

Given the steep slopes and erodible soils within Hauraki Gulf Marine Park catchments, and frequency of storm events, it is not possible to stop excess sediment washing off the land through good land management alone. This means that a network of natural and engineered sediment traps is required at strategic points in all catchments to intercept sediment before it reaches the coastal area.

Wetland systems are particularly effective in reducing sediment and other contaminant discharges from land from reaching the marine area. They also provide a range of other co-benefits by providing habitat for native plants, freshwater fish and birds, and increasing local amenity value through the provision of public walkways and viewing spots.

#### 8. Waterway management

Significantly improve the management of waterways, to reduce transportation and loss of sediment to the marine environment including:

- i. Continue and significantly increase the extent of stock exclusion, and riparian planting programmes along waterways to stabilise stream banks and to provide ecological co-benefits. Each catchment management plan is to include a specific target for the percentage of natural waterways which are to have riparian planting in place within 10 years of plans being agreed.
- ii. Apply active and pragmatic management of waterways and drains to reduce sediment loss, streambank erosion and bankside collapse.

Industry, mana whenua and regulatory agencies need to work together to achieve the above.



Figure 7.5 Green infrastructure – Constructed wetlands at Wynyard Quarter



Figure 7.6 Riparian planting to help stabilise stream banks and capture sediment

Dairy NZ has an online Riparian Planner tool<sup>1</sup> designed to assist with planning, budgeting and managing riparian planting. Waikato Regional Council provides extensive advice on planting for waterways and wetlands<sup>2</sup>, including guidelines for the selection of trees in different parts of the Region, a guideline for native planting for soil conservation, biodiversity and water quality, and best practice guidelines for vegetation management and controlling weeds in riparian margins. Auckland Council has a streamside planting guide<sup>3</sup>, with information on the key steps for planting and maintaining a riparian area, and species to plant. 'Managing Riparian Zones: A contribution to Protecting New Zealand's Rivers and Streams' is a detailed DOC publication<sup>4</sup> that includes information and advice on planning riparian management, managing channel and bank stability, and managing water temperature and light.

riparianzones2.pdf

2 www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/Community/Your-community/ For-Farmers/Waterways-and-wetland-management/

3 www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/EN/environmentwaste/

- 9. Ensure good sediment management practice
  - a) By 2017, councils in partnership with mana whenua and sector groupings, should establish a standard set of good management practice guidelines for adoption by land users within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park catchments. This includes pastoral farming, forestry, urban development, horticulture and cropping, roading (development and maintenance), and DOC owned and managed land.
  - b) By 2017 undertake a specific review of the standardised forestry good management practices, recognising the strong relationship between forestry practices and sediment runoff. Actively work with the sector to ensure those practices will be universally adopted.
  - c) Promote the universal adoption of good management practice by:
    - i. Requesting each land use sector to advise the Hauraki Gulf Forum and councils of their plan to ensure universal uptake of good management practices by 2018.
    - ii. Every two years thereafter, sectors formally reporting to the Hauraki Gulf Forum and councils on progress in achieving universal uptake. Council compliance teams should provide advice on the standard of this reporting and the achievements being reached for the period.
    - iii. Councils, Government and Industry bodies must actively support land holders to overcome knowledge, financial and practical barriers to implementing recommended good practices.
    - iv. If substantive progress in achieving universal adoption of good management practice has not occurred after four years (i.e. the second update) Councils should review the adequacy and application of the current regulatory framework and amend if required to ensure universal adoption.
    - v. Removing unnecessary legal barriers to good management practices such as the requirement in some forestry leases to replant down to stream and coastal edges.

Δ

<sup>1</sup> www.dairynz.co.nz/environment/waterways/ riparian-planner/

coastalmarine/Documents/streamsideplantingguide.pdf www.doc.govt.nz/documents/science-and-technical/



## Figure 7.7 Forestry Operation at Whangapoa

- d) Encourage land management that decreases the risk of sediment loss by:
  - i. Significantly scaling up the one-on-one approach with landowners, by doubling resources to employ additional land management officers and to provide co-funding for initiatives that improve water quality on private land within two years.
  - ii. Encouraging the establishment of coordinated catchment care groups.

Working one-on-one with landowners on a voluntary basis has proven to be successful in changing land-management practices and improving water quality (this applies to both sediment and nutrients). It therefore makes sense to scale this activity up.

e) Ensure rigorous and consistent enforcement of existing earthworks regulations by councils. Where guidelines have been agreed, include the use of chemical flocculants (Appendix 1 has a more detailed explanation).



Figure 7.8 Large scale earthworks for residential development

## Compliance monitoring

There is a need for uniform, quality monitoring of both consented and permitted activities, particularly higher risk activities such as earthworks. Councils need to increase the staff resource available to competently and consistently monitor these activities.

## 10. Review of forestry impacts on sedimentation

a) By 2017, identify the location of current and soon to be harvested (pre 31/12/18) forest sites. Initiate a comprehensive review of the impacts of those forestry harvesting-related activities on sedimentation affecting estuaries and embayments within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. Review and consider the adequacy of current practices and regulations to minimise sedimentation occurring. Work with the sector (small and large) to recommend and implement measures to minimise sedimentation until good practice is universally adopted in 2018 as above. This may include the review of current leasehold obligations regarding harvest and replanting close to waterways or on specific problematic slopes.

## 11. Protect highly erodible soils

 a) Implement effective pest control on all steep bush-clad slopes, particularly conservation, reserves and stewardship land on the Coromandel Peninsula and Kaimai Ranges, so that the understorey is thick, robust and able to protect underlying soils from erosion.

Poorly managed indigenous vegetation is unable to hold soil during storm events. Effective pest control needs to be a priority for DOC as the single largest manager of highly erodible land in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

Irrespective of the application of good sediment management practice, some land uses are unsuitable for highly erodible soils. In such cases, there needs to be a change of use for the land by working with land owners.



Figure 7.9 Eroding hills at Port Jackson



- i. By 2017, identify land and land use which is generating disproportionally high amounts of sediment and work with land owners to investigate alternative land uses.
- ii. Retire steep slopes and riparian areas from production forestry and farming (including reviewing the replanting requirements of Crown forest leases).
- iii.Incentivise and encourage native timber (high value, long rotation) production. Planting of Manuka for honey production is a recent new alternative landuse.
- iv. Avoid urban subdivision of areas with highlyerodible soils.



Figure 7.10 Moehau before storm



**Figure 7.11** Moehau after storm illustrating sediment runoff from highly erodible steep land

## 12. Addressing sediment in the coastal marine area

- Actively investigate innovative solutions to addressing sediment already in the marine area including:
  - i. Restoring large bivalve (including green-lipped and horse mussel) beds in the Inner Gulf to enhance filtering and trapping of fine sediment already in the marine system.
  - ii. Options to cap sediment with waste shells or other hard substrates which allow re-establishment of natural marine seabed life.
  - iii.Extraction or harvesting of sediment, possibly for reuse on land.
  - iv. Retaining coastal mangroves where appropriate as effective natural means of trapping sediment.
  - v. Transitioning seafood harvesting methods that disturb seabed sediment out of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park (link to fish stocks provisions).
  - vi. Other novel techniques to stabilise fine sediments already in the Hauraki Gulf and otherwise impairing recruitment of high value benthic bivalve populations

Excess sediment already in the coastal marine area is resuspended by wave action and currents resulting in cloudy water, reduced light levels and clogging of filter feeders. Effective solutions to such resuspension are not currently known but need further research.

## Forestry

Sediment runoff from **forestry** blocks may be relatively low under the mature forest canopy, but is elevated during logging and re-foresting operations. Much of the sediment runoff arises from roads constructed to service the forest blocks. Forestry operators have a range of sediment mitigations available to them, including:

- avoiding winter earthworks;
- staging earthworks;
- stabilising disturbed areas as soon as possible by compacting, benching, mulching and planting;
- installing perimeter controls;
- Avoid trimming felled trees within waterways;
- diverting clean-water runoff;
- protecting steep slopes;
- avoiding direct discharges to streams;
- using small check dams to slow runoff;
- discharging runoff from roads at regular intervals;
- using soak holes where the soil allows;
- minimising side-casting when constructing roads;
- building roads to match natural contours of the land;
- keeping landings clear of streams;
- directing stormwater runoff from landings and roads to stable outlets;
- stabilising approaches to stream crossings and protecting abutments;
- protecting stream headwaters and stream banks;
- applying riparian setbacks.

## How will we know when we've got there?

Naturally sandy seabeds will not be muddy, and seabeds already affected by sediment will be returned to their naturally sandy state. Healthy and abundant shellfish beds, inter-tidal seagrass beds and nearshore fisheries will return. Mangrove expansion will stop or naturally reverse.

## Three sediment objectives

Objective WQ1 is intended to limit the sedimentation rate in estuaries and coastal embayments. Reducing the sedimentation rate will improve ecosystem health and functioning, improve human amenity, and extend the lifespan of estuarine and coastal systems.

 Objective WQ1: Sedimentation rate across the appropriately selected monitoring sites in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park to be no more than 2mm per year above the baseline rate by 2050. Baselines vary throughout the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.<sup>5</sup> By 2019 all monitoring to be in place and baselines established. Specific reporting to be made on sedimentation rate monitoring in 2025, 2030, 2035, 2040, 2045 and 2050. 2030 and 2040 reporting to include a review of progress to 2050 target, comment on likelihood of reaching the target and any additional actions likely to be required. It is expected that the majority of these measures will be put in place by 2030 to achieve this objective by 2050.

Refer to Appendix 4 for text on implementation and assessing achievement on this and the following objectives. Map 7.1 shows muddiness monitoring results for the Auckland Region and Map 7.2 locates possible sedimentation rate monitoring sites across the whole Park.



Figure 7.12 Northern Coromandel – silt meets sea after rainfall

The baseline rate is the rate when the catchment was fully forested. It varies from location to location within any given estuary or embayment, for example, 1 mm per year on exposed intertidal flats, 2 to 4 mm per year in tidal creeks. Recognition will be required, that in places, flood protecton has substantially reduced the deposition of sediment on historic flood plains.
### PART THREE: RIDGE TO REEF OR MOUNTAINS TO SEA | WĀHANGA TUATORU: KI UTA KI TAI



## PART THREE: RIDGE TO REEF OR MOUNTAINS TO SEA | WĀHANGA TUATORU: KI UTA KI TAI



Objectives WQ2 and WQ3 are intended to prevent sandy seabeds from becoming muddy, and help already-affected seabeds return to their natural state.

With less mud in the seabed, habitats will be more suitable for a wider range of plants and animals. The seabed will feel better underfoot and the water will tend to be clearer, which will provide for a better swimming experience.

- Objective WQ2: Proportion of intertidal area with seabed mud content greater than 25% not to expand in all estuaries of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.
- Objective WQ3: Seabed muddiness to be less than 10% at 95% of intertidal flats that are exposed to winds and waves by 2050.

Further details on Sediment objectives are given in Appendix 4.

## THEME B. NUTRIENTS

## What is the problem?

The marine environment is generally nitrogen limited. The introduction of nutrients, particularly nitrogen, promotes the growth of phytoplankton which is the basis of the marine food chain. Nutrients in the marine environment come from upwelling of nutrient-rich bottom waters from the sea and from land-sourced discharges.

Some enhanced levels of nutrient can be beneficial, as they increase the productivity of the marine system, but too much can cause excessive phytoplankton growth. When the phytoplankton die, they drop to the seafloor and decompose in a process that uses oxygen in the water and generates carbon dioxide that acidifies the seawater. Low oxygen levels can damage marine life. Acidification of seawater can affect species which use carbon to build structures, especially bivalves such as mussels. Nutrients from the land are not usually a problem where harbours and estuaries are often well flushed by the tide.

The Firth of Thames is sensitive to excessive nutrients because it is not well flushed and the water column is sometimes stratified. In summer and autumn, the Firth has higher levels of phytoplankton than the rest of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. In autumn, oxygen depletion and water acidification have been measured in the outer Firth. In addition, dissolved nitrogen levels at the outer Firth have risen over the past 15 years despite total nitrogen loads in rivers draining to the Firth of Thames being stable or increasing only slowly for at least the past 20 years but stable to slightly declining over the last 14 years (Vant 2011). Green and Zeldis (2015) estimated that, at least when there is no strong ocean upwelling (which is the case for about 90% of the time), inputs from the land are the dominant source to the total nutrient loading of the Firth. Furthermore, point and diffuse human sources contribute about 8% and 70% respectively, of the total nitrogen load to the Hauraki rivers, with natural sources making up the remainder (Vant, 2011). Before human settlement, the landside loads would have been much lower.

## What do we need to achieve?

Our objective for nutrients is to ensure that humanderived nutrients entering the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park are not at a level which cause adverse effects such as oxygen depletion and acidification of seawater.

## How will we do it?

## 1. Establish a long term monitoring programme

- a) Within a year, Waikato Regional Council should engage a multi-agency scientific team, including mana whenua experts, in a targeted research programme to:
  - i. Understand the effects of changing nutrient levels in the Firth of Thames; and
  - ii. Determine the assimilative capacity of the Firth of Thames within required thresholds for healthy ecosystems as a basis for the establishment of catchment nutrient load limits (see Appendix 1 for further detail). This will underpin the WRC Plan Change Two as a 'receiving environment' load limit on nitrogen and phosphorus carried by the Hauraki rivers.

The programme will:

- Assess the nature of the monitoring and research required;
- Identify the sources of nutrient inputs (external and internal);
- Develop a model able to integrate with catchment water quality models and simulate current nutrient loads accurately in the Firth;

- Utilise the model to generate a robust nutrient load limit by 2020; and
- Incorporate mātauranga Māori and kaitiaki methods.

Expected outcomes from this programme would be increased understanding of the processes governing nutrient availability in the Firth of Thames, impacts of nutrients (including from the catchment and from in situ sediment regeneration) on those processes and, with the development of an appropriate model, a recommendation on nutrient load limits to ensure no adverse effects on marine life.

- b) By 2018 have a comprehensive monitoring programme in place to provide ongoing scientific data and mātauranga Māori necessary to monitor and understand nutrient levels. This includes the deployment of a significant number of additional monitoring buoys in the Firth of Thames
- c) Within five years develop an integrated catchment economic model as part of the Waikato Regional Council Plan Change Two, for the Firth of Thames catchment as a management tool. Use this model to understand how values are likely to change as a result of policy decisions when establishing safe nutrient load limits.
- d) Include provisions in Plan Change Two to achieve the nutrient load limits within an appropriate time frame.

There are still uncertainties about the causes and impacts of higher dissolved nitrogen levels in the outer Firth of Thames including whether these indicate greater overall nitrogen levels (these are not yet monitored in the outer Firth). Further research is required to fully understand the issue and to establish safe limits, and this needs to be undertaken as a matter of urgency, both within the Firth and it's outer reaches.

## 2. Ensure no increase in the interim

- a) Ensure nutrient loads, particularly nitrogen, are kept at or below current levels as an interim measure until sufficient information is available to set nutrient load limits by:
  - i. Encouraging land managers to adopt good nutrient management practices, such as the minimum standards for dairy farms developed by Dairy NZ and with milk companies for use elsewhere in the Waikato. These address issues such as effluent capture and storage, application to land, stock exclusion from waterways and wetlands and riparian management (see breakout box below for more detail).
  - ii. Ensuring that any new sources of nutrient but especially nitrogen input, such as through land use intensification or the introduction of fish farms, do not result in an overall increase of nutrients available in the Firth of Thames.

Although the impacts of the current nitrogen loadings entering the Firth of Thames are not fully understood it is prudent to ensure that there is no increase whilst further urgent research is undertaken.

## 3. Establish catchment nutrient load limits

- a) Establish catchment nutrient load limits for the Firth of Thames that ensure there are no adverse effects such as oxygen depletion and acidification of seawater:
  - i. By 2020, reach scientific, mana whenua, and community agreement on appropriate catchment nutrient load limits for the Firth of Thames.
  - ii. By 2021, have in place agreed minimum standards for more intensive landuse such as horticulture, cropping and dairy farming, adapted to local conditions as necessary, in all catchments draining into the Firth of Thames.
  - iii. By 2022, have in place agreed minimum standards for less intensive landuse such as drystock, using relevant parts of the above minimum standards as appropriate.

Once safe nutrient levels for the Firth of Thames have been established, these can provide a firm basis for catchment management and any measures required to reduce nutrient inputs.

# Integrated catchment economic and scientific modelling

The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is a complex and dynamic combination of natural and artificial systems that interact with each other like a giant, ever-changing puzzle. Māori conceptualise the moana as integrally connected by whakapapa. They also recognise the indivisibility of the land and sea as a functioning system – as described by the phrase ki uta ki tai – mountains to the sea. When making policy and management decisions about such a system, we often think about particular pieces of the puzzle. But there is a danger that decisions aimed at outcomes for one part of the puzzle will have unintended consequences for another part. One way of overcoming such issues is to develop an 'integrated model' (see Appendix 1 for a more detailed description) that incorporates all the key features of the catchment, and the ways in which these interact. The overall question that this type of modelling tries to answer is how do values change as a result of our policy decisions? This approach can help us to figure out what policies might be needed, what effect they will have, and who will be affected.

Mana whenua have accumulated a vast body of knowledge about the Firth of Thames. It is imperative that this knowledge, and Māori management and restorative methods, be included in the development of an integrated model, and that this be accorded equal weight to that of Western scientific information and methods.

### Dairying minimum standards

The Sustainable Dairying: Water Accord (2013) commits all dairy farmers to, amongst other things, riparian action plans that will reduce nutrient (and sediment & E. Coli) loss from farms to waterways and, ultimately, the coast. The Water Accord requires that all dairy farms with waterways have a riparian planting plan by 31 May 2020, and that by this time half of riparian actions are completed with full implementation of plans by 2030. Well prior to this, all dairying stock must be excluded from waterways (by 31 May 2017) and all crossings used more than once monthly, bridged or culverted by 31 May 2018 to prevent crossing related discharges and disturbance of stream bed habitat. This covers not only milking but also supporting land. To support this initiative, a wealth of information has been produced with regional authorities nationwide and published on how best to design, plant and maintain riparian margins for water quality.

In the Waikato Region, the dairy sector is promoting a draft package of minimum standards for dairy farms for inclusion in the Healthy Rivers Plan on the Waikato and Waipa Rivers. Amongst other things, the minimum standards address nutrient loss to waterways, and include expectations concerning effluent capture, storage and application to land (including a maximum annual nitrogen application rate to land from effluent, and a requirement that there be no discharge of effluent to water); stock exclusion from waterways and wetlands; and riparian management (as above). There is also an expectation for creation and maintenance of farm-level spatial risk plans that identify where there is a high risk of contaminants (nitrogen, phosphorus, E. coli and sediment) being lost to water, and target these with actions to minimise those risks pragmatically. These actions are to be auditable and reported on annually by an independent third party.

Standards and associated rules and practices for dairy farms at least as high as those being promoted by the dairy sector elsewhere in the Waikato need to be adopted for catchments that drain to the Hauraki Gulf, adapted for local conditions (e.g., soils, climate, ecology and stream hydrology) as necessary (Note that land draining to deep, low turnover hydro dam lakes may need more stringent measures that are not appropriate in this catchment).

The progress made on dairy farms is urgently needed across the full suite of land uses contributing sediment, nutrients and/or faecal pathogens into the Hauraki Gulf in a "whole of catchment" approach to reaching water quality objectives. Minimum standards for drystock (sheep and beef) farms, horticultural and cropping farms, and forestry operations need to be agreed, using relevant parts of the dairy minimum standards as appropriate (e.g., rules around riparian management for drystock farms).

## How will we know when we've got there?

The Firth of Thames will be a healthy marine system with no excess phytoplankton levels, significant oxygen depletion or seawater acidification.

### Three nutrient objectives

The overall goal is to manage nutrient loss from the land to the coastal marine area to maintain primary production at optimum levels and prevent the potential adverse effects of eutrophication such macroalgae proliferation and depletion of dissolved oxygen.

Nutrient objectives designed to prevent excessive growth of phytoplankton in coastal embayments (including the Firth of Thames) aim to maintain nitrogen and phosphorus in the water column to provide optimum phytoplankton levels. Further detailed explanation of the objectives below is provided in Appendix 1.

Objective WQ4 intends to control nutrients in the water column, which are a driver of eutrophication:

• **Objective WQ4:** 80% of subtidal areas and coastal embayments with increasing trends in water-column ammonia-N, nitrate+nitrite-N, soluble reactive phosphorus and total phosphorus have the trend reversed within 15 years.

Objectives WQ5 and WQ6 focus on the symptoms of eutrophication – the amount of phytoplankton (primary symptom) and dissolved oxygen (secondary symptom) in the water column:

- **Objective WQ5:** Within 10 years, chlorophyll a in the surface water (i.e., above the thermocline) of subtidal areas and coastal embayments does not exceed 5 mg m-3 during the summer when primary production is greatest.
- **Objective WQ6:** Within 20 years, dissolved oxygen concentration in subtidal areas and coastal embayments is no lower than 5 mg L-1.

Map 7.3 shows trends in coastal nutrient concentrations in the Auckland region, and Map 7.4 where water-column eutrophication objectives apply within the Park.



Figure 7.13 Cows on a Hauraki Dairy Farm

### PART THREE: RIDGE TO REEF OR MOUNTAINS TO SEA | WĀHANGA TUATORU: KI UTA KI TAI



Map 7.3 Auckland regional trends in coastal nutrient concentrations.





# THEME C. HEAVY METALS

## What is the problem?

Stormwater draining from roads and other impermeable surfaces like roofs contains dissolved metal contaminants such as zinc and copper. These are carried to the coast and accumulate in muddy sediment. The main sources of these contaminants are from tyre and brake wear and uncoated surfaces of zinc and copper. Corroding or uncoated galvanised roofs are a typical source of zinc.

The health and productivity of some marine habitats near urban areas is being reduced by the toxic accumulation of heavy metal contaminants in the sediment, sourced from urban stormwater and runoff.

The overall goal is to reduce heavy metal loss from the urban landscape to the coastal marine area and thereby limit the buildup of heavy metals in seabed sediments to protect benthic ecological health (Appendix 1 describes this in more detail). Figure 7.17 depicts heavy metal problem areas in the Auckland Region.

## What do we need to achieve?

Our objectives for heavy metals are to:

- Reduce contaminants at source.
- Prevent contaminants entering waterways.

## How will we do it?

- 1. Reduce contaminants at source
  - a) Transition to materials that are not sources of heavy metals:
    - i. By 2018 amend building codes to require exposed galvanised and copper surfaces to be coated in urban areas.
    - ii. Strongly encourage brake pad alternatives that don't contain copper.
  - Reduce vehicle use through investing in infrastructure to support alternatives including public transport, cycling and walking.

## 2. Prevent contaminants entering waterways

- a) Embrace green urban design that minimises heavy metal generation at source and that slows and filters runoff in urban areas such as filter strips, constructed wetlands, sand filters, grass swales, infiltration trenches, porous pavements, catchpits and sumps, sediment traps, litter baskets, detention basins and oil and grit separators.
- b) Put in place stormwater devices to trap heavy metals.
- c) Use public education to increase awareness and change behaviours such as washing cars on grass to reduce contaminant runoff into stormwater.
- d) Incentivise rainwater reuse, beneficial reuse and groundwater recharging.
- e) Promote innovative technologies for boat anti-fouling.
- f) Incentivise or require third pipe (grey water) networks for all new subdivisions.
- g) Promote the use of permeable surfaces rather than sealed ground surfaces, where practical, particularly in residential and domestic situations.

Appendix 4 describes these in more detail. Map 7.5 to the right locates heavy metal hotspots, showing concentrations of copper, lead and zinc in coastal sediments from the Firth of Thames to the Waitematā Harbour.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>6</sup> Bubble colour relates to threshold effects level (TEL) and probable effects level (PEL) guideline values: bubble size is proportional to metal concentration (mg/kg). Reproduced from State of the Gulf 2104.



Map 7.5 Heavy metal hotspots as concentrations of copper, lead and zinc in coastal sediments. Appendix 4 describes these in more detail.

## How will we know when we've got there?

Heavy contaminants in the seabeds of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park will be at healthy levels which do not impact on marine life.

## Four heavy metals objectives

Objective WQ7 intends to arrest the increasing trends in heavy-metal concentrations in seabed sediments. Arresting trends that are currently increasing indicates a reduction in heavy metals that can adversely affect animals that live in and on the seabed.

• **Objective WQ7:** 95% of intertidal and subtidal seabed with an increasing trend in heavy metals have trend arrested within 15 years.

Objectives WQ8 and WQ9 intend to reduce heavy-metal concentrations in seabed sediments to levels that do not pose a threat to the animals that live in and on the seabed. Seabed heavy-metal concentrations above certain known levels pose a threat to seabed animals; reducing concentrations below those levels reduces the threat.

- **Objective WQ8:** 95% of intertidal and subtidal seabed with heavy-metal concentration above threshold effects level (TEL) have concentration below the TEL within 30 years, and 95% of intertidal and subtidal seabed with heavy-metal concentration above probable effects level (PEL) have concentration below the PEL within 30 years.
- **Objective WQ9:** All intertidal and subtidal seabed with heavy-metal concentration below the threshold effects level (TEL) remain below the TEL.

A key objective is to maintain and improve the health and functioning of seabed fauna. Abundant and diverse seabed fauna supported by appropriate habitat will underpin the functioning of the wider estuarine and marine ecosystems and provide a range of benefits to people.

• **Objective WQ10:** No decline in benthic ecological health from present day and improvement in benthic ecological health at 25% of monitoring sites within 15 years.

This will be achieved by protecting seabed habitats from loss and physical disturbance, and by reducing sediment and heavy-metal runoff to the coastal marine area. Map 7.6 includes maps showing heavy metal trends in the Auckland Region for copper, lead, and zinc.

## Benthic ecological health

Animals that live in and on the seabed (shellfish, crabs, worms and so on) underpin the proper functioning of the wider estuary and marine ecosystems and the benefits derived from those ecosystems by people. "Benthic ecological health" is assessed from routine measurements of seabed fauna. Assessments focus on species abundance and diversity, and the resilience of benthic communities to withstand disturbances such as excessive sediments and heavy metals. There are different indicators or metrics available for assessing benthic ecological health from monitoring data; some apply to intertidal flats only, others are more generally applicable.

Good benthic ecological health means that things are right with the habitat and that stressor levels (e.g., sediments, heavy metals) are low. Conversely, a poor or declining benthic ecological health signifies that something is going wrong, for example, a buildup of heavy metals in the seabed.

Auckland Council assesses the benthic ecological health grade from seabed monitoring data (see Map 7.7). The grade combines information on seabed mud content and metal concentration and the types and abundances of animals in the seabed. Sites are scored from 1 (healthy) to 5 (unhealthy). In 2015, all harbours and estuaries had monitoring sites that were scored as only moderately healthy and most had sites scored as unhealthy. Most sites near the older urban centres scored as unhealthy (scores of 4 to 5), particularly within the Waitematā Harbour and Tāmaki Inlet, where the issue is elevated concentrations of at least one heavy metal. However, sites further away from urban Auckland were also rated as unhealthy, which was attributed to sediment runoff from rural land.

A key objective is to maintain and improve the health and functioning of seabed fauna. Abundant and diverse seabed fauna supported by appropriate habitat will underpin the functioning of the wider estuarine and marine ecosystems and provide a range of benefits to people. This will be achieved by protecting seabed habitats from loss and physical disturbance, and by reducing sediment and heavy-metal runoff to the coastal marine area.



**Map 7.6** Trends in the concentrations of A) copper, B) lead, and C) zinc in coastal sediments around the Auckland urban isthmus. Arrow colour indicates whether the trends are statistically significant (red) or not (blue). Arrow size is proportional to the rate of change (mg/ kg/yr). Concentrations were obtained using strong acid digestion of the <500 µm sediment fraction. Data provided by Auckland Council.

### PART THREE: RIDGE TO REEF OR MOUNTAINS TO SEA | WĀHANGA TUATORU: KI UTA KI TAI



Map 7.7 Marine ecology health grades, 2012-2014. This is a combination of the Benthic Health Index (Mud and Metals) and the Traits Based Indicator. Reproduced from Auckland Council State of the Environment Report 2015.

# THEME D. MICROBIAL PATHOGENS

Microbial pathogens ("disease-causing") are microscopic organisms that live within the waters of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

## What is the problem?

Microbial pathogens are capable of causing illness and disease in humans and animals that swim or otherwise come into contact with polluted water. In addition, consumption of contaminated shellfish can cause illness in humans. Microbiological contamination is also an issue for marine farmers, affecting suitability of sites and the ability to harvest. Any untreated human or animal waste entering waterways is offensive in terms of tikanga Māori. This includes disposal of human remains into the marine environment, which should be immediately banned.

Much of central Auckland is connected to a system that carries both wastewater (sewage and washing water) and stormwater together in the same pipes. This system, and some other urban systems, are unable to cope during large storms and are designed to overflow during these events. Untreated wastewater and its pathogens then runs into the sea directly or via streams and rivers.

Runoff from the land, particularly during and soon after storm events, also contains pathogens from animal faeces and wastewater from poorly functioning individual onsite wastewater systems. As a result, some locations are unsafe for swimming and shellfish gathering because there is too much bacteria in the water (see Map 7.8). This is of considerable concern to mana whenua and the broader community.

## What do we need to achieve?

Our objective for pathogens is to avoid the discharge of untreated sewage into the marine area, except in exceptional circumstances.

## How will we do it?

- 1. Ensure adequate wastewater infrastructure
  - a) Ensure that properly functioning wastewater systems are in place for all communities in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park:
    - i. Urgently proceed with the Auckland's Central Interceptor upgrade, which will collect, store and convey wastewater to the Mangere Wastewater Treatment Plant.
    - ii. Significantly reduce overflows to a minimal level including by installing adequate holding tanks to ensure that overflows do not occur in heavy rainfall events.
    - iii.Ensure that all on-site wastewater systems are properly maintained and operated.
    - iv. Assist communities without (or with failing) sewage systems to upgrade their wastewater treatment facilities.
    - v. Separate and effectively maintain sewage and stormwater piping networks.
    - vi. Disallow further subdivision unless a proper sewage system, with adequate capacity, is in place.

The Central Interceptor Project aims to significantly reduce the major wastewater overflows into the Meola Creek catchment, and it will provide the opportunity to further reduce existing wastewater overflows from the combined sewer system into urban streams and the Waitematā Harbour. Environmental benefits will include significant reduction in potentially harmful pathogens, reduced nutrient and organic loads, improvements in water quality, and reduction in the likelihood of conditions that cause ecological stress and adverse ecological change in the Meola Creek, Meola Creek estuary and associated coastal waters. Amenity and cultural benefits are also anticipated.

Investment in wastewater infrastructure is important if overflows are to be reduced. Reticulated systems are preferred but not always affordable. Good maintenance of septic tanks is important, and in some areas can be covered by rates so that the council can ensure they are operating correctly. Appendix 1 discusses the use of innovative technologies and habitat wetlands in municipal new treatments.

# 2. Address sewage discharge from recreational vessels

- a) Work towards eliminating raw sewage discharges from recreational vessels in inshore areas by:
  - i. Avoiding the discharge of untreated sewage from vessels within areas that have been identified as inappropriate due to the proximity to shore, marine farms, marine reserves, or shallow water depth while providing for the health and safety of vessels and their occupants.
  - ii. Providing encouragement and assistance to boat owners to install appropriate equipment on board, acknowledging that not all vessels will have room for holding tanks.
  - iii. Requiring provision of sewage collection and disposal facilities for vessels at ports, marinas and other allied facilities, or at the time of significant upgrading of these facilities.
  - iv. Promoting the installation of public toilet facilities at high use boat ramps and boating destinations, at construction, or during significant upgrades of such facilities.

# 3. Reduce pathogen runoff from agricultural and conservation land

- a) Encourage uptake of good management practice to reduce pathogen runoff from agricultural and conservation land in conjunction with riparian management practices for the prevention of sediment loss to waterways. This would include:
  - i. Effective effluent management systems and onsite wastewater treatment systems.
  - ii. Livestock excluded from waterways and the coast.
  - iii. Effective pest and wild fowl management.
  - iv. Control of populations of feral mammals in forest and bush areas.

# 4. Immediately ban all disposal of human remains into the coastal marine area

Disposal of human remains to water is culturally offensive to Māori. According to tikanga Māori, human remains (including ashes) are considered tapu and must be kept separate from any food gathering areas or places where humans could come into contact with them. For this reason tangata whenua seek to avoid the practice of scattering ashes into the sea.

## How will we know when we've got there?

A safe and enjoyable swimming experience at all popular swimming spots in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

The discharge of raw sewage from recreational vessels can create a health hazard in crowded anchorages and is of cultural concern to mana whenua. The Auckland cruising fleet consists mainly of boats more than 30 years old which were built without holding tanks being installed.



Figure 7.14 Boats at Islington Bay

# PART THREE: RIDGE TO REEF OR MOUNTAINS TO SEA | WĀHANGA TUATORU: KI UTA KI TAI



158

Map 7.8 Swimming safety within Auckland Council, from data collected over the three summer seasons (2011 – 2014). Reproduced from Auckland Council-State of the Environment Report-2015. Waikato Regional Council does not currently monitor swimming safety.

## Three microbial objectives

Objectives WQ11 and WQ12 aim to reduce microbial pathogens in the coastal marine area in order to achieve the goal of providing safe swimming for people, while WQ13 relates to seafood being safe for human consumption.

- Objective WQ11: All popular swimming spots in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park (see Map 7.8) to be in Microbial Assessment Category A by 2030.
- Objective WQ12: People can swim at any beach within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Marine Park 95% of the time by 2025.
- Objective WQ13: aims to provide for safe kaimoana. Objective WQ14: Kaimoana is safe to eat from anywhere within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Marine Park by 2025.

## **RISKS AND THREATS**

## What is the problem?

Infrequent events such as ship sinking, chemical spills and major sewage discharges are risks. Actions to reduce the impact on the marine area from storm and flood events are often not anticipated in advance and planned for. Consequently the damage is greater. Poor regulation or enforcement, inadequate monitoring and poor coordination between agencies are also risks to water quality.

Lack of information is also a risk. For many areas of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park there is insufficient water quality monitoring. This means that early detection of water quality issues and reversal of negative changes may not occur.

## What do we need to achieve?

Our objectives for risks and threats are that:

- All significant risks are identified and minimised.
- Rapid response measures are in place.

## How will we do it?

## **Understand the risks**

 a) By 2018 Auckland Council and Waikato Regional Council, in consultation with the Hauraki Gulf Forum, need to instigate a formal audit of water quality risk factors, particularly storage facilities.

## **Reduce the risks**

- b) By 2020, have in place plans, and implement mitigation actions, to address water quality risks affecting the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park including the potential damage from large storms, ship grounding, oil leaks, flooding and tsunami:
  - i. Determine the volume of oil on the Niagara wreck and remove it if required.
  - ii. Ensure Maritime New Zealand has a plan and capacity for prompt removal of oil from an above-surface wreck e.g. the Rena in coordination with Northland Regional Council <sup>7</sup>.
  - iii.Enforce designated shipping routes.
  - iv. Ensure equipment and trained personal are in place and available to respond to emergencies.
  - v. Ensure monitoring is sufficient to report on trends or incidents.

## How will we know when we've got there?

Significant risks in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park will have been identified and planned for. All reviews of responses to events like those described find that agencies have been optimally prepared.

<sup>7</sup> The Niagara was in Northland until the regional boundary was moved in 2010 so the Northland Regional Council has previous experience with monitoring the wreck.

# PLACE STUDY: KAUAERANGA (THE THAMES MUDFLATS), MANA WHENUA, AND WATER QUALITY

The Kauaeranga (Thames) mudflats, adjacent to the mouth of the Waihou River, hold an important place in Sea Change. This is where the Waihou dumps its thousands of tonnes of sediment into Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi. The Waihou channel is navigable at low tide, and the area is a prized fishery today, as it was in pre-colonial times. It is prime potential aquaculture space, as evidenced by the large wild beds of pacific oysters crowding the mouths of the Waihou and Piako Rivers. It is also on the edge of an internationally protected RAMSAR site that supports rare migrating seabird populations amongst wetlands and large stands of old mangroves.

## Kauaeranga and mana whenua

While iwi and hapū typically hold discrete sections of coastline across Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi, the Thames Foreshore is an example of a location prized for its rich resources, where there were complex interests. The area is under the mana of Marutuahu, but other hapū had long-standing access to certain places and resources on the Kauaeranga mudflats. These arrangements were formalised into legal boundaries when the mudflats were the first Māori foreshore lands put before the Native Land Court. Hori Ngakapa Whanaunga claimed a strip from the mountains to the middle of the Firth, bounded by Willoughby Street on the south and Richmond Street on its north, as shown in Figure 7.15.



Figure 7.15 Native Land Court survey number ML 1892, 1869. Inset ML 2252-9

Others commissioned surveys, some shown in the inset of Figure 7.15. In the Marlborough Sounds case more than 150 years later, which triggered the 2004 Foreshore and Seabed Act, Kauaeranga was argued to have confirmed Māori legal rights to the seabed. Sinclair referred to the cases as "a major precedent for non-territorial Māori fishing rights" (Sinclair, 1999). These parcels still extend into the Firth of Thames, and some remain in Māori ownership, as shown in Map 7.9.



**Map 7.9** Kauaeranga foreshore showing sediment plumes from the Waihou and Piako Rivers, legal parcel boundaries (yellow lines), remaining Māori-owned land (red), and Ahu Moana (light blue). Map is drawn south-north. (Sources. CRS LINZ NZ, Māori Land data Māori Land Court. Photo Google Earth 2016).

## Historic loss and degradation

Local iwi gave lands for the establishment of Thames, and leased land for mining, forestry and fishing. But within a few years it became clear that Māori were being deprived of their prized fisheries, they witnessed degradation of their ancestral lands and waters. Māori sought to defend their fishing places, as expressed in this 1869 petition against the Thames Beach Bill by Te Moananui and other Hauraki chiefs to the Governor:

"The word has come to us that you are about taking our places from high-water mark outwards. You, the Government have asked for the gold of Hauraki; we consented. You asked for a site for a town; you asked also that the flats of the sea off Kauwaeranga should be let; and those requests were acceded to and now you have said that the places of the sea that remain to us will be taken. O friends, it is wrong, it is evil. Our voice, the voice of the Hauraki, has agreed that we shall retain the parts of the sea from the high water-mark outwards. These places were in our possession from time immemorial; these are the places from which food was obtained from the time of our ancestors even down to us their descendants. ... It was thought that the taking of land by you ceased at Tauranga and other places; but your thought has turned to Hauraki.

The petition fell on deaf ears, and an ever increasing fleet of ships obliterated the rich fishery, as described by Sinclair (1999):

"The foreshore opposite the towns of Shortland and Grahamstown (now Thames) was a broad mudflat formed by sediments from the Waihou and Kauwaeranga rivers. It was an important flounder fishing ground. Godwits and shellfish were also taken. In times past, stakes had been driven into the mud to support fishing nets. By 1870, these had mostly been broken off by ships, but there apparently remained some stone walls associated with fishing. It seems that the mudflat was difficult to cross by foot except near the beach, and there had been some encroachment by the sea over what had once been dry ground."

Already in 1870 colonial efforts had significantly degraded the Thames foreshore, and expanding mud was encroaching on fisheries in the Firth of Thames. This remains one of the most polluted sites in the Hauraki Gulf, and mana whenua still maintain their efforts for meaningful participation in its management. Sediment plumes from the Waihou and Piako can be seen below. Pending Treaty settlements are expected to create a new mana whenua-council co-management body for the Waihou, Piako, and Coromandel Peninsula streams. This will be an important vehicle for reducing the sedimentation of Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi.



**Figure 7.16** Photograph of Thames Foreshore in 1869 (Source. Sir George Grey Special Collections, Auckland Libraries, 7-A11453)



**Figure 7.17** Matai Whetu Marae at Kopu south of Thames (Source. Te Korowai Hauora o Hauraki, 2015)

PART FOUR: PROSPEROUS COMMUNITIES WĀHANGA TUAWHĀ: KOTAHITANGA

12

2577



INSPIRING THE HAURAKI GULF MARINE PARK COMMUNITY. HE WHAKAHAU I TE HĀPORI O TĪKAPA MOANA / TE MOANANUI-Ā-TOI.

If winning minds is a science winning hearts is an art.



IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PLAN. WHAKATINANA I TE MAHERE.



PROVIDING ACCESS TO THE HAURAKI GULF MARINE PARK. HE TUKU URUNGA ATU KI TĪKAPA MOANA TE MOANANUI-Ā-TOI.



DESIGNING COASTAL INFRASTRUCTURE. HE WAIHANGA AHOAHO PŪNAHA TAKUTAI.

ſungia te ururua, kia tupu

Burn the overgrowth to allow the flax shoots to grow through

The last Part of the Plan is entitled Kotahitanga – Prosperous Communities. Kotahitanga means unity, or collective action, and Part Four is concerned with people and communities, and their connection to and relationship with the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. It seeks to balance growing strong and prosperous communities, including those of mana whenua, the infrastructure needed to provide access to the Park, and the need to safeguard and restore the marine environment. Kotahitanga - Prosperous Communities consists of three short chapters, Chapter 8, Inspiring the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Community, Chapter 9, Providing Access to the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, and Chapter 10, entitled Designing Coastal Infrastructure. Each chapter provides an overview of issues, lists a number of related objectives, discusses how these might be achieved, and proposes specific actions. Kotahitanga, bringing together neighbouring and diverse communities in a combined effort, is a continual theme throughout these chapters.

# 8. INSPIRING THE HAURAKI GULF MARINE PARK COMMUNITY HE WHAKAHAU I TE HĀPORI

# O TĪKAPA MOANA TE MOANANUI-Ā-TOI

If winning minds is a science, winning hearts is an art.

The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is an icon, a taonga that must be preserved and restored for future generations. The coast, the water and the islands provide places for work, recreation and adventure, peace and tranquility, and for learning about and sharing knowledge of the rich cultural history and natural values of this place. But the mauri, the life force, of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is in decline and the deterioration must be turned around.

Making the substantive changes that are needed cannot be achieved through rules and regulations alone. The changes that must happen are the responsibility of every person who loves or depends on the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. We must all embrace, and take part in, ensuring our knowledge, understanding, commitment and passion work towards the restoration of this special place.

Kaitiakitanga and guardianship obligations mean that the health of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park lies in the hands of us all. Harnessing the hearts and minds of the community and mana whenua and unifying a 'sense of place' and purpose are the keys to the future health of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. We will all have to make concessions to deliver the right outcomes.

It is in our hands to see that Kaitiakitanga / guardianship is practiced by all to ensure:

- The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is valued.
- The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park retains a sense of place for future generations.
- The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park provides a quality experience for all.

In identifying the future directions for the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park the community and mana whenua have overwhelmingly told us that preserving and restoring the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park through kaitiakitanga / guardianship is essential. This includes promoting understanding of and connection with the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park through education, conservation, advocacy, recreation, volunteering, and accessibility to popular places – as evidenced in the selection of quotes following.

## VALUES STATEMENT – some of the things you have told us include:

### Kaitiakitanga

- A healthy Gulf, clean, clear water
- We must conserve this for the future, we can't lose its beauty
- We must be conscious and caring

## **Escape and Tranquillity**

- A tranquil place and breathing space
- A coast with special and peaceful qualities
- A spiritual place that nourishes people

# Unpredictable and irresistible adventure

- A place to experience wilderness and nature
- Where you feel expectation and anticipation of what you might see out there
- Where you meet all sorts of people

## **Intergenerational Stories**

- A historic place
- A place of memories and where traditions are recognised and created
- Full of old characters, local colours and good stories

## An icon

- A gem, incredible headlands and vistas
- It's nice to know it's there, even if we can't get to it

## A learning ground

- The ocean and coast is our classroom
- Everyone can have boat stories and the boat and water are connecting points

## Live, work, eat, play

- A way of life where we can practice our customs and traditions
- Inclusive for locals and visitors
- A place to catch dinner
- A bridge between the urban and rural divide
- Where we connect with our neighbours and community
- Where recreation creates business opportunities

# WHERE ARE WE – WHAT ARE THE ISSUES AND OPPORTUNITIES?

It is important that everyone is able to access the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. It is also important to provide for mana whenua to undertake customary activities within their respective rohe.

These are some of the many factors that influence our objectives and recommendations:

- The population for the Auckland Region is predicted to increase to 2.5 million by 2041.
- The changing and growing population will require planning to ensure places remain accessible while managing those pressures to avoid over-reaching the capacity of those places to absorb more people.
- Recreational boat ownership is linked to population growth and household numbers and will place increasing demands for infrastructure at many access points and marine places

- Water-based recreational activities on the coastal fringe bring people together, offering an important connection between recreation and the environment. Growing demand will require management of associated infrastructure to ensure the best use of available space.
- Emerging trends towards recreation corridors on the land could potentially be mirrored on the sea. Walking is the most accessible and most popular activity throughout the coastal area and the provision of high quality, well-used and safe walkways and cycleways is important.
- Environmental education is important for both present and future generations both in and outside the classroom and must be encouraged.
- The mauri of the moana, freshwater, coastal and terrestrial ecosystems, wāhi tapu sites and other identified taonga need to be protected from adverse impacts caused by accessibility and use.
- More and better infrastructure to be provided for people with disabilities.

### **Recreation corridors**

Te Awa Moana – the seagoing pathway – is the first formally developed and promoted kayak trail along the coast. Of the 2500km long Hauraki Gulf Marine Park coastline 58% is adjacent to publically owned land or roads, including an outstanding network of parks and open spaces. These all protect natural values that are enjoyed, free of charge, by residents and visitors alike and can be accessed by kayak.

http://regionalparks.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/articles/te-ara-moana-the-sea-going-pathway

#### **Environmental education**

Environmental education includes 'Adventure Education' and 'Education Outside the Classroom' and provide curriculum based learning that extends beyond the classroom. Such activities are provided at outdoor coastal education camps like the Marine Education and Recreation Centre (Long Bay), Motutapu Outdoor Education Camp, on Rotoroa Island and at Cape Rodney – Okakari Point Marine Reserve and in popular programmes such as Waterwise, educational sailing programmes and Sea Scouts. All use the marine environment to deliver a range of water based activities to provide safe, fun, challenging and adventurous programmes to develop life and water safety skills. Programme costs and, proximity to the activity may limit involvement of lower decile schools and is an issue that needs addressing.

# **OBJECTIVE 1. ENGAGE 'HEARTS AND MINDS'**

We need to celebrate our sense of place and connection to the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park in order to inspire and implement kaitiakitanga and guardianship initiatives. This can be achieved in a variety of ways - from collecting stories and sharing them through the arts, tourism and commercial sectors, to hands-on involvement in the many restoration projects on islands, around the coastline and in the catchments. We need to come together with ongoing programmes to 'engage the hearts and minds', implement kaitiakitanga and guardianship and instil pride and wellbeing.

## Action:

1. By 2019, implement a multi-agency, community, and mana whenua led media and marketing campaign to engage 'Hearts and Minds'.

# **OBJECTIVE 2. EMBRACE VOLUNTEERING**

Kaitiakitanga / guardianship activities around the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, on the islands, and in the catchments, provide a vast network of opportunities for people to be involved in projects that are actively restoring essential habitats to protect our native species. Examples of these conservation activities range from re-planting islands and restoring mussel beds to keeping beaches clean, controlling plant and animal pests and monitoring shellfish.

Many of the Hauraki Gulf islands are free of animal pests and provide safe havens for a number of our endangered species. Many kilometres of catchments are fenced and planted, and thousands of people are involved in volunteer activities throughout the park. This collective action is to be celebrated, encouraged and expanded. This is kaitiakitanga in action.

### Action:

2. By 2018 set up a coordinated network of programmes and volunteers to provide opportunities for involvement in kaitiakitanga and guardianship activities that restore the mauri of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

# OBJECTIVE 3. EXPAND MARINE EDUCATION OPPORTUNITIES

Early childhood engagement with the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park can help engender a lifelong connection with the place and willingness to care for it. It is therefore important that as many children as possible are able to have positive experiences interacting with the marine area. There are currently several marine education programmes operating within the Park, and these need to be supported, but additional opportunities to increase capacity need to be investigated.

## Action:

- 3. By 2017, undertake a stock take of current marine education facilities and programmes within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.
- 4. By 2018, develop a marine education strategy for the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park which identifies how best to meet current and likely future demand, and how to better engage mana whenua, children from low decile schools, and people from new immigrant communities.

# OBJECTIVE 4. 'ONE GULF ONE MESSAGE' STRATEGY

"Kia kaha, kia māia, ki te tiaki i ēnei taonga tuku iho hei oranga mo ngā uri whakatipu"

Be strong, be steadfast, and nurture those treasures handed down from the ancestors, for us to build up.

A 'One Gulf One Message' strategy will involve a multiagency delivery of education campaigns, such as learn-to-swim programmes and rock fishing awareness programmes, to ensure that the population is safe while enjoying the coast. These could include:

- Restoration initiatives, community events and campaigns
- Opportunities to participate in kaitiaki/guardianship activities such as community shellfish monitoring, and planting on islands or in catchments
- Opportunities to become involved in local decision making, such as the development of coastal management strategies (See the Ahu Moana initiative)
- Marine safety messages for those on the water such as awareness of shipping lanes, and advice for managing conflicts between recreational activities
- Widely distributed fisheries management regulations and information about harvesting protocols

## Action:

5. By 2018, start implementing a 'One Gulf One Message' Strategy to increase the availability of public information relating to the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

# PLACE STUDY: REVIVE OUR GULF – THE MUSSEL REEF RESTORATION TRUST

One of the many existing groups undertaking restoration initiatives within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is the Mussel Reef Restoration Trust and their Revive our Gulf programme.

Green-lipped mussel<sup>1</sup> beds once covered much of the Firth of Thames and Tāmaki Strait (as much as 500 km<sup>2</sup>), down to around 30 m deep. The reefs disappeared under the pressure of commercial dredge fishing between about 1910 and 1968 to mainly supply the Auckland market. Since the fishery collapsed in the late 1960s, no regeneration of the beds has occurred. Three especially important ecological services were lost.

### Filtering

Mussels helped to maintain water quality in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park to a high standard by their filter-feeding activities. Oxygen, phytoplankton and fine sediment particles are removed from water that the mussel pumps through its mantle cavity. The oxygen is used for respiration, and the phytoplankton is used for food; while suspended sediments that have no food value are packaged with mucus and deposited on the seabed as "pseudo-faeces". In this way, the water is cleared of fine sediments, and phytoplankton that otherwise could accumulate in blooms with associated adverse effects, are consumed. McLeod (2009) estimated that the historic mussel beds could have filtered the entire water volume of the Firth of Thames in less than a day, compared to over a year on the basis of current mussel biomass. Without this filtering, the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park has become more turbid and more susceptible to adverse effects associated with nutrient enrichment.



#### Figure 8.1 Mussel dredging in the 1950s

Perna canaliculus, which is one of sixteen species of mussel species found in New Zealand. It is endemic to New Zealand.



### Nursery habitat

Mussel reefs provide habitat for fishes and invertebrates to shelter and grow. These extensive beds of green-lipped mussels provided food for many species, and habitat for a wide range of marine life including sponges, sea squirts, bryozoans, small invertebrates, starfish, crabs, fish (including snapper), eagle rays and octopuses. The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park has also lost other biogenic habitats that supported this function, such as (subtidal) seagrass meadows and horse mussel beds.

#### Productivity

Mussel reefs have the highest secondary productivity (generation of biomass) of any marine habitat yet recorded in New Zealand. Measures of remnant beds found them to have on average ten-fold higher small fish densities, four times the average invertebrate density and seven times the biomass, six times the invertebrate productivity, and greater species richness than adjacent bare sediment areas (McLeod 2009, McLeod et al. 2014). McLeod et al. (2012) noted that, even though dredging never recommenced, the mussel reefs have not recovered. They investigated two potential reasons for this: firstly, increased sedimentation and associated suspended sediments, which reduce the ability of mussels to survive, and secondly, limited recruitment due to low larval supply or reduction in habitat suitable for larval settlement and post-larval survival.

The Mussel Reef Restoration Trust's 'Revive our Gulf' project seeks to restore these important services. Supported by the aquaculture industry and regulatory agencies, the Trust has deposited 77 tonnes of greenlipped mussels on the seafloor in areas where they were once abundant. The latest research from these beds shows that the surviving mussels are growing but there are still challenges to overcome.



**Figure 8.2** Seeding a reef (Source. NIWA, permission granted by M. Morrison)

The Revive our Gulf project has the following goals:

- Research units established with universities and Crown Research Institutes within one year to provide scientific support for the restoration, and attract and engage young scientists into marine research.
- One square kilometre of seabed restored within 15 years.
- Ten "seed" beds independently established by local communities within ten years.
- Large scale mussel restoration areas formally

designated in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Spatial Plan within three years.

- Three 800 m<sup>2</sup> demonstration beds with habitat suitable for further colonisation established within three years
- Purchase or establishment of a mussel farm within 10 years to provide an ongoing source of adult stock.



**Figure 8.3** Before and after mussel restoration photos - Visit the Mussel Reef Restoration Trust at http:// reviveourgulf.org.nz/

# 9. PROVIDING ACCESS TO THE HAURAKI GULF MARINE PARK HE TUKU URUNGA ATU KI TĪKAPA MOANA / TE MOANANUI-Ā-TOI

Our experiences of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park take in a myriad of spaces, whether we are paddling along traditional waka routes, walking along the coast, snorkelling around an island, mooring in a cove, seeking out the best fishing spot, harvesting kaimoana, accessing ancestral wāhi tapu, learning to sail, surf or kayak, hiking up hills to experience the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park vista, or simply finding a tranquil patch to sit, relax and connect to Papatūānuku (Earth mother) and Tangaroa and Hinemoana (God and Goddess of the Sea).

Reducing the vulnerability of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park to the impacts of increasing population and visitors is essential, whilst at the same time recognising the importance of providing for mana whenua customary rights. This can be achieved through kaitiakitanga/guardianship driven management.

# OBJECTIVE 5. A PLACE-BASED DECISION MAKING APPROACH

A place-based decision making approach would enable mana whenua and local communities to guide the development of their places, ranging from creating busy hubs to the protection of quiet and secret places. It would identify the appropriate level of protection, scale of development and infrastructure for each place, as well as the level of accessibility and awareness that the community sees as appropriate.

Place-based management should take a precautionary approach to inform planning responses for particular places aimed at developing or retaining quality visitor destinations, providing the process for collective discussion in a structure way, and assisting with transparency in decision-making and communications. The values statements drawn from the Listening Posts, the Mātauranga Māori Survey and the Uses and Values survey underpin the development of this tool. The primary focus of place-based management for mana whenua and communities is to:

- Identify their values from their 'sense of place'.
- Identify the places that need to be protected.
- Identify the visions, goals and objectives for the area.
- Protect and provide for cultural landscape and sites/areas of importance.
- Define community based outcomes for education and restoration initiatives.
- Identify hubs of activities.
- Identify type of infrastructure associated with those hubs.
- Identify different experiences in different places and what infrastructure is required for those activities.
- Take stock of existing infrastructure, use, services and projected requirements.
- Identify appropriate management and legislative responses for the area.

### Action:

- 6. By 2020 agencies should develop and implement a Place Based Initiative that provides a means for mana whenua and communities to plan for the future of their places by:
  - Identifying cultural landscapes, sites, areas and activities of significance to mana whenua.
  - Undertaking a stock-take of what is available, current trends, and existing infrastructure.
  - Setting the vision and aspirations for each place

     from busy hubs to the 'secret' places that
     need protection.
  - Considering appropriate management regimes to give certainty to future planning decisions.

# OBJECTIVE 6. MANAGING VISITOR EXPERIENCE

Place-based management should inform specific planning responses for particular places that are aimed at maintaining and expanding quality visitor destinations, involving local communities and mana whenua in visitorrelated discussions, ensuring transparency in decisionmaking, and effective communications. It would help with:

- Identifying the visions, goals and objectives for an area.
- Protecting mana whenua cultural landscapes, sites and areas.
- Taking stock of existing infrastructure, use, services and projected requirements.
- Appropriate management tailored for each area.

### A Visitor Strategy should:

- Be developed with community and mana whenua input.
- Provide authentic experiences based on the unique values of each place within the park.
- Identify reasons for visitors to stay, to value the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, and to support Gulf communities.
- Address the unique challenges facing some areas, for example capacity issues and the need to manage visitor numbers for the Coromandel Peninsula, and branding and marketing strategies for Great Barrier and Waiheke Islands.

### Action:

7. By 2018, complete a Visitor Strategy for the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, in association with mana whenua and communities, based on the place-based decision making approach. The strategy should set out a pathway that recognises and preserves the rights of mana whenua, the mauri of special places and protects the values of key destinations while creating important opportunities for expanding local economies.

# OBJECTIVE 7. CREATE AND IMPLEMENT A HAURAKI GULF MARINE PARK TRANSPORT STRATEGY

A transport strategy would provide for well-publicised and regular public transport options to the islands and to a range of locations throughout the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. This would include passenger and car ferry services, buses/trains and mobility access and would support local community economic opportunities by connecting remote communities to markets. The transport strategy would be guided by the place-based decision making approach and embrace the concept of a 'Blue Highway' (see below).

### Action:

8. By 2020 develop a Hauraki Gulf Marine Park transport strategy with the communities and mana whenua that plans for future population growth and economic prosperity and provides guidance on future infrastructure requirements.

# **OBJECTIVE 8. CREATE A 'BLUE HIGHWAY'**

The Blue Highway goes hand-in-hand with the Transport Strategy and decisions about 'what should go where'. There are a number of communities and locations that are difficult and/or expensive to reach for both locals and tourists. In large measure, the current approach to sea transport is focused on a central Auckland 'hub and spoke' model, which is a radial model of transport, where the city center is the hub of activity.

The potential exists to create a series of interconnected regional hubs - a 'Blue Highway'. The creation of 'hubs' should enhance current access to the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park by creating an expanded infrastructure network. This is not a short-term project, as significant investment in infrastructure is required. An example of the current 'hub and spoke' model and the contrasting potential 'Blue Highway' network is shown in Map 9.1.

### Action:

9. By 2020 integrate the Blue Highway concept into the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Transport Strategy.



Map 9.1 Elements of a Hauraki Gulf Marine Park transport strategy

# OBJECTIVE 9. SUPPLEMENT BLUE HIGHWAY WITH 'WALKING ON WATER' STRATEGY

'Walking on water' runs alongside the Blue Highway and builds on the provision of easy access to the marine environment and islands of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park for walking, kayaking, cycling, and camping.

Recreation use data shows informal settings are important for the bulk of the population. However, with increasing population there is increasing demand and pressure to develop land and control/ allocate use of land and water for private and commercial use.

To secure access along the coastline means -

- de-cluttering spaces where possible removing structures that are no longer needed
- planning to ensure that there are areas free of commercial activity (in so far as this takes away the opportunity for informal play)
- enable cheap/low cost/incidental play areas.

Walking on Water is aimed at developing low cost options for accessing the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, marine hubs and the public parks along the coast while protecting areas of cultural significance. This would reduce possible cost barriers for lower socio-economic communities and also enable new migrant communities to access, and create a relationship with, the coast. Sustainably designed, high quality, well used and safe greenways/walkways and cycleways enable communities to access the coast, providing access to affordable play along the coast or in the water.

## Action:

- 10. By 2018 develop a 'Walking on Water' strategy to promote coastal walking and provide easy access to islands and island hopping. This needs to include provision of walkways, cycle ways, and camping grounds.
- 11. By 2018 define and implement a 'Camping Strategy' to ensure access to sustainable and affordable extended stay options for the community.
- 12. By 2020, undertake a stocktake of public coastal land that can be made accessible, while protecting sites of cultural significance and natural values, to provide access to a wide range of quality destinations.

Rules and regulations should generally be avoided in favour of a 'share with care approach' that is enabling and responsive to changing recreation demands in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. Providing some spaces for particular recreation activities through spatial allocation in order to minimise on-water conflicts, may be appropriate. This could result in some rationalisation, such as the colocation or relocation of pole moorings for example which are an important and legitimate recreational use but none-the-less occupy space that impacts other activities.



Map 9.2Example of 'Blue Highway' Showing Inter-Connected Transport Links and Access to Hauraki GulfCommunities

PART FOUR: PROSPEROUS COMMUNITIES | WĀHANGA TUAWHĀ: KOTAHITANGA
# 10. DESIGNING COASTAL INFRASTRUCTURE

# HE WAIHANGA AHOAHO PŪNAHA TAKUTAI

*Tungia te ururua, kia tupu* Burn the overgrowth to allow the flax shoots to grow through

Coastal infrastructure connects us to the water. It spans our major international port, local wharves and marinas, small jetties and boat ramps. All of these require associated land-based infrastructure such as roads, car parks and utilities. They all have an impact on the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

Coastal infrastructure occupies space that may be used for other purposes. It is often large scale and can be intrusive and unattractive. But we need it for our marine industries and to provide people with access to the marine space. It is therefore important that the Park's infrastructure is wisely planned, designed and constructed to maximise effectiveness while minimising environmental impacts. Boat ramps are a good example. As the population increases so will the demand for boat ramps. Managing this demand will require innovation when planning and designing required infrastructure.

Poorly designed and located infrastructure can create more problems than it solves. For example, a poorly built sea wall or groyne can create erosion problems elsewhere, or simply create an eyesore. On the other hand, well planned infrastructure can be enormously beneficial - it can provide multi-faceted benefits for the community and the economy, while also contributing to the restoration of the mauri of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. As the population surrounding the Park grows, so will demand for coastal infrastructure. It is therefore important that we have a clear strategy for future infrastructure that minimises adverse effects to the environment, community, and customary activities.

This plan is not prescriptive about where infrastructure should or should not be located. Infrastructure can be very controversial, as we have seen with the debate over proposed extensions to the Auckland Port, and the Mātiatia marina proposal. These are difficult problems that communities have struggled to solve.

The key mechanism for determining the location of infrastructure should be through consultation with mana whenua and communities, and strategic planning under the Local Government Act and Resource Management Act. This plan has concentrated on identifying mechanisms to ensure good design for all infrastructure constructed within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, the application of Blue Design Principles and the establishment of a Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Design Panel.

# A selection of quotes from members of the public at listening posts about infrastructure:



# Mana whenua

Minimise business and charter operations to certain times of the year to ensure sustainability for the marine, ecosystems, biodiversity life to restore.

Engage in actual conversation and genuine consultation with mana whenua; not paper based, not project based, but genuine conversations.

### **St Marys Bay**

We need to think about design – water is so integral and important – we need to look at slowing down its passage and use of treatment techniques before it leaves a structure or enters a waterway.

### Whangamata

Councils should think 100 year plans NOT short term plans – think future sustainability

Welcome all the people and not put brakes on innovative ideas that can make a living here. We don't have to have rules about everything without some foresight – be progressive.

The parking is inadequate, launching is OK, but getting back in is worse. There is a line of boats out to sea waiting to come back in.

# Hamilton

Fuel, fresh water and rubbish disposal are problems on the Gulf. There used to be a floating rubbish barge system.

### Mahurangi

There's an awful lot of land around the coast that we need to keep in the regional park domain. Everyone wants a place with a view, on the ridges, on the edges.

Ramp rage – pressure on ramps at Omaha

Are there enough boat ramps to meet demand?

Parking is one of the biggest problems.

## **Point England**

There are more and more launches and power vessels. They are quicker and don't want to learn about the sea. They don't need to learn to sail. It's like being in a washing machine sometimes.

Lack of appreciation of how serious the sea level rise is going to be – particularly the public piece.

We need to release the pressure on the local parks by linking the regional parks better.

The Summary and Outcomes of Sea Change – Tai Timu Tai Pari Community Engagement (January 2014 – February 2015) included the following feedback:

- Develop recreational and commercial
- infrastructure that will enhance the environment and support connection between people and communities.
- Erosion, natural and human, is an issue.
- Upgrade existing infrastructure 'at place' where practicable, to cope with ever growing demands.
- There needs to be balance between different users between over-use, use and the needs of the natural environment.
- Connect the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park by building a network of places linked by a 'blue highway' of water transport options.
- There is a willingness to accept pay-per-use at boat ramps and marinas but more research options are required before general consensus is reached.
- There is a need for more/improved/more rational use of boat access and moorings.

# OBJECTIVE 10. CREATE AND IMPLEMENT BLUE DESIGN PRINCIPLES

# Whakahoki mai te mana rangatiratanga o te moana nei ki te iwi.

Restore the chiefly authority over our ocean to the tribes/people

Councils should collaborate with mana whenua and the community to develop a set of tikanga/values based principles that will apply to infrastructure within or relating to the coastal marine area. The principles need to encourage designs that work with nature, minimise environmental impacts, and avoid problems for future generations. The Blue Design Principles need not be prescriptive, and should be designed to foster innovation and creativity in achieving desired outcomes. They should encourage innovative design in the use of materials including:

- The use of environmentallyfriendly materials.
- Alternatives to copper based antifoul.
- Alternatives to marine dumping of dredging spoil.
- Alternatives to materials contributing to marine debris issues
- Retrofitting stormwater systems to include pollutant traps and filters.

The principles should encourage the development of infrastructure that performs a wide range of functions. For example, in addition to its

primary purpose - infrastructure can create new ecological habitat, provide access for the community, and improve the ability of mana whenua to interact with their moana.

There should be clear benefits provided for projects that embody the principles, such as a more streamlined consenting process.

Stormwater wetland treatment systems protect the coastal environment by filtering out heavy metals and sediments from road run off, provide habitat for fish and birds and provide the community with the opportunity to interact with natural systems.

# Action:

 By 2018, develop a set of 'Blue Design Principles' for infrastructure that impacts on the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.



Figure 10.1 An Example of Blue Infrastructure

# OBJECTIVE 11. ESTABLISH A HAURAKI GULF MARINE PARK DESIGN PANEL

The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park advisory panel will advise applicants for major infrastructure projects within the Park, for example marinas, boat ramps, ports, undersea cables, and the like. The advisory panel would inform applicants on the unique Hauraki Gulf environment and the physical and legal issues. It would also provide project-planning advice to ensure good outcomes for the applicant, the whole community and Gulf. The Urban Design Panel has been put forward as a model but the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Design Panel will likely sit with the Hauraki Gulf Forum or its successor.

Taking lessons from the Auckland Urban Design Panel and Te Aranga Principles (see text box below) a streamlined consent process could incorporate Blue Design principles. The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Design Panel would provide consistent advice to, and coordination of, agencies to ensure complex issues are dealt with in a timely manner.

At times, decisions regarding the location and design of coastal infrastructure have been made without the involvement of mana whenua, and have resulted in significant cultural impacts. A Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Design Panel would ensure the engagement of mana whenua in planning and decisionmaking, so that adverse effects on the mauri of the Park, wāhi tapu and culturally significant sites/places and customary activities can be avoided. Identifying space that could be considered for the development of tauranga waka (waka mooring and storage places) is a good example. In the Tauranga harbour space was already set aside as tauranga waka but there is no similar facility in Tāmaki, despite the coastline having many significant tauranga waka prior to more than a century of reclamations. This is the type of situation where the design panel would lead applicants through a process, the mauri of the Gulf is improved and the result is a win-win for everyone.

# Auckland Urban Design Panel

Good urban design is critical in enabling Auckland to become the world's most liveable city. As part of meeting aspirations for a better built environment, an Auckland Urban Design Panel has been established. This Panel provides independent design review of significant projects, for both private and public developments across the region, and is informed by Te Aranga Principles. This means that developers can get an independent peer review and free advice from the Panel before applying for consent. Complex issues can be dealt with early on, meaning that time delays are reduced when resource consent applications are lodged. In addition it helps to ensure consistent advice during the consenting process and, where needed, coordination of council departments.

# Te Aranga Principles

The key objective of Te Aranga Principles is to enhance the protection, reinstatement, and development of mana whenua cultural landscapes enabling all of us to connect to and deepen our 'sense of place'. The Principles seek to foster and guide both culturally appropriate design processes and design responses that enhance our appreciation of both the natural landscape and built environments. Te Aranga Principles also provide other stakeholders and the design community with a clearer picture as to how iwi/hapū are likely to view and wish to participate in the design and development of the built environment within their ancestral rohe.

# Action:

14. By 2020, create a 'Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Design Panel', with mana whenua representation, to provide streamlined resource consent processes for large and medium scale projects that meet the Blue Design principles.

# **11. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PLAN** WHAKATINANA I TE MAHERE

Each of the objectives and associated actions in the Plan are important in their own right, and must be seen collectively as the pathway to restore the mauri of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

It is clearly not possible to undertake all the actions immediately, and agencies and stakeholders will need to prioritise them as a time-staged implementation. This is consistent with our generational perspective; it took several generations to create the current impacts on the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, so we expect that restoration to our desired outcomes may also take decades.

This Chapter outlines how agencies can stage implementation. Included are some attributes of future governance of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park that we believe are essential for the implementation of this Plan, along with monitoring and research needs, the use of cultural health indicators, and some commentary on prioritisation. We do not attempt to prescribe specific priorities for monitoring, research or indicators, this should be done by the respective agencies, and overseen by the Governance Entity.

# HAURAKI GULF GOVERNANCE ATTRIBUTES

Strong, effective co-governance is the key element that will influence the success and implementation of the Plan.

Governance is already in place through statutory agencies, and much of the implementation will occur through these agencies; in particular the Auckland Council, Waikato Regional Council, the Ministry for Primary Industries, and DOC.

An overarching perspective is provided by the Hauraki Gulf Forum. This body is currently considering its future structure and attributes, and its new form may provide the coordinating co-governance entity that is essential for the implementation of the Plan. We describe here the attributes of governance the Stakeholder Working Group strongly believes must be adopted for long term implementation of the Plan, but do not attempt to design an explicit future governance structure or funding model.

# Membership of the governance entity

- The make-up of the Governance Entity should reflect co-governance principles with membership from mana whenua and the community at large.
- All members should bring the ability to make decisions, to influence people. They need to be community leaders, with considerable courage and the ability to drive outcomes for the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.
- The size of the Governance Entity should be manageable but large enough to allow for sufficient representation of the various groups, and the range of skills required.
- Central and local government agency staff should act as advisors to the Governance Entity.
- The governance body should be sufficiently mandated to be able to contribute meaningfully to the outcomes sought in this Plan for the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.
- The entity may initiate "Action Committees" with wider membership to oversee and report on the various initiatives undertaken.

# Functions

The Governance Entity needs to be the champion for the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park and focus on the acceptance, adoption, and implementation of the Marine Spatial Plan. This includes the following:

• Leading strategic Gulf-wide initiatives described in the Plan that are clearly not the role of any particular statutory agency, and/or facilitating interagency cooperation to ensure priority Initiatives are implemented.

- Overseeing the design of a detailed implementation plan (within 6 to 9 months of adoption of the Spatial Plan), which could commence with prioritised fisheries reviews, the development of key performance indicators, and commitment to monitoring and review protocols being established.
- Overseeing and coordinating research, information gathering, and reporting for the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, as well as providing a central place where Gulf information<sup>1</sup> is held.
- Establishing a public awareness and education campaign on the implementation of the Spatial Plan and other relevant issues associated with the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.
- Coordinating and supporting the community initiatives and restoration groups actively engaged with the care of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park
- Providing recommendations to the Minister for Primary Industries on fisheries sustainability measures and regulations applying to the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. This includes working with the Minister for Primary Industries and local mana whenua groups in establishing customary fisheries tools such as mātaitai, taiāpure, and rāhui.
- Supporting mana whenua and local communities in the establishment of Ahu Moana.
- Assisting iwi to realise their goal of greater participation in the governance, management and kaitiakitanga of the marine space.
- Working closely with DOC, iwi/hapū, and local stakeholder groups and communities to help establish the network of MPAs identified in the Plan and providing support to iwi/hapū and local communities to ensure MPAs are successfully managed in the long term.
- Ensuring that all government agencies and stakeholders consider potential impacts on the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park's ecosystems, and document their process as an integral part of their decision-making systems.
- Developing guidance material on how an ecosystemmanagement / Mātauranga Māori management approach should be applied to fisheries, conservation, and resource management decision-making in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park and its catchments.
- 184 <sup>1</sup> Information in the sense of reports, maps, papers, and metadata; primary databases and associated raw data remain the direct responsibility of the various statutory agencies.

- Producing a five-yearly "State of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park" report, which would include a review of the effectiveness of the Marine Spatial Plan and the extent to which targets are being met.
- Revising the Marine Spatial Plan to respond to issues raised in the review. The Governance Entity should be responsible for approval of each revised Spatial Plan, which could then be given statutory recognition under a revised Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act, with agencies required to give effect to it under their various statutory instruments.
- Reviewing relevant draft statutory documents prepared by agencies prior to public notification to ensure that they give effect to the Spatial Plan and the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act. These would include plans prepared under the Resource Management Act, the Conservation Act and in Initial Position Papers prepared under the Fisheries Act.
- Leading regular meetings with statutory agencies to track implementation progress.

# A CO-ORDINATED APPROACH TO RESEARCH AND MONITORING

We define research here as specific human activities designed to create new fundamental and applied knowledge and understanding of how the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park functions, encompassing the biophysical, economic, social, and/or cultural realms. Monitoring is defined as the repeated measurement of variables that can be used to quantify trajectories of temporal and spatial changes in the context of the Gulf (e.g. increasing, decreasing, static, and/or random). Monitoring in itself is not research, but the data generated by monitoring can be used to assess the effectiveness of different management regimes, and test different hypotheses of how we think the systems work. 'Monitoring for monitoring's' sake is discouraged, and a poor use of resources. Monitoring should be undertaken with a clear understanding of how it will help inform management over time; is water clarity improving in an estuary following change to land management practises in a catchment, has the ability of local communities to harvest kaimoana improved following changes in spatial fisheries management. Monitoring should also be made as 'future/proof' as possible, as changing monitoring approaches or methods

can seriously undermine the value and effectiveness of data collected, for example comparing results over time and space.

A coordinated approach to monitoring and reporting, and the learnings we can take from this, is an important element in an "adaptive management" approach, whereby we modify our management direction as we learn what works and what does not.

# Cultural indicators and iwi approaches to environmental monitoring and evaluation

Cultural indicators are used to protect and manage ngā taonga tuku iho (treasures handed down to us), and to aid mana whenua in monitoring, management processes, and decision making. These should be used as part of plan-effectiveness monitoring, to recognise and incorporate mana whenua values. Cultural indicators required to monitor and understand the issues facing the Gulf will need to be determined with mana whenua, but might include:

- Mauri All elements of the natural environment, including people, possess mauri and all forms of life are related.
- Kaitiakitanga An ancestral obligation on Māori to protect and enhance the mauri of elements of the natural world. An essential element of kaitiakitanga is the maintenance of a balance between the needs of the environment and those of humans, and the needs of current generations with those yet to be born.

- Ki uta, ki tai A holistic way of managing the environment. All species are taonga and their habitats are protected, restored, enhanced and managed, consistent with the tikanga and mātauranga of mana whenua. Taonga species sustain mana whenua, providing food and other resources, and contribute to their spiritual well-being. The maintenance of a relationship with treasured ancestral places is essential for keeping mātauranga, cultural knowledge, and tikanga alive and relevant. Waterways are viewed holistically, from their source (mountains, springs, wetlands) to the sea.
- Hauhake, Kohikohi (harvest and gather) – The use of flora and fauna to sustain the people.

More detail is provided in Appendix Six.

# Research and monitoring committee

For the purposes of the Plan, a research and monitoring committee should be established, under the 'umbrella' of the Governance Entity. This should be constituted of experts from Crown Research Institutes, universities and wānanga, other research organisations, management agencies (especially Auckland Council and Waikato Regional Council), iwi, industry/sector groups, community representatives, and businesses dependant on the Gulf.

Included in this mix should be practising scientists with solid technical skills, who can help evaluate the practicality of the work proposed, and ensure that it allows New Zealand at large to gain the best science advances from the work (e.g., in its wider application to similar issues in other regions). A suitable code of conduct should be adopted/ developed to identify and mitigate any major conflicts of interest that might arise for individuals serving on the committee, and to avoid dominance of the committee by any one sector or individual/s.

The committee should be tasked with facilitating and co-ordinating the development of a research and monitoring plan for the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, focusing on the science and monitoring needed to fill knowledge gaps and reduce uncertainty. The monitoring plan should, at a minimum, include a list of recommended projects with accompanying outputs, contingencies, data requirements, timelines, indicative costs, and potential providers.

However, it should not be so prescriptive as to discourage innovative and new thinking by research providers, and 'thinking outside the square', including higher risk for higher potential gains, should be encouraged. The research and monitoring plan should explicitly underpin the delivery of objectives and management actions in the Plan.

The purpose of the committee should be to act as a broker and hub for all research activities in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park, including:

# Funding

 Identifying and promoting research projects that can be conducted within existing MBIE-funded, National Science Challenge, Crown Research Institute corefunded, university-funded, and local government-funded research programmes.

- Looking for opportunities to partner the committee's research and monitoring plan with organisations that are planning research proposals, for example, by serving on technical steering groups, and assisting in networking across agencies and other organisations.
- Working with tertiary education institutes to attach students to research projects.
- Partnering with industry research organisations to cofund projects.
- Finding opportunities for citizens to contribute to the research effort.
- Presenting strong reasoning to philanthropical organisations to provide research support.

### Leadership

- Working with central government to ensure adequate research funding.
- Seeking opportunities to add value to research projects, for example, by involving local industry and community groups, and developing opportunities for key stakeholder groups to manage research programmes collaboratively.
- Helping co-ordinate research across different programmes.
- Providing a liaison role between research programmes and management agencies, to ensure important results are noticed and taken up by management.

## Strategy and management

- Keeping abreast of timelines, including bidding processes, regional plan reviews and collaborative planning processes, looking to assist research funders in the development of their Requests for Proposals, and to ensure that research opportunities are well publicised to as many potential research providers as practical.
- Identifying future opportunities for synergies between stakeholder and research agencies.

# Examples of potential research and monitoring prioritisation

Research is used to fill in gaps in our understanding and reduce uncertainty, as well as expanding knowledge of how things work. It is an adaptive process, and as such, research may often lead to new questions as it unfolds. Good research is essential to underpin the delivery of objectives and management actions for the Plan. For example:

- Determining catchment nutrient load limits for maintaining water quality and ecosystem health of the Firth of Thames requires an understanding of the ability of the Firth to 'assimilate' nutrients without having associated adverse effects. Ultimately, an integrated biophysical-economic model for exploring potential nutrient load limits is required.
- Restoring benthic habitats, including green-lipped and horse mussel beds, will require research into effective ways of achieving this, including developing new and innovative on-the-ground methods for habitat restoration.

Brood-stock source populations for scallop and greenlipped mussels need to be identified, so that effective management strategies are developed to ensure that healthy breeding populations are maintained, to help replenish other areas throughout the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. Prioritising and staging research projects over time will be essential in implementing the Plan, given the resources likely to be available, and New Zealand's relatively small research sector. Most research can be developed as a series of clearly staged steps, where a step needs to be completed before it is possible to commence the next one. For example:

 Rebuilding fish stocks requires a prioritisation of what key harvested species to work on. Factors which can be used to prioritise might include to what extent different fish species are locally depleted, the uncertainty of stock estimates, the significance of different species to the functioning of the ecosystem, and the economic, recreational and/or cultural significance of different species. A discussion of this with respect to coastal fishhabitat interactions research is given in Morrison et al 2014c. • Contaminant-generation models, such as those used in the Waikato Regional Prioritisation Project, need to be linked to models that predict transport, dispersal, fate, and effects of land-derived contaminants in the coastal marine area receiving environment. Where such contaminants accumulate in, or otherwise pass through, sensitive or valuable habitats in the coastal marine area, and cause adverse effects on the ecosystem and/or loss of human amenity, this information can be used to prioritise spending on mitigation in the catchment (using cost/benefit analyses).

Monitoring programmes need a similar prioritised approach, but usually run much longer than research projects, so also require 'future-proofing'<sup>2</sup> so that they do not diminish in value over time as our understanding of the world moves on. Potential prioritised monitoring examples might include:

- A programme of data collection in the Firth of Thames to underpin the development of a biophysicaleconomic model for exploring potential nutrient and sediment load limits, examining specific habitats to assess processes rather than state. The parameters measured might include primary and secondary production, seabed nutrient fluxes, and ocean upwelling.
- Data on fisheries population age and size structure, • spatial abundance and depletion, and cyclical and seasonal changes to inform to understand the mechanisms driving population change, set catch limits, and assess the success (or otherwise) of management actions.

# Assessment protocols for research prioritisation

Criteria that could be applied to prioritise research are:

- Does the research fit with the strategies of the Plan?
- Is the research timely?
- Does the research recognise the historic, traditional, cultural, and spiritual relationship of tangata whenua with the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park and its islands (as per the Purpose of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act)?
- Does the research fill a key knowledge gap?
- Will the research be taken up and applied?
- Does the research need to be undertaken in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park?
- Will the benefits of the research exceed the cost of the research?
- Is there a high probability of the perceived research benefits being realised?
- Is there a critical dependency on the research?

<sup>2</sup> 

For example, using technologies which are likely to become obsolete in the near future, or failing to collect key variables which may not appear important/critical at the present time, but which might conceivably become of central importance in the future. Future-proofing is not perfect, and there is always a level of risk that monitoring may over time become 'unfit-for-purpose' or even redundant in some circumstances. Regular reviews of the monitoring schemes as part of the five year reviews will help minimise such likelihoods.

#### **BIODIVERSITY AND BIOSECURITY**

Mapping and description of seafloor habitats

Interrelationships between habitats and species

Links between shorebirds and seabird foraging behaviour, state of fish stocks and other environmental indicators

Ecosystem services provided by different habitats and species

Cumulative impacts of pressures on the wider Gulf system

Impacts of light and sound pollution on marine species

Impacts of set netting on vulnerable or at risk species

Risk and impacts of disposal of spoil on marine biodiversity

Identifying areas suitable for restoration

Innovative ways of restoring degraded habitats

Seabird foraging habits

Recreational fishing seabird bycatch

Effectiveness and feasibility of spatial and/or temporal closures when most at risk seabirds are foraging and breeding

Necropsies of dead Bryde's whales to identify the cause of death (iwi kaitiaki to ensure cultural sensitivity)

Identifying and remediating barriers to fish passage, which may significantly impact on taonga species that have a diadromous life cycle

Identifying īnanga spawning habitat

#### WATER QUALITY

Risk assessment of the RMS Niagara

Linking models that predict transport, dispersal, fate, and effects of contaminants in the coastal marine area receiving environment to contamination-generation models and economic assessments for prioritisation of mitigation

Developing sediment attributes applicable to the estuaries and inner coastal waters of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park that can be converted into objectives and then catchment sediment load limits

Models for calculating catchment sediment load limits

Identifying land and landuse practices which are generating disproportionally high amounts of sediment

Options to cap sediment with waste shells or other hard substrates

Effects of nutrients and nutrient assimilative capacity of the Firth of Thames

Sources of nutrients to the Firth of Thames

Seabed nutrient processes in the Firth of Thames

Biophysical model of Firth of Thames for calculating catchment nutrient load limits

Future-proofing nutrient and sediment load limits for climate change

Trends in Hauraki River nutrient loads

Auditing of water quality risk factors

Innovative technologies for boat anti-fouling

Opportunities for large-scale re-creation of natural wetlands

Opportunities for consolidating and hydraulically linking wetland restoration schemes

Remnant and historical wetlands

Artificial sediment traps

Opportunities for converting simple stormwater treatment ponds in urban areas to fully-functioning wetlands

Cost-benefit analysis for implementation of drain-trap technology and maintenance to remove plastic from stormwater runoff

Risks associated with carcinogens and endocrine disruptors in fish

New biodegradable materials

The impacts of effluent systems on water quality indicators and potential to reduce associated impacts

New technologies for on-site wastewater treatment

Baseline sedimentation rate

Monitoring methods for sedimentation rate

Metrics for seabed benthic health

Protocols and methods for measuring seabed muddiness

#### **FISH STOCKS**

Priority fish species

Evidence-based target stock levels for each stock

Crayfish review

Hāpuku review

Tools to monitor health and abundance of kaimoana beds

Review impact of purse seining

Review controls on harvested non-QMS species

Brood stock source populations for scallop and green-lipped mussel beds

Benefits of increasing the minimum size of snapper

New bulk-scale fishing methods

Transition of scallop fishers to other methods

Historical and current extent of culturally and ecologically important habitats

Ecosystem services valuation of the habitats

Rapid identification of potentially successful approaches to active restoration

Additional sources of stock and spat collection mechanisms

Population age and size structure, spatial abundance and depletion, cyclical and seasonal changes

Cultural health indicators for fisheries

#### AQUACULTURE

Environmental enhancement
New species
New technologies
Climate change mitigation
Opportunities for scallop aquaculture
Suitable sites to zone for aquaculture

Table 11.1Research topics identified in the Plan

# REFERENCES NGĀ TOHUTORU

Abookire, A. A., Duffy-Anderson, J. T., Jump, C. M. (2007). Habitat associations and diet of young-of-the-year Pacific cod (Gadus macrocephalus) near Kodiak, Alaska. Marine Biology, 150 (4): 713–726.

Abrahim, G. (2005). Holocene sediments of Tamaki Estuary: characterisation and impact of recent human activity on an urban estuary in Auckland, New Zealand. Unpublished PhD Thesis, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand. New Zealand.

Aguirre J.D., Bollard-Breen, B., Cameron, M., Constantine, R., Duffy, C.A.J., Dunphy, B., Hart, K., Hewitt, J.E., Jarvis, R.M., Jeffs, A., Kahui-McConnell, R., Kawharu, M., Liggins. L., Lohrer, A.M., Middleton, I., Oldman, J., Sewell, M.A., Smith, A.N.H., Thomas, D.B., Tuckey, B., Vaughan, M., Wilson, R. (in press). Loved to pieces: Toward the sustainable management of the Waitematā Harbour and Hauraki Gulf. Regional Studies in Marine Science. Available after 7 March 2016 from http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2016.02.009.

Airoldi, L., Beck, M.W. (2007). Loss, status and trends for coastal marine habitats of Europe. Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review 45: 345–405.

Alfaro, A.C. (2006). Benthic macro-invertebrate community composition within a mangrove/seagrass estuary in northern New Zealand. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 66(1–2): 97–110.

Armstrong, C.W., Falk-Petersen, J. (2008). Habitat–fisheries interactions: a missing link? ICES Journal of Marine Science, 65: 817–821.

Attrill, M.J., Strong, J.A., Rowden, A.A. (2000). Are macroinvertebrate communities influenced by seagrass structural complexity? Ecography, 23: 114–121.

Auckland Council. (2007). Erosion and sediment control guide for land disturbing activities in the Auckland region. Technical Publication 90. Retrieved from http://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/EN/planspoliciesprojects/reports/technicalpublications/Pages/technicalpublications51-100.aspx

Auckland Council. (2014). On-site wastewater management. Retrieved from http://www.aucklandcouncil.govt. nz/EN/ratesbuildingproperty/consents/buildingstructures/Documents/onsitewastewatermanagementintro.pdf

Auckland Council. (n.d.). Biodiversity on your property. Website at http://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/en/environmentwaste/biodiversity/pages/biodiversityonyourproperty.aspx

Auster, P.J., Langton, R.W. (1999). The effects of fishing on fish habitat. In Benaka, L.R. (Ed.), Fish Habitat: Essential Fish Habitat and Rehabilitation. American Fisheries Society Symposium 22. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland. 150–187.

Auster, P.J., Malatesta, R.J., Langton, R.W., Watling, L., Valentine, P.C., Donaldson C.L.S., Langton, E.W., Shepard, A.N., Babib, I.G. (1996). The impacts of mobile fishing gear on seafloor habitats in the Gulf of Maine (Northwest Atlantic): implications for conservation of fish populations. Review in Fisheries Science, 4: 185–202.

Ayling, T., Schiel, D. (2003). Poor Knights Islands. In Andrew, N., & Francis, M. Craig (Eds.), The Living Reef – the ecology of New Zealand's rocky reefs. Potton Publishing, Wellington.

Babcock, R.C., Kelly, S., Shears, N.T., Walker, J.W., Willis, T.J. (1999). Changes in community structure in temperate marine reserves. Marine Ecology Progress Series 189: 125–134. Retrieved from www.int-res.com/articles/meps/189/m189p125.pdf

Baillon, S., Hamel, J., Wareham, V., Mercier, A. (2012). Deep cold-water corals as nurseries for fish larvae. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 10: 351–356.

Baker, A.N., Madon, B. (2002). Bryde's whales (Balaenoptera cf. brydei Olsen 1913) in the Hauraki Gulf and northeastern New Zealand waters. Science for Conservation 272. Department of Conservation, Wellington. Retrieved from http://www.doc.org.nz/Documents/science-and-technical/sfc272.pdf

Baker, C. S., Chilvers, B.L., Constantine, R., DuFresne, S., Mattlin, R.H., van Helden, A., Hitchmough, R. (2010). Conservation status of New Zealand marine mammals (suborders Cetacea and Pinnipedia), 2009. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research, 44: 101–115.

Ball, B., Munday, B., Tuck, I. (2000). Effects of otter trawling on the benthos and environment in muddy sediments. In Kaiser, M.J., de Groot (Eds.), Effects of Fishing on Non-target Species and Habitats, pp. 69–82. S.J. Blackwell Science, Oxford. 399.

Barbera, M. (2012). Towards an economic valuation of the Hauraki Gulf: A stock-take of activities and opportunities. Technical Report TR2012/035, Auckland Council, Auckland. 125.

Battley, P.F., Brownell, B. (ed.) (2007). Population biology and foraging ecology of waders in the Firth of Thames - update 2007. Auckland Regional Council, Technical Publication No. 347.

Beazley, L. I., Kenchington, E. L., Murillo, F. J., del Mar Sacau, M. (2013). Deep-sea sponge grounds enhance diversity and abundance of epibenthic megafauna in the Northwest Atlantic. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 70: 1471–1490.

Beck, M.W., Heck, Jr. K.L., Able, K.W., Childers, D.L., Eggleston, D.B., Gillanders, B.M., Halpern, B., Hays, C.G., Hoshino, K., Minello, T.J., Orth, R.J., Sheridan, P.F., Weinstein, M.P. (2001). The identification, conservation, and management of estuarine and marine nurseries for fish and invertebrates. BioScience, 51(8): 633–641.

Beentjes, M.P., Francis, M.P. (1999). Movement of hapuka (Polyprion oxygeneios) determined from tagging studies. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research, 33(1): 1–12.

Bell, J.D., Galzin, R. (1984). Influence of live coral cover on coral-reef fish communities. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 15: 265–274.

Bell, S.S., Hicks, G.R.F. (1991). Marine landscapes and faunal recruitment: a field test with seagrasses and copepods. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 73: 61–68.

Bentley, N., Davies, N.M., McNeill, S.E. (2004). A spatially explicit model of the snapper (Pagrus auratus) fishery in SNA1. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2004/26.

Berghan, J., Algie, K. D., Stockin, K. A., Wiseman, N., Constantine, R., Tezanos-Pinto, G., Mourão, F. (2008). A preliminary photo-identification study of bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truncatus) in Hauraki Gulf, New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research, 42: 465–472.

Beukers-Stewart, B.D., Vause, B.J., Mosley, M.W.J., Rossetti, H.L., & Brand, A.R. (2005). Benefits of closed area protection for a population of scallops. Marine Ecology Progress Series 298: 189–204.

Booth, J.D. (1984). Movements of packhorse rock lobsters (Jasus verreauxi) tagged along the eastern coast of the North Island, New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine & Freshwater Research, 18: 275–281.

Booth, J.D. (1997). Long-distance movements in Jasus spp. and their role in larval recruitment. Bulletin of Marine Science, 61: 111–128.

Boström, C., Jackson, E.L., Simenstad, C.A. (2006). Seagrass landscapes and their effects on associated fauna: a review. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 68(3): 383–403.

Bracken, M.E.S., Braken, B.E., Rogers-Bennett, L. (2007). Biodiversity, foundation species, and marine ecosystem management. California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations Report 48.

Bradford-Grieve, J., Probert, K., Lewis, K., Sutton, P., Zeldis, J., Orpin, A. (2006). Chapter 36. New Zealand shelf region. In Robinson, A, R., Brink, K. H. (Eds.), The Sea: Ideas and Observations on Progress in the Study of Seas Vol. 14. Interdisciplinary Regional Studies and Syntheses. Part B. Wiley, New York. 1451-1492

Brasher, D.J., Ovenden, J.R., Booth, J.D., White, R.G.W. (1992). Genetic subdivision of Australian and New Zealand populations of Jasus verreauxi (Decapoda: Palinuridae)—preliminary evidence from the mitochondrial genome. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research, 26(1): 53–58.

Buchsbaum, R., Pederson, J., Robinson, W.E. (2005). The decline of fisheries resources in New England: evaluating the impact of overfishing, contamination, and habitat degradation. MIT Sea Grant College Program Publication No. 05–5.

Buhl-Mortensen, L., Vanreusel, A., Gooday, A.J., Levin, L.A., Priede, I.G., Buhl-Mortensen, P., Gheerardyn, H., King, N.J., Raes, M. (2010). Biological structures as a source of habitat heterogeneity and biodiversity on the deep ocean margins. Marine Ecology, 31: 21–50.

Caddy, J. F., Defeo, O. (2003). Enhancing or restoring the productivity of natural populations of shellfish and other marine invertebrate resources. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper 448. Mexico.

Carr, M. H. (1989). Effects of macroalgal assemblages on the recruitment of temperate zone reef fishes. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 126: 59–76.

Chang, F.H., Zeldis, J, Gall, M., Hall, J.A. (2003). Seasonal and spatial variation of phytoplankton functional groups on the northeastern New Zealand continental shelf and in Hauraki Gulf. Journal of Plankton Research, 25: 737–758.

Charton, J.A.G., Ruzafa, A.P. (1998). Correlation between habitat structure and a rocky reef fish assemblage in the Southwest Mediterranean. Marine Ecology, 19: 111–128.

Chiaroni, L., Hewitt, J.E., Hancock, N. (2008). Benthic marine habitats and communities of Kawau Bay. Prepared by NIWA for Auckland Regional Council. Auckland Regional Council Technical Report 2008/006.

Claudia Hellberg, Ian Mayhew and David Mead 2016. Auckland's stormwater management under the Auckland Unitary Plan. Proceedings, Stormwater Conference 2016, Water New Zealand, 18–20 May 2016, Nelson.

Cloern, J.E. (2001). Our evolving conceptual model of the coastal eutrophication problem. Marine Ecology Progress Series 210: 223–253.

Cole, R.G., Villouta, E., Davidson, R.J. (2000). Direct evidence of limited dispersal of the reef fish Parapercis colias (Pinguipedidae) within a marine reserve and adjacent fished areas. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems, 10(6): 421–436.

Collie, J.S., Escanero, G.A., Valentine, P.C. (1997). Effects of bottom fishing on the benthic megafauna of Georges Bank. Marine Ecology Progress Series 155: 159–172.

Collie, J.S., Escanero, G.A., Valentine, P.C. (2000a). Photographic evaluation of the impacts of bottom fishing on benthic epifauna. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 57: 987–1001.

Collie, J.S., Hall, S.J., Kaiser, M.J., Poiner, I.R. (2000b). A quantitative analysis of fishing impacts on shelf-sea benthos. Journal of Animal Ecology, 69: 785–798.

Connell, J.H. (1978). Diversity in tropical rain forests and coral reefs. Science, 199: 1302–1310.

Connell, S.P., Jones, G.P. (1991). The influence of habitat complexity on post-recruitment processes in a temperate reef fish population. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 151: 271–294.

Connolly, R.M., Hindell, J.S., Gorman, D. (2005). Seagrass and epiphytic algae support the nutrition of a fisheries species, Sillago schomburgkii, in adjacent intertidal habitats. Marine Ecology Progress Series 286: 69–79.

Constantine, R. (2002). The Behavioural Ecology of the Bottlenose Dolphins (Tursiops truncatus) of Northeastern New Zealand: A Population Exposed to Tourism. PhD. thesis, School of Biological Sciences, The University of Auckland.

Coriolis Research. (2012). Industry investment opportunities in the New Zealand salmon industry. Retrieved from http://www.mbie.govt.nz/info-services/sectors-industries/food-beverage/documents-image-library/Investment%20 opportunities%20in%20the%20salmon%20industry%20-PDF%201.2%20MB.pdf

Costanza, R., d'Arge, R., de Groot, R., Farber, S, Grasso, M.B., Hannon, B., Limburg, K., Naeem, S., O'Neill, R.V. Paruelo, J. Raskin, R.G., Sutton, P., & Belt, v.d.M. (1997). The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. Nature, 387: 253–260.

Craggs, R., Park, J., Heubeck, S. and Sutherland, D. (2014). High rate algal pond systems for low-energy wastewater treatment, nutrient recovery and energy production. New Zealand Journal of Botany, 52(1): 60–73.

Craggs, R., Park, J., Sutherland, D. and Heubeck, S. (2015). Economic construction and operation of hectare-scale wastewater treatment enhanced pond systems. Journal of Applied Phycology, 27: 1913–1922.

Cromarty, P. and Scott, D.A. (Eds.). (1995). A Directory of Wetlands in New Zealand. Department of Conservation, Wellington. Retrieved from http://www.doc.govt.nz/Documents/science-and-technical/nzwetlands00.pdf

Crossland, J. (1981). Fish Eggs and Larvae of the Hauraki Gulf, New Zealand. Fisheries Research Bulletin No. 23. Fisheries Research Division, New Zealand Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries, Wellington.

Cummings, V.J., Thrush, S.F, Hewitt, J.E., Funnell, G.A. (2001). Variable effect of a large suspension-feeding bivalve on infauna: experimenting in a complex system. Marine Ecology Progress Series 209: 159–175.

Dawbin, W.H. (1966). The seasonal migratory cycle of humpback whales. In: Norris KS (ed) Whales, dolphins, and porpoises. University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, 145–171.

Dayton, P.K., Tegner, M.J., Edwards, P.B., Riser, K.L. (1998). Sliding baselines, ghosts, and reduced expectations in kelp forest communities. Ecological Applications, 8(2): 309–322.

Dayton, P.K., Thrush, S., Coleman, F.C. (2002). The ecological effects of fishing in marine ecosystems of the United States. Pew Oceans Commission, Arlington, VA.

Dayton, P.K., Thrush, S.F., Agardy, T.M., Hofman, R.J. (1995). Environmental effects of fishing. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 5: 205–232.

Dean, R.L., Connell, J.H. (1987). Marine invertebrates in an algal succession. III. Mechanisms linking habitat complexity with diversity. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 109: 249–273.

Denham, R.N., Bannister, R.W., Guthrie, K.M., & Crook, F.G. (1984). Surveys of the East Auckland and East Cape currents, New Zealand. Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research, 35: 491–504.

Dennison, W.C., Orth, R.J., Moore, K.A., Stevenson, J.C., Carter, V., Kollar, S., Bergstrom, P.W., Batiuk, R.A. (1993). Assessing water quality with submersed aquatic vegetation. BioScience, 43: 86–94.

Denny, C.M., Babcock, R.C. (2004). Do partial marine reserves protect reef fish assemblages? Biological Conservation, 116(1): 119–129.

Department of Conservation. (n.d.). Wetlands. Retrieved from http://www.doc.govt.nz/nature/habitats/wetlands/

Department of Fisheries and Oceans. (2006). Impacts of trawl gears and scallop dredges on benthic habitats, populations and communities. Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat Science Advisory Report 2006/025.

Dromgoole, F., Foster, B. (1983). Changes to the marine biota of the Auckland Harbour. Tane, 29: 79–96.

Ebeling, A.W., Laur, D.R. (1985). The influence of plant cover on surfperch abundance at an offshore temperate reef. Environmental Biology of Fishes, 12(3): 169–179.

Eckman, J.E. (1987). The role of hydrodynamics in recruitment, growth, and survival of Argopecten irradians (L.) and Anomia simplex (D'Orbigny) within eelgrass meadows. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 106: 165–191.

Ellis J., Cummings V., Hewitt J., Thrush S., Norkko A. (2002). Determining effects of suspended sediment on condition of a suspension feeding bivalve (Atrina zelandica): Results of a survey, a laboratory experiment and a field transplant experiment. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 267(2): 147–174.

Ellis, J., Nicholls, P., Craggs, R., Hofstra, D., Hewitt, J. (2004). Effects of terrigenous sedimentation on mangrove physiology and associated macrobenthic communities. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 270: 71–82.

Environment Foundation. (2015). Case Study: Auckland's Sustainable Catchments Programme. Auckland. Retrieved from http://www.environmentguide.org.nz/issues/marine/catchment-based-activities/im:2133/

Fernandes, L, Green, A., Tanzer, J., White, A., Alino, P. M., Jompa, J., Lokani, P., Soemodinoto, A., Knight, M., Pomeroy, B., Possingham, H., Pressey, B. (2012). Biophysical principles for designing resilient networks of marine protected areas to integrate fisheries, biodiversity and climate change objectives in the Coral Triangle. Report prepared by The Nature Conservancy for the Coral Triangle Support Partnership. 152.

Fonseca, M.S., Koehl, M.A.R. (2006). Flow in seagrass canopies: The influence of patch width. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 67: 1–9.

Francis, M.P. (2010). Movement of tagged rig and school shark among QMAs, and implications for stock management boundaries. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2010/3. 24. Ministry for Primary Industries, Wellington. Retrieved from http://fs.fish.govt.nz/Doc/22148/10\_03\_FAR.pdf.ashx

Francis, M.P., Morrison, M.A., Leathwick, J., Walsh, C. (2011). Predicting patterns of richness, occurrence and abundance of small fish in New Zealand estuaries. Marine and Freshwater Research, 62: 1327–1341.

Francis, M.P., Morrison, M.A., Leathwick, J., Walsh, C., Middleton, C. (2005). Predictive models of small fish presence and abundance in northern New Zealand harbours. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 64: 419–435.

Francis, M.P., Mulligan, K.P., Davies N.M., & Beentjes, M.P. (1999). Age and growth estimates for New Zealand hapuka, Polyprion oxygeneios. Fishery Bulletin, 97(2): 227–242.

Gacia, E., Duarte, C.M. (2001). Sediment retention by a Mediterranean Posidonia oceanica meadow: the balance between deposition and resuspension. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 52: 505–514.

Garrigue, C., Zerbini, A. N., Geyer, Y., Heide-Jørgensen, M., Hanaoka, W., Clapham, P. (2010). Movements of satellitemonitored humpback whales from New Caledonia. Journal of Mammalogy, 91(1): 109–115.

Gaskin, C., Rayner, M. (2013). Seabirds of the Hauraki Gulf: Natural History, Research and Conservation. Hauraki Gulf Forum. 143. Retrieved from http://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/EN/AboutCouncil/representativesbodies/ haurakigulfforum/Documents/haurakigulfseabirdmanagementplanmar2013.pdf

Gilbert, C.S, Gentleman, W.C., Johnson, C.L., DiBacco, C., Pringle, J.M., & Chen, C. (2010). Modelling dispersal of sea scallop (Placopecten magellanicus) larvae on Georges Bank: The influence of depth-distribution, planktonic duration and spawning seasonality. Progress in Oceanography, 87(1–4): 37–48.

Gislason, H. (1994). Ecosystem effects of fishing activities in the North Sea. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 29: 520–527.

Gislason, H., Sinclair, M., Sainsbury, K., O'Boyle, R. (2000). Symposium overview: incorporating ecosystem objectives within fisheries management. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 55: 362–370.

Graham, D.H. (1939). Breeding habits of the fishes of Otago Harbour and adjacent seas. Transactions of the Royal Society of New Zealand, 69: 361–372.

Gratwike, B., Speight, M.R. (2005). The relationship between fish species richness, abundance and habitat complexity in a range of shallow tropical marine environments. Journal of Fish Biology, 66: 650–667.

Grech, A., Chartrand-Miller, K., Erftemeijer, P., Fonseca, M., McKenzie, L., Rasheed, M., Coles, R. (2012). A comparison of threats, vulnerabilities and management approaches in global seagrass bioregions. Environmental Research Letters, 7(2): 4006.

Green, E.P., Short, F.T. (Eds.), (2003). World atlas of seagrasses. University of California Press, Berkeley, California. 324.

Green, M.O., Zeldis, J. (2015). Firth of Thames Water Quality and Ecosystem Health – A Synthesis. NIWA Client Report HAM2015–016, April 2015. 81. Retrieved from http://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/tr201523/

Greenway, J.P.C. (1969). Survey of mussels (Mollusca: Lamellibranchia) in the Firth of Thames, 1961–67. New Zealand Journal of Marine & Freshwater Research, 3: 304–317.

Hadfield, M., O'Callaghan, J., Pritchard, M., Stevens, C. (2014). Sediment transport and deposition in the Hauraki Gulf: a pilot modelling study. NIWA Client Report No: WLG2012-29. National Institute of Water & Atmospheric Research Ltd., Wellington. 28.

Hall, J.A., Safi, K., James, M.R., Zeldis, J., Weatherhead, M. (2006). Microbial assemblage dynamics during the springsummer transition on the northeast continental shelf of New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research, 40: 195–210.

Hall, S.J. (1999). The effects of fisheries on ecosystems and communities (Fish and Aquatic Resources). Blackwell Scientific, Oxford, England. 274.

Hall, S.J. (1999). The effects of fishing on marine ecosystems and communities (Fish Biology and Aquatic Resources Series 1). Blackwell Science, Oxford. 274.

Hartill, B.W., Payne, G.W., Rush, N., & Bian, R. (2016). Bridging the temporal gap: Continuous and cost-effective monitoring of dynamic recreational fisheries by web cameras and creel surveys. Fisheries Research, 183: 488–497

Hauraki Gulf Forum. (2011). State of our Gulf: Tīkapa Moana Hauraki Gulf state of the environment report 2011. Hauraki Gulf Forum, Auckland. 163.

Hay, R. (1983). Shorebirds of the Miranda coastline. Tane, 29: 15–30.

Hayward, B.W., Stephenson, A.B., Morley, M., Riley, J.L., Grenfell, H.R. (1997). Faunal changes in Waitematā Harbour sediments, 1930s–1990s. Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand, 27(1): 1–20.

Heck Jr, K.L., Hays, G., Orth, R.J. (2003). Critical evaluation of the nursery role hypothesis for seagrass meadows. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 253: 123–136.

Heck, K.L., Wetstone, G.S. (1977). Habitat complexity and invertebrate species richness and abundance in tropical seagrass meadows. Journal of Biogeography, 4: 135–142.

Heifetz, J. (2002). Coral in Alaska: distribution, abundance, and species associations. Hydrobiologia, 471: 19–28.

Hemminga, M.A., Duarte, C.M. (2000). Elemental dynamics in seagrass systems. In M.A. Hemminga and C.M. Duarte (Eds.), Seagrass Ecology. Cambridge University Press. 146–189.

Hemminga, M.A., Duarte, C.M. (Eds.), (2000). Seagrass Ecology, Cambridge University Press. 298.

Henriques, P.R. (1980). Faunal community structure of eight soft shore, intertidal habitats in the Manukau Harbour. New Zealand Journal of Ecology, 3: 97–103.

Hewitt J.E., Pilditch C.A. (2004). Environmental history and physiological state influence feeding responses of Atrina zelandica to suspended sediment concentrations. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 306(1): 95–112.

Hewitt, J.E., Thrush, S.F., Halliday, J., Duffy, C. (2005). The importance of small-scale habitat structure for maintaining beta diversity. Ecology, 86: 1619–1626.

Hicks, G.R.F. (1986). Distribution and behaviour of meiofaunal copepods inside and outside seagrass beds. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 31: 159–170.

Hicks, G.R.F. (1989). Does epibenthic structure negatively affect meiofauna? Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 133: 39–55.

Hiddink, J.G., Jennings, S., Kaiser, M.J., Queiros, A.M., Duplisea, D.E., Piet, G.J. (2006). Cumulative impacts of seabed trawl disturbance on benthic biomass, production, and species richness in different habitats. Canadian Journal Fisheries and Aquatic Science, 63: 721–736.

Hindell, J.S., Jenkins, G.P., Keough, M.J. (2000). Evaluating the impact of predation by fish on the assemblage structure of fishes associated with seagrass (Heterozostera tasmanica) (Martens ex Ascherson) den Hartog, and unvegetated sand habitats. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 255: 153–174.

Hindell, J.S., Jenkins, G.P., Keough, M.J. (2001). Spatial and temporal variability in the effects of fish predation on macrofauna in relation to habitat complexity and cage effects. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 224: 231–250.

Hixon, M. A., Johnson, D.W., & Sogard, S. M. (2014). BOFFFFs: on the importance of conserving old-growth age structure in fishery populations. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 71: 2171–2185.

Hobday, A.J., Tegner, M.J., & Haaker, P.L. (2000). Over-exploitation of a broadcast spawning marine invertebrate: Decline of the white abalone. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries, 10: 493–514.

Horinouchi, M. (2007). Review of the effects of within-patch scale structural complexity on seagrass fishes. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 350(1): 111–129.

Hume, T.M., McGlone, M.S. (1986). Sedimentation patterns and catchment use change recorded in the sediments of a shallow tidal creek, Lucas Creek, upper Waitematā Harbour, New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research, 20: 677–687.

Humphries, A. T., La Peyre, M.K., Kimball, M.E., Rozas, L.P. (2011). Testing the effect of habitat structure and complexity on nekton assemblages using experimental oyster reefs. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 409(1–2): 172–179.

Inglis, G. (2003). The Seagrasses of New Zealand. In Green, E.P., Short, F.T. (Eds.), World Atlas of Seagrasses. 134–143. University of California Press.

IUCN World Commission on Protected Areas (IUCN-WCPA). (2008). Establishing Marine Protected Area Networks— Making It Happen. Washington, D.C.: IUCN-WCPA, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and The Nature Conservancy. 118.

Ivanovic, A., Zhu, J., Neilson, R., O'Neill, F. (2011). Modelling the physical impact of trawl components on the seabed and comparison with sea trials. Ocean Engineering, 38: 925-933.

Jackson, J.B.C. (2001). What was natural in the coastal oceans? Proceedings of the Natural Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 98(10): 5411–5418.

Jackson, J.B.C., Kirby, M.X., Berger, W.H., Bjorndal, K.A., Botsford, L.W., Bourque, B.J., Bradbury, R.H., Cooke, R., Erlandson, J., Estes, J.A., Hughes, T.P., Kidwell, S., Lange, K.B., Lenihan, H.S., Pandolfi, J.M., Peterson, C.H., Steneck, R.S., Tegner, M.J., Warner, R.R. (2001). Historical overfishing and the recent collapse of coastal ecosystems. Science, 293(5530): 629–637.

Jackson, S. E. (2014). Prioritisation of Areas in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park for Biodiversity Conservation. Masters of Science thesis in Marine Science, The University of Auckland. 112.

Jennings, S., Dinmore, T.A., Duplisea, D.E., Warr, K.J., Lancaster, J.E. (2001). Trawling disturbance can modify benthic production processes. Journal of Animal Ecology, 70: 459–475.

Jennings, S., Kaiser, M.J. (1998). The effects of fishing on marine ecosystems. Advances in Marine Biology, 34: 203–314.

Johnson, P. and Gerbeaux, P. (2004). Wetland Types in New Zealand. Department of Conservation, Wellington.

Jones, E.G., Morrison, M.A., Davey, N., Mills, S., Pallentin, A., Shankar, U., George, S., Kelly, M., Shankar, U. (In review). Biogenic habitats on the continental shelf. Part II: National field survey and analysis. New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries.

Jones, E.G., Morrison, M.A., Davey, N., Hartill, B.W., Sutton, C. (in press). Biogenic habitats on New Zealand's continental shelf. Part I: Local Ecological Knowledge. New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report. Ministry for Primary Industries, Wellington.

Jones, E.G., Parsons, D., Morrison, M., Bagley, N., Paterson, C., Usmar, N. (2010). Chapter 13: Fish communities. In: Bay of Islands OS20/20 survey report, NIWA Client Report: WLG2010–38.

Kahui - McConnell, R. (2012). Ōkahu Catchment Ecological Restoration Plan. Auckland: Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei

Kaiser, M.J. (1998). Significance of bottom-fishing disturbance. Conservation Biology, 12: 1230–1235.

Kaiser, M.J., Clarke, K.R., Hinz, H., Austen, M.C., Somerfield, P.J., Karakassis, I. (2006). Global analysis of response and recovery of benthic biota to fishing. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 311: 1–14.

Kaiser, M.J., de Groot, S.J. (Eds.). (2000). The effects of fishing on non-target species and habitats. Blackwell Science, Oxford, England.

Kelly, S., Sim-Smith, C., Faire, S., Pierre, J., & Hikuroa, D. C. H. (2014). State of our Gulf 2014, Hauraki Gulf -Tīkapa Moana / Te Moananui-ā-Toi, State of the Environment Report 2014 (4). Auckland Council: Hauraki Gulf Forum. Retrieved from http://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/EN/environmentwaste/coastalmarine/Documents/ stateofourgulf2014.pdf

Kensler, C.B. (1967). The distribution of spiny lobsters (Crustacea: Decapoda: Palinuridae) in New Zealand waters. New Zealand Journal of Marine & Freshwater Research, 1: 412–420.

Keough, M.J., Jenkins, G.P. (1995). Seagrass meadows and their inhabitants. In A.J., Chapman, M.G. Chapman (Eds.), Underwood, Coastal marine ecology of temperate Australia. UNSW Press, Sydney, Australia. 221–239.

Klitgaard, A. (1995). The fauna associated with outer shelf and upper slope sponges (Porifera, Demospongiae) at the Faroe Islands, North-eastern Atlantic. Sarsia, 80: 1–22.

Laman, E.A., Kotwicki, S., Rooper, C.N. (2015). Correlating environmental and biogenic factors with abundance and distribution of Pacific ocean perch (Sebastes alutus) in the Aleutian Islands. Alaska Fisheries Bulletin, 113: 270–289.

Langlois, T.J., Anderson, M.J., Babcock, R.C. (2005). Reef-associated predators influence adjacent soft-sediment communities. Ecology, 86: 1508–1519.

Larcombe, M.F. (1973). Ecological report on the Waitematā Harbour. Auckland Regional Authority, Auckland. 375.

Leathwick, J.R., Rowden, A., Nodder, S., Gorman, R., Bardsley, S., Pinkerton, M., Baird, S.J., Hadfield, M., Currie, K., Goh, A. (2012). A Benthic-optimised Marine Environment Classification (BOMEC) for New Zealand waters. New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report No. 88. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries. 54.

Lee, S.T., Kelly, M., Langlois, T.J., Costello, M.J. (2015). Baseline seabed habitat and biotope mapping for a proposed marine reserve. PeerJ, 3:e1446 Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1446

Leleu, K., Remy-Zephir, B., Grace, R., Costello, M.J. (2012). Mapping habitats in a marine reserve showed how a 30-year trophic cascade altered ecosystem structure. Biological Conservation, 155: 193–201.

LePort, A., Montgomery, J.C., Croucher, A.E. (2014). Biophysical modelling of snapper Pagrus auratus larval dispersal from a temperate MPA. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 515: 203–215.

Lindeboom, H.J., de Groot, S.J. (Eds.), (1998). Impact-II: The effect of different types of fisheries on the North Sea and Irish Sea benthic ecosystems. Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, Texel, The Netherlands. 404.

Lindholm, J.B., Auster, P.J., Kaufman, LS. (1999). Habitat-mediated survivorship of juvenile (0-year) Atlantic cod Gadus morhua. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 180: 247–255.

Lomovasky, B.J., Gamero, P.A., Romero, L., Firstater, F.N., Gammara Salazar, A., Hidalgo, F., Tarazona, J., & Iribarne, O.O. (2015). The role of Argopecten purpuratus shells structuring the soft bottom community in shallow waters of southern Peru. Journal of Sea Research, 106: 14–26.

Lotze, H.K., Coll, M., Magera, A.M., Ward-Paige, C., Airoldi, L. (2011). Recovery of marine animal populations and ecosystems. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 26(11): 595–605.

Lotze, H.K., Lenihan, H.S., Bourque, B.J., Bradbury, R.H., Cooke, R.G., Kay, M.C., Kidwell, S.M., Kirby, M.X., Petersen, C.H., Jackson, J.B.C. (2006). Depletion, degradation, and recovery potential of estuaries and coastal seas. Science, 312

## (5781): 1806–1809.

Lowe, M., Morrison, M. (2012). Waterview Connection Causeway Project. Baseline Marine Ecological Monitoring: Open mudflat/sandflat fish surveys. Report prepared for New Zealand Transport Agency. NIWA Client Report No: NTA12101. National Institute of Water & Atmospheric Research Ltd., Auckland. 67.

Lowe, M.L., Morrison, M.A, Taylor, R.B. (2015). Harmful effects of sediment-induced turbidity on juvenile fish in estuaries. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 539: 241–254.

Luckhurst, B.E., Luckhurst, K. (1978). Analysis of the influence of substrate variables on coral reef fish communities. Marine Biology, 49: 317–323.

MacDiarmid, A.B. (1989). Size at onset of maturity and size dependent reproductive output of female and male spiny lobsters Jasus edwardsii (Hutton) (Decapoda, Palinuridae) in northern New Zealand. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 127: 229–243.

MacDiarmid, A.B., Abraham, E., Baker, C.S., Carroll, E., Chagué-Goff, C., Cleaver, P., Francis, M.P., Goff, J., Horn, P., Jackson, J.A., Lalas, C., Lorrey, A., Marriot, P., Maxwell, K., McFadgen, B., McKenzie, A., Neil, H., Parsons, D., Patenaude, N., Paton, D., Paul, L.J., Pitcher, T., Pinkerton, M.H., Smith, I., Smith, T.D., Stirling B. (2016). Taking Stock – the changes to New Zealand marine ecosystems since first human settlement: synthesis of major findings, and policy and management implications. New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report No. 170. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries. 48.

MacDiarmid, A.B., Butler, M.J. (1999). Sperm economy and limitation in spiny lobsters. Behavioural Ecology and Sociobiology, 46: 14–24.

Marine Parks Authority. (2008). A review of benefits of marine protected areas and related zoning considerations. Marine Parks Authority New South Wales. 14.

Martell, S.J.D., Walters, C.J., Wallace, S.S. (2000). The use of Marine Protected Areas for conservation of lingcod (Ophiodon elongatus). Bulletin of Marine Science, 66(3): 729–743.

Matheson, F., Wadhwa, S. (2012). Seagrass in Porirua Harbour: preliminary assessment of restoration potential. NIWA report for Greater Wellington Regional Council. (Unpublished report, available from Greater Wellington Regional Council). 35.

Matheson, F.E., Reed, J., Dos Santos, V.M., Cummings, V., Mackay, G. (in prep.) Comparison of transplant methods for seagrass rehabilitation in a temperate estuary. Restoration Ecology.

Maxwell, K., MacDiarmid, A.B. (2016). Taking Stock: Oral histories about changes in marine fauna observed locally in the Hauraki Gulf and along the Otago-Catlins coast, New Zealand, 1926–2008. New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report No. 173. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries. 45.

McCormick, M.I. (1989). Spatio-temporal patterns in the abundance and population structure of a large temperate reef fish. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 53: 215–225.

McLeod, I.M., Parsons, D.M., Morrison, M., Le Port, A., Taylor, R.B. (2011). Factors affecting the recovery of softsediment mussel reefs in the Firth of Thames, New Zealand. Marine and Freshwater Research, 63: 78–83.

McLeod, I.M., Parsons, D.M., Morrison, M.A., Van Dijken, S.G., Taylor, R.B. (2014). Mussel reefs on soft sediments: a severely reduced but important habitat for macroinvertebrates and fishes in New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research, 48: 48–59.

Mendo, T., Moltschaniwskyj, N., & Lyle, J.M. (2014). Role of density in aggregation patterns and synchronization of spawning in the hermaphroditic scallop Pecten fumatus. Marine Biology, 161: 2857–2868.

Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. (2005). Ecosystems and human well-being: biodiversity synthesis. World Resources

Institute, Washington, D.C., USA. Retrieved from http://www.unep.org/maweb/en/index.aspx

Mills, V.S., Berkenbusch, K. (2009). Seagrass (Zostera muelleri) patch size and spatial location influence infaunal macroinvertebrate assemblages. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 81: 123–129.

Ministry for Primary Industries. (2013). Review of sustainability measures and other management controls for SNA 1 for the 2013–14 fishing year. MPI Information Paper 2013/08, Ministry for Primary Industries, Wellington.

Ministry for the Environment. (2003). Microbiological Water Quality Guidelines for Marine and Freshwater Recreational Areas. Wellington: MfE. Retrieved from http://www.mfe.govt.nz/publications/fresh-water/microbiological-water-quality-guidelines-marine-and-freshwater-recreatio-13#twod4

Moffitt, E.A., Botsford, L.W., Kaplan, D.M., O'Farrell, M.R. (2009). Marine reserve networks for species that move within a home range. Ecological Applications, 19(7): 1835–1847.

Morley, M. S., Hayward, B.W. (2009). Marine mollusca of Great Barrier Island, New Zealand. Records of the Auckland Museum, 46: 15–51.

Morrison, M.A. (1990). Population dynamics of the scallop Pecten novaezelandiae in the Hauraki Gulf. Unpublished PhD thesis. University of Auckland.

Morrison, M.A., Tuck, I.D., Taylor, R.B., Miller, A. (2016). An assessment of the Hauraki Gulf Cableway Protection Zone (CPZ), relative to adjacent seafloor. Auckland Council Technical Report: 54.

Morrison, M.A., Jones, E., Consalvey, M., Berkenbusch, K. (2014). Linking marine fisheries species to biogenic habitats in New Zealand: a review and synthesis of knowledge. New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report No. 130. Ministry for Primary Industries, Wellington. 156.

Morrison, M.A., Jones, E.G., Parsons, D.P., Grant, C.M. (2014). Habitats and areas of particular significance for coastal finfish fisheries management in New Zealand: A review of concepts and life history knowledge, and suggestions for future research. New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report No. 125. Ministry for Primary Industries, Wellington. 202.

Morrison, M.A., Lowe, M.L., Grant, C.G., Smith, P.J., Carbines, G., Reed, J., Bury, S.J., Brown, J. (2014d). Seagrass meadows as biodiversity and productivity hotspots. New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report No. 137. 147.

Morrison, M.A., Lowe, M.L., Jones, E.G., Makey, L., Shankar, U., Usmar, N., Miller, A., Smith. M., Middleton, C. (2014c). Habitats of particular significance for fisheries management: The Kaipara Harbour. New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report No. 129. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries. 169.

Morrison, M.A., Lowe, M.L., Parsons, D.M., Usmar, N.R., McLeod, I.M. (2009). A review of land-based effects on coastal fisheries and supporting biodiversity in New Zealand. New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report No. 37. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries. 100.

Murray, C., and McDonald, G. (2010). Aquaculture: Economic impact in the Auckland region. Jointly prepared by the Auckland Regional Council and Market Economics Ltd for Auckland Regional Council.. Technical Report no. 009. Auckland Regional Council. Retrieved from http://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/SiteCollectionDocuments/aboutcouncil/planspoliciespublications/technicalpublications/tr2010009aquacultureeconomicimpactintheaucklandregion.pdf

National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research. (2014). NIWA advances wastewater treatment processes. In Freshwater and Estuaries Update. NIWA. Retrieved from https://www.niwa.co.nz/freshwater-and-estuaries/ freshwater-and-estuaries-update/freshwater-update-62-september-2014/niwa-advances-wastewater-treatment

Nicholls, P., Hewitt, J., Halliday, J. (2003). Effects of suspended sediment concentrations on suspension and deposit feeding marine macrofauna. Auckland Regional Council Technical Publication 211. 40.

Norkko, A., Hewitt, J.E., Thrush, S.F., Funnell, G.A. (2001). Benthic-pelagic coupling and suspension feeding bivalves:

linking site-specific sediment flux and biodeposition to benthic community structure. Limnology and Oceanography, 46: 2067–2072.

O'Callaghan, T.M., Baker, C.S. (2002). Summer cetacean community, with particular reference to Bryde's whales, in the Hauraki Gulf, New Zealand. DOC Science Internal Series 55. Department of Conservation, Wellington. 18.

Oldman, J., Hong, J., Stephens, S., Broekhuizen, N. (2007). Verification of Firth of Thames hydrodynamic model. Auckland Regional Council Technical Publication No. 326. 87.

Oliver, W.R.B. (1923). Marine littoral plant and animal communities in New Zealand. Transactions of the New Zealand Institute, 54: 496–545.

O'Neill, F.G., Summerbell, K. (2011). The mobilisation of sediment by demersal otter trawls. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 62(5): 1088-1097.

Orth, R.J., Batiuk, R.A., Bergastrom, P.W., Moore, K.A. (2002). A perspective on two decades of policies and regulations influencing the protection and restoration of submerged aquatic vegetation in Chesapeake Bay, USA. Bulletin of Marine Science, 71: 1391–1403.

Orth, R.J., Carruthers T.J.B., Dennison, W.B., Duarte, C.M., Fourqurean, J.W., Heck Jr., K.L., Hughes, A.R., Kendrick, G.A., Kenworthy, W.J., Olyarnik, S., Short, F.T., Waycott, M., Williams, S.L. (2006). A global crisis for seagrass ecosystems. BioScience, 56(12): 987–996.

Palumbi, S.R., McLeod, K., Grumbaugh, D. (2008). Ecosystems in action: lessons from marine ecology about recovery, resistance, and reversibility. BioScience, 58: 33–42.

Parsons, D., Morrison, M., Thrush, S., Middleton, C., Smith M., Spong K., Buckthought, D. (2013). The influence of habitat structure on juvenile fish in a New Zealand estuary. Marine Ecology, 34: 492–500.

Parsons, D.M., Morrison, M.A., Slater, M.J. (2010). Responses to marine reserves: decreased dispersion of the sparid Pagrus auratus (snapper). Biological Conservation, 143: 2039–2048.

Paul, L. (2012). A history of the Firth of Thames dredge fishery for mussels: use and abuse of a coastal resource. New Zealand Aquatic Environment And Biodiversity Report No. 94. Ministry for Primary Industries, Wellington.

Paul, L.J. (2000). New Zealand Fishes. Identification, natural history and fisheries. Reed Books, Auckland, NZ. 253.

Paul, L.J. (2002a). A description of the New Zealand fisheries for the two groper species, hapuka (Polyprion oxygeneios) and bass (P. americanus). New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2002/13. Ministry for Primary Industries, Wellington. 47.

Paul, L.J. (2002b). Can existing data describe the stock structure of the two New Zealand groper species, hapuka (Polyprion oxygeneios) and bass (P. americanus)? New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2002/14. Ministry for Primary Industries, Wellington. 24.

Pelletier, D., Mahévas, S. (2005). Fisheries simulation models for evaluating the impact of management policies, with emphasis on marine protected areas. Fish and Fisheries, 6(4): 307–349.

Pérez-Matus, A., Shima, J. (2010). Disentangling the effects of macroalgae on the abundance of temperate reef fishes. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 388: 1-10.

Peters, M., Clarkson, B. (Eds.), (2013). Wetland Restoration: A Handbook for New Zealand Freshwater Systems. Landcare and Research. Retrieved from http://www.landcareresearch.co.nz/publications/books/wetlands-handbook.

Phillips, N.E., Shima, J.S. (2006). Differential effects of suspended sediments on larval survival and settlement of New Zealand urchins Evechinus chloroticus and abalone Haliotis iris. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 314: 149–158.

Powell, A.W.B. (1937). Animal communities of the sea-bottom in Auckland and Manukau Harbours. Royal Society of New Zealand Transactions and Proceedings, 66: 354–401.

Puig, P., Canals, M., Company, J.B., Martin, J., Amblas, D., Lastras, G., Palanques, A., Calafat, A. (2012). Ploughing the deep sea floor. Nature, 489: 286–289.

Pusceddu, A., Bianchelli, S., Martín, J., Puig, P., Palanques, A., Masqué, P., Danovaro, R. (2014). Chronic and intensive bottom trawling impairs deep-sea biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111: 8861–8866.

Rabaut, M., Van de Moortel, L., Vincx, M., Degraer, S. (2010). Biogenic reefs as structuring factor in Pleuronectes platessa (Plaice) nursery. Journal of Sea Research, 64: 102–106.

Radford, C.A., Sim-Smith, C.J., Jeffs, A.G. (2012). Can larval snapper, Pagrus auratus, smell their new home? Marine and Freshwater Research, 63: 898–904.

Reed, J., Schwarz, A., Gosai, A., Morrison, M. (2004). Feasibility study to investigate the replenishment/reinstatement of seagrass beds in Whangarei Harbour. Phase 1. NIWA report for Northland Regional Council.

Reid, B. (1969). Mussel survey Hauraki Gulf and Firth of Thames 1968. New Zealand Marine Department Fisheries Technical Report No. 34. 24.

Rice, J.C. (2006). Impacts of Mobile Bottom Gears on Seafloor Habitats, Species, and Communities: A Review and Synthesis of Selected International Reviews. Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat Science Research Document 2006/057. 35.

Roberts, C.M., Ormond, R.F.G. (1987). Habitat complexity and coral reef fish diversity and abundance on Red Sea fringing reefs. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 41: 1–8.

Robertson, H.A., Dowding, J.E., Elliott, G.P., Hitchmough, R.A., Miskelly, C.M., O'Donnell, C.F.J., Powlesland, R.G., Sagar, P.M., Scofield, R.P., Taylor, G.A. (2013). Conservation status of New Zealand birds, 2012. New Zealand Threat Classification Series 4. New Zealand Department of Conservation. 22.

Roemmich, D., Sutton, P. (1998). The mean and variability of ocean circulation past northern New Zealand: determining the representativeness of hydrographic climatologies. Journal of Geophysical Research, 103: 13041–13054.

Rogers, A., Blanchard, J.L., Mumby, P.J. (2014). Vulnerability of coral reef fisheries to a loss of structural complexity. Current Biology, 24: 1000–1005.

Rooker, J.R., Holt, G.J., Holt, S.A. (1998). Vulnerability of newly settled red drum (Scianops ocellatus) to predatory fish: Is early-life survival enhanced by seagrass meadows? Marine Biology, 131: 145–151.

Sáenz-Arroyo, A., Roberts, C.M., Torre, J., Cariño-Olvera, M. (2005a). Using fishers' anecdotes, naturalists' observations and grey literature to reassess marine species at risk: the case of the Gulf grouper in the Gulf of California, Mexico. Fish and Fisheries, 6: 121–133.

Sainsbury, K.J. (1987). Assessment and management of the demersal fishery on the continental shelf of north-western Australia. In Polovina, J.J., Ralston, S. (Eds.), Tropical snappers and groupers: biology and fisheries management. Westview Press, Boulder, CO. 465–503.

Sainsbury, K.J. (1988). The ecological basis of multispecies fisheries and management of a demersal fishery in tropical Australia, In Fish population dynamics (2nd ed.). Gulland, I.A. (Eds.), John Wiley and Sons, Chichester and New York. 349–382.

Sainsbury, K.J. (1991). Application of an experimental approach to management of a tropical multispecies fishery with highly uncertain dynamics. ICES Marine Science Symposium, 193: 301–320.

Sainsbury, K.J., Campbell, R.A., Lindholm, R., Whitelaw, A.W. (1988). Experimental management of an Australian multispecies fishery: examining the possibility of trawl-induced habitat modification. Global Trends Fisheries Management, 20: 107–122.

Sala, E., Knowlton, K. (2006). Global marine biodiversity trends. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 31: 93–122.

Salomon, A.K., Shears, N.T., Langlois, T.J., Babcock, R.C. (2008). Cascading effects of fishing can alter carbon flow through a temperate coastal ecosystem. Ecological Applications, 18(8): 1874–1887.

Schwarz, A., Morrison, M., Hawes, I., Halliday, J. (2006). Physical and biological characteristics of a rare marine habitat: sub-tidal seagrass beds of offshore islands. Department of Conservation, Wellington. Science for Conservation No. 269. 39.

Sharples, J., Greig, M.J.N. (1998). Tidal currents, mean flows and upwelling on the northeast shelf of New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research, 32(2): 215–231.

Shears, N.T. (2010). Meola Reef ecological monitoring program: 2001–2010. Prepared by Auckland UniServices for Auckland Regional Council. Auckland Regional Council Technical Report 2010/031.

Shears, N.T., Babcock, R.C. (2002). Marine reserves demonstrate top-down control of community structure on temperate reefs. Oecologia, 132: 131–142.

Shears, N.T., Babcock, R.C. (2003). Continuing trophic cascade effects after 25 years of no take marine reserve protection. Marine Ecology Progressive Series, 246: 1–16.

Shears, N.T., Babcock, R.C. (2007). Quantitative description of mainland New Zealand's shallow subtidal reef communities. Science for Conservation 280. Department of Conservation, Wellington. 126.

Shears, N.T., Babcock, R.C., Salomon, A.K. (2008). Context-dependent effects of fishing: variation in trophic cascades across environmental gradients. Ecological Applications, 18(8): 1860–1873.

Shears, N.T., Smith, F., Babcock, R., Duffy, C.A.J., Villouta, E. (2008). Evaluation of biogeographic classification schemes for conservation planning: application to New Zealand's coastal marine environment. Conservation Biology, 22(2): 467-481.

Sheffield, A.T., Healy, T.R., McGlone, M.S. (1995). Infilling rates of a steep land catchment estuary, Whangamata, New Zealand. Journal of Coastal Research, 11(4): 1294–1308.

Short, F.T., Polidoro, B., Livingstone, S.R., Carpenter, K.E., Bandeira, S., Bujang, J.S., Zieman, J.C. (2011). Extinction risk assessment of the world's seagrass species. Biological Conservation, 144(7): 1961–1971.

Sim-Smith, C.J., Jeffs, A.G., Radford, C.A. (2012). Variation in the growth of larval and juvenile snapper, Chrysophrys auratus (Sparidae). Marine & Freshwater Research, 63: 1231–1243.

Sim-Smith, C.J., Jeffs, A.G., Radford, C.A. (2013). Environmental influences on the larval recruitment dynamics of snapper, Chrysophrys auratus (Sparidae). Marine and Freshwater Research, 64(8): 726–740.

Sinclair, F. (1999). Kauwaeranga in Context. Wellington: Victoria University. Retrieved from http://www.kennett.co.nz/law/indigenous/1999/34.html.

Stanton, B., Sutton, P. (2003). Velocity measurements in the East Auckland Current northeast of North Cape, New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research, 37(1): 195–204.

Statistics New Zealand. (2014). Annual report of Statistics New Zealand for the year ended 30 June 2014. Wellington: Statistics New Zealand. 79.

Stephens, S. (2003). Ecological sustainability assessment for Firth of Thames shellfish aquaculture: Task 1 – Hydrodynamic modelling. Auckland Regional Council Technical Publication No. 252 / Environment Waikato Technical report 05/05. 34.

Stevenson, M.L., Field, K.D., Holton, A.L. (1987). Regional background discussion paper on areas to be investigated for

proposed marine protected areas in the Central Fisheries Management Area. Wellington: Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries. 98.

Stewart, S. (2011). Evidence of age-class truncation in some exploited marine fish populations in New South Wales, Australia. Fisheries Research, 108 (1): 209–213.

Stockin, K.A., Pierce, G.J., Binedell, V., Wiseman, N., Orams, M.B. (2008). Factors affecting the occurrence and demographics of common dolphins (Delphinus sp.) in the Hauraki Gulf, New Zealand. Aquatic Mammals, 34(2): 200–211.

Sutton, P.J.H., Roemmich, D. (2001). Ocean temperature climate off north-east New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research, 35: 553–565.

Swales, A., Hume, T. (1995). Sedimentation history and potential future impacts of production forestry on the Wharekawa Estuary, Coromandel Peninsula. Prepared for Carter Holt Harvey Forests Ltd. NIWA report no. CHH004.

Swales, A., Hume, T.M., Oldman, J.W., Green, M.O. (1997). Holocene sedimentation and recent human impacts in a drowned valley estuary. In Lumsden, J. (Ed.), Proceedings of the 13th Australian Coastal and Ocean Engineering Conference. Centre for Advanced Engineering, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand. 895–900

Swales, A., Williamson, R.B., Van Dam, L.F., Stroud, M., McGlone, M.S. (2002). Reconstruction of urban stormwater contamination of an estuary using catchment history and sediment profile dating. Estuaries, 25(1): 43–56.

Talman, S., Norkko, A., Thrush, S., Hewitt, J. (2004). Habitat structure and the survival of juvenile scallops Pecten novaezelandiae: comparing predation in habitats with varying complexity. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 269: 197–207.

Taylor, R.B., Morrison, M.A. (2008). Soft-sediment habitats and fauna of Omaha Bay, north-eastern New Zealand. Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand, 38: 187–214.

Taylor, R.B., Morrison, M.A., Shears, N. (2011). Establishing baselines for recovery in a marine reserve (Poor Knights Islands, New Zealand) using recollections of long-term divers. Biological Conservation, 144(12): 3038–3046.

Tezanos-Pinto, G. (2009). Population Structure, Abundance and Reproductive Parameters of Bottlenose Dolphins (Tursiops truncatus) in the Bay of Islands (Northland, New Zealand). PhD. thesis, School of Biological Sciences, The University of Auckland. 243.

Tezanos-Pinto, G., Constantine, R., Brooks, L., Jackson, J.A., Mourão, F., Wells, S., Baker, C.S. (2013). Decline in local abundance of bottlenose dolphins (Tursiops truncatus) in the Bay of Islands, New Zealand. Marine Mammal Science, 29(4): 390–410.

Thomas, H.L., Shears, N.T. (2013). Marine Protected Area Networks: A comparison of approaches. The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand, Wellington, New Zealand. Retrieved from http://202.160.118.26/files/file/ MPA%20network%20FINAL\_July29.pdf

Thrush, S., Hewitt, J., Cummings, V., Dayton, P., Cryer, M., Turner, S., Funnell, G., Budd, R., Milburn, C., Wilkinson, M. (1998). Disturbance of the marine benthic habitat by commercial fishing: impacts at the scale of the fishery. Ecological Applications, 8(3): 866–879.

Thrush, S.F., Dayton, P.K. (2002). Disturbance to marine benthic habitats by trawling and dredging: Implications for marine biodiversity. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 33: 449–473.

Thrush, S.F., Hewitt, J.E., Cummings, V.J., Dayton, P.K. (1995). The impact of habitat disturbance by scallop dredging on marine benthic communities: what can be predicted from the results of experiments? Marine Ecology Progress Series, 129(1–3): 141–150.

Thrush, S.F., Hewitt, J.E., Cummings, V.J., Dayton, P.K., Cryer, M., Turner, S.J., Funnell, G., Budd, R., Milburn, C., Wilkinson,

M.R. (1998). Disturbance of the marine benthic habitat by commercial fishing: impacts at the scale of the fishery. Ecological Applications, 8: 866–879.

Tian, R.C., Chen, C., Stokesbury, K.D.E., Rothschild, B., Cowles , G.W., Xu, Q., Hu, S., Harris, B.P., & Marino II, M.C. (2009a). Modelling the connectivity between sea scallop populations in the Middle Atlantic Bight and over Georges Bank. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 380: 147–160.

Tian, R.C., Chen, C., Stokesbury, K.D.E., Rothschild, B.J., Cowles, G.W., Xu, Q., Hu, S., Harris, B.P., & Marino II, M.C. (2009b). Dispersal and settlement of sea scallop larvae spawned in the fishery closed areas on Georges Bank. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 66(10): 2155-2164.

Tillin, H.M., Hiddink, J.G., Jennings, S., Kaiser, M.J. (2006). Chronic bottom trawling alters the functional composition of benthic invertebrate communities on a sea-basin scale. Marine Ecology-Progress Series, 318: 31–45.

Torres, L.G. (2013). Evidence for an unrecognised blue whale foraging ground in New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research, 47(2): 235–248.

Townsend, A.J., de Lange, P.J., Duffy, C.A.J., Miskelly, C.M., Molloy, J., Norton, D.A. (2008). New Zealand threat classification system manual. Department of Conservation, Wellington. 35.

Tuck, I.D., Hall, S.J., Robertson, M.R., Armstrong, E., Basford, D.J. (1998). Effects of physical trawling disturbance in a previously unfished sheltered Scottish sea loch. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 162: 227–242.

Tuck, I.D., Hewitt, J.E. (2013). Monitoring change in benthic communities in Spirits Bay. New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report 111. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries. 52.

Tuck, I.D, Hewitt, J.E., Handley, S.J., Lundquist, C.J. (in press). Assessing the effects of fishing on soft sediment habitat, fauna and process. New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report.

Tupper, M., Boutilier, R.G. (1995). Effects of habitat on settlement, growth and post settlement survival of Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua). Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 52: 1834–41.

Turner, S., Schwarz, A. (2006). Management and conservation of seagrass in New Zealand: an introduction. Science for Conservation, 264: 90.

Turner, S.J. (1995). Restoring seagrass systems in New Zealand. Water & Atmosphere, 3: 9–11.

Turner, S.J., Hewitt, J.E., Wilkinson, M.R., Morrisey, D.J., Thrush, S.F., Cummings, V.J., Funnell, G. (1999). Seagrass patches and landscapes: the influence of wind-wave dynamics and hierarchical arrangements of spatial structure on macrofaunal seagrass communities. Estuaries, 22(4): 1016–1032.

Turner, S.J., Schwarz, A. (2004). Information for the management and conservation of seagrass in New Zealand. Prepared by NIWA for Department of Conservation. 48.

van Houte-Howes, K.S.S., Turner, S.J., Pilditch, C.A. (2004). Spatial differences in macroinvertebrate communities in intertidal seagrass habitats and unvegetated sediment in three New Zealand estuaries. Estuaries and Coasts, 27(6): 945–957.

Vant, B. (2011). Water Quality of the Hauraki Rivers and Southern Firth of Thames, 2000–09. Waikato Regional Council Technical Report, TR 2013/20.

Visser, I. N. (2000). Orca (Orcinus orca) in New Zealand waters. Auckland: University of Auckland, School of Environmental and Marine Science. 194.

Waikato Regional Council. (2006). Waikato Region Economy-Environment Futures Report, 2006. Technical Report 2006/51. WRC, Hamilton.

Waikato Regional Council. (2012). Potential for effects from onsite wastewater in the Waikato region, with particular

focus on development south and east of Hamilton: WRC Technical Report 2012/09. WRC, Hamilton. Retrieved from http://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/PageFiles/23921/TR201209.pdf

Waikato Regional Council. (2015). Harbour and Catchment Management Plan – Whangapoua, WRC Technical Report 2015/03. WRC, Hamilton. Retrieved from http://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/PageFiles/40291/TR201503.pdf

Waikato Regional Council. (2016). Earthworks - erosion and sediment control. [webpage] Retrieved from http://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/earthworks/

Waikato Regional Council. (n.d.). Create your own wetland plan. [webpage] Retrieved from http://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/Environment/Natural-resources/Water/Freshwater-wetlands/Restoring-a-wetland/ Create-your-own-wetland-plan/

Waitangi Tribunal. (1995). Te Whanganui-a-Orotu Report. Wellington.

Waitangi Tribunal. (1998). Te Ika Whenua Rivers Report. Wellington.

Watling, L., Hoofd, M., Boulanger, M., Ferguson, N., Nouvian, C. (2014). The bottom line on trawling: How much more science do we need? Presented at the ICES Symposium on the effects of fishing on benthic fauna, habitat and ecosystem function, Tromso, Norway.

Watling, L., Norse, E.A. (1998). Disturbance of the seabed by mobile fishing gear: a comparison to forest clearcutting. Conservation Biology, 12(6): 1180–1197.

Waycott, M., Duarte, C.M., Carruthers, T.J., Orth, R.J., Dennison, W.C., Olyarnik, S., Williams, S.L. (2009). Accelerating loss of seagrasses across the globe threatens coastal ecosystems. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 106(30): 12377–12381.

White, J.W., Botsford, L.W., Moffitt, E.A., Fischer, D.T. (2010). Decision analysis for designing marine protected areas for multiple species with uncertain fishery status. Ecological Applications, 20(6): 523–1541.

Widdows, J., Pope, N.D., Brinsley, M.D., Asmus, H., Asmus, R.M. (2008). Effects of seagrass beds (Zostera noltii and Z. marina) on near-bed hydrodynamics and sediment re suspension. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 358: 125–136.

Willis, T.J. (2013). Scientific and biodiversity values of marine reserves: a review. DOC Research and Development Series 340. Department of Conservation, Wellington. 70.

Wiseman, N., Parsons, S., Stockin, K.A., Baker, C.S. (2011). Seasonal occurrence and distribution of Bryde's whales in the Hauraki Gulf, New Zealand. Marine Mammal Science, 27(4): E253–E267.

Woods, C., Schiel, D.R. (1997). Use of seagrass Zostera novazelandica (Setchell, 1933) as habitat and food by the crab Macrophthalmus hirtipes (Heller, 1862) (Brachyura: Ocypodidae) on rocky intertidal platforms in southern New Zealand. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 214(1): 49–65.

Worm, B., Barbier, E.B., Beaumont, N., Duffy, E., Folke, C., Halpern, B.S., Jackson, J.B.C., Lotze, H.K., Micheli, F., Palumbi, S.R., Sala, E., Selkoe, K.A., Stachowicz, J.J., Watson, R. (2006). Impacts of biodiversity loss on ocean ecosystem services. Science, 314: 787–90.

Wyatt, S. (2011). Economic Impact of Coromandel Aquaculture. Sapere Research Group report prepared for the Hauraki-Coromandel Development Group. Retrieved from http://www.srgexpert.com/Coromandel%20EIS\_Final\_9%20 Sept%202011.pdf

Zaeschmar, J.R., Dwyer, S.L., Stockin, K.A. (2013). Rare observations of false killer whales (Pseudorca crassidens) cooperatively feeding with common bottlenose dolphins (Tursiops truncatus) in the Hauraki Gulf, New Zealand. Marine Mammal Science, 29(3): 555–562.

Zeldis, J., Gall, M., Greig, M., Pinkerton, M., Richardson, K. (2001). La Niña shuts down upwelling in north eastern New Zealand. In: Changes in the marine environment. Proceedings of the Annual Conference of the Australian Marine Sciences Association run in association with the New Zealand Marine Sciences Society, Townsville, Australia (3–6 July 2001). 39.

Zeldis, J., Oldman, J., Ballara, S., Richards, L. (2005). Physical fluxes, pelagic ecosystem structure, and larval fish survival in Hauraki Gulf, New Zealand. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 62: 593–610.

Zeldis, J.R. (2004). New and remineralised nutrient supply and ecosystem metabolism on the northeastern New Zealand continental shelf. Continental Shelf Research, 24: 563–581.

Zeldis, J.R., Francis, R.I.C.C. (1998). A daily egg production method estimate of snapper biomass in the Hauraki Gulf, New Zealand. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 55(3): 522–534.

Zeldis, J.R., Walters, R.A., Greig, M.J.N., Image, K. (2004). Circulation over the north-eastern New Zealand continental slope, shelf and adjacent Hauraki Gulf, from spring to summer. Continental Shelf Research, 24: 543-561.

# REFERENCES | NGĀ TOHUTORU

206

# ACRONYMS, AND MĀORI TERMS KUPU RĀPOTO, KUPU MĀORI

# LIST OF ACRONYMS

AC	Auckland Council
ASCV	Area of Significant Conservation Value
DOC	Department of Conservation
FMA	Fisheries Management Area
HGMP	Hauraki Gulf Marine Park
IFAW	International Fund for Animal Welfare
LINZ	Land Information New Zealand
MACA	Marine and Coastal Area (Takutai Moana) Act 2011
MPA	Marine Protected Area
MPI	Ministry for Primary Industries
RAMSAR	The Convention on Wetlands of International Impor- tance
SMA	Special Management Area
SWG	Stakeholder Working Group
TACC	Total Allowable Commercial Catch
UBA	Underwater Breathing Apparatus
НСМР	Whangapoua Harbour and Catchment Plan
WRC	Waikato Regional Council

# KUPU MĀORI - MĀORI TERMS

# Α

Ātua: Gods

Ahu Moana: Ahu – to build up or restore, Moana – ocean. Ahu Moana are mana whenua/community coastal co-management areas

Ariki: Paramount chiefs

Aotea: Great Barrier Island

# Н

Hāpu: Sub-tribes

**Hauraki:** Literally warm winds, refers to the favourable north wind on the Hauraki plains and Coromandel Peninsula. Also used to refer to the collective hapū/iwi of that area.

Hauturu: Little Barrier Island

Hui-a-Iwi: Tribal meetings

# l

Inanga: The main 'whitebait' species (Galaxias maculatus, Iwi: Tribes

# Κ

Kaimoana: Seafood

Kāinga: Home

Kaitiaki: Guardian

**Kaitiakitanga:** Guardianship, including stewardship; the processes and practices of looking after the environment. Guardianship is rooted in tikanga.

## Karakia: Prayer

**Ki Uta Ki Tai:** Conceptual term meaning 'from the mountains to the sea' or 'from ridge to reef' and used in Sea Change – Tai Timu Tai Pari as a similar concept

to Integrated Catchment Management

Körero: Talk, discuss

Kotahitanga: Unity, togetherness, solidarity, collective action

Kūpenga: Nets

# Μ

**Mahinga Kai:** Food gathering places (rivers, bush, sea, gardens etc.)

Mana: Authority, status, prestige

Manaakitanga: Hospitality, generosity

Mana moana: Tribal authority over ancestral coasts and oceans

**Mana whakahaere:** Governance, authority, jurisdiction, management, mandate, power

**Mana whenua:** Māori with ancestral rights to resources (in this case for the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park) and responsibilities over their tribal lands, waterways and other taonga. Mana whenua are represented by iwi authorities. Defined as tangata whenua in the RMA.

Manuhiri: Guests, people from outside the tribal area

**Māori title:** Land held collectively and administered under the Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993

**Marae:** The courtyard or open area in front of the wharenui, also general term for the wharenui, grounds and associated buildings

Mātau: Knowing

**Mātauranga Māori:** Māori knowledge, knowledge systems and world views

Mauri: Life force / Spiritual essence

Mohio: Understanding

# 0

Oi : Grey Faced Petrels (Hauraki dialect)

Ρ

Pātaka Kai: Pantry, food storage Pēpeha: Tribal sayings

# R

**Rangatiratanga:** This term has various definitions and interpretations including chieftainship, right to exercise authority, chiefly autonomy, chiefly authority, ownership, and leadership

**Rāhui:** Spatial or resource closures. May be a temporary ritual prohibition, closed season, ban, or reserve. Traditionally a rāhui was placed on an area, resource or stretch of water as a conservation measure or as a means of social and political control for a variety of reasons which can be grouped into three main categories: pollution by tapu, conservation and politics. Death pollutes land, water and people through tapu. A rāhui is a device for separating people from land, water and the products from these. After an agreed lapse of time, the rāhui is lifted. A rāhui is marked by a visible sign, such as the erection of a pou rāhui, a post. It is initiated by someone of rank and placed and lifted with appropriate karakia by a tohunga.

Rohe: Region, district or area

Ruamaahua: The Alderman Islands

# Т

Taiko: Black Petrel (Ngāti Rehua dialect)

**Tai Timu Tai Pari:** The tidal cycle, from high tide to low tide

**TAKE – UTU – EA:** Take – utu – ea is expressed in the Water Quality chapter to mean that when events result in an injury a response commensurate with the scale of the offending action is required, in order to return to a state of equilibrium. The model was articulated by Hirini Moko Mead.

**Tāmaki Makaurau:** The Auckland area – Tāmaki, sought after/prized by many

Tangata tiaki: Resource managers

**Taniwha:** Water spirit, monster, dangerous water creature, powerful creature, chief, powerful leader, something or someone awesome - taniwha take many forms from logs to reptiles and whales and often live in lakes, rivers or the sea. They are often regarded as guardians by the people who live in their territory, but may also have a malign influence on human beings.

Taonga: Treasure/s

### ACRONYMS, DEFINITIONS AND MĀORI TERMS | KUPU RĀPOTO, TAUTUHI, KUPU MĀORI

Taonga tuku iho: Ancestral treasures handed down
Tapu: Be sacred, prohibited, restricted, set apart, forbidden, under atua protection
Tikanga: Customary lore and practices, Māori protocols
Tohu: Sign, mark, symbol
Tohunga: High priests, experts, specialists
Tūpuna/Tīpuna: Ancestors

# W

Wāhi tapu: Sacred or significant ancestral sites

Waiata: Song

Waiora: Purest form of water, rain or spring water

**Waimāor:** Water that is running freely or unrestrained, which is clear or lucid

Waitai: The sea, the surf, or the tide

Waimataitai: Estuarine waters between rivers and the ocean

**Waikino:** Water which has been polluted or debased, spoilt or corrupted

**Waimate:** Water which has lost its mauri, or life force, unable to sustain life

# Wh

Whai Kōrero: Oratory Whakaae: Acceptance Whakapapa: Genealogy Whakatauākī: Proverbs Whanau: Family Wharenui: Ancestral tribal meeting house Whare Wānanga: Places of learning

### ACRONYMS, DEFINITIONS AND MĀORI TERMS KUPU RĀPOTO, TAUTUHI, KUPU MĀORI

# APPENDIX 1: FISH STOCKS OF THE HAURAKI GULF PIRINGA 1: IRA IKA O TĪKAPA MOANA / TE MOANANUI-Ā-TOI

This appendix provides a short background to the Fish Stocks chapter. It is not an information review, which would need to cover a very wide range of themes and body of interature, however, some key, references are provided at the end for readers who wish to learn more.

### Introduction to fisheries management.

Mailine tishenes are an integral component of New Zealand's economic and sorial framework, encompassing commercial recreational, and customary clarvesting. Western fisheries management has evolved over time, with the most significant change being the introduction of the Quola. Management System (QMS) in 1986, which set annual Total Allowable Catch (TAC) limits for species, and along with these, created private propertying its to commercially catch these fish stish referring to any kind of harvested organism including first shi invertebrates, and a gae). This commercial catchis a subset of the TAC, and is called the Total Allowahle Commercial Chico (TACC). The rest of the TAC is composed of catch a lowances made for recreational and clustomary fishing, and other sources of morifality. A range of what are called input controls' have a solbeen developed and implemented over time, such as limits to vessel sizes in certain areas, minimum mesh sizes, hours of operation, openand closed seasons, and spatial exclusions. These are designed to minimise unwanted effects such as the rapidle of under-sized/ loven eitish (eig, snapper), avoid times of the year when tish ate in point. condition and hence repuire many more individuals to be harvested per undiweight of ratch (e.g. scallops), and to reduce conflict between different 1 sheries sectors (e.g. the setting aside of recreational scalloping only areas). For example, the trawlex clusion zones areas in the inner Hauraki. Oulf were created to avoid significant byratch of juvenile snapper las well as reduce spatial overlap. with recreational fishers, similarly, Kawaii Bay area is set aside as an area where commercial scallop, dredging is onlipermitted, and only recreational and customary fishers may baryest scallops.

Collectively, this management is designed to keep tish storks at levels agreed to produce sustainable yields that look to maximise economic returns while ensuring that the firsh stork maintains sufficient spawning biomass to successfully replace use flower time. A range of data sources and modelling tools are used to determine what that level of yield should be, and where sufficient information exists stock assessments are carried out for individual species, to determine appropriately endisetting Generally, the most valuable stocks receive more research and modelling effort, while lower value stocks receive less resourcing and are generally less well understored, due to cost constraints. MP uses its charge to stork storks receive the management target for each species. Working groups exist for each grouping of species of areas (e.g. deep-water, shell i shi and inshore finitish), composed of MPI starf, research providers, industry, recreational, and customary sector representatives. NSOs

212

and other interested parties. These working groups meet regularly to assess research and management, a ong-side the internal MPI processes. In some cases, smallTechnicalWorking Groups, may be set up for particular fasks, usually around more complicated outrieuxal modelling.

The research and data mouts that go into stock assessment and setting nativests fall into two groups, fishe headependent, and fishe research dependent. Eishenes dependent methods are those that directly involve the fishery in some way – examples in rude the recording of carch and effort data and its use in Choose-Per-Unit-Effort modelling, the presence of Eistlenes Observers on board commercial vessels, the sampling of fish catches in commercial processing sheds for size and age, and the use of compined aerial counts and poar interviews, as well as fixed poat campicaments, to estimate recreational carchinic effort. Fisheries-independent methods are research approaches which are not based on the fisher estimate is an older include processing sheds for size and approaches which are not based on the fisher estimate estimates include period of size situates of approaches which are not based on the fisher estimate, spannic distribution and size situative of tished productions, the use of tagging to estimate fish movement and/or propriation size, and surveys and experiments to be a fisher use of tagging to estimate fish movement and/or propriation size, and surveys and experiments to be the tagging to estimate fish movement and/or propriation size, and surveys and experiments to be the tagging to estimate fish movement and/or propriation size, and surveys and experiments to be tagging to estimate fish movement and/or propriation size, and surveys and experiments to be tagging to estimate fish movement and/or propriation size.

Fish storks are ideally set as soatial units that encompass a population that is largely self-contained. ue, id contains the full life cycle of the species (spawning, in volise itement juveniles, adults) and i ones not have significant interactions with other stocks of the same species (e.g. very limited immigration and emigration) mowever, stock/population boundaries are poorly known for manyspecies, and many of the stock boundaries are pragmatically set on distinctive geographic teatures. For example, the SNA) stock is comprised of three sub-stocks. Fast Northland Hamaki Gult and the Bay of Plenty, with the latter two having a significant but provide stunated level of mixing. Morebroadly justin Dory, red guinard and tarakim in the Houraki Gulf Monine Park fall in the JDOI, GuR, and TAR) stocks, which span onth the west and east chasts of the upper North Island. The spawning areas and oursery areas for these stocks are poorly known and understood. For tarak on juven les (<o.) cm) are interim northern New Zealand, and the main hurser es are thought to provi further south, inparticular along the west chast of the South Island utilize stocks are suspected around New Zealar du Similarly, while school shark are managed across a series of fistieries areas, it is acknowledged that al number of these do not hold known spawining/buloping areas (i.e., may not be self-sustaining units). (Francis 2010), and that the stock is likely to operate as one at the national scale (along with some connections to the East Australian costi, as shown by fagiretuins from both sides of the Tasmant. Fullperails of the latest state of most QMS stocks can be found at the MPI Flennry website. http://fs.rish.govt.oz/Page.asbx2ps-618tk=212\_A product review of coastal furfish life distones and habitatuse is provided by Moni son et al. (2017,a).

### the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park

Around 75 species of furfish are caught commercially in the Hauraki Guit Maurae Fork, strongly on minated by shapper, to lowed by jack mackerel, pilchard, John Dory, guittard, kanawau flattish, tarakihi, hievally, ye low-bellied tounder, and leatherpackers (State of the Gulf 2017). Over the five year period of 2007-2012, commercial landings of the top 16 fish species caught ranged from 4, 50 to 4520 tormes. The commercial carch of fish in the Guit was estimated to generate around 541 million insertings in 2011, with most or it coming from experision and age million (Barberta 2012). Inverteorate ratch is also significant, with commercial fishers annually halveshing atound 103 to 134 toris of k in a and 35 to 75 toris the orther Guit 2016). Commercial class for allocing from 04 toris 5 toris between the fishing season's 2009-10 and 2013-14. Recreational fishing is a so significant within the Guit 2013-14. Recreational fishing is a so significant within the Guit 2013-14. Recreational fishing is a so significant within the Guit 2013-14. Recreational fishing is a so significant within the Guit 2013-14. Recreational fishing is a so significant within the Guit 2013-14. Recreational fishing is a so significant within the Guit 2013-14. Recreational fishing is a so significant within the Guit 2013-15. The spectra card of single provided by MPL in State of the Guit 2013-15. The spectra card of single provided by MPL in State of the Guit 2013-16. The spectra card of single provided by MPL in State of the Guit 2013-16. The spectra card of single provided by MPL in State of the Guit 2013-17. The spectra card of single provided by MPL in State of the Guit 2013-17. The spectra card of single provided by MPL in State of the Guit 2013-17. The spectra card of single provided by the twenther the device of the Guit 2013-17. Spectra card of single provided by MPL in State of the Guit 2013-17. Spectra card of single provided by the tot and the device of the field of single provided by the tot and spectra card of the device of the dev

Recreational fishing is mainly concentrated along the coast, with the beaviest concentrations of effort in Kawau Bay, Rangitoto Channel, Moto be Channel, Wilson's Bay, atopind Pakatoa and Turon Matangiis ands, and in the Motokanana and Motoroubuisland groups on thilof Coromande, Harbour, Most of the effort and associated carch is undertaken in the wat mensuralized months, especially during the time period when shapped move into the inner Guit as a seasonial migration. Significant variations in catch and effort may occur from year to year that the tartel, 2016).

The commercial cotch of furfish is mainly taken through bottom trawling. Danish serving and holtom long-lining, which regeliher provided 85–90% of the companed ratch of snapper, guinard, tarakit i knt awar tig, hevally and John Dory (Francak, Guit Forum 2011). A smaller proportion of the fish carch is obtained by set netting, which is mainly used to farget parore, traitish and mullet (State of the Gulf 2014).

## Current state of fisheries in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park

The State of the Guint Cours) report summarised the currient state of fishenes in the Guin, based on information provided by MPL, and its findings are reproduced be now as little new knowledge is available. This assessment does not take account of customary and local sed knowledge which indicates local sed depletion for many species.

The status of fishenes and stocks is characterised by MP in the following way:

 Overfishing. If average fishing mortality is higher than the rare that will produce the MSY contanother appropriate target), overfishing is deemed to be occurring. If overfishing continues, such stocks will ultimately be depleted below the biomass that produces the MSY.
- Depleted thelow the sort limit) If stock levels reach less than 50% of the biomass that will produce the MSY, or 20% of unfished stock levels twitcheven is higher), they are depleted for overfished) and inneed of repullance.
- Collapsed the low the hard Lmit). If stock levels reach less than 25% of the biomass that will
  produce the MSY, on loos of unfished stock levels (which even is higher), they have on labsed.
- The Harvest Smallegy Standard for New Zealand fishenes specifies that for stocks falling below the sort limit is formal it meconstrained rebuilding plan is toggered, whereas fisher es closures should be considered if stocks fall below the hard limit (Ministry of Fisheries 2008).
- The State of the Gulf report (2015) initial that of the 15 key fintish species fished:
- a species (redigurnard and kabawai) are at phabove target levels and are option sidered, to be depleted phatrick of pollapse.
- Snapper is below the target level and needs repuilding. It is not at risk of collapse in the shortterm, but needs that is likely to be required to prevent the stock declining rowards to lapse over the medium to long term.
- John Dory is likely to be below its ranger level in the North East New Zealand Hauraki Gulf, sub-stock, and about as likely as not to be at on above the targer in the Bay of Plenty sub-stock. Neither of these sub-stocks are considered to be depleted on at risk of collapse.
- 3 species (pilchard, barracoutta, and grey mullet) are not considered to be at risk of collapse, but not enough is known about these stocks to assess their status against targets and limits.
- Overfishing of trevally is about as likely as not to be occurring, but this cannot be confirmed because of a lack of reliable data (although anecontal reports are that stocks are very low). Not enough is known about this stock to assess its status against targets and limits.
- For the remaining 7 species sjack mackedet, tarakihi, flatfish, yellow-bellied flounder,
- Teatherjacket, rig and parore) the status of the stocks is unknown, because an appropriate out tritative analysis has not been undertaken or because the analyses that have been carried out have not been definitive enough to assess their status.

Eistenes modeling indicates that the total biomass of crayf shibas been reduced to around 35% of da-545 level in the CRAS stock: Paul Breen persuccimm.), which obvers the fishery from the Houras. Guilth Fast Cabel The biomass of crayfish above the legal size limit (i.e. the reduced biomass) has been reduced to biomand po% of its 1945 level. The stock is estimated to be 36% above the biomass reduced to biomand po% of its 1945 level. The stock is estimated to be 36% above the biomass reduced to pround po% of its 1945 level. The stock is estimated to be 36% above the biomass reduced to produce the maximum sustainable yield (36/6Y), but 20% be row ds current management target of 459.6 binnes (BREE). The current target is based on the biomass of legal sized males for the period 1979 to 1980. Neither the current for the projected biomass are estimated to be hear the soft limit of 20% of the unfirst ed Spawning Stock II omass (55B), i.e., the unit shed biomass of malure females), which would higger the need for the stock to be re-built (State of the Guil 2014). However, there have been successive declines in Catch-Per-Unit-Effort since the last stock assessment and the CPUE for this EMA is the lowest in the country (MPI 2016 Plenary). Crayfish monitoring programs at the Leigh, Townaron ulland Hotler mannel reserves show consistent per meshnicrayfish numbers over the last to years. In 2016, prayfish densities at sites outside the reserves were the lowest they have been since monitoring began in the mid-logo s. Based on this data crayfish biomass at fished sites in the region are estimated to be k9% of unfished biomass, using reserve provibitions as a reference twick Shears, University of Auck and, perscommu-

Scallops are managed on an annual basis, as their biomass is subject to large natural variations.

#### Some fisheries issues

While the QMS system bought in Limits to the biomass of tish that you'd be sustainably harvested each year, there are many outgoing management questions, along with the need to collect data and do research to answer those questions and inform evolving management strategies

# Incidental mortality of undersize fish-

Most fishing methods are not able to select only the size of fish targeted, and catch varying numbers. of smaller rish in these fish cannot be safely returned to the water and suffer mortalities, then they are lost from being able to potentially contribute to future fisher espiciduztion. Even fish that are returned to the water bealthy may not survive, due to potential predation while they are away from t the usear on traditations, well as having likely been moved a considerable distance from their li selected habitats (eig. juvende finfish from ontential territories, scalinos from preteined seaf oor substrates). Measures such as minimum mesh sizes, closed areas, and move-on rules are all used by fishenes managets to minimise such losses. The gold minimum size for commercial scallops in the Coromandel Fistiery (which includes a most all the Haurak, Gulf), was reduced down from loomminthe 1990s, following research that showed no that a significant proportion of smaller an mals were being killed by the diedges, and lost from production telg lestimates of 17 and 2.8 undersize scallops inst for each legal sized scallop barvested, from two beds experimentally fist effadjacent to On ville. (Mour son, logat, and b) that individual Based Model ing of undidental mortality, of undersize scallops, found a substantial loss of yield, which could be avoided by lowening the minimum take-able size. (Cryenetial, 2009). As scallops were not commercially viable for sale beinwigo mm, the minimum size i limit was reduced to go mm, to reduce the wasrage of fish from incidental mortality.

# Age / length truncation

At full explorted fishenes have gone through a rishing cowoliphose, where a significant proportion of the virgin biomass of the stock is removed, and older, larger fish are removed, resulting in a change to the age/length shurture of the priorilation. If the stock is 1 spectric heavily, then recruitment overfishing may occur, where the spawning stock is greatly reduced, there is a decreasing proportion of older fishing the catch, and generally recruitment time number of young fish entering the phoniation/strick) fails to very low levels. Combined with bonnerw contriental conditions, this may ultimately lead to a stock of lapse. Elst eries managets avoid this by setting targets for Spawning Strick. Biomass, to maintain these at levels that ensure that sufficient breeding fishlex stito region shitte stock through reproduction.

However, evidence is accumulating that larger, older rish play a disproportionate role in order maintaining populations, and in the recological roles. In terms of spawning success, a number of new thernes are being researched. One of these is the value of big old fat fecund female fish (BOFFFFs) calso known as imaternal effects?) in fostering stock productivity and stability. How hiel al. (2014) reviewed this topic, and concluded that

- Compared with smaller mature females, DOFFERs produce failmore and otten larger eggs that may develop into larvae that grow faster and withstand starvation better.
- DOFFFFS in batch-spawoing species tend to have earlier and longer spawoing seasons and may spawn in different locations than smaller fernales. This indicates bet-bedging strategies in response to variable environments.
- BOFFFFFs can outlive periods that are unfavourable for successful reproduction and perieody to spaw pipolsely and enhance recruitment when favourable conditions return the storage effect).
- Exclude differentially removes DOFFFFs, typically resulting in severe functation of the size and age, structure of the population.
- In the worst cases, fishing montality acts as a powerful selective agent that inhibits reversal of size, and age truncation, even if tishing intensity is later reduced. Age truncation is now known to destabilize fished priori ations, increasing the insisceptionity to polabse.
- A growing body of knowledge dictates that fishenes productivity and stability would be enhanced if management conserved old-growth age structure in fished stocks, be it by limiting exploration rates, by implementing slot limits, or by establishing manifelreserves, which are now known to seed surrounding fished areas via larval dispersal.
- Networks of mailine reserves are likely to be the most effective means of ensuring that pockets of old-growth age structure survive throughout the geographic range of demensal species throughout efal. 2016).

Such effects have not yet been wide explored in the New Zealand context. Most fully explored chastal fisheries are likely to have been truncated in age and size phoniation shurthine. Stewart (2011) examined the age compositions of the landings of major reet-associated 1 of shifts fisheries species in south-eastern Australia, including shapped kingtish, sweep land als sten species in New Zealand s he vally species. For long-lived species (potential to live for pol-50 years) with long histories of exploration, the age non-positions had relatively few fish greater than 5 years induit was suggested that that the removal of older age classes had lowered the meshing to be nervironmental change, and that remedial management faction might be required to rebuild reserves of older individuals. Management options suggested included reducing rates of exploration to very low levels, protecting, largeryplace fish through regulated maximum length lumits and/or changes to gear selectivity and notake MPAs.

Such effects a solextend to invertebulies. Lobster/craytish providuotions in suitable mailine reserves in the Hourok. Suffare dominated by lobsters above the legal size limit, with relatively high numbers of old and large animals in contrast, fist edipopulations are characterised by low humbers of sub-legal animals. Large male and female lobsters have been shown to make a disproportionate contribution to reproduction by producing more sperm and leggs, mating more frequently, and defending potensitio favourable mates (MacD armid: 9B). MacDiarm dis Butlet: 900). Large lobsters also display different behaviours than small sized ones. This includes regular for aging for extended periods on of shore sandflats, where they form defensive aggregations for mutual protection during the daytime ticelly etal. 1999) in contrast, sub-legal lobsters in the Houros. Gulf appear to mainly remain within reefhabitat (State of the Guin 2013).

#### Brood-stock populations

For species which are largely secentary in nature as boulds, dense aggregations are often found eighter scallops, green-lipped mussels, and balla. As these species are unable to move any appreciable distances as adults, this is a strategy to ensure that reproduction success is maximised (eighted) at 2000, Mendo et al. 2000). Known as broadcast spawners, these species tend to synchronise them spawning, so that the eggs and specific released into the water column have the best chance of meeting, and successful ferth ration provining. Broadly spensing, the closer individuals are to each other, the better the change of reproductive success. This means that a simplification schart are more widely dispersed, even if they dominate in overall outputs there es, as they often the greatest economic returns produce most of the concept of leaving broad success and that individuals that are more widely dispersed, even if they dominate in overall outputs there es, as they often the greatest economic returns produces and success and success to targeted by fisher es, as they often the greatest economic returns produces and success and success to recomment to scallop stocks has been suggested in some oversely on success and success and produce to a recomment to scallop stocks has been suggested in some overseas on the mischer es to log fisheries be greatest been success and success and success to prove the estimation as the area to be the scallop stocks has been suggested in some overseas on the inference of production as the area of the production as the estimate as the product of the product of scallop stocks has been suggested in some overseas on the other scales appreciation prove and species of productions to a scale scale of the product of scales procks has been suggested in some overseas notifier in the mischer escales product of the product of the product of the product of scales appreciations has been suggested in some overseas notifier in the product end to be

Some instance by protected' potential brood stock areas may remain in the Gulf, such as dense stallop beds which occurring are alwely small area on the southern side little Barner Island, where inoges, of low relief rocky reef extend out into soft seducents, and prevent dredging (MM, persillobs.). Other areas of dense scallops may remain und scovered by tishers, e.g. until recently, the large scallop ped in the central Gulf, west of Cape Colville (see figure 6 guin State of the Gulf 2014), how fished down, and within the non-commercial fishing areas around Kawa. Bay though with increasing fishing effort and improving technology such as GPS the chances of significant dense beds remaining undiscovered i is likely to below.

To add to this complexity, source and sink populiations may also exist. Sources refers to beds or areas that provide high larvol abundances, which are their transported or energy applically to other areas comback to the source areas), where the larvoe settle and replemshor or eate new) shallop beds. Sinks are places which rely on larvol settlement from other areas, and which are unable to replemsh themselves through iself-recruitment of their own larvae (eighthey might be transported away to unsultable alreas for larval settlement). Overall, populations may form a series of sources and sinks (which may be temporally variable, and reverse in their roles through time), some populations may be both cself-recruitment, Overall, populations may form a series of sources and sinks (which may be temporally variable, and reverse in their roles through time); some populations may be both cself-recrupted beds/areas may act as the main spawoing populations concrects for a series of down-shieam beds is nks), with potentially major cascade effects cand possible multiple population oblations is only occur intermittently prevent year-after year, with multiple year-classes present, while others only occur intermittently prevent year-after year, with multiple year-classes present, while others only occur intermittently across years, and are dominated by the recruitment of one large year class only. Some work has been done overseas of the connect with other areas present, while others only occur intermittently across years, and are dominated by the recruitment of one large year class only). Some work has been done overseas of the connect with other areas and sinks is an evolving research area.

Current knowledge of these potential connectivity's in the Suith's very poor. Large-scale bydrodynamic modelling, in tandem with spatially targeted work of the spawning land settlement dynamics of such sedentary species, would directly inform the most effective management for mework to address such potentialissues

#### localised depletion.

Eish stocks are generally managed at large spatial scales, and as such deal with fish abundarine across entrie regions. A rish stock is idea by set as a region that encompasses a population that is selfcontained likely divortains the full ide cycle of the species (spawning), arval settlement (oven les, adults) and does not have significant interactions with other stocks/areas of the same species (e.g. very limited immigration and emigration). Stock/population boundaries are however, poorly shown for many New Zea and species, and many stock boundaries are pragmatically set on distinctive geographic features, which may no may not be biologically relevant. For example, the SNAL stock is compused of three sub-stocks: East Northland Historiak Guit, and the Bay of Plenty, with the latter areas having nisignificant but poorly estimated level of mixing, while East Northland is largely separate.

More proacily, john Dony, rediguinaria, farak hil, and school shark in the Faurak. Or fifall within the JDOL GURT TARL and SCH istocks respectively, which span both the west and east coasts of the upper North Island. The spawning areas and oursery areas for these four species stocks are poorly known and understood. John Dory are thought to have five stocks nationally, including one spanning East North and and the Haurok. On f, and another in the Bay of Plenty (Durin & Jones 2013). Within the Outlined guinard are suggested to have a nursery ground in the Colv Le Channel area, though searching for Juven lesin this area have been unsurcessful (Flore 1976).

Tatak hilljoven (es (czolich)) are ratezaosent in northein New Zealand, and the main nursenes are though to oncommuch furtheir sourh, in particular along the east coast of the South Island (three stocks are suspected around New Zealand). Similarly, while school shark are managed across a series of fisheries areas (SCH), it is acknowledged that a number of these do not hold known spawning/pupping areas tree, cannot be self-sustaining units), and that the stock is likely to operate as one at the hallonal scale (along with some connections to the Tast Australian coast, as shown by tag returns from both sides of the Tasmano Francis 2010). These differing scales of organisation result in species being managed at different spatial management scales (and a soun temporal scales, due to differences in time to maturity, maximum ages, and other factors).

Managing these stocks at large spallor scales largely, groces issues around ispatial depletion?. This is where smaller local areas may hold less fish than other areas in the stock, making access and catching of fish by some sectors, such as recreational and customary, more difficult. These depletions can be caused by both natural variation cells, yearly climate effects: and/oncover-) fishing of some areas.

As some sectors have less mobility and/or are relatively fixed as communities. Jora ised depletion makes catching fish more difficult and expensive. Examples of local sed depletion issues in other parts of New Zealand include blue root in the Mariborough Sounds and in Fiordiand, which in both cases resulted in directed management actions to address contricts / over-exploration. Local sed depletion issues are not well confirmented formally in the tractak. Gulf Marine Park, but have abendorally included issues around kanawai shapper, trevally, parore, mabs if ounder, multet, drayf shand shallops.

A further issue of having large stock areas is that harvesting is able to be undertaken anywhere within the stock area. This can mean that carch per unit effort data, that may appear stable across the entire guida management area, can mask stock decines.

For a set depletion also impacts recreational fishers, who are entitled to fish anywhere, subject to the various constraints of fisheries regulations. An example of such conflict has been in the Karbara Harbour for flatfish and grey mullet, with these two species falling with o FLAL and GMU, respectively thoth of which include the upper west and easi North I sland coasts). Small doily fishers using guinets and/our orgonets are able to fish anywhere with o these stocks, and a perceived focus of small doiles.

from outside the Kalpara region on the putatively bigt abundarices of these species in the Kalpara. Hathour led to conflict between locals' and jours densitilitant Lizbout: Europer improvements in the fish stocks of the clauraki Gult could be subject to sum an issues and contricts under the present management regime.

#### Gut hooking and barotrauma

I ne fishing with books a solitablure small rish below legal and/or economic sizes. Even when returned all ve to the sea promptly inportality of these fish may occur through gut pooking and/or i barobiauma, as well as other tartions such as predation. Such poising occurs where the book is swallowed, rather than booking in the lip area, many fish honked this way on long-lines die before. being retrieved. Hook's ze can have a strong influence on the size of fish caught, and where they are booked. Barotrauma occurs in species with switch adders, where the tapid charge in pressure that promisias they are bought up from depth is too fast for them in adapt to, and the swim bladder. inflates, sometimes protricting from the mouth or vent. For deeper water species such as hapliky and bass, this may cause the tish to populp to the surface once a choical expansion point is passed, and fatal physin og calland obysical damage occurs. En tolher species such as shappen, deflation of the swim bladder using a large gauge rieefile can relieve the pressure, allowing the tish to swim down in the water column and return to the seaf nor, this is a standard practice in fish lagging programmes. Work in Australia has looked at barotrauma in shapper caught to depths of nition in Gutcher et al. 2012). They found evide the of barotrauma in some first recreationally angled from in mixater depth, and in a liftshirett eved from greater than polin. Fish were considered to have barotrainta if they bad a prolapsed obach combined with a distended one om o cavity and/or gastilo hern ation (orolthe buccal cavity. Despite similar chinical signs among fish held in cages for three day, none died, however, the associated traumalwas considered to us selfist, welfare concerns. Experiments assessing the effects of needle venting, and the use of weights to nabioly return tish to depth after capture, both showed benefits over no heatment of stirreturned to the surface of the sea).

Will's and Millar (2001) looked at the value of using long the brocks modified with a wire extension to avoid both guilt booking land the ratching of undersize shapper (*Pagrus auratus*). Using standard Ta nawa (SR longline brocks, they used two experimental brock treatments (simultaneously fished on the same longline), of brocks modified with 20-mm and 40-mm wire appendages (plus a control of unmodified brocks). The experimental design also included three boo types. Sub-brocking rates were marked y lower on the modified brocks. Normal brocks guil-brocked, 7% and 30% (popled across barts) of shappen(January and June thais (espectively), the commimodified brocks guil-brocked 7% and 20%) and the 40-mm brocks guil-brocked only 2% (both seasons). Gvera Loatch rates were significantly lower of the modified brocks, however most of the loss of catch on priced undersized fish and cead of recrieval tish (unsucable for export). There was no sight can't reduction in the weight of exportounly snapped landed using modified books. Modified books reduced both the cartor rate and the gid-booking rate of undersized snapped. Shalling this up to the level of the fishery. Willis and Miller (2000) calculated that if it was assumed that a light-booked discards were likely to die, the estimated annual reduction in discard montality at the stock level would be 78%. If 20-mm book importionations are used, and 96% if 40-mm modifications were used that she cartor scenarios where minimum legal size was set at both 25 cm and 27 cm). They assumed that the neserved ratch and montality rates from the inbials were representative of the commercial fishery.

In a study on blue and (*Parapercis collas*) in the Mariborough Sounds. The survival rate of sub-legal fist (rigginm) was assessed offer nature by recreational fishing using two types of books (6/o and 1/o) (Catomes 1999). As a second heatment factor, as fish were caught they were subjected to either gond or poor handling techniques and then placed in the tiolong pots. A control group of fish was caught using nominerical potting, and also kept in the tiolong pots. These pots were inwered to the seaflood, and monitories during daylight hours for two weeks. No mortal by or blue cod ordinated with the S/o books, but fish caught using 1/o books suffered 250% mortality or blue cod ordinated with the S/o books, but fish caught using 1/o books suffered 250% mortality over the two weeks period. No control fish died ording the experiment, and the type of handling technique used had no detectable effection blue cod survival. All fish montality occurred within 100 books load not the fish montality occurred within 100 books load has nature that mortality was induced by blood loss nature that disease. The location of the tions would was related to book size small blocks load or the gut or gulusually proved fatal in was concluded that the mortality of released blue and would be minimized of fish reliand larger rate of the thon small blocks. Caronies 1909).

# Fishing impacts on seafloor assemblages

The first documented concerns about the use of towed fishing geapor benthic habitats were from Usfist entrem in the fourteenth century (Lokkeborg 2003). These concerns related to the capture of juven leifish and the detrimental effects on fond sources for harvestable fish. Despite this long history of concerning it is really only since the rggos that international research has focused on the effects of fishing on benthic nommunities. biodiversity, and production. The tapid expansion of shudies in this area, and the controversy associated with the effects of tishing has led to numerous reviews. Summarizing the research and identifying over all parterios (Gislason 1992, Dayton et al. 1995, Jermings and Kalsen 1998, Lindeborn and de Groot 1998. Hall 1999, Collie et al. 2000, Gislason et al. 2000 Kaiser and de Groot 2000, Dayton et al. 2002. Thrust and Dayton 2002. Lokkeborg 2005. Department of Fisheries and Oceans 2006, Kalser et al. 2005, Rice 2006, Wat inglet al. 2016). These reviews are in general agreement, concluding that benthic disturbance from module fishing valies in relation to the babitat, fishing gear, and environment, and istilied babitations and protocole and potential y substantial. effects on bench clockmunicy structure and function. These effects can lead to regional-scale reductions in some components of block versity, reduce bench clock on municy productivity (jennings effects can be directed and only effects of block versity, reduce bench clocks organic method burbove) (Postedduler all 2003), and modify the shape of the upper continents is opei (Fungletial 2003), reducing morphological complexity and bench clocks of the upper continents, sope (Fungletial 2003), reducing morphological complexity and bench clocks of the upper continents is opei (Fungletial 2003), reducing morphological complexity and bench clocks of the gear on the seabed; and hydrodynamic (the suspension of sectorisal one of the water column; components, and vary with both fishing gear and bench clocks of its clock of the all 2001). Heavier fishing gears lend to penetrate deeper into the seabed; (kanovicletial 2001), while larger gears rowed at faster speeds generate more drag, suspending greater quanticles of seabed matching particularly to softer sed mention while backs of the clock of the sed mention will vary locally, depending of oreal ographic conditions.

With n coastal regions, sea lop dredges are generally considered to have a greater impact on bentric communities (per area fished) than traw sior Danishise nes, as the gear is beavier and perfetates further into the seaped (Kalsenetial 2006). Hobitals with relatively low natural levels of disturbance are generally on sidered to be more sensitive to fishing impacts than nabitats in preas of frequent natural disturbance (Inckeborg 2005). However, brogen c hobitats (preated by primples and plants) may occurrin such areas (e.g., Splites Day), and are particularly sensitive to fishing impacts (e.g., Tuck and Hewolt 2013). Typically, larger, longer, longer lived is ow growing fragile, elect is sectorary species (e.g., sponges, sea pens, corals, horse mussels) lend to be more sensitive to the physical impacts of fishing gear than smaller, faster growing, less fragile species living be row the sectiment surface. (Tuck and Hewolt 2013). Sensitivity to re-subsended sectiment is likely to be the ated to different life bisory characteristics, with species and habitats (elying or photosynthes species (e.g., those or than the physical impacts) provide to show the sectiment life history characteristics, with species and habitats (elying or photosynthes species (e.g., those to be ds) priviliterable to struct ering (e.g., sponges) probably most at risk.

Three studies on the imparts of fishing have been completed in the Hourak. Guit Marine Park, Thrush et al. (1995) conducted a small scale, short term sup to three month) experiment looking at scallop dredging effects, at the individual dredge track scale. Two shallow (24 m) sites were assessed, with one site regularly commercially fished and the other ont. Community composition differed between the sites, but both were dominated by small and short-lived species. Assessing experimental dredge hocks across the two sites, the density of common infamial species total abundance and species incomessiat each site decreased following dredging, with some species still significantly different after three months. Significant differences in community assemblage structure between the diedge and control plots were also recorded over the experiment, with shipher effects at the site site previously commercially first ed. The bivalve *Nucula nitidula* a nucleshell (and topebuilding polychaetes were consistently sensitive to the effects of fishing, showing significant reductions in abundance at both , spesific lowing diedging.

Thurst et al. (1998) examined bench communities from Biocabons within the Guinus rigiv depictor epifauria) and grap, suction dredge and once toor infauria) approaches. The bench communities were examined acrossign orents of fishing pressure cand environmental variables; on the basis of rankings of potential habitatid sturbance by commercial demensal trawling and credging lest moted from fisheneologis attom and anecontal information from fishery managers and scallop fishers. The fishing pressure gradient accounted for 15-2006 of benthic community shucture, and also hab a sign from effection species upportunist and benthic community diversity. Increases in fishing pressure sign from the during the density of large (and long lived) epifauna and ech indems, and significantly increased the density of small opportunist species, with the effection deposit feeders varying with the sampling approach. No effection scavengers was observed. While scavenger attraction to disturbed areas to feed on damaged fauna has community been observed in manipulative studies to g. Krister & Spencer 1994, Ramsay et al. (1996), such effects are likely in beivery transient in time, and unitikely to be observed in broad scale shudies.

Monison et al. (2016) used video horiserts to examine the distribution and abundance of denthic epifating and 1sh species in five areas inside and up to 2.3 km outside the Faurak. Or fiCable Protection Zone (CPZ), considered to have been an effective closed area to fishing/or moring since 1999. CFZ status fins de priouts det had a significant effection common species abundances and univer are community diversity measures in the main diversion community composition and species abundance appeared to be location and depth, with CPZ status only explaining 1.4% of botal variance. There was no discernible effection the CPZ of fish assemblages.

Tuck et al. (in press) provide a comprehensive analysis and review of the impacts of fishing of soft i sedument systems in New Zealand, including the Unulosi Guit Triey concluded that.

The magnitude of the effects of fishing (% variability explained) varied between studies, and as would be expected, greater effects were detected over stronger effort gradients. The levels of effect detected were reasonably consistent between dedicated sampling approaches (within study), while opport unistic datasets were less effective at detecting effects. When effects were detected, fishing was associated with reductions in the number of taxa, diversity and even ness of both epifaunal and infaunal communities, but more consistently for epifauna. Tishing appears to have reduced epifaunal biomass and productivity (whole community and fish prey) by up to 50% in some of the study sites, but effects on infauna were less consistent (increasing by up to 20% in the one area an effect was detected). The species that were most consistently identified as being negatively correlated with fishing pressure were those that either stand erect out of the seabed (e.g., horse mussels, sponges, bryozoans, hydroids, seapens, tube building polychaetes), or live on the sediment surface, and thus are particularly sensitive to physical disturbance through either direct physical impact (e.g., <u>Lchinocardium</u>, smothering(e.g., small bivalves) or increased vulner ability to predation following disturbance (e.g., brittlestars). Where examined, even relatively modest levels of fishing effort (i.e., fishing an area between once and twice peryear, estimated at the 5 km \* 5 km scale) reduced the density of the combined group of long lived sedentary habitat forming species and individual species group densities of holothurians, crinoids, chidarians and bryozoans by at least 50%.

# Some species which are heavily depleted and probably functionally extinct in most of the Gulf

#### Pack-horse crayfish

The backhorse (green) crayfish is the largest crayfish in the world land is largely restricted to the north-eastern waters of New Zealand, and the east coast of Australia. Different genetics stocks are suggested between the two contrines (Brasher et al. 1992). In New Zealand, females worl eggs are now selonin found south of Whar garer Heads, a though they were historically found in large numbers around the Mercury Islands and in parts of the Bay of Plenty during the 1960s (Booth 1984). Since the 1960s, there has been an ongoing reduction in the proportion of legal-sized and mature specimens from east coast areas south of North Cape, and the species is thought to be in denine (Booth 1984). The most important current fishery and concentration of hielding lobsters is within 25 km of Cape Reinga (Borth 1997).

It appears that the liferycle of this species is structured at the spatial scale of north-eastern New Zealand, from the Three Kings down to the Gisprine region (Kensler 1967, Broth 1997). Large scale tagging while along the north-eastern New Zealand coast found that 99% of migratory movements (defined as movements greater than 15 km) were to the north, towards Cape Beinga, with no evidence of return. All of the tagging scess (Whangare) fleads. Mercury Islands, Marakann Point (Tast Cabe), Gishorne, and Mahia Peninsula: showed migratory movements of at least 200 km, with the greatest distance travelled being 1,070 km (Booth 1997). There was all in red movement of lobslers tagged at North Cabe down the west coast, with three tag returns from the souther end of Ninety Mile Beach. Undersize lobsters in pois increase as a proportion of total carch down the east coast of the North Island, while over all mean lengths decrease. Juven les of as that look mm catabase length are from the Fast Cabe area (Booth 1986). The small numbers of pelagion in each optic cabe area (Booth 1986). The small numbers of pelagion in each optic the east coast of the North Island, while and latest coast, but most diver reports of an under show of each coast of the Routh 1986) in strong decrease. Juven les of less than yourm catabase length are from the Fast Cabe area (Booth 1986). The small numbers of pelagion in each class from south of the Ast cabe area (Booth 1986). The small numbers of pelagion in each class from south of the Ast cabe the south of the east coast of the Mercury Islands (Booth 1986). This mought that the semi-permanent each es off the east coast of the Mercury Islands (Booth 1986). This mought that the semi-permanent each es off the east coast (Dennam et al.).

- 984) retain larvae in the near-shore environment , which later reviruit to reet areas, and migrate as , admits back no to Cape Reinga.

This species is probably now functionally estinction trainak. On fireef systems, one to its very low abundances. As the Gult is only part of its range, and does not appear to provide enter is pawning on larval recruitment areas, any new management actions to fundamentally increase its abundance are likely to be most effective at the spatial scale of the north-sastern coast. Restoration of the spawning females once found in large numbers around the Mercury Islands and parts of the Bay of Plenty would be one potentially key action.



Figure A1.1 Packhorse crayfish (Source. Ministry for Primary Industries)

#### Hapuku

H\$puku occurrent southern Australia and around New Zealand, as well as having been recorded from is ands in the southern Indian Orenn, and off the Pacific coast of South America (Paul 2000). Bass another species of *Polyprion* (known as iwreckfish) elsewhere) tend to occur in deeper waters than h\$puku – though the two species are collectively grouped together as 'grobet iin fishenes reporting and management. While now regarded as a deeper water species in New Zealand, h\$puku were once relatively common in shallow waters in New Zealand, and there are a number of historical accounts of them being caught by land-based fishers, both from rocks, and no estuaries with the fide. It was also reported as heing seen in sum breaks and other habitals. Graham (1999): described h\$puku, movements off the Otago coast, when shallow water phoulations were still present before heavy exploited on removed them. In May and june, ovalues matured, and fish underflows an outward migration into deeper water to spawn, where spawning provided and fish underflows an outward migration into deeper water to spawn, where spawning provide in July and August. In Ocrober and November a return movement occurred. H5puku were also historically seen by divers at the Poor Knights Is and sin groups of up to go tish in go-go in water depth around the islands or ning written, when they moved into sha lower waters for spawining, but these groups are no longer seen (Ayling & Schiel 2003). Today h&puku are extrict from such habitats, due to fishing that has extripated them from the sha lower water component of the information.

Some limited lagging which has been once at the Pohl Knights is ands, East Northland, where rodif shi were tagged (1987–1989 years) and 20 (19.9%) recaptured. Most lagged rish were between 70 to 94 nm length, with about 33% of tagged fish being mature, and 50% of recaptures. The average recapture depth was 93 m (29–200 m), with 60% between 50–100 m. Only two fish were recaptured with move between 50–100 m. Only two fish were recaptured with move between 50–100 m. Only two fish were recaptured with move between 50–100 m. Only two fish were recaptured with move between 50–100 m. Only two fish were recaptured with move between 50–100 m. Only two fish were recaptured with move between 50–100 m. Only two fish were recaptured with move between 50–100 m. Only two fish were recaptured with move between 50–100 m. Only two fish were recaptured with move between 50–100 m. Only two fish were recaptured with move between 50–100 m. Only two fish were recaptured with move between 50–100 m. Only two fish were recaptured with move between 50–100 m. Only two fish were recaptured with move between 50–100 m. Only two fish were recaptured with move between 50–100 m. Only two fish were recaptured with move between 50–100 m. Only two fish were recaptured with move between 50–100 m. Only two fish were recaptured with move between 50–100 m. Only two fish were recaptured with move between 50–100 m.

(80%) within to kin of the tagging site, with the maximum distance moved being 5, kin on the west to Cape. Breth However, work in other areas of New Zealand suggests that large scale movements and mixing may be common, a though seasonal borning back to particular reefs is a so suggested.

(Beentjes & Finitias 1999, Paul 2000al b),

Eisting pressures in shallow waters are now such that happed are univery to refurn to shallower water habitats without directed management interventions. They are a relatively slow growing fish itaking io – give are to reach sexual maturity at a size of 80–55 cm, but may live to 60 years of age, and up to 176 min length 16 and siet in triggig). As seen in other similar species overseas (Saenz-Armyn et al. 2005), is tifting base meaning the size of 80–55 cm, but may live to 60 years of age, and up to 176 min length 16 and siet in triggig). As seen in other similar species overseas (Saenz-Armyn et al. 2005), is tifting base meaning to be working for this species, with the average size of fish shown in catch photographs in recreational fishing magazines appearing to der the over the decades. All tishers may now accept various of smaller fish much more readily than in the past. These include juven lestals known as pups) which may play an important role in establishing new abundances in bah fars which they have been it species that is ballowing to the past of a lowing these juven lest to be removed from fishing pressure, and subsequently the active to lever the set to be removed from fishing pressure, and subsequently the active of a lowing these juven lestin be removed from fishing pressure, and subsequently the active the set of the set of the species of the set of the removed from fishing pressure.



Figure A1.2 Hāpuku

#### Intertidal shellfish (mainly pipi and cockles)

Non-commercial intertidal shellnish fishenes, especially conkles and proisible allong with wheel impacts from baodat and environmental degradation, with increased sed mentation and much dessible up the most likely drivers that the coopy Moni son et al. 2009). Closures of beaches to barvest has our resulted in these problintions recovering is uggesting that other tartors are at play such as degraded environmental conditional and provide the control and the context tartors are at play such as degraded environmental conditions and/onlack or larval sources. For a number of beaches, juven le recruitment shill occurs, but these and barves do not grow through to adult sizes for reasons that are unknown. This experience indicates that we can no longer always assume that storks will recover once harvesting pressure is reduced, and that a broade recovery approach needs to be appried to manage ment.

#### Significant habitats for fisheries

Traditionally the role of habitat has been largely ignored in fishe hesimanagement. However, in recent decodes the impacts of fishing activities of sear our babicats and associated assemblages (beyond just) the targeted species) has become the focus of a great deal of researchice gr. Austeriet al., 1996, Auster Schangton, gegt, Kaiser, goß, Wahling Schorse, goß, Hall, gogt, Ball et al. 2000, Collie et al., gozt, Collie, er nil 2000al b. Kniser & de Groot 2000). While impacts vary across different systems, assemblages i and fishenes types the overall consensus is that in pacing are generally significant in magnitude and extention diare one of the greatest human imparts on both coasts, and deep-water ecosystems. (Thrush & Dayton 2002, Karser et al. 2006). Fillin et al. 2006): One of the key to lective fundings of l such studies is that large, emergent triee-dimensional organisms (hiogenic habitat formers) are especially vulnerable to damage and loss from bottom trawling and dredging. The question bow emerging is so what "The link between babilat presence, extent, and one ity and the abundar reland. production of fisher esispecies, although intunively obvious, is not yet a well-developed conception the realm of fisher esitesempliand management. Pabitat considerations are not yet included in the stock assessment of major species, eacher in New Zealand or internationally (eig. Ahmstrong & Faik-Petersen 2008). Incorporating babitatiknow edge into provilation cynamics, especial y at the scales at which fisheries management operates, remains a major challenge. This orners on automatically gives such issues less weight as stock assessments are the central books in fisheries monagement. (Aumstrong & Falk-Petersen 2008).

As noted by these automistion are resource some missival key task required for better incorporation of haorial values into management is to the together the modelling of human behaviour from an economic perspective, with biological or ecological models, for ussing on the interaction between habitat and tisheries. Such a focus allows the fuse value! from fisheries to be directly fied to the ecosystem goods and services that habitats may provide. This allows for any negative impacts of fishing on habitats, which flow on to negative impacts on fish stocks, to be quant totively linked to the use value derived by fishers undertaking the fishery (known as a fregative external ty?). This cascade is shown in Figure Alg. (Adapted from figure 1 of Armstrong & Falk-Petersen 2008). Stock assessments are generally focussed on pute harvest effects on stocks (pathway ii). More recently, constitutive and durint totive damage assessments of gear impacts have received attention (pathway b), but the consequent cascade effect of habitations only stocks (pathway 7), and then into associated if shores yields (pathway 6) have been largely neglected.



**Figure A1.3** Inter-relationships between fishing, habitat, stocks, and land-based effects. Reductions in harvest (pathway 6) result from stock effects due to harvest (pathway 1) and habitat effects (pathway 2 and 7). Land-based impacts interact with this dynamic; including damage to habitats (pathway 3) and direct effects on harvested stocks (pathway 4). In some situations, fishing and land-based effects may interact directly, e.g. the re-suspension of fine land-derived sediments through disturbance of the seafloor by bulk fishing methods. Feedback loops are also possible between stocks and habitat (pathway 5, the dotted line) through trophic cascades, such as seen in some situations between lobsters/large carnivorous reef fish, urchins, and kelp forests. (Source. Adapted from Armstrong & Falk-Peterson 2008). In Eigure 1 we have also added another major and important stressor, land-based imparts, inparticular increased sedur entation and nutrient centrophication) effects, as well as other mannel industries. These can include pots impacts on babdiats (e.g., smothering, diogging of inter-feeding, habitat formers, reductions in light per chiation and competitive regimes for plants) (part way 3), and direct imparts on the fishenesisped es themse vestely , reduction in physiological fitness, and imparts , on foraging success: (ballway  $\chi$ ) (see Morrison et al. proof for a New Zea and focussed review of these issues). While these land-based imparts do not have the same direct economic inegative externality, back to the industries cart vities creating them (e.g. farming, forestry, urbanisation), more broadly speaking the lagents' (people) involved in those industries may still be imparted if they value recreational fishing and matine recreation; and by a societal level loss preparion of value from marine. systems. Finally i in some situations there are also feedback inops from the reduction of some stocks. in abundance and size structures into reductions in babilat type and associated product vity (pathway 5). A well-documented example in New Zealand are highlic cascodes where high level predators such i as shappenned took lobstets are ushed down to low levels on shallow rocky reef systems, removing the incontrol of seaunthins by predation pressure, who then graze down kelp forests, ophywring them, infollurch in bairten' habitats (Babcock et al., 1999), which have lower primary product vity (Salomon et l all 2008). However, it should be noted that such effects are context-dependent and not universal – see Shears et al. (2008) for Hourink, Suit examples i

The Gult has expendenced large devines in the abundance of many backats (e.g. Greenway, 969, Reid 1969, Monison et al. 2009, Paul 2012, Monison et al. 20140, clistate of the Gult 2014, Jones et al., in press), in particular through the loss of biogenic (living) babitats, which provide numerous ecosystem gonds and services, including supporting fisheries. Also known as 'foundation species' where they create baoriat for other species (e.g. Bracken et al. 2007), examples include horse mussel and greenlipped mussel beds, kelp forests, soft and bard corals (sponge garden, biyozoan fields, polychaete worm medorws, and red algal beds. Some habitats, such as such cal seagloss meadows and greenlipped mussels, are now effectively functionally extinct in the Gult – in the first case, probably due to land-based sed mentation and extensive capital works around Auckland, rather than rishing per se (Powe 1, 937). Map Auci shows some hiawi by ration data to lected more than low years ago in the Gult, and is notable for stations containing foundation babitats or extended that are uncommon (absent body) or



much of the Guits soft seducents (e.g. const. horse mussels, manne growth, and mussels (probably, green-tipped))

**Map A1.1** Bottom biota recorded during exploratory trawl surveys carried out in 1901 and 1907 by the Inspector of Fisheries, L. F. Ayson (see Ayson 1901, 1908). Trawl lines are overlaid on a grid showing the number of bottom trawls undertaken between 1 January 2011 and 1 January 2014. Details about the bottom biota were provided for the red trawl lines, as indicated (Source. Figure 6.8 of Kelly et al. 2014).

Tāmaki Strait the net was recorded. *full of grass and weed*. Dased on the very similar coastal seal area of Te Rawhit. Strait further north, which is a much better ecological state than Tāmaki Strait, it is likely that this description was for either subtrial seagrass and/on the green a gae *Caulerpa* sp. Both of these species repuire clear water and charse sectments grow, which Tāmaki Strait probably that historically, but does not possess in the present day. If so, then the seafloor and associated small fish assemblages of Tāmaki Strait may have historically looked like Figure 4.5.



**Figure A1.4** Present day biogenic seafloor habitats in Te Rawhiti Strait, Bay of Islands. Top, mixed seafloor cover of subtidal seagrass and algal turfs with juvenile snapper (0+); bottom, *Caulerpa* sp. (a fleshy green macro-algae) bed on soft sediment with juvenile snapper. (Source. NIWA)

#### Habitat recovery / restoration

While international and New Zealand research has now shown how browing and diedging imparts on sort sed ment seafloor habitats, there is trather surprisingly: If the published research or how habitats and environments recover once such imparts are removed (as opposed to fished target species). The scales of recovery and research or bown hor associated key ecological functions are likely to be slow. So insorry et al. (1988) presented a widely interference of using a large scale experiment to link fishenes ratches and benthic storature (sponges). On the North West Shelf region of Australian research surveys between 1962 and 1983 (Sainsbury 1987–1988, 1997) found that the abundance of high valued fish (*Lethrinus* and *Lutjanus* genera) in particular, hop callshappers not related to New Zealand shapper) had declined with the development of having, while the abundance of surveys in the local mediated are sconges, greatly decreased between 1963 and 1979. Photographic surveys in the logan sins, such as sconges, greatly decreased between 1963 and 1979. Photographic surveys in the 1980s showed the higher valued species to occur significantly more frequent in areas with epi-benthos sponge hight while the lower valued species to occur significantly more frequent in areas without large epi-benthos.

To test this experimentally, in 1985 an area was closed to trawing while an adjacent area was left open to how log teach over hig about 50 miles of coasiline on the adjacent shelf), and surveyed each year from 1986 to 1991 for tish and penthos in the closed hire, both the density of tish and the abundance of small benchos increased, and the abundance of large benthos stayed about the same of increased slightly. In the preachar remained open to trawing, the abundance of tish decreased, and the abundance of both large and small benthos decreased. A Bayes an analysis based on prior prohabilities for four a ternative a prior, hypotheses found that the information from the experiment increased the probability of the hobbat limitation hypothesis.

It was contil ded that "this indicates a substantially increased possibility that a high valued Lethrinus and Lutjanus fishery could be established on the North West Shelf if the habitat could also be protected." As an additional point, Sansbury (1988) also noted that the observed change in abundance of large cover 25 cm) and small (under 25 cm) benthic organisms in the empirical field experiment was inconsistent with the assumed settlement and growth rates in the indial model in that model, it was assumed that epidenthic organisms could grow to 25 cm in about 6+ to years, but the experiment indicated that a period of at leasting years was deeded for this growth, and that sett ement rates were also probably lower than expected. Video comeros mounted on the net also found that where the interof centrul organisms over 19 cm encountering the net were observable 59% of interactions removed the organ sin from the substrate. There was a very low occurrence of removed organ sinsible ogretal red by the blowl, meaning that *most removals would not be apparent from trawl catches of benthic organisms*: (55 inshuryet al. 1988)



**Figure A1.5** Total catch rates of Lethrinus plus Lutjanus (kg/30 min trawl) based on annual research data for a) the zone closed to trawling in October 1985, b) the zone open to trawling; for c) and d), the proportion of seabed with large (closed square) and small (open circle) benthos based on annual research data for c) the closed zone, and d) the open zone. Standard errors and lines of best fit are shown. (Source. Sainsbury et al. 1988).

The Hauraki Gulf has been intensively and extensively fished for a number of decades, and much of the seafloor structure was probably removed in the early days of industrial fishing. Combined with large scale land-derived issues, especially sed mentation, ongoing tishing has probably worked to prevent regeneration of habitats in some areas, such as the heavily sedimented area of the inner fluth of Thames, the system may have increase by moved to a new (degraded) state. Restoration science is in the early days as a science endeavour, both internationally and in New Zea and. The rehabitation and restoration in the Gulf is an important objective, which oftens the potential to not only increase fisher es production, but a so increase the overall health and functioning of the Gulf. This can take both passive and active forms. Passive restoration involves the returned function of

key shessors (e.g. high seaf och fishing geat impacts and/or sedimentation in areas of high importance) in allow natural regeneration, while active restoration, involves the highsplanting/establish mention new hapital patches/preas through direct human intervention. While the scale issues are sign triant, initial restoration attempts for cockles and seagross in Whangare Harbour and elsewhere have shown promise, and greenki poed mussel restoration efforts in the Gulf are uncovering key biophysical and social humales to overrome in resestablishing beds. The most effective approach going forward will be a nested hop pach, with larger areas used for passive restoration, with nowhich active restriction efforts can be moved forward. Moving towards and ecosystem based approach to fishing, where habital management is seen as central to producing fishenes production, is thely to a low for higher longer term fishenes yields, with no fundamentally more production, is the light on low for higher longer term fishenes yields, with no fundamentally

#### Food supply for seabirds

The clauraki Gult Marche Fark is the seablod capital of the world. However the preeding success of many species is diopping and adult birds are folging further afterd. There are concerns that fold supplies within the Gult may not be sufficient to seablids breeding here. There is currently no scientific data with which to test whether this issue is teal to significant. One proposed mechanism is that reductions of kahawa and trevally numbers by purse serving has led to fewer surface feeding aggregations of these species (also known as 'boll-ups' or 'work-ups'), where they drive up and concentrate small bractish or expression in this main these species associated with such features. For example, shear waters, puons or digo is feed on the exphanisms that are targeted by trevally while shear waters provide and guits feed on the exphanisms that are targeted by trevally while shear waters points or digo is feed on the exphanisms that are targeted by trevally while shear waters points or digo is feed on the exphanisms that are targeted by trevally while shear waters points and guits feed on the exphanisms that are targeted by trevally while shear waters points on available to assess whether is an echanism is operating in or whether there is no information available to assess whether is the purse serving.

Entexample, Bullier's shearwater: **Ardenna buller***i*) is endeminite New Zealand, and besis only on the Poor Knights is and group, incluseastern North is and it is classified as Vulnerable (UCN Red 1ist) and At Risk, Naturally Uncommon (NZ Threat Classification System). The foraging behaviour and diet of Bullier's shearwaters is relatively unknown (Taylor 2013). Based on at-sea observation's, including recent trials to investigate seabirds interacting with back from tishing vessels. Bullier's shearwaters is performing they are still capable of diving to reasonable depths, unlike many seabilitis that built prey underwater is the still capable of diving to reasonable depths, unlike many seabilitis that built prey underwater is appears that Bullier's shearwaters, a though they can catch prey by diving, primatily capture prey at or close to the surface in association with fish schooling surface activity (lworkups ). This potentially greater reliance on fish predators for hundling capable of bullier's shearwaters for builting surface activity (lworkups ). This potentially greater reliance on fish predators for hundling capable of builting for strategreater reliance on fish predators for hundling surface activity (lworkups ). This potentially greater reliance on fish predators for hundling reliance to other

seah indispecies, suggests that Bullier is shearwate is are likely to be subject to strong secondary. (indirect) effects of the fishing industry

Evidence suggests that for aging times during the inclubation period of breeding Bullier's shear waters may have increased in the past 40 years, 10 from 4 to 4 days that perioR3, Tay or 2013). Adoptionally, new at-seared ords of adults suggest they could be traveling further south in single for aging bouts during thick provisioning. This change in foraging locations is evidenced by increased signtings by observers off satisduring (Burgers (2014)). These ecological changes, together with recent poor breeding seasons (G. Tay or, persion mini) suggest that the poor alion may be under shear that previous population estimates appear to be failed by increased indicate that previous population estimates appear to be failed by increased indicate that previous population estimates appear to be failed by increase each official end of stress appear to be failed by increase each official end of stress appear to be failed by increase each official end of stress appear to be failed by increase each official end of stress appear to be failed by increase each official end of the foraging and migratory behaviour of failer sist earwaters is on the under understanding of the foraging and migratory behaviour of failer sist earwaters is on the under stranding the bottom-sho effect that fishing may have on this secondary top predator.



Figure A1.6 Prions and shearwaters interacting with a 'boil-up'



**Figure A1.7** Buller's shearwater's feeding in association with a trevally school, Poor Knights Islands



**Figure A1.8** Red-billed gulls feeding around and in the wake of a trevally school on crustaceans, Mokohinau Islands (Source A7, A8, A9. Chris Gaskin, Karen Baird)

# Ecosystem services and natural capital

In addition to the scientific berspectives provided above, on economic study into the value of ecosystem services and natural capital needs to be undertaken as part of implementation of Sea. Change

#### Natural capital

All of the activities that occur in and around the Hautasi Gulf Monne Park and its catchment are underprinted by the area sinaural resources and the (services) they provide. In this server, dican be considered as a type of (natural radion), which (along with other types of capital is needed to create the things we value. Broadly speaking, natural coopdal encompasses both non-renewable resources (such as (and, foss Livels and other minerials) and renewable resources (such as forests, water) and fish), and how these resources rollectively function as a system. In Treasury's 2011 report, **Working Towards Higher Living Standards for New Zealanders**, the convect is divisitated as shown in the figure below.



**Figure A1.9** Representation of Natural Capital concept (Source. New Zealand Treasury, 2011 Working Towards Higher Living Standards for New Zealanders)

Natural capital, on its own, on component with other types of capital, provides a means of creating the things enjoyed by people. This process is often referred to as the browsion of 'ecosystem services. The idea of natural capital and ecosystem services is analogous to the wealth, we bold in financial or physical model, which generates a flow of financial incomes. The Houtaki vatchment itself can be thought of as our stock of wealth? which generates a flow of ecosystem services.

These are valued most reliance to an optic provaily renewable issues. If hery are even used, they may be up able to be renewed and can be depicted in the same way as non-renewable resources.

Fee Manu is New Zealanch hoop report, Fractionerk for Reastring Subtraction Development for a <math>h - description

#### Ecosystem services

Ecosystem services are typically by declinto four categories: provisioning, regulating, supporting and cultural. Perhaps the most physics of these are the provisioning' services. These are typically tangible putputs like the material priehergy products of natural capital. These are use food, water, raw materials like fire behand minerals, and medic natirespurces.

Begulating services are those functions that the environment undertakes to control the duality of air, solution divately, or to regulate mazaros such as flooding and disease. Examples of this type of service include the cycling of hiddents, the assumption of wastes, or the buffering against extreme events is upon as possible margins or wer and sacting as a buffering against flooding or storm surges).

Supporting iservices represents the provision of nabitats that enables a healthy, we lifer than ng ecosystem in a sense, this underprissall of the other types of services that are derived from natura capital. On tural services cover a wide range of values that enhance our wellbeing. They condect the particular values recognised by tangata when us, but a solution are other rectentional and arrien ty values. Note that cultural services might seem intangrole and hard to pin down, but they are also important inputs to businesses in the bounsmand recreation sector.

# A warning: depreciation or depletion of natural capital

It is important to recognise that there are also feedbacks from our use of resources (represented by the fattect fairow in the figure above) in the case of non-renewable resources, this is obvious extracting minerals reduces the stock of natural rabics laval able to us. But it also applies to renewable resources if we use, or other wise affect them at a rate faster than they can be renewed we erode our stock of natural rabics laval able we might wear our a piece of archinial capital in the same way that we might wear our a piece of archinial capital in we do not provide for the maintenance of our natural capital, we may find that it depreciates over time, and so is less able to provide the services we are used to

# A framework for the Hauraki Gulf

As noted above, it can be delaful to think of natural capital as a functioning system trather than a collection of "things". We use this system – sometimes directly (such as when we harvest fish), or indirectly (such as when we use the margine dabuat to support fish farming) – to provide us with things that are valuable to us. Sometimes, but use of the system basis damaging effection of – such as when we use it to dispose of some of the by-productionagils addition of our activities. Recognising

Rep, for example, the firstness of veryylasis, and Hindiversity project webs to at alters (www.teelrw.co.mig.ter.or.co./scosystem.ter.co.co./

how we use these ecosystem services, and understanding these interconnections is crucial to being able to manage the Haurok. Guit Marine Park we li

The development of an ecosystem services matrix for the catchment will be an important step to understanding the costs and benefits of our actions, including interms of how they affect the natural capital of the guilt – for better or worse

Each area in the Table below was subject to an assessment against the onter alidentified by the Aquaculture Bound-Table. The proposed areas were initially developed by the Aquaculture Bound-Table during a series of discussions in late 2012 and early 2015. The proposals were further developed by the Stakeholder Working Group and its Aquaculture Sub-Group during 2016. Of an initial suite of 191 sites, several were rejected and some new ones were added. Several sites are proposed as combined she if shand fish areas.

Table All and Figure Arrill der hfy areas that are considered likely to be appropriate for future abuaculture development land this Appendix provides detailed anniys sithat underplins the recommendations. The areas ident field are a prefurchary gride, based of our initial assessment which indicated that aduaculture is likely to be solitable in the vicinity of these locations. The boundaries have been carefully drawn to exclude areas where farms would likely have begative locational effects. It is not envisaged that marine farming would occupy all oneven the bulk of these areas.

síte	location	species
	Thamos	Interation shell an (bysters)
>	ka hua	Interental shell sh (aysters)
3	Coromance Harbour South	Interencial shell ish (bysters)
4	Coromance Harbour North	loti-r-intal shelli sh (aysters)
5	Whangapoua	Interental shell sh (aysters)
C.	Maraethi	Interencial shell sh (aysters)
7	Colville	Subridal she like (mussels and rish)
ē	Great Mercury	Sublidal she lish (mussels and rish)
9	Fast Coronancel	Sublidal she lish (mussels and rish)
Ŭ.	South Great Samer Kland	Sublidal she lish (mussels and rish)
11	Western Frith	Subridal she lhsh (m. 45015)
2	Росы	Subridal she lhsh (m. 45015)
з	Whit anga	Subudal she Itish (muksels)

# Table A2.1Indicative aquaculture areas



Map A2.1 Proposed Aquaculture Areas



Map A2.2 Locations of proposed aquaculture and aquaculture exclusion areas

# Site 1 - Thames

This she filsh farming area is located in shallow sub-fidal waters towards the souther riend of the Firth of Thames, offshore from Tarinov The initial proposal was further south out was relocated northward to increase its separation from the Romsarisde in the souther rienth of Thames.

Assessment of	the	proposed	агеа
---------------	-----	----------	------

Criteria	Comments
sac o ecoenmin	Chrates potential for aquaciliture jobs in a new areal possibly serviced out of Thames.
ecologicathere" is	El tering of water by shellfish removes sociment and nutrients.
hiophysicals.utabilityforfarming	Appliars to be ak. May have high secure it loads and potential for britt-mat- policition from and based current. Poks ble heavy metal contamination of
	sourcents for insight in fight overes.
water depth	2-5 m-to-s
substrate	Mud and soudy muc.
mean significant wave beight	plogmetnes
current (metres/second)	0.0 <sub>21</sub> -0.31
salinity	27-33 %» (Browshuzzen & Zellois 2003)
hiola	Sparse Sub-udal macro-linunal The nealbetween 1-5 mi-tris depth is a n imperitant mibital for ndult sand (Rhomonsolea plepeia) and yi-llow-bet y Lounder (R. Boonna) in the Firth of Thames (Mounson et al. 2014)
naturatcharaster	Ower 2 kilo mielnis from a nigh natural characteria ea.
natural features and landsrapes	Over 2 kilometris from an outstanding natural leature on andscape
commercial fishing	With no Lounder Ushery area.
recreat analfishing	Tow evided recreational listing (very shallow water)
commercial hoattraffic	Adjacent io shipping route for grave barge from kopu. Otherwise no conflict due to shallow water:
yachting relites and annhorages	Minimate of http://www.nocoorages.vi-tyshallow)
scapiros	E verki omettes from n Ramsans tel Over two ki omettes from sites of Importance lorishollebirok on the Thames Coast
marormammak	No contact (very shallow water). One recorded signing of killer whales
swellcorridors for surfaceats	No surf breaks inversity.

# Site 2 - Kaiaua

This shell is if farming area is located between Whakar warand Whatekawa, on the western side of the Firth of Triames, in shallow sub-fidal waters. The initial proposal was further south but was relocated northwate because it was too close to the Ramsar

#### Assessment of the proposed area

Criteria	Comments
sac d economic	Cri-bries potential for inquakuliture jobs in new nieal possibly service-dicution
	ka aya oʻrwhari-kawa (exiquni yilanding).
ecologicathere" is	Ellering of water by shellfish removes secure it and numerits.
hiophysicals uitability for farming	Applarsiek, May have high secure it loads and petermatter on n-nation
	poliution from land based runoff.
water depth	-5 m-in-s
substrate	Mud, shell not sandy mut.
mean's goillicantwave beight	0.65-0.67 mHns
salinity	33-35 %s (Browkhuizen & Zelois 2003)
current (metres/second)	0.20033
hiola	More isometial (2002) completed nines descriptional transpires with lo
	inssectated ground-multistations in the western Firth of Thames. Fish schoolk
	where very abundant in the surveyor block. Sphar imagery varied across the
	$\sigma$ ock, with n gnot batches of substrate variability in the south and contra-
	regions of several transects. In general the bottom is comprised of muck with
	variable amounts of shell material, two nockles (Austrovenus) clust neery (
	occurred hi some stations. The only station at which approvable numbers of
	remnant greenlipped mussels (Pernakhna in ilus) were exclusive ed mywfiere
	in the Firth of Tharnes was located in this block, although the percentage
	cover of muscels was low (x, 5%). No horse mussel (4thing veland ca) boos
	were encountered in the ground-multistations, and no side-scattingery
	is millar to that reen in a easiwhere dense horso mussic beds were observed.
	wasebbann-6

Eishes commonly recorded in research trawls in the general area included iig (Mustelus, entited arus), snapper (Pagius auratus), jack mackerer (Trachurus, nevnezea andrae), kat awni (Arupis trutta), john Dory (Zeus faber), sand

	I curder and barracouta (Thy sites alon) (Mounson et al. 2002). Fight ratio $\gamma$
	rates of juvenile jointil Bolly have cometimies being recorded in residue hitraw $\epsilon$
	in the wastern Firth of Thames (Monusch et al. 2014).
naturalcharacter	Over 5 kilometrik from alle galentura kenitaste intea.
natural features and landsrapes	Over 2 kilometre from an outstanding leasure and landscope brea.
commercial fishing	Without our de lishery mea.
recreational fishing	Minor evelotics reasonal listing (very shallow water).
commercial hoat traffic	Nocentin.
yachting relites and anchorages	No conflict (very shallow water:
swellcorridors in rout foreats	No surf books inversity.

#### Site 3 - Coromandel Harbour South

This inter-hoal she if shiftaiming area is located on the inter-hoal flats in the southern part of Coromandel Halihour and includes several existing byster fatms. The area is interided to provide for expansion of the existing operations without predetermining the precise location and scale of the expansion.

# Assessment of the proposed area

Criteria	Comments
sac o economic	Allows for expansion of existing cyster thirming nice and potential for
	ndert enalgeas.
ecologicathere* (s	El tempol water by shelfish removes sociment and numerits.
hiophysicals.utabilityforfarm og	Ok interently for med
water depth	Internetal pimernes
substrate	Sand, and much no proken shell. Similinimount of seagings in heribering an of
	ગારને.
mean's gailieantwave beight	a. m. 10-5
salinity	34535 Oka (Bronstitut/en & Zellois 20013)
current (metres/second)	0.08-0.1
contaminants	Secure its in Coromandel Farbournie potential ycontaminated with toxins $\langle \cdot \rangle$
	contained in tim-off from this torical gold timining in the subrounding chultment
	(Colley 2011). There is patential for these takins to be released into the water -

h nta

column by activities, such as capital and maintenance predging, which disturbli the sectiments

Inner Coromondel Harbourns, denuitied as an Area of Signiticant Conservation. Value (ASCV print the Warkaro Registral Coastal F an due to its significance to Haurak row, its salt march level grass and mangrove communities and the presieve of resident and migrato grane and threatened wapers and coasta bird species (Walkato Regional Coastal Plan 2005, Appendix IV, Areas of Significant Conservation Value).

> The intertudal and subtidal communities present within the proposal are considered characteristic of similar had this is sewhere in lower Coromance Farbour (Colley 2011). The shore line is characterised by bit what or relic waveoull obstructurages which create also implies and biologically diverse observe registation zone at the top of the shore. Rushland and other communities generally occur only in she tered a reas landward of chemical Seaward of this the lefter characteristic by mangrows, seagrass and open intertuda line associations (Graeme & Dammizords). Dense seagrass and open intertuda line associations (Graeme & Dammizords). Dense seagrass and open intertuda line associations (Graeme & Dammizords). Dense seagrass process of unbetween 6.2-0.7 metres above mean level of sea (MLOS) Coromaticel (Signeric & Dammizords).

Shored rds feeding of the interficial flats include variable systematche (Haemotopus unicolor), South Island paid systematcher (Haemotopus finschi), New Zea and dottere (Charad inclus obscurve) (banded correcel (Charad incl ordinitus); and banchi ed godwit (Himosa Japponica) (Dowding 2017)

natura chatarter	No natural character in the vicinity of this area.
natural features and	Over 3 kilometres from an outstanding tentury and landscape a ea-
landscapes commercial lishing	With ma Hounder Ishery area.
recreat analfishing	No conflict (inter-tirfal).
commercial hoat traffic	No conflict (inter-tidal).
yachting routes and anrhorages	No conflict (inter-tidal).
swellcorridors for surf breaks	No sud breaks invertidity.

# Site 4 - Coromandel Harbour North

This inter-ficial she if shiftaining area is located on the inter-ficial flats in the porthern port of Coromandel Harbour and includes two existing systematics. The area is interded to provide for

expansion of the existing operations without predeterm oung the precise location and scale of the expansion.

# Assessment of the proposed area

Criteria	Comments
sac o economic	Allows for expansion of existing dyster this ming bloatand potential for
	nders onal jobs.
ecologicathere" is	El tering of water by shellfish removes sociment and numerity.
hiophysicals.utab.lityforfarm.og	Ok nur endythinged
water depth	Internidal metres
substrate	Satio, and mud and proken shell. Suggrass beds in no tiber hand eastern phil
	of need.
mean's gailireatwave beight	0.º metors
salinity	34-35 %» (Browshuizen & Zelois 2003)
current (metres/second)	0.12-0.15
contaminants	Secure its in Correnandel Farbournie patential ycaniaminated with toxins
	contained in tim-off from historical gold $\min$ ing in the subrounding onto himent
	(Colley 2014). There is potential for these toxics to be released into the while
	$\cos \omega$ minor product estimation as capital and maintien ance driving large which disturb
	The serie You-114
hiola	In ter Corremandel Factoric is deputied as an Area of Significant Convervation
	. Value (ASCV $ \nu\rangle$ in the Waikhon Rieg or all Coastal Planckus to its significance to
	Haurak level its salamarsh, eel grass and mangrove communities and the
	presince of resident and migratoly (are and threatened wapers and coastall)
	birdispik es (Walkato Regional Coastal Plan 2005, Abbortiox IV, Areas of
	Signillanni Conservation Valuet
	The shoreline is characterised by active and read wave-built chemien loges
	which create a complex and bloog cally diversinghen envergination vote at
	the top of the shore. Rush and and other communities generally on union yun
	shell-red https lar dward of chemors. A ratiomanuka-dominated froshwater
	welland community occurs immediately north of Huaron Stream. Seaward of

The the title tidal Acho, skinarakter sed by mangrows, skingrass and open intertidal Hat hyrokintions (Graeme & Datim 2005). Seagrass beds occur at or below may meroy above mean level of soa (MUCS) Coromandel in the normeast correct of the proposal (Graence & Danim coos).

	Shorebirds feeding of the intertical flats include variable bystervarche
	. (Faematopus unicolor), South Island predicyster (archer) (Faemardous Erich ),
	New Zea and dotti-rel (Chaindrius obscurus), banded dotterel (Charad ius
	biamitus); and bar-thied goow t (timosa lapponica) (Dowding $vot_2$ ). New
	Zvaland dotterel nest and a variety of other species (ons) on the chemi-r
	indges at the lociol the shore near Whangarahi Stream mouth (Dowting 2013)
naturatcharacter	One community of a children grammural contactory
natural features and landscapes	Over a kilometres from an ONEL.
commercial fishing	With his Lounder Denergia eac
recreat analfishing	No conflict (intercurfal).
commercial hoat traffic	No centart (intercurial).
yachting routes and annhorages	Nocestart (interardal).
swell corridors for sulf breaks	No suid breaks invertibility.



**Figure A2.1** Section showing the vertical distribution of coastal vegetation types at this site (Source. Section F, Graeme & Dahm 2006)
## Site 5 - Whangapoua

This inter-ficial she if shittaining area is located in Whangapoua Harbour and includes two existing byster taims of a bectares each. If was determined that this inatiour had the potential to accommodate more inter-fidal farming and the expanded area provides flex billity to position farms in locations that avoid areas of siltation, sea grass and fidal charmels.

Criteria	Comments
sac o ecoenmin	Allows for expansion of existing dyster thirming breatand potential for
	ndert enalgebs.
ecologicathere" is	Filtering of water by shellfish removes seture at and numerity.
hiophysicals utability for farming	Ok miniently himed
water depth	Intertidal Lats and two shallow urbaic hinners
substrate	Sand with small mudland gravel tractions (Fallidayet all 2006). Seagrass beds
	and mangrowes with a area
turbidity	0.9-3.7 NT
current(metres/second)	no enta
contaminants	Flevated ineral proteinal evels conjecturile lowing heavy rain full events (frewist
	$\&$ Britton vals, see also www.warkstoneg.org/evtnz, estimatine water quality $\sim$
	montou ng map:
hiola	Whangapoual Farbour contains in range of inti-rudal not shallow subtida
	lest tarink habitat typik including rocky real, open sand Lats supporting dense
	beds of rocklos, procland wedge shells, extensive intertidal seagrass (Zostera-
	muclen) meadows that extend subtically in planet mangroves and marginal
	weilands (Halliday et al. 2006, Niedham et al. 2014, Tewis & Britton 2015)
	Although intertidal seaglins: mendows are used by juvienile fishes during high-
	nce the subtidal birds an- the moti-impertant as juver de tish habitat
	prickularly for species such as snapper (Pagius putatus), revaily
	(Pseudochiar xx georgianus) and pardre (Ginela cuspidatar (Monision et al.
	201491
	The hardour receives sediment inputs from environ in the catchment caused
	by natural processes and human activities (e.g. forestry, coastal development)

and both individual species and species assemblages show a number of changes related to sediment loading (wall day et al. 2005, Jewis & Barron

2015). Most of these changes have not been sulfix entite drasmally alter the macrolautial contributions out there has been a substantial decrease in the total area of seagrass and an increase in the nice or uprod by mangrower between 1945 and 2006. Much of this change occurred prior to 1993 out organing efforts of sedimentation are detectable (Halliday et al. 2006). Despite this, water clairly femalitising and the harbour retains high environment et all should regionally significant examples of indigenous coastal wet and and salimatsh segeration into hally rale subfidal sea grass beds, and regionally important wading and shore a rehibitar fillal liday et al. 2006, Schwartz et al. 2006, Furne 18, Schwart 2006, Rowdon et al. 2006, Schwartz et al. 2006, Furne 18, Schwart 2006, Rowdon et al. 2006, Schwartz et al. 2006, Furne 18, Schwart 2006, Rowdon et al. 2006, Schwartz et al. 2006, Furne 18, Schwart 2006, Rowdon et al. 2006, Schwartz et al. 2006, Furne 18, Schwart 2006, Rowdon et al. 2006, Schwartz et al. 2006, Furne 18, Schwart 2006, Rowdon et al. 2006, Schwartz et al. 2006, Furne 18, Schwart 2006, Rowdon et al. 2006, Schwartz et al. 2006, Furne 18, Schwart 2006, Rowdon et al. 2006, Schwartz et al. 2006, Furne 18, Schwart 2006, Rowdon et al. 2007, Lewis 8, Britten 2015).

The deserved changes in the composition of the benthic communities in the national have the potential to alter basic encrystem but chors such as nutrient frequency and oxygen flux between the water column and the sodiments

(Hall day et al. 2006). These changes and habitat loss and likely to have howon effects on other ecological groups such as fisher and wading birds (Halliday Hila, 2006), lewis & Dattor 20(5). The mainter anne of subtroa seagrads book in the ballbour is dependent on the mainterhance of high water clarity (Moni (on et al. 2014 al.b).

natura inhararter	This is in a high natural character an-n.
natural features and	No identified natural features or landscapes hear this area
landscapes commercial lishing	With e a Hounder lishery area.
recreat analfishing	No conflict (inter-tidal).
commercial hoat traffic	No conflict (inter-tidal).
yachting routes and anrhorages	No conflict (inter-tidal).
senaires	With marea elimentatics to she realics
mar ne mammais	No conflict (inter-tidal).
swellcorridors for such breaks	No surf breaks invertidiy.



Map A2.3 Distribution of sea grass and mangroves in Whangapoua Harbour (Source. Halliday et al. 2006)

# Site 6 - Maraetai

This inter-fidal she litish forming area was proposed by the Round-Toole during their first consideration of the adupculture areas. It is in the violarity of existing byster farms and applications for additional byster farms.

Criteria	Comments
sac d ecoenmin	Allows for expansion of existing dyster thirming mealand potential for indicitional jobs.
ecologicathere <sup>4</sup> is	Ellering of water by shellfish removes secure at and numerity.
hiophysicals.utab.lityforfarm.og	Ok run ently hur ed
water depth	lot-c-risal
substrate	Mud, muddysand
mean's gailicantwave beight	9.62 metris

salinity	34-55 %s (Broekhuizen & Zelois 2003)
current (metres/second)	0.00-0.12
contaminants	Pakes be dwidetor table evels ("Fix klobank & Stowart 2001)
hiola	The proposed equals into the ane-switch of significant Diological Area 4 to (SEA-M2) in the Proposed Auck and Unitary Plant Arotal of §5 bird Coerce enverbience enorded from the While a River restuary and tidal flats. The intertidal condensities a leading ground and incortant mick-filde rook flor several industrial on all migratory and New Zvaland endemic wading birds, including a number of it reached sciences. Moderate numbers of wading birds lead on the mudtlats including on ender algodies (Trimosal apport it at reached (Callons Cancus), whilmbted (Numericus chaeopus), verificable oystercarche fill (Falematodus unicolor) and banded dotte rel (Charactus be fiscus). Sonded for (Gallina lus phill opensis) and leighth of (Dowd et algodiest) (Appendix 6.1 Schedule of Significant Ecological Ariens - Mariter The Proposed Auckland Unicaty Plank notified ge September 2019).
	Subtidal enbitats in Wairea Estuary and Tamaki Strait are affected by view high securentation rates (2-8 mm/year) (Swales et al. 2002). Much of this secure at is thought to originate from the Wairea River (Swales et al. 2002).
	The profision shifting parasiti-Performance Serie was found in monitors (Austrowinus stutilibury ) from Walrop Sstubry, as will as Okura Estuary Waite mata Harbour, Tamaki Estuary, and Mangemongerea Estuary in porto by This shippink & Stowart (2001)
naturalcharaster	Adjatention nighthatura kharacte intea.
natural features and landsrapes	Adjacent to ONFL
commercial fishing	With the Lounder Ushery nieal
recreat analfishing	No conflict (inter-tirlal).
commercial hoat traffic	Nocehhrt (inter-tidal).
yachting routes and anrhorages	Nocehhrt (inter-tidal).
swellcorridors for sulf breaks	No surf breaks involuting.

## Site 7 - Colville

#### This area is located close to the western chastline of the Coromandel Peninsula, north of Colville.

#### Assessment of the proposed area

Criteria	Comments
sac o economic	Cristos potential for nquaciliture jobs in new nieal possibly services out of
	Collelle or from existing Inciditi-s at Coromandel.
ecologicathere" is	${\sf F}_{\rm c}$ tering of water by shellfish removes seture at and numerity. Structures in
	water create chefter and habitat for wildlife. Shell propladds structure to
	seal par.
hiophysicals utah ktyforfarm og	Ok Water depths mount zo metres. Some exposure to horth-west, Mudland
	muddy sand substrate
water depth	rg to gometres
substrate	Mudiand satisy mud. Ri-effand dog could beds to north. A reared werthing
	moved offshore to two diment line so muscel beds presient in some meas.
mean's gailireatwave height	0.7 m-10.5
salinity	ავვზა: (წლასის-ი.8,7-სია 2005)
current (metres/second)	0.20-0.37
hiola	The means commentially listed for scalings (Pecter network) land $\pi e(-Dag$
	$\cos k {\rm Ise}$ (Turesonal at costain) and large, relatively dense norse muscel (Atrina .
	velandical beds occur in some areas, although the extent of these beds has
	been substantially reduced by scallop dredging and trawling (Thrush et al.
	$(\rm spp8)$ . The presence of dog cockle and horse massel beds, receases infaural
	investigate diversity, and live insitu base mussels are color sed by
	macroalgae and hival ety of session invertionates including sponges
	nnemotes and associants increasing both opitalinal diversity and habitat
	complexity (Cummings et al. 1998, Dewas 2008). Dead horse mussels are
	, color see by a variety of matrix invertebrates, including powerile rock labster
	(Jasus edwardsiii), and small Lisnes (Allah & Walsheiri)(83). This increased
	inhibitation plexity created by norse mussels and the relaibionis has also been
	is now no provide nursi-ry habitatilor juwonilos happortanos, gai brant y notice
	mortality of post-settlement scallops (Thrush et al. 1998, Mornson et al. 2014a,
	b).

The area does not include any critical seabling habitat. Seablinds known to to lage in the general area of the proposal include Australasian garmet (Morus)

	seriator), fluttering sheatwhier (Pullitur gavia) and little preguni (Eudyptula)
	minors. Common do on hs (Delphinus de phis) regularly occur in this area and
	, there are occasional sightings of bottlengs) do phin (Tu sides transatus), $k_{\rm c}$ is
	whale (Orrinus of at and Bryde's whale (Balaehopteraleden )
naturatcharaster	Adjacent to neigh entural centracter inteal. Two kilo metres from a houtstanding
	national character and to south.
natural features and landsrapes	Two kilometris Iroman ONEL.
commercial fishing	Adjacent to high intensity trawling break and moderate intensity long inte
	lishing.
recreat analfishing	Tow evelopes extremal listing.
commercial hoat traffic	No commental timbri in thisticea.
yachting routes and antiborages	Instory from exegnised choising route (nutring north to south).
swellcorridors for surfaceats	No suit breaks invertinty.

### Site B - Great Mercury

This proposed mussel and fish farming area is lies between Great Mercury Island and the Coromandel. Permisula, about 8 k Tometres east of Kennedy Bay

Criteria	Comments
sac o economic	Cri-ativs potential for inquasily time jobs. Possibly services from Kennedy Bay or
	Whit anga
ecologicathere" is	El tering el water eyspellfish removes securent and numeris. Stue tures in
	water create shelter and habitat for wildlide. Shell propladds structure to
	seal per.
hiophysicals litability for farming	Exposed and in deep water (40 metros). Figh wave energy environment.
water depth	50 m-to-s
substrate	Sand and muccysand
mean's gailicantwave height	0.53-0.68 metors
orbital velocity	plog-plo8 ms in (Hadlie diet all porgi
chinin phyil (fhlæ)	ាររប់ ចៅ ផ្លូលកម្មសហារ
current (metres/second)	plog-plog (Seaškerch, Hadile diet all 2014)

hiola	Very little is known of the biology of this site. A penthic sample taken from
	sand at 22 m depth off Kennedy Day contained a diverse bivalve shell on
	nssemblage dominated by the motion get arishe 1(Tawkraiso Ksa), with Kurula
	mindula, Bos maisupiosoal Sila pomacinascalpi-llum nucliong macinale organa
	hlsp present (McKnight 1969a). This assemblage is widespread in shallow
	sandy sed mention the Hauraki Gulf and e sewhere-a bund the North Island
	(MelKaight 1969a, Morrison et al. 2014 a). A large, dense (sioo per 25 m2)
	Indise mussic bed occurred inshere on the proposal in the early 1986's but the
	nrea devicer than about $\omega_2$ mit with was not surveyed (Allah et al. $\eta 8 \eta$ Allah
	& Walsh1934)
	. Common doloring (Delphinus delphi $\ll$ and killer whales are reported from this
	, here and onttlenose (Tursicos transature do prime are , kely to basis through $\tau$
	from time to time is gotings reported in SeaSketch). Bryde's (Balai-noatera
	edi-ni), humpbark whale (Megaptera hovasang iae) and southern Light whale
	(Fubalaien austialis) have occasionally been recorded in the area.
naturatcharacter	Owing a provide from reacted granning a character.
natural features and landsrapes	Over to kilometres le manONEL.
commercial fishing	Moderate evolutiong motisting. Adjacent ion commercial scaliopig ound.
recreat anallishing	Low evels of recreational listing in this near
commercial hoat traffic	Some commercial traffic nearby, but not incough proposed heat.
yachting routes and annhorages	hes between recognised routes but thought to dost furtisome port traffic
swell corridors for surface at s	On swe loom der ler multiple on-aks (Whangapeua, Matamogi, and

#### Site 9 - East Coromandel

This proposed mussel taiming area is located over 4 kilometres offshore from the coast.

Kuantunu).

Criteria	Comments
sac o economic	Chrates potential for figure units jobs in new fileal possibly serviced out of
	Whit hoga o Tairua

ecologicathere* is	Ellering of water by shellfish removes secure at and numerits. Structures in
	water create chelter and habitat for wildlife. Shell propladds structure to
	seal oor.
hipphysicals.utabilityforfarming	Exposed and in very deep water (So-70 metros). Figh wave energy
	environment. Sand and muddy sand substitute
water depth	About Cometo-s
substrate	Eine sand and broken shell, muddy sand, rocky rig-l
mean's gailinaatwaye beight	0.28-0.74 mHors
orbital velocity	otoprotogim sin (redheld er altperg)
salinity	535 Pas (Flache diet al 2014)
chininghyil (Chia)	0.77-0.77 milligrams per mi
current (metres/second)	oloz (Seaškerch, Fadlie dieta i zorg)
hiota	Very attlets known of the biology and ecological values of this need. The
	seal parts productionality lines and and prokensive libratic also cover about $\epsilon$
	km of predominantly deep (i.e. $\sim$ 50 metre depth) low relief (ref. No
	information is available on the biological assemblage occurring on these reets.
	The solutionment laten has not been surveyed but is likely to be dominated

The soli socurrent laurn has not been surveyed but is likely to be dominated by species that are wides aroad at similar depins in the western Bay of Plenty and Northland. Species likely to occur in the area are included in Wokinght? (1969a, b) descriptions of the Towera spissal. Vener cord a burgurate and Nemocard up builted and Wigurau and communities. No recognised commercial scallop grounds occur in this area.

Castle Island is a steep primate onsing admiptly from mitd-shelt depths (c. de minos), the sides of which represent the only shallow rocky met (c. p.o.0 km) in the alea. The sides of the island ale covered with densi-keep (Fokionia radiata) lorest and enclusting invertebrates due to low levels of suspended sediment. The island attracts large schools of pelagic fistes including kat awa (Ampis tructa), trevally (Escudedarane georgianus), and kinglish (Sen eaalando). Schooling planktivereus rechrised interdisperios such as blue macmad-(Sciotpis violacieus) and priok macmadi (Cabrodon longimanus); are also adundant. Preage sharks (iculius exytructus, Chicharbinus brachycitus, and Enrone organica) and niver ety of migrate ty belagic lishes including matimiand ginni mantalitay (Minita barosins) have been observed at Castle Island. Common do onling the only vehacean species recorded in vicinity of this

	proposied aquaculture cite. Botheroise do prime and killor whales have been observed closer to the coast but nice a solukely to occur in the arisa.
naturatcharacter	Two ki o metres from nin ghiand outstanding natural character niea.
natural features and landsrapes	Elvely, ometres from an outstanding natural leature and landstape near
commercial fishing	In a medium to high intensity area of commercial listing, mainly serve and long the listing.
recreat analfishing	Modi-rate evels of recreational listing instance from this meaned eight evels bround Costle is and.
commercial hoattralfic	Caligo shipping routes one or otherweast of the risea.
yachting mittes and annhorages	Lies of Shon-Irom recognised route.
swellcorridors for surfibreats	On swell corridor for multiple breaks (Hot Water Beach, Sailors Grave)

# Site 10 - South Great Barrier Island

This area is located on the western side of Great Barner Island, about loik fometres west of Typhena. It is intended to provide for growth in aquaculture employment on the Island. There is about 30 hextares of mussel farms on the western coast of the Island, but because of the small scale of operations, they are often serviced from the Coromandel

Criteria	Comments
sac o economic	Cri-atos petermal lor aquavil in os jobs en Grient Barnier Island.
ecologicathere <sup>4</sup> (s	El tering of water by shellfish removes sociment and nutrients. Structures in water create chefter and habitat for wildlide. Shell propladds structure to seal port
hiophysicals.utab.lityforfarm.og	Deep and exposed site. Shelt-red from north and easil
water depth	42:47 00:10:5
substrate	Mixed sedurient
mean's gailicantwave beight	0.63-0.65 m-to-s
salinity	v35 %as (flache diet al 2014)
current (metres/second)	0.08-0.14
hista	List elis known of the benthic species assemblages occurring in this area. Hayward et al. (1980) described a number of invertebrate assemblages from subscall coft segments area of the firsten islands to the north of the

proposed aquaculture area. These included assemblages characterised by the bivalve shellf shes Corbula Velandica and Venerica dia pulpulatia, and C Velandica and Ploutoetions Velandica in shelly, threator marke sand at 20032 m depth, and at association characterised by the bottle star. Amphilura and the bivalves Saccella be lula. Notocal isto multisticata and Cuspidana willetticie muddy, shelly, fine to medium sand at 3550 m depth. Producted biogenic potential at the 4 teris low to moderate (Townsendier at 2014).

Demensal tisbes characterising delipishnoyinabilats in the outer Gulf include rough skale (Zealtija nasuta), redigurt and (Chell delivinthys kutturt isonly guinatri (Lepidorrigia brachyoptera), opalitish (Termerozoetes moriopterygius), dive mackerel (Scomperioustransmus), snapper (Eagrick auratus), blue roc (Enraperuis colias), witch (Arnogloscus scapha), lemoniscie (Pelotoetes I avilatus) and crested Hounder (Lophoniectes galius), as well as arrow squip (Notecodarus gouidi) (Kendrick & Francis pool), Those species hie widesplead in the centini and orient Gulf (Kendrick & Francis pool). Snappor atteknown to spawn south and tombwest of the proposed site (Zelotos & francis 1998).

The area does not include any critical seabled habitat. Seabliths known to longe in the general area of the proposal include Australasian gamer (Morus servator), fluttening shearwater (Puthnus gavia), Bullet's shearwater (Puthnus oullen), plack petiel (Provellaria parkinsoni), flesh-forted shearwater (Puthnus chineipes), halty priori (Pachyphila turtur) and New Zealand stolin petro-(Progetta machana), Gameris breed on the Booken is and a black petre sid ered on Great Barrier and I the Barrier is and a fluttering shearwaters and New Zealand storm betters breed on title Barrier Island (New Zealand Buds Chine http://fabitdsonline.org.tzz).

Cetacean species observed in the anni ty of the proposal include humpback whale (Megaptera novaeangline: Divdels whale (Balaenoptera edenciblue whale (Balaenoptera musculus) common datanih (Belahihus delphis) and bettlenose delphin (Tursiops (runchtus). Contemporary records interate that the most abundant species or onling in the alwalare Brydelf, whale information do primited bottlenose delphin. Europback whiles historically in grated incough enurate Gulf (including Coler endo Cinddock Chapters, in large humbers (Gibbs & Childerhoure 1900). The Whangaparabara whating station contrary builds whales herwise 1956 and 1962 (Sinckett 2002). To reet all 2013) The station closed in 1962 (allowing the indiapse of the stock but

n-centis groups in Hauraki Gulf and elsewhere in the New Zea and region

indicate the population is slowly recovering (G-bbs & Childerhouse poor
Tonie et al. 20-3:
Ower 5 km from a constal natural characteriarea.
Over 5 km from an outstanding natural leasure and landscape (The Pigerins).
leigh evelocities merciall shing, mainly travilling long initiate secretishing.
Micon evids of recreational listing observed in this breat
Nears griftvant route for cargo vissels and invisitions ling through to
Tauranga
Lies between recognised cruising routed but may obstruct some traffic
No surf pri-aks in vicinity. Minor interaction with swell corridor for pri-aks hear
leigh

#### Site 11 - Western Firth

This area is located on the western side of the Firth of Triames, in a location that is subject to a large number of existing consent applications for activity rel

Criteria	Comments
sac o economic	Allow-sexpansion of existing forms in an oreal forcured by manifelining se-
ecologicathere <sup>4</sup> is	El tering of water by shellfish removes sociment and nutrients. Structures in
	water create chelter and habitat for wildlide. Shell propladds structure to
	seal per-
hiophysicals utability for farming	Shelt-red and numeric och waters. May be not shallow (13 metres)
water depth	1020 MH/05
substrate	Fredeminantly mucland satisfy mucley thins mail himsunited in kird sediment.
mean's gailieantwave height	ou <sub>s</sub> -ou©mores
salinity	34535 288 (Broekhuizen & Zelois 2003)
current (metres/second)	0.4-0.46
hiola	Monuscher all (2002) completed nines descan sonal transcription
	instanting ground struth stations in the weater ${\sf n}$ Firth of Thames, Fish schools
	were very abundant in the surveyed block. Sonat imagery varies house the

blocks, with night parches of substrate variability in the south and contraregions of several transects. The ground-truth data did not fully cover this variability, and poor underwater visibility limited this sites that could be basessed with video. In general, the bottom is composed of muchs with variable bimounts of site inhate half two cockles (Austrove hus stutch beryi) on unred at some stations. The only station at which appreciable numbers of remnant gleich ipped muscels (Perna canal culur) where encountered anywhere in the Fruith of Thames was located in this block a though the percentage cover of muscels whis low (xigSe). No horse musses (At intal/eland calloeds where encountered in the ground-truth stations, and to side-scat imagery contain to that seen in a leas where dense horse musse, bods where dosered was obtained.

Fishes volmmonly recorded in research trawls in the western Firth of Thames include ing (Musterus rentsulatus), snapper (Pagrus auratus), jack thackere (Trachurus novaezealandrae), kanawar (Ampis trutta), red guinard (Chemponichitys kumu), john Dory (Zeus laber), yellow belly flout der (Rhomboso ealle-ponna), sond flounder (R. piebla) and bhi acouto (Thyrsides ntun) (Kenotik & Francis 2002, Multischiet al. 2002), stigh catch intes of juernik-John Dory have sometimes been recorded in research trawis. If the wedern Firch of Thames (Mourischiet al. 2014).

Simble ds locaging in this new include Australasian gronet (Morus Simitatal). I utter rig shoal water (Pullinus gavia) and Bullin's sheatwhen (Pullinus ourlen), Common dolphins (Diriphinus celonistinave been observed foraging in the outer Finbliot Thames but his not resident.

naturatcharaster	Two ki o matrix from n'e gnientural centacteriniea.
natural features and landsrapes	Twe kile metric from an ONEL.
commercial fishing	Medium existed commercial lishing, mainly net and long invitishing.
recreat analfishing	Low to medium level of recreational listing. Close to listing not soot at existing Waimungu Point muscel litting
commercial hoat traffic	No commercialish poing traffic in this area, apart from a grave barge from Kopu
yachting reiltes and anrhorages	No recognised routes in this need, but reduces clearwhy into the Firth to about 5 kilometros.
swellcorridors for such breaks	No suit breaks invertidity.

# Site 12 - Ponui

This area lies to the west of Ponul Island, and is proposed for shellf so tarming only. The initial proposal was posit one-dicloser to the Island but after consideration of potential impacts of boating, and fish spawning grounds it was relocated further from the Island.

Criteria	Comments
sac d economic	Provides from establish mentiolinguacul ture in new nieaulike y to be serviced. Trom Coromandel.
ecologicathere <sup>4</sup> is	Ellering of water by shellfish removes sectiment and numerits. Structures in water create chelter and habitat for wildlide. Shell propladds structure to seal part.
hiophysicals uitability for farming	Sheltened to west and south. Exposed to north and not the ast. Good water depth
water depth	23-30 mi-tu-5
substrate	Mixed seducents with some lines ity sand and mud-
mean's gailicantwave beight	0.70-0.22 mH0-5
salinity	> 34 %» (Browshuizen & Zelais 2005)
current (metres/second)	0.3110.33
hıcıa	Mortischer al. (2002) sampled ground-muth stations (503, 501) north and south of the proposed site. No conspiruous epifauna was reported at station 503, seaward of the proposal. At station 601, located inshore and southwest of the proposal, the epifauna included amphippid tubes, sponges, pryozears exclasional horizones, hall crabs and under follow statists (Mourisch et al. 2002). The most abundant lishes in trawlishiveys if this area ato- shapper (Pagrus huratuk) jack mackerel (Tractionus nevhezea andrae). Inevally (Escudes highly geoig innus), redigumark (Cheilidon) holys kurnol and John Bolly (Zeus faber) (Keindijck & francis 2002). Morrison et al. 2002). Sand Lounder (Rhomborolea pleheat ceawniest of Waitekeinic Porturik ands in 27, 36 m depth from June to November (Mourison et al. 2003). Shapper spawe in the highling in Cytober to January, with maximal egg densities occurring in November and Decomber (Zeiths 1993, Zeithis & Francis 1998).

	<ul> <li>Scabilids locaging in this area include Australasian games (Morus secratol)</li> </ul>
	Futtering shearwater (Pullinus gavia) and Bullio's sheatwhier (Pullinus
	$(\mathfrak{s}_{n},\mathfrak{s}_{n}).$ Common delphins (Di-lphinus de phis) and foller whales (Oix rus $($
	divin) have been observed in the vicinity of the proposal.
naturatcharaster	Ower 4 km from a high natural characteria valon Por ul Island.
natural features and landsrapes	Own yikm from an outstanding learnin- and landsrape hrea on Pohici Island.
commercial fishing	Moderate evidence mmercial listing, mainly long invariance tisting.
recreat anallishing	Moderate to low evel of recreational lishing.
commercial hoattraffic	Note mmercialish poing traffic in this area
yachting relites and annhorages	Lies between recognised cruising routes. May chose some conflict with
	bearing traffic.
swellcorridors in rsuch realis	On swell corridor for Orere Folini pri-sk

# Site 13 - Whitianga

The area is located about 1.7 km offshore and is proposed for small scale (in the order of 30 bectares), shell shifting,

Criteria	Comments
sac o ecopamic	Provides for establish mentiolinguas a ture in new nieauliske y to be serviced. Trom Whitianga
ecologicathere" is	El tering of water by shellfish removes sociment and numerits. Structures in water create chelter and habitat for wildlife. Stiel propladds structure to seal port.
hophysical suitability for farming	Shiele edito west and north. Econsed to east isolum and south-east. Coarse isociment substrate. Good water depth.
water depth	និមុខធំ ហាមលេខ
substrate	Mainly coarse secure ht with some multiplicand ht wester hedge of hield.
mean's gailiceatwave beight	2.61-2.67 millios
orbital velocity	puts-pupping in (Hadlie dietial (2018)
salinity	33 to 555 584 (Findheld of a 126-4)
chininghyil (fihlæ)	1.35 milligrams permi

current (metres/second)	plog-plog (Spaskerch, Hadlie diet all porg i
hiota	Komformation on the biota of this site could be posted. Research on the
	lettikos of skallop ati-oging on betilhir invertebrate assemblages for ducted in $\mathbb{Z}$
	Opito Bay and Te Whanganui Alitie. Matine Reserve suggests that common
	epidentific animals in this area nicel key to include the sea stars Astroperton
	polyavanthus and $\ldots$ dia varial permit clabs (Pagui stos setos) $\ll$ the source $\sim$
	wherk (Comitte landspiesa) and scallops (Pecter novaeze andiae) (Thrush et al.
	1995). These are all common, widespread soft sedimoni species. The infaunal
	invested interassemblages of both sites were significantly different from each
	other relatively diversional disensitive to disturbance (Thrushiet all 1995)
	Godin aux (1974) found that the investebrates reported in the dist of shappe
	sampled in Mercury Bay were widespread at similar depths in the Western Bay.
	of Plenty.
	Mannemath trail coerces recorded from Menury Bay include common and
	bott enrise dolphins, ki jer whales and Dirydels whales.
naturatcharacter	Those on the edge of ninigh chatural character threa and just over 2 ki ometres from
	an ni oa el eutstanding natural en niaster (Motukeranga Island).
natura features	NO ONELS IN the visition,
and landscapes	
commercial fishing	In an niea of moderate commercial lishing intensity, primarily long, ring,
recreat anallishing	In an nive of modurate recreational listing intensity.
commercial hoat traffic	No commercial post traffic in the area.
yachting routes	Near a recognised recreational bonting routes
and anchorages	
swellcorridors for sulf breaks	Not in any swellcom dors for known surt on-aks

APPENDIX 3: BIODIVERSITY AND MARINE PROTECTED AREAS PIRINGA 3: RERENGA RAUROPI ME NGĀ ROHE RĀHUI MOANA Biodiversity is a broad term which at its simplest car, be viewed, in terms of the Hamasi Gult Manne. Park as 'the variety of plant and animal life in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park'

# The Hauraki Gulf system

The Gulf extends from shallow tridal creeks and estuaries, out to the edge of the continental shelf. Genmorphically, this includes a relatively large estuary (the Wademard Horbour) as well as many smaller ones, our tercus beames and rocky bead ands, pennisulas, shallow empayments, the large furth of Thames, and inshore and offshore is ands. This relatively complex topography, compiled with currents and manne dimate (especially the prevailing wind directions), creates a diverse range of environments and habitals, which in turn support a wide range of plant and an mais species. Seafloor sediments are predominantly terrigenous the derived from the land) mucs and sands, a though there are incalised areas of calcareous sediments (formed from the shells and skeletons of manne organisms) in shallow bays and preas of high fical flow. Extensive shallow moky reefs occur around much of the robabilitier except in the Frith of Thames which is dominated by soft sediments, particularly muds. Deep consy reefs are located on the order shelf hortheast of Mokiob nauristorids east of Great Barnet Island and the Coromancel Pennsula and west of Little Barnet Island.

## Pelagic component

Pelagic biological productivity throughout the manrak. Sulf Marine Park is strongly influenced by seasonal and inter-annual variation in the Enst Aurished Current (FAUC) (Stanton & Sulfor, 2003), which originates in the Tasman Sea, northeast of North Cape, and flows south-east along the upper continental slope. Offstione of the FAUC is a large-scale permanent watch core eddy one North Cape. Eddy: which extends down to ilsoo miwater depth. This eddy re-ordoniates about 50% of the FAUC flow, and probably serves as a larval refer from mechanism (Boemmich & Sulfon, 1998). The FAUC North Cape Eddy system is highly variable, driven largely by variation in the position, contiguration and magnitude of the North Cape Eddy one (Stanton & Sulfon 2003). Temperature variability in the Sulface mixed layer of the FAUC is dominated by the annual cycle, with differences between years highly correlated with the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) a measure of the shiength of the FLN in Southern Oscillation; and wind speed and direction (Sulfon & Roemmich 2003).

The CAUC is forced up towards the surface over the upper continental slope by along-shelf winds resulting in upwelling's that are orthographic particular youb ates), making this one of New Zealand si most productive shelf regions (Sharples & Greig, 1998, Zelois et al. 2004, Zeldis 2004, Bradford-Grieve et al. 2006). Cliculation over the inner continential shelf is compliated by tides, local winds, and the southeast flow of the FAUC (Sharples & Greig, 1998, Stephens 2003). Episodic upwelling of slope water onto the shelf and into the Hauraki Gulf during autominiand whiter is driven by along-shelf southeast winds. There arise shength of no-welling or down-welling overtime varies with wind speed and direction. The FLN no phase of the Southeth Oscillation toxinurs nowelling and associated high productivity, whereas the La Nina phase toxinurs down welling that subpresses phyroplanklinn productivity, whereas the La Nina phase toxinurs down welling that subpresses phyroplanklinn production (Zelors et al. 2001) 2004, 2005, Changlet al. 2003, Zelors 2004; Bradford-Grieve et al. 2005, Hallet al. 2006). During spring and summer, water for immistratification devoup esities surface layer from the rest of the water column, which shuts down upwelling. This results in outpent depletion of the inoper water column by phyroplankton, but an internal tide present in summer has the rapacity to mix numents across the pyrhool neithe nonzontal boundary between different density water masses), and drive sub-surface production (Sharples & Greigi 1908). Hallet al. 2006;

In contrast to the order Hautasi Gulf, circulation and product vity in the Firth of Thames are strongly catchment driven. Freshwater inflow, fides and local winds exert a strong influence on the flow in the Firth of Thames (Stephens 2003, Oldman et al. 2007, Hadfield et al. 2014). The Warbou, Proke and Kaueranga rivers input significant amounts of treshwater, sediments and moments into the Firth resulting in strong vertical and bonzontal gradients in solutity, suspended sediments, and outrients thatfield et al. 2014). Phytoplankton biomis in sporg and early summer support a relatively high biomass of large zooplankton (particular y erichausi ds, hyperic ampripoids, salos, sighted potents) and premoods).

If go concentrations of fishleggs and larvae have been recorded over the shelf in a number of places consistent with the observed high primary productivity (Crossland 1981) for lay 1983, Zelois et al. 2005). Crossland (1981) recognized three spatial patterns of fishlspawning in the Hourak, Gulft those species where spawning was concentrated in the Eicholof Thames (eigliaburu, flatfish), those that spawhed in the inner (Centrall) Gulftlerg, anchory isplat, jack markerel, ye low eyed mullet, shapper() and those with spawning grounds, ocated in the witter Gulf (eiglib) charts, red gurhard, blue mackere ). For shapper, the inner transit Gulft is an important spawning area, with seasonal spawning aggregations concentrated in the Whangaparaoa Bay, between Rangdoro Island and the Whangaparaoa Refinsula, and between Watheke Island and the Coromon del Februsula. Shapper spawning a solocours sourcewest of Great Barnet Island (Zelois & Francis, 1998, Zelois et al. 2005).

#### Benthic component

Despite the intensive use of the Hourok. Guit Mailine Park by burnans, there is a fundamental lack of baseline knowledge for most of the Park. While there have been a number of single-scale bentric surveys leither for geology of for species-babitatipul poses, there has never been any large-scale systematic survey/series of surveys made of the Haurak. Guit Mailine Park to quantify (what is ord there) a elementation of babitatic survey and ensure the normality of the Park of the Haurak. But put poses there has never been any large-scale systematic survey/series of surveys made of the Haurak. Guit Mailine Park to quantify (what is ord there) of elementatic survey a fundamental resource inventory and classification of habitats), beyond the

species that we value economically (e.g. fish), or socially (e.g. sea-birds). The old adage on you can't manage what you don't measure' no dsistiongly here, born, in ferms of what is present, and monitoring it over time to defect any significant changes, natural or anthropogenic. Some representative smaller area seat opprassemplage sceparits are buefly summarised below.

Subhoal bentrik communities of the Wadematā Harbour and inner Hourak. Gub were first examined and characterised by Fowell (1937) using a small credge. Hayword et al. (1937) resurveyed Fowel is credge stations to examine faunal changes between the 1930s and 1990s. In both studies, samples were dredged and associations were intuitively deduced largely on the basis of moliuses and ech node ms, to lowing Powell's 1930s methods thayward et all found that loway from the whatves and marinos, the sort-botiom faulta was shill remarkaply rich, and retained a similar gross pattern for the logos, with the unit in (*Lohinocardium*) commanded community type shill being widespread, and the bivalve (*) alwara + Venericardia* (new *Purpurpcardia*)) dominated rommunity remaining more i incalised, however, fourteen moliuscisped es (mainly calibiotous gastropods) were considered to have disappeared or suffered major reductions in abundarine within the parkoundy 1997. This resulted in two of Powel "sassociations: Jawera-Tucetona (morning star & dog cockle), Amalda (nivel shell)) disappeaning from the outer harbour. There was also a reduction in the abundance and range. of the fut retishell *Maoricolpus roseus* (a futer feeding gastropod) and a number of associated species ( from the shelly channel sediments in the centre of the barbour is ayward et all biso boled that since the 1990s, at least nine New Zeo and molluscispecies (mostly deposit- and suspension feeders) and one trab species appeared to have colorised the nationary and three others had increased in abundance with a the harbonius tes. The establishment of extensive poise mussel heas for threast of North Head was the most significant change. Three invasive bivalve species (Limaria orientalis, Theora) lubrica, Musculista senhousia) introduced in the 1960s and 1970s had become so abundant in the harbour that they had become co-commonth characterising species of six of the eight faunal covertebrate animal (associations recognised in the logo schayword et al. 1997).

Charonicet al. (2008) described the bah fars and species found in Kawau Bay. This area on morised of bays and estuaries of valuous sizes, she ferred coastal environments, and more exposed rocky and soft sedurent habitats. The species assemblages supported by these diverse bootats valued from rocky reefs dominated by large manifolduna, to soft-sedurents supporting diverse infaunt (living in the seafloor) and sometimes dense epifaunal diving on the seafloor), with many of these taxa being longlived. Many preas displayed high roxonomic diversity at both a species and order level, with or estimated who informal species being present in the system. A humber of ecolog col functions and setuces from the assemblages of Kawau Bay were identified, including species contributing to be thic productivity, orthografic favorable wate for ump product vity due , broth barling, suspens on feeding, macroalgal and deposit feeding communities) affecting sedurent stability and water clardy (e.g. suspension feeding and tube whom communities) providing refugia for juven leand small fishes (habitatish ochoong communities such as **Atring** (horse mussels), sponges and macroalgae) providing food for predatory and herb vorous fishes (most communities) and proving fond and recreational values for humans (e.g. cockles, pip silscallops, sponge gardens, kelp and turning gardens). Chiarom et al. 2008;

Taylor & Monison (2008) sampled the penthic fauna (22, mm) of Greater Ornaha Bay, in the northwestern Hourns, Gulf. One fundred and thirty eight subtical (1-21 m) stations were sampled using suction samplet igrabilithed diedge. Ornaha Bay stations were mostly comprised of sand and gravellysand. Two-bundred-and-thirty-six taxa representing (3 phy a were recorded, with mollusos, archiopods and annelics being the most specified. The annel ds (worms) were identified to family level only isothe true species number may be considerably higher. Seven discrete animal assemblages were identified, each represented by 5 to 40 stations, and clearly differing from one another acrording to one or more of the physical variables of sed ment type and depth, and/or the presence of high densities of the physical variables of sed ment type and depth, and/or the presence of high

The horse mussel cluster was the most cist of the sever assemblages, in that it shared the lowest cumber of on moon taka with other clusters. The **Lawera spissa** -commated assemblage was less distinct from the others in terms of takonomic composition, but was remarkable for very high densities of *L* **spissa**, averaging port individual's mill and reaching 3476 individuals milliat one station. O maha Bay's single *L* **spissa**-commated patch/bed of club 5 in kmillion taking on individuals.

Several notable fasta were encountered. A single specimen of the opright sel Scalanago lateralis was caught, the first recorded from outside Australia (P. Castle persil commi). Several secretive species whose ecological roles may have been under-appreciated were also quantified. For instance, the rarely encountered worm-eet Scalecenchelys australis: Tami Ophichthidae) occurred at an average density of duogindividual simily equivalent to div, million individuals in the bay, and was suggested to be one of the more abundant tish in coastal New Zealand of such a density is Typical. Sight-time towed-video surveys have since identified high densities of this species (or very similar) in both Fast North and (onles et al. 2010), and from other locations within the Haurak. Guit (Morrison et al. 2016).

# The value of more complex habitat types.

Different habitat types vary in their complexity represented by the beterogeneity in physical short-time, which may be gening call and/or of biological form. Evidence from a wide range of studies, or different matine ecosystems indicate that as babitat complexity increases (at multiple scales), so does a given unit of area's value for biol versity, e.g. species (increases, abundance, age / length

composition, provision of settlement surfaces, juvenile survivorship / growth ipentholpe agic coupling, and base trophic production) (Heck & Wetstone 1977, Connell 1978, Luckhurst & Luckhurst 1978, Dean & Connell 1987, Connell & Jones 1991, Tuppen& Bout her 1995, Kingaard 1995, Rooker at al 1998, Charton & Ruzafa 1998. Lincholm et al. 1999, Cummings et al. 2001, Norsko et al. 2001, Coordy & Defec 2003, Dub -Mortensen et al. 2010, Repairly et al. 2013, Rogers et al. 2014).

Bingenic habitats are had tots formed by living concorrell ving) species that create emergent threeormensional situature (eigularge erectisponges and kelp forests) of provide physical structure for other animals (e.g. shell debus). Biogenin habitats that provide three-dimensional structure have been shown to be especially important to many fish and other associated invertebrate species (e.g. Luckburst & Juckburst 1978, Bell & Salari 1984, Ebeling & Lauri 1985, Roberts & Ormond 1987, Catri igBg, Connelli& Jones, iggi, Rooker et al. igg8, Heifetz 2002, Sratwike & Spelgor 2005, Abookire et al. 2007, Pérez-Matus & Shima 2010, Robard et al. 2010, Humbhries et al. 2017, Ballon et al. 2012, La nonet all zong). Similarly tremnant shell behins can brow de an essential substratium for many sessile. species (e.g. pryozoans, and encrusting sponges and algae) (Beaumoni et al. 2013), where it may substant all increase local blod versity and may provide the only available bard substrata in otherwise. expansive soft-sepiment areas (Hewitter all boog, Realiment et all borg, Lomovasky et all borg). In the context of marine ecosystem management, more diverse assemblages are likely to be more productive, sustainable, and / or more resilient (Millen rum Ecosystem Assessment 2003, Worm et al. 2005, Sala & Khowlion 2006, Palumbriet al. 2008). Unfortunately much of this understanding has come from studies assessing the impact of habitatilloss on species diversity. Structurally complexit habitals are becoming rate in many pairs of the world (Alio)dilenal 2008).

#### Seagrass as a biogenic habitat example.

Seagrass meadows are considered to be one of the most productive ecosystems in the world, ranked abead of obraineefs (Constanza et al. 1997, Green et al. 2012, Matheson & Wadhwa 2012), yet they are relatively unknown and often under appreciated by the general public. Whilst prior research has shown that seagrasses provide a variety of ecosystem services encompassing both economic and ecological functions, the relative importance of these functions can vary appreciably between different estuarine and coastal systems: Seck et al. 2001. Onto et al. 2002. Heck jr et al. 2003;

Seagrasses notionally occur in she fered preas, away from spring currents and wave oction, where they can grow on a valuety of substrata ranging from mud through to sand and bedrock thremming a Si Duarte 2000, Green & Short 2003). However, the most extensive meadows are found on soft substrata, often forming continuous expanses over several square kilometres. Alternatively, they can form mosaics of discrete partnes (often in areas with more wind-generated wave exposure) (ing is 2003, Mill & M.W. NIWA, persubsul Seagrasses are typically found in interridation mid-fide level). and shallow subt dol waters at depths between 2 and 12 million can occur down to 50–60 m, depending on water clarity (Turner & Schwarz 2004). Seagrasses require some of the highest light levels of any plant group (about 25% incident raciation compared to up to 1% for other anglospeichs, Denoison et al. 1993). Seagrasses are thus acutely responsive to environmental changes, especially those altering water clarity and are considered, senticels, for these types of environmental changes

New Zealand has one species of seagrass. **Zostera capilicorn**(, which grows mainly in the intertidal anne, with Linded populations growing with in she tered subficial areas in clear water (the maximum cepth recorded is 7 m). More somerial, (2014a) surveyed seagrass around New Zealand, assessing small rish (including the piven les of economically valuable species) and invertebrate associations seagrass genetics, and seagrass secondary (an mal) productivity, unfortunately seagrass beds in the Haurasi Gulf Morine Park were not included in that research, effectively because very few seagrass areas respected by subficials remaining the Haurasi Gulf Marine Park were not included in that research, effectively because very few seagrass areas respected by subficials remaining the Haurasi Gulf Marine Park were not included in that research, effectively because very few seagrass areas respected by subficials remaining the Haurasi Gulf Marine Park were not included in that research, effectively because very few seagrass areas respected by subficials remaining the Haurasi Gulf Marine Park while poorly documented from the past, has fundamentally reduced in extent over time respectively of component. This includes the loss of extensive seagrass measows from the Whitemata Haubour and out through the Tamaki area. (Sowell, 1937), and probably much more workely (More sone) to 2014d).

I im teo historical evidence suggests that New Zealand has experienced extensive declines in seagrass. hab rats ration-wide since the late nineteenry and early twentieth centuries (inglis 2003). These analyses/observations have largely been restricted to the past 40 to 50 year period, due to the limited availability of qualitative survey of photographic data (inglis 2003, Turner & Schwarz 2006). The loss of the subhoal component in particular has a most certainly resulted in the associated loss of significant levels of juvenile fish production (see the Fish Stocks Appendix) invertebrate biodiversity. as well as the many other ecological functions seagrass provides (Schwarz SiTuiner 2006, Moni sonie). all port/a). Enclouragingly, recently there has been some limited recovery and expansion of seagrassareas within the inner Gulf, including from Meola Reef to the harbout hindge, at Kohimatama, and at Shell's Beach (MM) persubbs): Although the Meola Reef area includes some limited subridal seagrassi exploratory fish sampling in 2015, found only a few juvenile tish in this habitat (Monuson, pers. nosa) suggesting that greater amounts of seagrass and/or time may be required to support the return of abundant juvenile tishes. Ourside the inner Hautaki Gulf region i imited subtidal seagrass meadows. shill persist at Great Mercury is and, and around the south side of Slipper is and, where they on lexitively subport diverse invertebrate assemblages and abundant juvenile fishes (Schwarz et al., 20064

Seagrosses are a unique group of flowening plants that exist fully submerged in the sea. Seagrosses are distributed globally, but unlike terrestrial anglosperins exhibit low taxonom of versity capproximately fiolispecies worldwided, with 12 general All species share similar architecture and physiology, and perform similar ecosystem functions. Seagrasses are a characteristic component or many coastal areas ranging from subarct of the temperate and equatorial regions, reaching the rimost southerly global cish but on at Stewart is and, New Zealand (memmingh & Duarte 2000, Tumer & Schwarz 2006)

Loss of seagrass from intertridal and subridal areas can have profound effects on ecosystem health and services (Costanza et al. 1997, Hemminga & Duarte 2000). Cossystem services provided by seagrasses include high phimary productivity to both defindal and grazing food webs (Keough & Jensins 1995). Turner's Schwarz 2004, 2006, Controlly et al. 2005). Nutrient recycling (see review Turner's Schwarz 2006), after nutring water flow (Tokmaning & Doncesca & Koehi 2006, Widdows et al. 2008), hopping and stabilisation of bottom sediments (Thiresca et al. 1983, Gacia & Duarte 2003), providing retuge from previous of bottom sediments (Thiresca et al. 1983, Gacia & Duarte 2003), providing retuge from prevation of bottom sediments (Thiresca et al. 1983, Gacia & Duarte 2003), providing retuge from prevating the all 2000, hinde Let al. 2003, 2004), increasing hindrates and providing retuge from prevating the function greecing/foringing) for a variety of taxonomic and functional y-important groups, including the juven les of important recreational and commercial tistenes species (Orth et al. 2005, Greech et al. 2017). Other important recreational and commercial tistenes species (Orth et al. 2005, Greech et al. 2012), Other important recreational and commercial tistenes species (Orth et al. 2005, Greech et al. 2012). Other important recreational and commercial tistenes species (Orth et al. 2005, Greech et al. 2012). Other important recreational and commercial tistenes species (Orth et al. 2005, Greech et al. 2012). Other important recreational and commercial tistenes species (Orth et al. 2005, Greech et al. 2012). Other important recreational and commercial tistenes species (Orth et al. 2005, Greech et al. 2012). There ease of oxygen, and the happing of outports (Tiggren).

Seagrasses in New Zealorid have been shown to have an effection manufaurial communities, which offer from surrounding unvegerated sediments (van Houle-Howes et al. 2007). Shores of the communities associated with seagrasses have described both merofaurialce.g. Hicks, 980, 980, Bell & Hicks, 991) and marinfaurialce.g. Henriques, 980, Wonds & Schiell 1997, Turner et al. 1999).

The role of seagrass meadows as nursely areas for fishely species has only recently been acknowledged and investigated with n New Zen and they Zealand wide estual neitish surveys undertaken by Francis et al. (2005) 2010 first identified the association of small fishes (e.g. snapper, hievaily parore, spotries) with sublical seagrass, followed by firstner work of sublical meadows from 5 upper and Mercury Islands off the Coromandel Perinsula (Schwarz et al. 2005). These studies showed that sublidal seagrass (i.e. That permanently submerged) was the important seagrass component, with a much less pronounced effect or any, in some circumstances) when only intertical seagrass was present. Beyond the simple division of intertidal and sublidal seagrass international studies thave shown that other sengrass related factors including and scagrass or difficult size permeter in area ratios) (Bosh ometical 2005), and within parch metics of seagrass condition (e.g. blade density & height) (contrauch 2007) also influence the use of seagrass by joven is and actively allowed in New Zealand island experimental work in New Zealand with other solutions from the scale observational and experimental work in New Zealand island experimental work in New Zealand island experimental work in New Zealand islanded from the lation of the countries from scale observational and experimental work in New Zealand islanded from the lation of the countries from scale observational and experimental work in New Zealand islanded from the lation of the countries from scale observational and experimental work in New Zealand islanded from the lation of the scale observational scagrass on difference and active scale observational and experimental work in New Zealand islanded from the lation of the countries from scale observational and experimental work in New Zealand islanded from the lation of the countries from scale observational and experimental work in New Zealand islanded from the lation of the countries from the lation active scale observational and

Whangapous visibout Commandel, and found that indicasing blade densities resulted in increasing fish densities tabhough the patients of response varied depending on the fish species and species drive submary in Moni son et al. 20 (4b). Further research by Parsons et al. (2013) contrimed the effect of blade density, and also found that the position of the ASU's within the harbour (ne upper/lower) affected the abundance of juven le fish control y shappen and spottnes), with greater fish densities found to be greatest in ASU units with the highest blade densities. Given that one of the highest blade densities of seagness meadows to environmental degradation (and the density) and abort of environmental degradation (control of a species) in ASU units with the highest blade densities. Given that one of the initial responses of seagness meadows to environmental degradation (control of complete loss) is a reduction in blade density, this habitat quality effect (net seagness is ade density) is an important component to conside in assessing the health and functional to eldisengrass meadows as fish outside considers in eadows as fish outside end to conside in assessing the health and functional to eldisengrass meadows as fish outside end to conside it. (consider) is a reduction in the consider in assessing the health and functional to eldisengrass meadows as fish outside end to conside it is a considered of the consider in assessing the health and functional to eldisengrass meadows as fish outside end to conside it.

Recent experimental research on factors affecting settlement dynamics and o factory dres within seagrass and other habitats for larval snapper has also been undertaken (Radford et hill 2012, Sim-Smith et al. 2012, 2013). Tank experiments revealed that larvae preferentially swam towards water taken from over seagrass beds, rather than water that had been taken from the harbour entrance, on from a third seawater (chemically created foure) sativater without prior bin ogical intruence) or from a third seawater (chemically created foure) sativater without prior bin ogical intruence) or which seagrass had been soaked. These results strongly suggest that bid or gran chemical rules from sources other than seagrass habitat, may also be involved as a pre-redults reformance larges.

There have been several small scale seagrass restoration studies undertaken within New Zea and Attempts within the Manukau Harbour had limited success (Turnen 1995), but subsequent seagrass restoration in Whangarer Harbour has been more successfully to recent anerdoral reports of the reestablishment and expansion of large seagrass meadows (Reed et al. 2004, Matteson et al., in prep.). However, this significant seagrass expansion, including an extensive 3.9 km area of patchy subtroal seagrass to though starting around the same time period (2008) hs the simplescele honsplants, is likely to be too widespread to have been generated by hansplants alone.

A wodely recognised function of seagnass beds is the provision of sheltered babdats and elevated food subplies for fish and macmfound communities. Seagnasses in New Zealand have been shown to have an effection macrofaunal communities which differs from surrounding unvegetaled sed ments (e.g. vari Houde-Howes et al. 2004). Eleminates (1980), showed that seagnass babdats in the Manukau Hathour had a higher species give (suy and abundance of macrofaunal than comparable non-vegetated habitat. Other studies of the animal communities associated with seagnasses include meinformatice, Higher 1980, 1980, Tell & Hicks (1997) and macrofauna (e.g. Ferndules (1980). A densor 1997, Woods & Schiell (1977, Turber et al. 1999, Schwatz et al. 2006). Higher macrofaunal density, bromass and

productivity in as also been observed for subtrical seagrass areas irelative to interficial seagrass in on-therm (Fills et al. 2007, von Houte-Howes et al. 2004, A fairs 2006, Schwarz et al. 2006) and southern New Zealand (e.g. Mills & Berkenbusch 2009). This may be a result of the large fluctuations in environmental conditions (i.e. periodic desiccation and fluctuating temperatures), expetienced by interfidial babitats, resulting in stunced growth (shorter blade lengths), and lower overall diversity and productivity (Schwarz et al. 2006). In contrast, subtricter blade lengths), and lower overall diversity and stable, and are characterized by more complex structure, with higher bensity and longer stems providing up to 20 times more surface area for epifarinal animals to graze (Schwarz et al., 2006).

Robid large scale seagrass inssest reported in both tropical and temperate regions of the world have intreased a most tentrold over the basil 40 years (Orthle Lin, 2006). Worldwide, seagrass meadows demined at a rate of the Kmity ("between 1980 and 2005, with 19% of seagrass species now considered threatened (Waycort et al. 2009). Short et al. 2001, orded in Grech et al. 2003). Stolog call, environmental, and extreme weather events have been identified as causes of seagrass losses which can interact at varying remonial and spatial scales (Orthletial, 2006). Nonetheless, a recently nob review of the 5 seagrass bioregions acknowledged that ambrohogen matrix or seagrass lossed which the greatest impact on seagrasses (Grech et al. 2002). These ten estivally and coastal based activities high right the growing need for land-based coastal management to be incorporated into conservation and protection of seagrass back at worst.

#### The past

Today's mannel environment, may be far removed from what original marine ecosystems were like, both in terms of the spalial extent and contiguration of habitats, and of the associated plant and animal problations they supported (e.g., Dayton et al. 1998, Jackson proci (Jackson et al. 2003). Past burnan impacts have been profound, but have often gone upnoticed – as each surveed og human generation has a different view of what instrual is, based on the nown observations. This results in circuitsting expectations of what is natural in the oceans, termed, sliding environmental base ines (by Dayton et al. 1998), and so the magnitude of change is usually sendusly underest mated. At present, there seems to be limited public, political, and even spent for awareness of the extent importance, and consequences of such a long history of chasto, habitat loss and ecosystem der the clinic discusse).

For instance, Armidi & Beck (2007) found that the coastal biogenic marine habitats of Europe, including wetlands, sengrass meacows, she it shibeds and biogenic reefs, had been virtually eliminated over the last several buildred years, with less than 15% of the European coast ineconsidered to remain in good condition. They also noted that historical loss estimates were conservative as these assessments were based on recent distributions (with 1 the recognition of the compounding impact of centuries and millennia of habitatiloss.) Similarly (lotze et al. (2006) assessed impacts in North America and European ecosystems, and found human (moacts to have depleted more than 90% of formerly (impactor)) species (destroyed 65% of seagrass and wet and habitat, degraded water quality, and accelerated species invasions. They concluded that "the structure and functioning of escuarine and coastal habitatishas been fundamentally changed by the loss of large predators and herbivores, spawning and nursery habitat, and filtering capacity that sustains water quality. They offered some note for restoration, noting that as overexplicitation and habitat destruction were responsible for most historic of changes, their reduction should be a major management priority, and that despite some extinctions, most species and functional groups still previously and that despite some extinctions, most species and functional groups still previous do protection and restoration (recovery protectial remained, where human efforts focussed on protection and restoration) recovery had occurred in though usually with significant time lags (see a solitore et al. 2017).

New Zealand in monorgithe Hourik. Guit Mailine Park, has not escaped such impacts, despite its short history of human settlement. Morrison et al. (2009) concluded that the impacts of past human and use have been significant for coastal systems and species, especially through sed mentar on. Parsons et al. (2009) found evidence of large reductions in the abundance and size of snapper from estual he and very near-shore habitars where once they were commonly caught in the Klauraki Guit Mailine Park, and the probable loss of some behavioural groups. Thylot et al. (2001) used long-term diver recollections of the Poor Krights Islands Mailine Reserve to show large and steady long term decines in abundances of plans, or also the intertidal part of Media Reet. Waterhold Via bour (Figure Alia) from clean modes with tube worm colories, to a muddler seaffort rover, with a dominance of Paofic oysters (an invasive species). Given the existence of sliding environmental baselines, marine resource management from using timeres) should be viewed not only in the context of managing who currently exists (at an acourterly point in time), but also in the context of what was histor rally present and what the system might look like in the future igiven pragmatic and realistic in tigation and/or restoration research and management strategies.



**Figure A3.1** Example of a sliding baseline. Western side of Meola Reef; top, 1920s with tubeworm mounds and rock with little sediment and no Pacific oysters (Oliver 1923); middle, 1982 with Pacific oysters and little sediment (Dromgoole & Foster 1983); bottom, 2010 with Pacific oysters and large patches of consolidated sediment. Mangroves can also be seen to appear in the background (Source: figure 16 of Shears 2010).

A large mult -focused research programme on the historical reconstruction for the maurak. Gulf and the Call os Chast, Otago has been undertaken in *determine the effects of climate variation and*  human impact on the structure and functioning of New Zealand marine shelf ecosystems over the timescale of human occupation in New Zealand from about AD 1250 to the present day inearing completion). Some 18 separate reports are included in this programme, including an overall functings and synthesis report (MacDiarm dietial 20 %), and a rother including or al histories of the Hauraki Sub (Maxwell & MacDiarmic, 2015)

# Current threats and stressors to biodiversity

The Haurok. On tifaces a range of threats and stressors that are imparting on its bentok and pelagic matine bodivers by it is important to emphasise that these do obtact in isolation from each other. Echekomole imparts on bentok babbats from fishing interact with sed mentar on derived from the land, and populations stressed by one factor are generally more susceptible to additional stresses caused by other factors (Buchbaum et al. 2005).

#### Fishing impacts on seafloor assemblages

The first documented concerns about the use of towed fishing geapor bentric habitats were from Usfishermen in the fourteeont century (Loskeborg 2005). These concerns related to the capture of juvenile fish and the detrimental effects on food sources for harvestable fish. Despite this long history of on cern, it is really only since the 1990s that international research has focused on the effects of fishing on benthic communities, biodiversity, and production. The tapid expansion of studies in this area, and the contributers biodiversity, and production. The tapid expansion of studies in this area, and the contributers biodiversity and production. The tapid expansion of studies in this area, and the contributers passon ated with the effects of fishing has led to numerous reviews summarizing this research and identifying overall patterns (Gislason, 992, Dayton et al. 1995, Jennings and Kaiser 1998, Londeborn and de Groot, 1998, Hall, 1999, Collie et al. 2000, Gislason et al. 2000 Kaiser and de Groot 2000, Dayton et al. 2002, Thrust, and Dayton 2002, Tokkeborg 2003, Department of Estimation Oceans 2005, Kaiser et al. 2006, Rice 2006, Watting et al. 2014).

Trese reviews are in general agreement, concluding that benth oil sturbance from mobile 1 shing values in relation to the hipotat, fishing gear, and environment, and is likely to have predictable and potentially substantial effects on benthic community structure and function. These effects can lead to regional-scale reductions in brodiversity, reduce benthic community productivity (Jennings et al. 2000). After natural sectment fluxes and reduce organic carbon turnover (Pusceddulet al. 2014), and modify the shape of the upper ront neutral slope (Eurgletial 2012), reducing morphological complexity and benthic habitat beterogeneity. The effects of fishing on the seabed can be divided into geotection call (the physical contact of the gear on the seabed) and hydrodynamic (the subscension of sed ment into the water column) components, and vary with both fishing gear and benthic habitat (wandvioletial 2007). Heavier fishing gears lend to proceed a contact of the seabed carbon to be the seabed (lyanovioletial 2007), while larger gears lowed at taster speeds generate more drag subscending greater quantities of the anger gears lowed at taster speeds generate more drag subscending greater quantities of the anger gears lowed at taster speeds generate more drag subscending greater quantities of seabed material, pathor ary in softer muddler seducements (Of Seill et al. 2017).

al. 20(1). The likely effects and dispersal of these sediments will vary locally depending on oceanographic conditions

Within opasial regions, sea lop dredges are generally considered to have a greater impaction behind communities oper preactished) than traw sion Danisti series, as the gear is beavier and per effectes further into the seabed (Kalser et al 2006). If an tass with relatively low natural levels of disturbance are generally considered to be more sensorize to fishing impacts than habitats in areas of frequent natural disturbance (Inckeborg 2006). However, brogen c habitats (meated by primples and plants) may occur in such areas (elig. Spring Day), and are particularly sensorize to fishing impacts (elig. Trick and Hewith 2013). Typically, species that are larger longer lived, slow growing, fragrie, erect, and/or sedentary species (e.g., sponges, sea pens, corplis, horse mussels) tend to be more sensitive for the physical impacts of fishing gear than smaller itasier growing lives fragrie species living below the sedurem surface (Trick and Hewith 2019). Species sens tivity to re-suspended sedurem is likely to be related to different the biologic brance response with species that photosynthes seleting include to be sedurem to different the biologic brance responses, or are vulnerable to smothering (eigsponges) most at risk.

These studies on the impacts of fishing have been completed in the Haurisk. On tiMarine Park, Tricush et al. (1995) conducted a small scale ishont term (up to three months) experiment looking at scale of oreoging effects, at the individual diedge track scale. Two shallow (24 m) sites were assessed, with one side regularly commercially fished and the other non. Community composition differed between the sites, but both were dominated by small and short-lived species. The experiment assessed the density of common infaunal species, bota abundarine and species nonness between the two sites, and found that both density and species, bota abundarine and species nonness between the two sites, and found that both density and species, bota abundarine and species nonness between the two sites, and found that both density and species in thesis decreased following dredging, with some species still significant differences in community assemblage structure between the dividge and control plots were also recorded over the experiment, with stronget effects at the scenario during significant recording significant recording significant recording significant recording significant recording in a polychaetes were consistently sensitive to the effects of fishing, showing significant recording in abundance at both scessfollowing diedging.

Thuist et al. (1995) examined bentuk communities from 15 locations with in the Haurok. Guit Manne Park using video (for epifauno) and grabil surtion disedge and nore (for infauno) approaches. The benth dominum thes were examined reliative to both gindlents of fishing pressure and environmental variables, based on tankings of potential trabitat disturbative by commercial demensal trawling and diredging - estimated from fishenes legis about and aneviated information from fishery managets and scaling fishers. The fishing-pressure gradient acrounted for 15+20% of bentruc community shown reand a so had a significant effection species or bress and bentruc community diversity. Increases in fishing pressure significantly reduced the density of large candiding lived) epifauna and ech noderitis, and significantly increased the density of small opportunist species, with the effection deposit feeders varying with the sampling approach. No effection scave rigers was observed. While scavenger attraction to distuibed areas to feed on damaged faulta has commonly been observed in manipulative studies telg. Kalser & Spencer 1994. Ramsay et al. 1995), such effects are likely to be very honsient in space and time, and unlikely to be observed in broad scale studies.

In another local sed spatial study, Mornson et al. (2015) used video transects to examine the orshibution and abundance of bentric epidouna and fish species in thy areas inside and outside up to 2 g km) the Hauris. Guit Cable Protection Zone - considered to have been an effective closed area to fishing and anothering since (999). Cable Protection Zone status cutside or outside; bad a significant effection common species abundances and univariate community diversity measures, in the main drivers of community composition and species abundance appeared to be location and depth, with Cable Protection Zone status only explaining in 4% of total variance. There was no discernible effection the Cable Protection Zone on fish assemblages.

Tuck et al. (in press) provides a comprehensive analysis and review of the impacts of fishing of soft i seducent systems in New Zealand, including the Hourak. Such Triey concluded that.

The magnitude of the effects of fishing (% variability explained) varied between studies, and as would be expected, greater effects were detected overstronger effort gradients. The levels of effect detected were reasonably consistent between dedicated sampling approaches (within study), while opportunistic datasets were less effective at detecting effects. When effects were detected, fishing was associated with reductions in the number of taxa, diversity and evenness of both epifaunal and infaunal communities, but more consistently for epifauna. Lishing appears to have reduced epifaunal biomassand productivity (whole community and fish prey) by up to 50% in some of the study sites, but effects on infauna were less consistent (increasing by up to 20% in the one area an effect was detected). The species that were most consistently identified as being negatively correlated with fishing pressure were those that either standerect out of the seabed (e.g., horse mussels, sponges, bryozoans, hydroids, seepens, tubebuilding polychaetes), or live on the sediment surface, and thus are particularly sensitive to physical disturbance through either direct physical impact (e.g., Lchinocardium).smothering(e.g., smallbivalves)orincreasedvulnerabilitytopredationfollowing disturbance(e.g., brittlestars). Where examined, even relatively modest levels of fishing effort (i.e., fishing an area between once and twice peryear, estimated at the 5 km \* 5 km scale) reduced the density of the combined group of long lived sedentary habitat forming species and individual species. group densities of holothurians, crinoids, chidarians and bryozoans by at least 50% -

#### Sedimentation

Sectimentation has arguably been on the most significant impacts on the estuaries and obastal funges of the Haurak. Gulf Manne Park, and may also have impacted in areas further from the coast. In estuarine environments, sed mentation effects overlongentime scales are often captured in stratified sedurent layers, and can be used to calculate Sectment Accumulation Rates (SAR). One sampling from numerous estuaries around New Zeo and all show the some trend towards significantly increased sedurentation rates following large-scale detorestation. Commandel estuary examples include WhiteBawa Estuaries following large-scale detorestation. Commandel estuary examples include WhiteBawa Estuary, with pre-Philosetan SAR of original terminy (10435-1909) (an exotic price production protectation (15804-1945), and \$10+50 min yr) more recently (1945-1909) (an exotic price production protectation (15804-1945), and \$10+50 min yr) more recently (1945-1909) (an exotic price production protectation (15804-1945), and \$10+50 min yr) more recently (1945-1909) (an exotic price production protectation (15804-1945), and \$10+50 min yr) more recently (1945-1909) (an exotic price production protectation (15804-1945), and \$10+50 min yr) more recently (1945-1909) (an exotic price production protectation (15804-1945), and \$10+50 min yr) more recently (1945-1909) (an exotic price production protectation (15804-1945), and \$10+50 min yr) more recently (1945-1909). Wrangemota Estuary, with pre-Polynesian (about 700 II Eu SAR) rates of about order min yr), increasing to 11mm yr) after (1880 (Shales & Hume 1956), Wrangapoua Estuary, with pre-Polynesian SAR) rates of org-0.08 mm yr), increasing to 0.04-0-13 mm yr) following Mathematication protopation, and too 86-15 mm yr) following European forest degraphes.

Within the Famaki Gulf, around Auckland city, work in the Tamaki Estuary found early to late Holocene (the last to oppoyed) SAR to be about out Huß mm yor, when the surrounding catch nerts were vegetited in podocarp ballowood forests. To lowing Maou settlement and associated forest clebrance, SAR rates increased to 2 gimm yor, and to lowing European, and riearances from about 1840 on wards, SAR increased to 5.25 mm yor, with significant increases of heavy metals (Cd. Cul Pb and Zo) in the most recent layers (Abrahim 2003). In the Papukura Estuary, pre-human SAR rates ranged from 0.2-bus mm yor, these rates increased three-fold to 0.8-1.6 mm yorfollowing European forest clearance and subsequent agriculture in the mid- 800s, and at the top of the estuary have averaged 32.6 mm yorsingelight (Swales et al. 2002).

In the Mahutang Harbour, following catchment decorestation (1830–1900), 3 metres of sedurent has accumulated at the head of the harbour, 70% of this since 1900 (Swales et al., 1997). Intrequent floroswere found to brive much of the erosion, with one-thild of the total catchment eros on being generated from nine floods from 1933 to 1993. In Lucas Creek, in the upper Wadematä Harbour, rates indieased from less than 1.5 mm yildefore human actival, to 2.5 mm yr fouring Polynesian forest clearance (700–110 BP), and then to 3 mm yr fafter. Furnoeans actived, ossociated with the advert of logging, guind igging and land clearance (AD, 84) to the present (nume & McGlobel (986)).

An extensive review of land-based effects of possial fishenes and associated blod versity is provided, by Morrison et al. (2006). In New Zealand, arguably the most important land-based stressor is sedimentation, including both suspended sediment and deposition effects, and associated decreases. in water clarity which may also be driven by outlient effects: Engloing re-subces on and deposition events (e.g., by storms, currents, and tishing gears) may shift sed ments between these two strices (suspension, seat our deposits). Suspended sedurents can directly impact on species by clogging the gits of filter feeders and decreasing filtering efficiencies as loads increase (e.g., cockles, p.p., scal nos, horse mussels) (Filts et al. 2001, Nichols et al. 2003, new it S. Priditch 2004), reducing settlement success and survival of larval and juvenile phases (e.g., coup, kina) (Phillips & Shima 2006), and hy reducing the would for a graph by firsh (e.g., pouglik, ind) (Phillips & Shima 2006), and hy reducing the would for a graph by firsh (e.g., pouglik, ind) (Phillips & Shima 2006), and hy reducing the would for a graph by firsh (e.g., pouglik, ind) (Phillips & Shima 2006), and hy reducing the would for a graph by firsh (e.g., power to may firsh (e.g., bouglik, e.g., bouglik, et al. 2003). Species may a solbe indirectly effected via the modification of loss of important oursery habitats, especially those composed of boordar-forming (biogenic) species (e.g., green-lipped and borse trusse) beds, seagrass meadows, biyozoan and tubeworm mounds, species (e.g., green-lipped and borse trusse) beds, seagrass meadows, biyozoan and tubeworm mounds, species (e.g., green-lipped and borse trusse) beds, seagrass meadows, biyozoan and tubeworm mounds, species (e.g., green-lipped and borse trusse) beds, and a range of other structurally complex (species) (Mounson et al. 2009), 2013a-c). These effects do not act in so align the structurally complex (species) (Mounson et al. 2009), 2013a-c).

## Eutrophication

International work has shown that europhytes), and then to create protocoid castades of effects intomarine ecosystems. These include loss of seagrasses, and eventually triacrophytes, increases in phytop anktor blooms that reduce light levels reaching the seafloor, subsequent oxygen depletions as binoms die and increase definal, evels on the seafloor, and large-scale losses of benth in prey assemblages that subport from so fishenes: Chemicaboli, Eactors that moderate the influence of these processes include tudal streams, the degree of water transport across different breas, and the processes include tudal streams, the degree of water transport across different breas, and the presence of large numbers of filter-feeding bivalves (eig. bysters) illoss of such bivalve populations, eig. from over-barvesting or sediment impacts, may experiente of the bird biolecters, such as eutrophytophication, by reducing the underlying tes lience of local systems (Chern 2007), lighter research has been done on the potential impact of eutrophytophication in New Zealand's coastal systems, though it may be modest due to our lower providation size (eight vertice) areas of the world. The Water Quality chapter and append a discuss outrients in some detail.

#### Infrastructure

The development of the kity of Auckland has resulted in fundamental changes to the coast tonge, including extensive reclamation of the appropriates to the harbour of dge and the area from the Wyoyard Quarter to the Ports of Auckland, along with the creation of motorways, the reactosure of Hobson Bay by Tamaki Duive, and the creation of matinos, whorves, break-waters, and swing motoring areas. Smaller but similar developments have occurred through the majorish diffinges of the Houraki Sulf Marine Pork, especially where human servements have been created, along with the roads and other infrastructure required to service them. Senerational memory means that a number of past activities around this may have now being largely forgotten i such as the quarrying or gravel from many stand's beaches around the maurak. Gulf Marine Park his building thaterials for Arickland, and the demolition by dynamite of the small conins and that once existed in the keap off Bastion Point, to make way for the road, and to provide madiourlong material. Collectively, such art ons have probably significantly affected the ecology and bind versity of the coastal ronge, born through direct removal of areas of matine habitat, and the effects on the adjacent environments. Such impacts are threed at through an observation by himailine sciencist around 80 years ago. Power (1937) wrote that "Unfortunately there is no prior account of the bottom conditions in the harbour," the <u>Zostera</u> (seagrass), once abundant in the bay, has now almost entirely disappeared... Tide-deflectors and reclamation worksetsewherehaveconsiderably reduced the areas of <u>Zostera</u>, marked effection the *frequency of carnivorous fishes...* maybe a more important factor than either overfishing or assumed harbour pollution." As Arickland continues to develop and excland here infrastructure will be reduced, yet even seven decades later we are still lack og a formal baseline or "prior account" of the beather ecosystems for the broader Haurak. Gulf

#### Invasive species

Introduced marine species pose biserious threat to marine ecosystems throughout the Hauraki Gult. At least six Non-Edige hous Species with the potential to raive serious horm in the marine environment have a rendy become established in the Hauraki Gult, with five of these altiving in the past is years. Another four new species have been reported since point, one of which (the Meditemarean fair worm *Sabella spallanzani*) is blug insk species choable of causing serious problems. The Port of Auck and is a key entry point for invasive species and the large amount of binting and other matine-based activities centred on it serve as vectors for the rabid spread of exotic species to ough out the Marine Park and to other regions. Controlling the spread and growth of established marine pests is extremely difficult and to date no successful programmes have been implemented. Management is therefore focussed on preventing their actival and early detect on the is known about the potential long-term impacts of non-native species on the rind genous bind versity of the Haurok. Guit Marine Park

## Climate change and ocean acidification

Global climate change represents a chronic, long-term disturbance to marine ecosystems. Environmental changes associated with climate change include increasing sea surface temperatures changes in the frequency and intensity of storms and climate phenomena such as the Southern Oscillation the El Nino-La Nina cycle), and changes in ocean circulation and ocean acidification. The latter is likely to adversely affect organisms with calcium carboniate exosiseletions such as some types, of phytoplancton, corais, bryoznans and shell fishes, and will be exoggerated by acidification of coastal waters caused by bulk entiriorities from tenestical consol. Sea-level rise will also create chollenges for the conservation of roastal blod versity through imparts on intertical habitats and the composition of coastal vegetation types (in response to changes in immersion-emersion and salinity regimes). Negative effects of global sea-level tise on marine bloctiversity will be greatest in estimation and coastal ecosystems. The most obvious effect will be the loss of existing coastal agnotis and wet lands; shore blic hesting mosting and foraging areas, and intertidal trabitats indess the ecological effects of coastal inundation are anticipated and planned for. Currently much of the advice around planning for sea-level lise is focussed on coastal infrastructure and property damage increased popastal ecosion may also result in increased amounts of terrestrial seducent entering the popastal annel.

# Marine debris

Marine debris includes litter (which comes in many forms, including plastic iglass bottles, and a umin rum cans) as well as discarded philost fishing gear, adunculture sourpment, and abandoned, vessels and structures. Plastic litter is the biggest problem.

If ther, especially plast of ther is a global contern due to its environmental persistence, large volume and widespread dispersal if ther injures and kills marine lite, interfetes with navigation safety, poses a threat to burnan health, and reduces the amenity of beaches and the coastline. Plast its photo biodegrade in UV light but do not biodegrade, so they persist in the environment. Plast its weaken and kill seadorids through stativation and false feelings of satiation, in tation of the stomach lining, and farme to put on fatisfores necessary for migration and reproduct on Seabilies that feed on small preynear the surface can mistakenly ingest plastic pellets floating on the water.

Of contributions concern to the community regarding plastic litter in the stantak. On this contain nation of the marine rood chain. Plastics are consumed by fish and the chemical components are possibled into the flesh of the rish, which can lead up attecting burnan health through exposure to cardinogens transfer cousing chemicals) and endoor neith supports (which negatively affect human development).

The majority of the litter entering the coastal and matine environment comes from stormwater or anslitter also comes from the shore-line and recreational activities such as protocking and beach going. Abandoned and discarded fishing geacies also a major problem, since it can entangle, injure, main, and drown marine wild the and damage property.

Since 2002 the Wate mare Harbour Clean-no Trust (WHCT – previously called the Watemată Clean-up Trust) and Sea Cleaners, with the belp of Sustainable Coastlines and other perioded volunteer groups, have removed over four million litres of rubbish from the shore, estuar es and mangroves of Watemată Harbour, Tamaki Shait, and islands in the Auck and region. This equates form the than 140 shipping containers filled with loose litter and over 100, 114 volunteer bours. The amount or effort put into ruborshipp lexition has been fairly steady since 2006 (with the exception of 2011) where Rughy World Cup games were being played in Aunstond; whereas the annual volume of ruborshipp lexited has declined since 2008 and the focus of clean-rub actions has changed. This has been due, in part to the sheer quantity of higheshire noved and upgrades to stormwater ratchoos retaining large precess of debins. Anenontal evidence however suggests that the amount of ruborshidble since actions by recreational first ersand providers is increasing.

The distribution of litter and debris on the seaflood stanless clean. Old dumping sites shill hold material, the using off the Rangitoto Lighthouse and on the option-western side of Kawau Bay clarge steel names, cooles and other metall terms, *MuM*, persuids.) Jalong with old ammunition dumpisces for the rout on the Hamak. So fl Matine Park, as marked on charts. Popular recreational anonorages such as Bon Acourt Hardour, Kawau Bay, have significant volumes of old brown been bottles and other items distributed across the seafloor, which may last index one brown been bottles and other items distributed across the seafloor, which may last index one or such environments. Lost fishing gear including monofilament in es and lead sinkers, are common at rocky reef sides tished from the shore. The lead sinkers are usually of solid priced by marine organisms, probably due to their box coature.

# Marine Protected Areas

Marme Protected Area is an umbrel a term used to describe a wide range of areas protected for marine conservation. The Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) defines marine and coastal protected areas as "an area within or adjacent to the marine environment, together with its overlying waters and associated flora, fauna, and historical and cultural features, which has been reserved by legislation or other effective means, including custom, with the effect that its marine and/or coastal biodiversity enjoys a higher revei of protection than its surroundings"

The New Zealand Marine Protected Areas Policy and Implementation Plan (MPA Policy) refects the commoment by the Government through its ratio ration of the CBD and development of the New Zea and Biodiversity Strategy (NZBS) to help stem the global loss of biodiversity. The MPA Policy is intended to assist government achieve Objective global the NZBS which is to protect a full range of natural marine habitats and endoystems to effectively conserve monine biodiversity, using a range of appropriate mechanisms, including legal protection. The MPA policy recognises that a range of management thols, including reache reserves and Elsheries Acting06 tools, can be used to protect marine biodiversity. The MPA Policy Protection Standard provides an orthores-based detinition of an MPA. To satisfy the protection standard a management tool must enable the maintenance or recovery of a site's biological diversity at the babitat and ecosystem level to a reaction or protect.
In proce to do this the management regime must provide for the maintenance and recovery of

a) Physical features and biogenic structures that support bodi versity,

b) Ecological systems, natural species composition uncluding a Hite-history stages), and hopping linkages; and

c) Potential for the blod versity to adapt and recover in response to perfurbation.

Management tools recognised as meeting these recurrements are maintenesserves established under the Manne Reserves Act 1971 (Type II MPAs) and Fisher es Act 1996 probibitions on creaging how ing. Danish serving, purse serving, grinetting and potting (when on sensitive biogenic habitars) (Type II MPAs)

Other rooks that may meet the recomments of a Type I. MPA include caple protection zones, manne common sanctuaries, Resource Management Act, possibly in combination with tools two lable under other acts (pp. 12–13). Marine Protected Areas Class frontion, Protection Standard and Implementation Guide mes 2008). The 2012 International Union for the Conservation of Nature (ILCN) definition of an MPA is la clearly defined geographical space, recognised, dedicated and managed, through legal or other effective means, to achieve the long-term conservation of nature with associated ecosystem services and cultural values'.

In general the purpose of all MPAs is the conservation of blodiversity, of in some cases cultural, heritage, whereby they provide a higher level of profection than sum ounding areas.

There are differences between the Marine Reserve Act 1971 and Tishenes Act 1996 tools. The most important difference between marine reserves established under the Marine Reserves Act 1971, and the Fishenes Act 1996 tools us that marine reserves are able to protect the babitat from disturbances unrelated to fishing such as discharges, comping, mining and shockness. Eistienes Act bools can other more nexibility to a variety of fishery uses that may be compatible with varying degrees of marine protection.

#### Existing marine protection within the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park

There are six manner reserves (Type II MPAs) within the Manne Park, They are, Cape Rodney-Gkakar Point Manne Reserve (52) 8 half Tawharanur Manne Reserve (304.2 ha), Long Day-Okura Marine Reserve (302.7 half Motu Manawa-Poilen, stand Manne Reserve (300.5 ha), Te Matuku Marine Reserve (687 ha) and Te Whangaou -A-Hell: (Catheoral Cove) Manne Reserve (885.7 ha). Collectively they cover 0.2806 of the total area of the Manne Park. In addition there are three cathe protection annes that are recognised as Type II MPAs. The largest of these is the Haurok. Gulf Submanne Cable Closure (FGSCC) which covers a fintal area of 74.342 half At its narrowest point off Takapuna the HGSCC is in 0 km across. At its widest in the outer Suint is over 10 km across. The companied coverage of Type II MPAs is 3 a665 of the Marine Park, or which 36.75% is the HGSCC The biological assemblages in a lot the matine reserves have been documented in some way, either in the bilg nallapplication of in monobiling programmes and research projects since the i establishment. In contrast, very little is known of the biology of any of the Type – MPAs, aside from some limited soft sediment work in the cableway by Monilson et al. (proj6). The total area covered by existing Type Land II MPAs is 80-827 ba, or 57406 of the Marine Park.

Jackson (2014) developed a baodat classification based upon substrate information developed for the Hauraki Gulf Marine Spatial Plan and the New Zealand Coastal Classification (MPA Policy Guidelines 2008) and used this to assess the representativeness of the existing MPA network in the Marine Park. This classification identified 45 octable and marine baodat types within the Marine Park, of which only two (sheltered coarse and mixed sectments herewigo mideoth) have look or more of the treatent protected within Type Lior Type LiMPAs. In both cases this is attributable to the amount of these bab tass occurring within the HOSCC in contrast, half of the identified Gulf habitats were not protected within any MPA (jackson 2014). The most extensive babrats within the Marine Park are muddy and sandy mud substrata occurring between 50-200 mideoth. Curriently very few hab toos or uning deeper than 50 mideoth (jackson 2014).

Two comprehensive reviews of the use of MPAs in the New Zealand context have recently been priolished (Thomas & Shears 2013, Willis 2013).

#### Marine Protected Area network design principles

New Zea and smarline reserves were established individually and independently to protect local-scale marine wild ife, rather than systematically as a coherent network designed to protect inducal-scale blod versity and ecosystem services (Thomas & Shears 2013). The New Zealand Marine Protected Areas Policy and Implementation Plan (MPA Policy) and the Marine Protected Areas Classification Protection Standard and Implementation Plan (MPA Policy) and the Marine Protected Areas Classification ecomprehensive and representation version during ines (MPA Policy Guidelines) were developed to address the NZBOS objectives, particularly the development of network of MPAs libratis comprehensive and representative of New Zealand's marine babilities and ecosystem supg. (c) para. (a). In this context comprehensive means capturing as much as possible of the full range of biodiversity present with o New Zealand's marine environment, and representative means contraining a representative selection of babilities and ecosystems.

There is a large scientific literature on the design of MFA networks, much of the aboy to the use of MPAs as fishery management tools (e.g. Marte Let al. 2000; Bentley et al. 2004; Pellet et & Mahévas, 2005; White et al. 2010) is owever, using scalid, tools to manage or eliminate human activities that adversely affect the marine environment is a solar effective way of contributing in the long-term ecological viability of marine environment is a solar effective way of contributing in the long-term ecological viability of marine environment. Marine Parks Authority 2008: Guidance on ecological principles for the design of MPAs and MFA networks is contained in the MFA Policy (2005) and MFA.

Policy Guidelines (2008), and reviews such as IUCN (2008), Galnes et al. (2010), Fernandes et al. (2012) and Thomas & Shears (2013)

Design photip es emphas sed in these documents area

- in inclusion of the full range of block versity present in a biogeographic region through
- representation of a Lhapital's and ecosystems.
- replication of profection for each hapital and/one obsystem with in the network.
- protection of bacicats that exhibit resilience of resistance to long-term environmental change.
- increasing resilience to other stressors (e.g. sed mentation, raised temperatures).
- 2. Ensure ecologically significant areas are incorporated by:
- protecting unique priviliterable habitars (e.g. biogenic habitats).
- protecting or cival babicats such as for aging or breeding grounds.
- protecting source populations, i.e. those that export lawae, jusen les and adults to other areas.

3. Maximise the contribution of individual MPAs to the network through careful consideration of them

- 5 ze in general larger MPAs will protect a greater variety of babcats and bodi versity, as well as
  providing a buffer against edge effects of fishing, some studies recommend large numbers of
  smaller MPAs for fishenes management objectives to enhance spill-over, although many reet
  fishes are physically couble of swimming long distances, some of these are home ranging of
  territorial (e.g. McCormick 1989). Cole et al. 2000; Parsons et al. 2010) making spill-over effects
  less likely (McCormick 1989). Cole et al. 2000; Parsons et al. 2010) making spill-over effects
- Spaning optimal spacing will vary depending on the objectives of MFA management and the species involved, while many marine species have long-lived belagic larvae capable of dispersing buildreds to mousands of kilometres, many species, including habitat-forming species, such as seaweeds, sponges and bryozoans, have short-lived, arvae lbht may stay in the planston for less than an bountor, ust in few days, while other species proof the right dates to a result, lorva dispersal distances of these species may vary from in few metres to a result, lorva other dispersal factors, such as rafting, may sign from the whereas dispersal potential Grambam et al. 2003).
- Shape boundaries should reflect natural ecological boundaries and be simple to facilitate compliance and enforcement: the design of individual reserves should a mito minimize the area. In boundary length ratio in order to minimize edge effects.

c. Consider hyprographic and ecological inkages between the land and sea – dus particularly important to poos deriphtential land-based impacts on the matine environment when thicking about establishing MPAs in enclosed coastal waters or estuaries. MPAs are unable to due try influence activities occurring to acjoining catchiments. g. Minumise advertise according and social imparts on existing users.

The Convention for Binlog call Diversity (CBD) and New Zealand Bindiversity Strategy establish a target of loos of the matine environment protected within MPAs. However more recent research predicts that maximum benefits for hindiversity conservation and fisher espirellikely to occur between 30-50% coverage by MPAs. In most cases extension of MPA coverage to more than 50% coverage of a fishery is predicted to doversely impact tishery yields due to the displacement of fishing effort into the remaining unprotected preas (Games et al. 2010). In this contrext, it is important to note that geographic coverage of a specific area such as the Haurak. On finance Park is unlikely to equate to the spatial coverage of a specific area such as the Haurak. On finance Park is unlikely to equate to the spatial coverage of a fishery. For example rocky reefs representionly are atively small proport on of the rotal area of the marine park (actual area unknown). As a result the spatial extent of fisher esfor reef-assoniated species such as kinn and cork looster will be much simpler than the area of the park, and usually much less than the total area of reef due to the hapitat reducements of the species involved.

The use of conservation planning software or spatially explicit fishery models a lows objective assessment of the cost-benefits (and therefore trade-offs) between conservation goals and exploitation of marine resonaces (e.g. Bentley et al. 2004) Pellet en& Mahevas 2005, Leathwick et al. 2008) Leathwick et al. (2008) demonstrated the use of conservation planning software (Zonation) to design MFA networks in New Zealand's Exclusive Economic Zone. Using predicted orshibutions of 96 demensal fishes sampled by research trawls and information on the location of commercial bottom how ing, they demonstrated that protecting 10% of the babitat based solely on estimated opriservation value, without regard for the impact on fishing, would on average protect 27.40% of the gengraphic range of each fish species and reduce fishing oppontumity by 25%.

Using the algorithm to select high conservation value sites but avoiding important fishing areas produced a solution that on average protected 2)  $\phi^{2}_{0}$  of the range of each species (marginally, owen than the solution that gnored fishing effort) but had no impact on fishing, increasing the level of spatial protection to 20% hur still avoiding beavily tished preas produced a solution that would increase average species protection by 50% with minimal cost to the fishing industry treathwick et al 2008, fig. 5). This solution that greater predicted conservation benefits and less impact on fishing opportunity than the Berlth of Embedded Areas, which were developed using expecting and a physical classification of the matine environment to explicit et al. 2010, Reisen et al. 2013).



Map A3.1 Overview/location maps for proposed MPA network and alternative scenarios.

# Description of each proposed Marine Protected Area

Enteen MPA sites have been identified across the Hourak. Gulf Manne Park, All of these were identified for the inhabitatiand ecological values, and were based on the information provided by out science advisors. Nine Type I minime reserves and ten Type 2 centric protection areas were agreed and recommended by the SWG

Eveloreas - Mokor man Islands, Tintin Matangi, Kawau, Mohidapu / Bang toto, and the Alderman Islands - were also agreed and recommended by the SWG as areas that would be net thom protection, but a decision was not reacted on alsingle size, location, or shape for the Type in MEAs and which other type of protection would be applied. The SWG members arrived at two options for each of these areas, which include both Type i MPAs as well as Type 2 protection. A different option, at the Alderman Islands is Scenario 2, which provides for an SMA (no commercial firsting with restricted recreational fishing: bordering a Type 1 MPA. As well, the Whangarean Harbour has two options for commanagement between mana wherea and the local community. In order to gain nonsensus or sufficient support to select and progressione of the options, discussions with mana wher the and local communities will be required for all these areas.

There are four types of Marine Protected Area:

- Type is no take matine reserves other than for distributing purposes?).
- Type 2: be true protection restrict a Longmericin, and recreational fishing methods that impact, with the behavior habitat.
- Special Management Areas more mmercin, fishing allowed and restricted recreational fishing, a lowed).
- Abu Moana (mana when up and community co-management areas) covering the entire coastline from meaning triviate itorism, with buffer anness around some Type careas.

Cumbinary take or harvesholds be on a case by case basis by special period, refer to the discussion on the two appressnes, on pages 16 in Chapter 6, Biodiversity (WHAT Papel SICO FERENC).

# 1. Mokohinau Islands

The Mokon man Islands, the optimer most is and sin the HGMP, include good examples of shallow to deep-water outer shelf reet systems and abundant and overse matine wild delif, keithe Poor Krights, is ands the Mokoh matis are also influenced by the submopical waters of the East Auckland current and high hiological productivity driven by seasonal upwelling along the continental shelf edge. As a porsequence, the rocky reefs submonding the islands are characterised by diverse, op outful bentric assemblages, with deeper reefs subporting probabilities of vulnerhole species such as large sponges, goigen an and black corals, large scripping probabilities of vulnerhole species once abundant throughout the Gulf such as haptike. Clean orea no water subports kelp forest growth down to a depth of word. The matrixe assemblages round around the archipe ago show utile evidence of degradation by land-based pressures beg, sedimentations poserved elsewhere in the HGMP. The pest-free Mokor manifer and side also known for their mobilitance to seabilities, with a high density and diversity of species breeding on them.

# SCENARIO 1



Map A3.2 Mokohinau Islands MPA Scenario 1

#### Plan elements

- Type is no fake may necessary centered around Burgess Island (Pokohimu), Ahhau, Hokoromea, and spanning to the Copie Protection Zone to the west, the using examples of deep reefs to the points.
- b. Type closes greater protect benthic half tars associated with the shallow to deep reet system of the archipelago. Excludes a liberthic imparting fishing methods including howing.



# SCENARIO 2

Map A3.3 Mokohinau Islands MPA Scenario 2

- a. Type icho take mailine reserve centered around Hokoromea and At Ibb. Islands
- b Type 2: Special Management Area (SMA) no commercial fishing and restricted recreational fishing

# 2. Little Barrier Island and Craddock Channel - Hauturu

1.8 /F around is sumout ded by a variety of interficial and subfidal babdats ranging from the predominantly rocky shore the form d-shelf-debth soft seduments and reefs. The is and is enorm ed by an extensive system of she fered shallow rocky reefs up to about 30m depth. These reefs subport a diverse an ay of seaweeds, invertebrates and fishes typical of the northeast North Island.

Commercially exploited scallop beds are found around the western and southern's delof the island and the area is an important part of the commercial rock lobster fishery. Anecontal evidence provided by SWG members indicates that the sear port between the deep reefs north of LG /rilaution and the is and once supported dense sponge assemblages, which were progressively removed to a low bottom.

how ers to 1 shothe prea. Remnants of these sponge dominated assemblages persist on Northwest Reef (within the coole protection zone and included within the Tri and three small parch reefs found on thinf the island. The largest of the latter is known as the 'Coral Patch' (also within the Tr). Juvenue hammerhead sharks can be abundant over summer months, particularly of the northwestern end of the island. ESI/mainturulis pest tree, covered in native vegetation and of international importance for seablics.

Craddook Channel covers a submarine saddle extending between LD and GD and is an area of highhdal content whilst still being relatively she tered. The area was identified for its rich benchic environments including shallow and deep rocky reefs, holding diverse and productive inshore reef assemblages high primary production (kelp forests) and brogenic habitats (e.g. sponges). The channel area is important for Bryde's whale and provides cut call tabilat for the nationally endangered bittlenose do prim.



Map A3.4 Little Barrier – Hauturu MPAs

- Type is no take matine reserve extending from the northwest corner of theirs and west to include the caple protection zone and optim to take to several deep reefs.
- b. Type 2: protection of overse bentfric ball tatassociated with Cradonck Channel, Excludes all bentfrick impacting fishing methods and using hawling.

# 3. Cape Colville - Moehau

The strong currents associated with Colville Criannel create a current-swept bentric habitat with high biodiversity associated with a mixture of charse shift and muody sectments, as well as numerous deep rocky reefs. Extensive dense dog chckle beds with epifaunial sponges and ascid ans ordinin soft seducents between the reefs. The reefs are contributed by massive sponges, hydroids and ane mones. They support large schools of planktivorous fishes, (predominantly pink maximan) two-spoil demoiselles and sweeps) as well as a representative range of reet species such as snapper, wrasses mokil blue cod and goatfish. SWG memoers report that bentric habitats in the area have been adversely affected by bottom tribuling. The reefs are commercially fished for rock lobster, and are an important part of commercial longline and set net risheries. The area is dopular with land-based fishers who acress the area from Port jackson. Habitatile to be avails dopular with land-based fishers who acress the area from Port jackson. Habitatile to babilitation rocky reefs in the channel.



Map A3.5 Cape Colville MPAs

- Type is no take matine reserve reaching out to the channel whilst providing easy access to swimmers, kayase sets
- b. Type opprotection of behavior habitats associated with the deep Coholie Channel, Excludes all behavior potential fishing methods including hawling.

# 4. Alderman Islands – Te Ruamaahua

The Alderman Islands are surrounded by an extensive and complex system of tooky reafs extending from the subtrobuout loop in death. Clean, oceanic waters derived from the subtrobuout fast. Auck and Current, and seasonal upwelling along the shelf edge, exert a strong intruence on the matine boot versity of the archipelago. The area supports diverse shallow reaf assemblages typical of offshore is ands off the northeast North Island. Hapiku, large kingtish and snappenordunor the deep reefs. Seasonal aggregation of short-fail string by spossibly related to breedingt has been observed around Ruamonical island. Sed ment transport models suggest that reefs deeper than goin may de adversely, imported by land-derived sed ments. The islands are pest free and of bigst importance to nesting seadilids. The area is a popular recreational fishing destination and important part of the commetical most lobster fishery. Mana wher ha have guaranteed access to customary fisheries and other tanging.

# SCENARIO 1



Map A3.6 Alderman Islands – Te Ruamaahua MPAs Scenario 1

- a. Type is no take matine reserve centered around Ruamanuath is and to Nga Horolin the north, including complex reefsystem to the south and spanning east rowards the application depth option.
- Type corest of the archipelago and reef system extending northward. Excludes all benchic impacting rishing methods, including haveing.
- Abu meana area extending i km around Islands

# APPENDIX 3: BIODIVERSITY AND MARINE PROTECTED AREAS | PIRINGA 3: RERENGA RAUROPI ME NGĀ ROHE RĀHUI MOANA

# SCENARIO 2



Map A3.7 Alderman Islands – Te Ruamaahua MPAs Scenario 2

- Type 1, no take marine reserve centered around Ruamanuach is and to Nga Horo in the north, including complex reefsystem to the south and spanning east towards the 200 micepth contourt.
- b Type p: special management area.
- Abu moana area extending i km around Islands

#### 5. Mercury Islands - Ahuahu / Whakau

This area represents a relatively uncommon sequence from shallow-constal to deep order shelf babitats. The complex barrymetry and varying sheller provided by the islands and actacent mainland make this an area of high babitat diversity, which is reflected in the diversity of species found in the surrounding waters. The influence of the subtropical East Arick and Current and high which is necessary result in diverse a gal and encousing invertebrate assemptages. Shallow rocky reefs are dominated by large brown seaweeds, mainly **Lokionia radiata**, to 30-40 midepth. Shodolith beds occur on coarse sands between the islands and between the islands and the mainland. Be now yoin depth rocky reefs are dominated by large brown seaweeds, mainly **Lokionia radiata**, to 30-40 midepth. Shodolith beds occur on coarse sands between the islands and between the islands and the mainland. Be now yoin depth rocky reefs are dominated by diverse sponge assemptinges. These also suppoint protected black and going main or rais. Video sled observations of reefs deeper than 50 misuggest that a though these are soll on model by sponges, including several rately seen species, they are being adversely affected by terrestria ly derived sed ments take predicted by NIVA sed ment hans on and deposition models). The is ands are pest free and on high moortance to nesting seah ros.



Map A3.8 Mercury Islands – Ahuahu / Whakau MPAs

- a) Type 2 MFA spanning from Te Koru to Rocky Bay and the tiging Coralle Bay
- b) Excludes a liber truc impacting fishing methods hawling, diedging etc.
- c) Excludes a long netting (set netting).

- d) Excludes all may polling.
- e) Excludes all commercial fishing
- f: Ahu Moana mana wher us community co-management area i km around is anos.

#### 6. Hahei

The proposal is to extend the boundary of the marine reserve of shore ing km to account for offshore rock lobster movements, the same reason for the proposed extension to the Cope Rodney to Okakan Point (Goat Island) Marine Reserve. The proposed boundary extension includes South Sunk Rock, alof the costil ne of Mahurangi and Te Tip Islands (and associated reefs), and part of lince Reach



Map A3.9 Hahei MPAs

#### Plan elements

a Type i Hanei mailine reserve extension. Designed to brow deleasy access in the reserve from Hanei heach whils: providing for shore fishing from beact.

Sites for launching boats from the beach to be decided at the time of implementation.

# 7. Slipper Island - Whakahau

Supportis and too uses one of the only known examples of subtical seagrass with o the Hauraki Gulf. Marine Park, High water duality combined with a mosaic of rocky reefs, coarse sand and subtida seagrass babitats results in an area of high bodi versity (i.e. elevated species richness and abuildance). The islands are important seabird nesting babitat. Rocky reef assemptages are typical of those found at similar exposures along the portheast North Island.



Map A3.10 Slipper Island – Whakahau MPAs

- Type is no take matine reserve, over half of 5 (open is and, spanning examples of reef systems and associated brodiversity and subtica seagons bacicats.
- Abo Moana around Type 1.
   This area was initially envisioned as a Type 2 to provide a level of denthic babilitat protection around the type it. Proposed to exclude albenthic impacting tishing methods, including hawling.

# 8. Pakiri Leigh

This processal extends usign Marinel Reserve 3 km offshore to cover most of the movement range of rock lobster in order to better protect the integrity and functionality of the matine reserve ecosystem as a whole. The proposed extension obvers an offshore reet and area of shallow sand habitat used by foraging rock lobster located seaward of the existing order boundary of marine reserve.



Map A3.11 Pakiri Leigh MPAs

#### Plan elements

a) Type 1 - Leign manifelreserve extension.

# 9. Whangateau Harbour

Whangareau Halthour is notable for the range of relatively infact estuance habitats contained with marelatively small area. These include a variety of reet types, sandy intercidal and subficial seabed muddy habitats, mangrove forests, a variety of algal and seagrass beds, and saltmarst. The valiety of and quality of manne and coastal babitats are reflected in the harbour slecological diversity and productivity. The harbour represents the best remaining example in Auck and of a constal vegeration sequence running from kanisatea swamp rotest to saltmarsh and estuarine flats. The harbour is of importance for juvenile fish including parore and trevally. It is a sola shorebuild area of mobilance. The harbour supports dense she flish best.

# SCENARIO 1



Map A3.12 Whangateau Harbour MPAs Scenario 1

- a) Cormanagement area amund Horsestine Is and, Previously proposed as am &taitat with a stiel fish removal restriction.
- b) Type 5, benthic protection throughout the harbor surrounding the Comanagement area, including entrance to harbor and the southermark of the harbout (Walkokopul Creek).

# SCENARIO 2



Map A3.13 Whangateau Harbour MPAs Scenario 2

# Plan elements

 a) Comparagement preaction/ghour entire to borr with benthic restrictions and restrictions on thatvesting shellfish

#### 10. Kawau Bay

Kawau Bay is on a real of high habitat diversity, encomposing bays and estualtes of various sizes she tered possial environments and more exposed rocky and soft-sedurent areas. Research indicates that area is a highly diverse coastal ecosystem. The types of species found are prose commonly associated with relatively pristine environments (e.g. sponger coordolith and horse mussel beds, kelo forests, scallops and pip.). The hay includes nursery hobitats and areas important for juvenule fist including snappen. If was historically a nursery area for sharks, notably tig espected dogtish) and school stark. Kawau Bay is extensively used for recreational pursuits. Thereats to the area identified are largely related to existing and expected in banisation of the carchments, and the cumulative impact of increasing recreational use (e.g. hampling of internidal habitats, and boring, fishing, scallop died ging, chronic on septilition and disturbance, etc.)



# SCENARIO 1

Map A3.14 Kawau Bay MPAs Scenario 1

#### Plan elements

Type is no take matine reserve spanning the cable protection zone in the north and Beenive.
 Motuketekete and Motukekareka is ands in the south.

 b Type 2: profection of bench inbactats. Excludes a liberch comparting fishing methods, including scallopdiedging.

# SCENARIO 2



Map A3.15 Kawau Bay MPAs Scenario 2

- a. Type icho take marine reserve centered around Moturekareka and Moturara Island
- b. Type opprotection of behaviors backats (same as scenarion) Excludes a liberative imparting fishing, methods, including scallop diedging.

# 11. Firitiri Matangi

The Till the Matange and What gaparaba area includes a range of habitats including sheltered and exposed reefs to a high outrent channel. Shong water flow in the channel is associated with extensive brogen chapters, particularly choos on beds. Sheltered sho low rocky reefs have large brown algae coralline a gae and large sponges. Deeper reefs are dominated by kelp and sponges. Species found in the area include dog cockles, green-lipped mussel, juvenice shapper leagle hays, and pelagic species (e.g. kahawa), kingtish, and various shark species). Due to beavy recreational use and land-based imparts the sedimentation), the bealth of the area is on sidered degraded.Kina barrens are observed and once abundant species such as crayfist and Habitsuraries are identify seen or absent. Till the Matange With the Sanchiary is a highly population use centration with of the Habitsurary second and have bark.

# SCENARIO 1



Map A3.16 Tiritiri Matangi MPAs Scenario 1

- a) Type is no take matine reserve around Throm Island including Shearer Rock.
- b) Type 2: protection of bench clhaoitats extends optimizer from Army Day and East and South to join the cable anne. Excludes all bench comparing fishing methods.

# SCENARIO 2



Map A3.17 Tiritiri Matangi MPAs Scenario 2

- Type it no take mailine reserve extending south from Northwest Point to souther ripoint on Truch Island
- b) Type 2: protection of bentric babitats extends on this from Army Day and Fast and South to join the cable anne. Excludes all bentric imparting fishing methods.

# 12. The Noises - Otata Motuhoropapa

The Noises are a collection of small is ands surrounded by very shelfered and shallow rocky reefs, with muddy/sandy substrates found in deeper areas. Common inshore reet species are found, and broge ho halt tass (part cutaily dog nockles and chodol tos) growing on soft sectments provide nursery hobitat for juvenile shappen and scalloos. King harrens however appear to be prominent of the area. This areas is heavily used recreationally.



Map A3.18 Map A3.18. The Noises - Otata Motuhoropapa MPAs

# Plan elements

a) Type is no take may necessary centered around Otata and Mntuboropapais ands.

b) Abu Mnaha around the islands. Area previously envisioned as Type 2 to protect bench chabitats and provide a level of protection around the high-level protection Type Farea. Excludes a liberithic impacting fishing methods.

# 13. Rangitoto& Motutapu

Shallow patch reets brow de nursery hoodal for juven le snopper and kanawar. Shallow reefs are commoned by large brown algae, crustose conalline algae and sponges. Common hortheast North Island possial reef fishes are present. The area appears to be degraded and prominent kina bairens on reets have been observed.



Plan elements

- a) Type I, no rake marine reserve on northerniside of Mothcaph
- b) Abu Moana around Rang toto and Motutapu

Map A3.19 Rangitoto & Motutapu MPAs Scenario 1

# SCENARIO 2



- a) Type I, no rake marine reserve on northerniside of Motifiapu
- b: Ahu Moana around Rang toto and Motutapu

Map A3.20 Rangitoto & Motutapu MPAs Scenario 2

# 14. Firth of Chames

As a whole and with in the New Zealand context, this large entbayment and the extensive mussel beds once found in the area would bave been on terunique. A near collapse of all hard, brogenic reefs composed of green-lipped mussels, sponges lastid ans and on domans brought on by heavy dredging was observed by the lipped mussels, sponges lastid ans and on domans brought on by heavy dredging mobiliant for juvenice snapper and spotted dogtish (hg), and is a nationally important nursery area for smooth hammer head shark. The southernicer of dot the Firth is of international significance for migratoryblics.

- a. Type icho take mailine reserve around Rotoroa Island.
- b. Type of protection of Firth of Thames benchic environments to support regeneration efforts of historic mussel beds in the area. Excludes a liberith or impacting fishing methods.



Map A3.21 Firth of Thames – Tīkapa Moana and Rotoroa Island MPAs

# 15. Motukawao Group

The Motukawaa Group is formed by several islands roughly running in parallel to the western Coromandel Coast. Relatively high hidal outrents and a civerse underwater triongraphy have resulted in high bodiversity including big sponges and hydroid hees, and keip in exposed locations. Spawining of snappenhas also been recorded in the area. If storically as in most parts of the souther trioner Gulf, the area would have beld extensive green -Lipped mussel beds. From time to time there are providen of observations of subtimordal fish species, and Bryde's whates have been sighted in the area.



Map A3.22 Motukawao Group MPAs

- a) Type is no take matine reserve extending offshore for the inde Motuwhakukewa Island and hall of Motukahara (Fapoy Jack) Island but excludes Motumakareta Island along west coast of the Coromancel coast.
- b: Ahu Moana co-managementiarea i km.

# APPENDIX 3: BIODIVERSITY AND MARINE PROTECTED AREAS | PIRINGA 3: RERENGA RAUROPI ME NGĀ ROHE RĀHUI MOANA

# APPENDIX 4: WATER QUALITY PIRINGA 4: ORANGA PŪMAU O TE WAI

# 1. Explaining sediment objectives

#### Sedimentation rate

Why: Estimates and coastal embayments within sed mentation rate that is high compared to the base (ne rate "(when the catchment was naturally forested) are sediment stressed, and suffer a wide range of powerse sed ment-related effects. They are a so rapidly shallowing and intilling with sediments.

**Aim**: I im tithe sed mentation rate to reduce sed memistress and related adverse effects, and to slow down infilling with sed ment

 Objective WQL Sedimentation rate across the Suinto being more than 2 mm per year above the base one rate by 2050.

Explanation:

- Secumentation rate is the rate at which the seabed is vertically accreting, or using love to deposition of sedment. It is typically expressed in millimetres per year.
- 2 mm periyennabove the base merate is an ecological adverse-effects threshold.
- The base line rate is the rate when the catchment was fully forested.
- The base messed mentation rate (when the catchment was fully forested) varies from location to location with n any given estuary or embayment, for example, immiper year or exposed interridal flats, a to a miniper year in tidal creeks.
- The go-year timeframe recognises that sed mentation changes slowly in response to changes in catchment sed ment runoff, and will also allow time for yearly variation in secure station to average out.
- The 30-year timefulame may be aspirational, but it is appropriate to set an ambit ous target. The improved monitoring will inform future goals.

#### Where the objective applies. The objective applies across the Gulf.

Assessing achievement. Achievement can only be assessed at representative monitoring sites, which need to be selected in consultation with agencies and Mana Whenua. Monitoring sites will cover a range of estuaries and coastal embayments, and different habitats with in those systems. Auck and Council, Warkato Regional Council and Mana Whenua need to standard semeasurement methods for sedimentation tate (in consultation with other regional councils and the Ministry for the Environment) Issues to consider the use natural spatial and temporal variability of sedimentation.

rate, determining trends from limited datasets, acquiring base ine information, accuracy and cost of offerent measurement methods, representativeness of monitoring sides

How the objective will be achieved. Reducing cotchine this ediment runoff to the coostal marine area

Outcome: Reduced seducent stress with opnesponding health erestriance ecosystems. Prolonged, lifespan of estuarcie and opastal systems.

# Seabed muddiness

Why: The amount of much of the seabed bas a protound effection the types of an mais and plants that are able to live and thrive with on the sed ment. For example, the much enthe seabed, the less so table is for a range of shellt shand other invertebrates. Seagrass that grows on interfuld, flats also prefers a less much yeared. With less much in the seabed, the water also tends to be cleared.

**Aim:** Prevent sandy seabeds from becoming muddy, and help already-affected seabeds return to their naturally sandy state

- Objective WQ2: Proportion of interfield area with seabed mudicontent greater than 23% oct to expand in a Lessuaries of the Haurak. Grint Marine Park.
- Explanation:
- Seapeds with a muclion tent of 25% support a distinctly improvenished fauna compared to sandier, seabeds.
- Some parts of escuar es are naturally very muddy, but encroachment of mud over previously sandy, seabeds reduces bodi versity and imparts seagrass.

# Where the objective applies, A Lestuanes of the Hamaki Gulf

 Objective WQ3, Seabed muddlness to be less than 10% at 95% of internidal flats that are exposed, to winds and waves by 2050.

Explanation:

- Mucli refers to sedument particle size less than 63 micross.
- Exposed: means exposed to winds and waves, which naturally act to keep the septed source of excessive mudibuildup. Exposed intertidal flats are typically sandy, but increased nue-sed mentrunnif from the calcoment encloaches on flatse areas turning them from sandy formulidy.
- Seabed mucd bess of lock (that is, seabed composed of lock much and got% sand) is recognised as an fectlogical intest old? above which shifts in benth c community composition and functioning begin to provide.
- Sandy seabeds that are already affected by much may be cleansed of that much by wave action once sedurent inputs from the catchment are reduced.

 The 2050 timeframe recognises that the seabed changes slowly in response to manges in cardiment sed mentitunoff.

Where the objective applies: lotert dolflots that are exposed to winds and waves. The objective may be expanded to subtidal areas if deelined appropriate.

Where the objective does not apply: This objective does not apply where the seabed is naturally moddy. This includes tidal meeks (e.g., Henderson Greek), stieltered opper arms of estuaries (e.g., andward of Okura Township, Okura estuary), such datcharine site glientrance charine, to the Central Watemata Harboury, such datembayments (e.g., inner Firth of Triames).

How the objectives relate to each other: Objective WQ2 seeks to prevent further expansion of much over contently sandy seadeds. Objective WQ3 seeks to limit the amount of much to a specific percentage on exposed, ofertidal flats.

Assessing achievement. Achievement canonly beassessed at representative monitoring sites which need to be selected in consultation with agencies. Additional monitoring sites will need to be established where the existing monitoring network is too sparse to assess the achievement of this objective. This may include subficial monitoring in deemed to be appropriate. Auck and Council and Warkato Regional Council need to determine protocols and methods for monitoring seabed muddiness. Assess to consider include cost, the meths of different measurement methods (e.g., well seeving, laser), representativeness of sites, avoiding bias in site selection, natural spatial and temporal variability of seabed muddiness.

How the objectives will be achieved. Reducing catchine in sediment runoff to the coastal marine, area

Outcome: Sandy habitats where they are meant to be isouporting seagrass and a civerse and productive bentrik ecology. Clearer water,

# 1. Integrated harbour and ratchment management plans

The Whangaphua Harbour and Catchment Plan (HCMF) is one of 3 plans prepared by Warkato Regional Council for estuaries on the Coromandel Pennisula as part of delivering on the Coromandel Zone Plan. The HCMP, which has been developed with the local community and which draws on local and scientific knowledge, lays a foundation for **integrated harbour and catchment management**.

<sup>-</sup>odp://www.ws.kaloregion.gov/m//Paget\_es/40.rg//TR/etgo3.pc\*

Care office plan is comency being prepared.

The Whangapoual PCMR provides a base the (current) assessment of the harbour and natchment Erosion and sed mentation are recognised as key issues, with sometimes inapotopulate landuse practices and deciding blod versity also being of concern. Environmental priorities are identified, and practices and deciding blod versity also being of concern. Environmental priorities are identified, and practical and realistic actions are faid out that will make a difference to the state of the harbour and catchment. Actions are divided into the mes (The Reople, Fand, Water, Coast and Placour, Dindiversity), and at the end of each of these theme sections are many excellent suggestions on What can you do?! Actions are designed to be undertaken over all object period, with regular review of priorities. Although the Planus on istaturory, it nevertible essiblis the capacity to inform and support statutory documents importantly, actions are budgeted for consideration by agencies in their respective and up planning processes.

Sea Changeenancess the Waangapous HCMP as the top price dynéfice HCMPs neveloped by Wakato Regional Council to date due to the size of the harbour, the issues it faces, the good prospects of working oroductively with local connectities, Mana When is and forestry operators, and the potential for multiple benefits as the Plance secured.

Auck and Council has previously identified priority catchments through the inSustainable Catchments programme for developing integrated catchment management plans and corresponding implementation plans. The priority catchments have been identified using a humber of order a including level of community engagement, berce ved ability to make a difference and urgency of threats. The programme promotes and subports a wide range of or -the-ground actions; a summary of ach evements over the life of the programme to ports, is presented on the Environment Foundation si website.

Anck and Councillis presently developing a new programme – the Woll Ora Healthy Waterways programme – which is being designed to implement the National Policy Statement for Ereshwater Management and to aligh councillact vices around water. The Auckland region is being divided into catchments based on mannel receiving environments such as the Watermata Horbour and it is antic pater that limit setting under the National Policy Statement for Ereshwater Management will be based on freshwater as we has matine receiving environments by Statement for Ereshwater Management will be

Sea Change endorses the concept of the integrated backbow and ratiobment plan as a basis for componentialing with communities interfloring issues, developing actions, achieving outcomes though componenty engagement, and feeding who know-government planning and budgeting processes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>abov/www.eavirumeeatguide.org.az/issues/mar.inc/cuttament\_aasce.vetry1es/\_mrxi33/</u>

#### 2. Prioritisation of spending

Where regional council resources are required to help hing about change, obviously not everything can be done all at once. Prioritisation of spending reduires careful consideration of ability to make a difference cost, and capability and choacity of Mona Whenua and landowhers to work with council. Co-funding of research and science with industry good body's is an important way to share the cost and access the ferrin callexpertise required. This will also increase the fibuy inflor industry groups and the interconduct the fibure of control of the research and science.

Models can be used to identify out cal source areas in the calor ment – areas where if our oscance sediment erosion on nucleant loss is greatest – and where these areas are connected by transport pathways to vulnerable aquatic receiving environments, they should receive priority attention. Models can also be used to estimate cost of applying mitigation, and likely improvements (recurcion in sediment runoff priority attentios, for instance) for lowing mitigation.

Workato Regional Council's Regional Prioritisation Projectialms to inform prior hes for on-the-ground works designed to protect and enhance solit biodiversity and water quality, which it does by identifying locations of highest risk and greatest opportunity. Spatial models are used to develop information that can be used – with other types of information including expert knowledge of issues. – In device or oncles for spending. Models used in the analysis include C. USS (sectment, our ogen phosphorius and *L. coli* generation; and SecNetN7 (sectment generation), which are linked to a number of spatial databases that describe various aspects of terrain landrover and landuse to make predictions.

An example of the project output is shown in the figure below, which is a spahal map of the liwater output componed score), which in furth is a weighted combination of i**L coli**generation1. In frogen generation1 and 1pt osphorus generation1 factor scores. (The weightings reflect the relative importance placed on each of the factors and are based on expertiop in no.) The factor scores may be similarly mapped. The information shown in the figure potentially provides a basis for ranking the implementation of farm plans with in Erest water Management Units (defined for the buildings of unplementation of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management).

The "sol conservation combined score" (shown in Mno Ak, 2) is a weighted compination of the sed ment ', ecosion', streambark erosion', vegetation protection', stock pressure" and WBC works' factorscores.



Map A4.1 Combined nutrient scores

Map A4.2 Combined soil conservation scores

As before, the factor scores may be similarly mapped. Costs of sedurent morgation and associated reductions in sedurent have a solbeen estimated, with certain assumptions being made about treatistic implementation. An annual-average reduction in sedurent generation as a result of morgation has been estimated, and this has been broken down into reduct on due to hillslope sol conservation and reduction due to streambank indigations. Eartor scores in tigation costs and sedurent-reduction estimates have been used elsewhere in the Warkato Region, and could be used in the Humak. On treatch ments, Eartor score maps were indially used to identify priority catchments for management. Milligation and sedurent-reduction estimates were used to further rank catchments for management. Milligation and sedurent-reduction estimates were used to further rank catchments for management. Milligation and sedurent-reduction estimates were used to further rank catchments for management. Milligation and sedurent-reduction estimates were used to further rank catchment work priorities and for basic cost-benefic assessment. Data gathered through these processes are ultimately intended to assist with developing priorities for inclusion in a restoration strategy, which is being done elsewhere in the Warkato using the INTER (lovest ment framework for Shvironmental Resources) process to assess cost-benefit assessment of priorities are rank for a priorities.

Contominant-generation models such as those used in the Waikato Regional Frioritisation Froject need to be linked to models that predict transport, dispensal, fate and effects of contraminants in the coastal monifieranea receiving environment. Where contaminants that or groate on the land accumulate in prior envise pass through sensitive privaluable habitats in the CMA causing adverse effects on the ecosystem ihealth onloss of human amenity. This information needs to be brought into any analysis of prior tres for spending on morgation in the catchment. For instance, nutrients lost to waterways in lowland areas may be more readily transported into the matine receiving environment, where they and to the outpent burden, that outpents lost from up and areas. In that case, even of the outpent loss from the up and areas is greater, the lowland areas should receive priority for morgation.

# 3. Setting sediment load limits.

The Resource Management Act is widely acknowledged as having provided the tools necessary for effectively managing the effects of point-source contominants on aquatic ecosystems. However, the Act has been less successful at dealing with the effects of diffuse-source contaminants, which now are regarded as the major cause of degradation.

The central officenty is managing the complian velefocts of diffuse-source contain hants. Compliance effects, by definition, at self om many individual activities added together over time and throughout the rationment. To manage them requires a catchine triwide hoppoart, which can be difficult to ach eve by precementigraphing of deciming of individual applications for resource consent under the RMA which and vidually are expected to have individual applications for resource consent under the

In the infirst report back in 2010, the linit and Water Forum established a link between cumulative effects and the need to set limits. (without limits, this hard to manage diffuse discharges, liand impossible to deal with the cumulative effects on water bodies of water takes on the one band and diffuse and cirert discharges to water on the other (i) in response, government embarked on a programme other to ming freshwater management based on setting limits.

The National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management (NPSEM) (2012) establishes a legal and policy fromework for building a national limits-based scheme for freshwater management. The Policy requires maintaining or improving overall water quality in a region, and sofeguard og of the lifesupporting capacity, ecosystem processes and indigenous species (including their associated ecosystems) of freshwater. Regional councils are required to have set freshwater objectives by 2030 that reflect national and local volues; set flow, allocation and water quality limits to ensure freshwater objectives are achieved, adoressionenal location, manage landuse and water in an integrated way, and involve, wi and happ in freshwater decision making. Councils and not munifies can choose the himeframes to meet freshwater objectives and limits.

The management process preschoed by the NPSTM centres of Limiting resource use in the shwater management units in order to achieve specific lagreed values. The steps involved are:

 Agree on desired values, which are the intrinsic qualities that beople appreciate or benefic from on the uses to which people put freshwater. Examples are makingaikar.
- Moor traditional food and other natural resources, including the places they are obtained and the practices around the inacquisition; and swimming.
- Identify lattributes" which are the characteristics or properties of freshwater that have to be managed to provide for the value of hand. Examples are *E*, rob contamination, which is reflective of a health tisk, or the concentration of ortrogen dissolved in the water, which has a bear og on aesthetics (eig., by stimulating per phytophologies).
- Decide on the israte i of each attribute. For instance, to provide for safe swimming, *E. cob* with need to be maintained at a lower level compared to providing for just wading.
- Convert the art noncelstates into ISMART1 objectives, which are specific measurable, achievable, realistic and time-bound.
- For the are limits to resource use that will result in the achievement the objectives. These are of two types lumics to extract on (e.g. the amount of water taken for might on), and limits to disposal of contaminants (e.g. a catchment outrient load limit).
- Develop a sure of management actions that, when implemented will achieve the limitsaccordingly.

A choical element of the process is the involvement of stakeholders, which begins with agreement on volues, and the udes understanding the consequences of agreeing to the limits and the management, actions that are needed to meet limits.

Estuaries and coastal systems are specifically excluded from consideration in the NPSEM, but they must be i given regard to 1 when setting lumits for freshwater. This means that I mits that are set to achieve freshwater objectives should also result in the achievement of objectives at the coast.

In some cases, the constallob, eclives may actually take precedence over the freshwater objectives. For instance, an estuary ecosystem may be far more sensitive than the sheams in the surrounding catchment to sediment conoff from the linit, which might mean that catchment sectment load introsare set with the estuary objectives – not the freshwater objectives – in mind

When setting limits, it is therefore of unal that the entite system – all the streams of the cardhment and the coastal receiving environment at the base of the catchment – be analysed as one cont. What we need to avoid is the soluation in which limits are set separately for isay, freshwater, and then these are found later on to be lacking when the coast is eventually looked at

#### APPENDIX 4: WATER QUALITY PIRINGA 4: ORANGA PŪMAU O TE WAI



Map A4.3 Auckland Council's Consolidated Receiving Environments

Recognising this, Auck and Council has defined Consolidated Receiving Ervironments (CREs) for implementing the NPSTM. Fach CRE is centred on an either an estuary on a part of the opast, and will be used to set objectives and lumits that are fully integrated across freshwater and the opastal marine area.

#### a. IntegratedWetlands



Map A4.4 Wetland complex on the Kauaeranga River near Thames

The design shown above (designed by Rofta Miskell for Warkard Regional Council) is for a w**etland complex** in a bend of the Kavaeranga River, nearTriames, to serve multiple purposes. This is a bold, innovative design, which potentially will beliver multiple benefits.

Ateas of open water, mangroves, salutarsties, rushes, sedges and rowland swamp forest, including knowstea and rappage tree, would provide babitat for a wide range of wildlife including fish and birds. An interesting feature of the design is the mix of freshwater and saline water babitats. Public amenity is provided for in the design through a range of features, including holds, observation prints, a bird hide shelter, a koyak, auriching ramp, and educational information. Being close to a centre of provided for an the design through a cange of features, including close to a centre of provided shelter, a koyak, auriching ramp, and educational information. Being close to a centre of provided or Thamest, the wet and complies would be expected to provide a substantial print of contact and engagement with the final close, which is otherwise difficult due to current limited public access.

There is a very wide range of wer anositype, including bogs, fens, pear ands, marshes, lowland swamp forests, if ax swimps, salimalish and mangroves. Werlands occur where the water table is at or near the surface of the land, or where land is permanently or temporarily mundated thy fides or florids, for example: According to DOC, in New Zealand, wer ands, support the greatest concentration of wildlife out of any other halt rapit. Some endangered plant species depend totally or werlands, as do many threatened bird species. Net we tish also rely on wetlands, including short-finned eels, knoopil and builles, and the whitebalt fishery depends on spawning habitat provided by wetlands. By absorbing heavy it is nand releasing flood waters gradually, impacts of findoing are reduced, and groundwater levels are maintained during periods of low fair fail. Wetlands offer a wider range of recreation opportunities have always been important to Mann, providing flood, weaving material, medic nes and dyes. Wet ands have always been important to Mann, providing flood, weaving material, medic nes and dyes. Wet ands have always been upon than the Mann, providing flood, weaving material, medic nes and dyes. Wet ands have always been upon than the Mann, providing flood, weaving material, medic nes and

Wetlands have been likened to kioneys in that they clean infinwing water, and subsequently release the lipplished liwater on its poward journey to downstream receiving environments, including in the possial matine area. This is achieved by stilling the flow of water, which fair litates the removal of fine sedurents from the water by setting and by sticking of sectment particles to leaves and stems of plants. Plants help to oxygenate the water, and take dissolved outplants out of the water to build plant tissue. leaving outplaws relatively depleted of outplants. Wetlands also provide the anaxid condumn's and abundant supply of organic matter, heeded for a certain class of bottenia to perform deminification, which results in the orthogen bound up in it takes being released to the atmosphere as nitrogen gas. Furthermore is note deminification is accompanied by the oxidation of organic matter runnifies also schubbed of excessive organic matter. Thus, wetlands naturally in tigate numler en includent of freshwater runoff, which can reduce the entroph ration risk in the crastal matine area.

It has been estimated "that only about 160% of the New Zealand's original wet anosite main la though with greating initial variation, and some particular wet and types have been lost for even only very few examples of others remain (for example, kabikhteb swamp forest and some kinds of flax swamp and salt marsh: In the Warkatol around 15% of unmodified wet and sreing in

There is a wealth of information and expertise around restoring wetlands. For example, **Wetrand** Restoration: A Handbook for New Zealand Freshwater Systems, is a nauthor failive and practical group.

johnson in and Company. In acord, Westand, types in New Zestand, Separtment of Conservation, Weitington

http://www.nor.govi.n//nation/habitals/weblands/

Commarily Plane Specifies A (Eds), agay A functiony of Wellsues in New Zealane. Department of Conservation: Wellington, As a specifier driving bear from place (www.des.gewonky.Departments) to ence and performance (newell-specifier).

That boings together information from specialists and groups that are actively engaged in restorations. Mana When na have substantial experience in wet and restoration using traditional knowledge, and both Warkato Regional Council and Anck and Council provide resources on wet and restoration in

The design shown above for the Karnerangh River is on land already owned by Walkam Regional Council as part of the Warhou Valley Scheme (albed presently leased in part for stork grazing), and makes use of borrow pits within the curve of the river and it side stophanks. The potential benefits of a scheme of this size are many and varied including for water quality, and not the least of which is the opportunity for the relationship of Mana Whenua with the location to be strengthened and for the public to re-engage with the river

#### 5. Much of the Auckland Region is rural.

In the Auck and Region, the largest use of land that drains to the Haurok. Guit Marine Park is grasslandf (that is, pasture) (97%). The next largest use of land is native forest

Hence, securrent mitigations applied to pasture and improving the bench of notive forest in the Arick and Region stand to be effective at reducing sediment loss, even in this perceived in than' area. To the coastal matine environment

A deed exists for universal adoption of good practice for all postoral levotic and colore sciently land, unitading smaller landowners, increasing areas of land are being used for infestyle" purposes with owners, who may have less understanding of the need for good sculbustrandry, riplan an management and erosion, protection

A revision for the fit is a set of the set o

<sup>.</sup> Available for purchase under its recover and as free powerbackst priprograms is adulated sector and recover and as geograms works as adulated

We knowled we are the <u>allocations was also good and the reament Natural resources.</u> We are s/Restoring a web and () really you own web and plan?



Map A4.5 Auckland East coast catchment landcover

#### 6 Chemical flocculants

Erosion and sed mention thol practices and methods scan bein goly effective at reducing sed mentloss from eachworking sites to streams and jultimately, the coastal marine area. Nevertheless, as noted by Basheriet al. (in predi), even with a securison removal efficiency in excess of 90%, levels of sediments in efficient and conoficies thanged from construction spesical still bell marked y higher than environmental guide mes and/or background concentrations in receiving aquatic environments? Euclidermotel typical practices are more effective at managing concise particles than they are fine particles, which lend to cause a wide trange of and more severe adverse effects in convostream receiving lenvironments.

Vacious chemical freatments may be used to reduce seducent loss from earthworks. For example, chemical freatments are used to bind seducents at source, and they also are used to florou ate fine sed ments, which enhances the incapture in seducent retention por ds, thereby improving effluent cubicy.

Bastleriet al. (In prep.) reviewed the use of chemical licentment applied to enhance erosion contropractices and found that is did not significantly improve the performance of traditional physical practices such as mulching and grass seeding. (Lithermore, the effectiveness of chemical heatment was found to reduce with time since application. In contrast, chemical flocculation applied in retention points was found to significantly lower turbitions and total suspended sectment in effluent. Studies of the use of the chemical flocculart PAC kin New Zealand showed good performance and, very importantly is greater difference to effluent quality discharged during larger events, when the performance of non-treated points is relatively door. PAC was also found to be of heneful coming writer, when the performance of untreated points relatively door. PAC was also found to be of heneful coming writer, when the performance of untreated points relatively poor. Basher et al. (In prep.) found that concentrations of residual a unminimization. PAC hearment are generally, but not always, below relevant water to build a unminimization.

Chemical floodulants are more-or-less routinely used in seducent retention poinds on large earthworks, sites in the Audsland region to increase bond efficiency at removing fine seducent from over and runoff from the site before it reaches streams and the coastal marine area.

Ensure control practices seek to manage seducent general outal soluter examples unduring the the of multiples and generating to protect pare and who stabilities and multiple seeding. Notimetry output practices, which include seducent received powers and subjects, seek to manage seducents in of them output fissing generating sec.

<sup>(</sup>Polyali mini un palorice (1901) is an allumut un paset lighte floerulant

Sea Change endorses the use of chemical flocculants in sediment retention ponds on all earthworks sites that drain to the Hauraki Gulf in order to reduce the loss of sediment.

7. Guidelines for controlling sediment loss from earthworks sites.

Detailed guidelines for controlling sediment runoff from earthworking sites have been produced for both the Auck and region, and the Walkato region, which are supplemented with training and education programmes for contractors, and regular news effects to keep abreast or developments and changes in rules. Warkato Regional Council note that, since their Suide thes for Soil Disturbing. Activities were published in 2009, there have been mony sign from changes and new inflorations. That are now being considered for inclusion in a revision to the Council practices, sectment retent on policy subtractions of their Guidelines that deal with sed ment control practices, sectment retent on poinds, subfences, hay bale barriers and devanting earth bunds as best practice has evolved. Auckland Council is a solutionent yupdating their Etosion and Sectment Control Guidelines for find Disturbing. Artivities in the Auck and Region. Auck and Council recurres that earthworks be done in the direst season, that appropriate sectment retention devices be used, and that earthworks be concluded with the provision of infrastructure to put in place erosion and sed ment control the ground.

#### 2. Discussion of nutrient objectives actions and priorities

#### Explaining nutrient objectives

#### Water-column nutrients

Why: High levels of dissolved outlier is in the water oplumic an increase phytoplankton growth, resulting in blooms at certain times of the year when growing conditions are favourable. Phytoplankton is an essential component of the matine fond web, but too much phytoplankton can cause problems such as discoloured and mucky water, smothering of the seabed, depleted dissolved oxygen and actor/cation of the water. Some phytoplankton blooms can be towin to humans.

Aim. Mainta o nutrients to provide optimum phytoplankton levels.

Technical Print sation gen available as

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>odprzywwa an doodroune i goverziel Nypianspoliciesprojects/reports/foronicalpriolications/Pages/Fechnicalpriolications/F</u> <u>rocusepx</u>

https://www.warkaloregion.gov/mz/earloworks/

 Objective WQ4. 80% of such call areas and coastal embhyments with undeasing trends in watercolumn ammonia-Ni runnte-nitote-N, soluble reactive phosphorus and local phosphorus fave the trend reversed with onsynats.

#### Explanation:

- If go levels of outrogen and phosphorus in outrecent chemical forms dissolved in the water column fuel phytoplankton growth
- Dissolved outlogen and phosphorus are routinely monitoried, and brends can be assessed from repeated measurements over time.
- Turning atound conceversing) blends that are concently increasing indicates a reduction in outpents that can fuel phytoplankton growth.

Where the objective applies: The objective applies to coastal an bayments (e.g., the Firth of Thames, Tamas) Stract) and subtidal parts of estuaries (e.g., in the middle of the Central Watternata Harbour around the entrance to Mahurangi Harbour).

Assessing achievement. Achievement can only be assessed at representative monitoring sites, which need to be selected in consultation with agencies. Additional monitoring sites will need to be established where the existing monitoring network is too sparse by assess the achievement of this objective. Warkato Regional Council, working from an understanding of regional issues and a review of Auck and Council is water-column increating monitoring programme incerts, issues to consider include rost, representativeness of sites, determining trends in water-column increases of water-column increases in water-column increases in water-column increases in water-column increases of a monitoring programme to be able to identify trends in water-column increases to consider include rost, representativeness of sites, determining trends given seasonal and climate variability and adapting monitoring to address issues that in goliphies in the rubure without compromising continuous program.

How the objective will be achieved. Monitoring outprent inputs to the coastal marine area and reducing where necessary.

Outcome: Phytop anktor at appropriate levels for supporting a diverse and productive marine food, web

#### Water-column chlorophyll a

Why: If ghilevels of chlorophy Latin the water on unit are indicative of high levels of phytoplankton which can result from excessive outrients dissolved in the water, and which can cause a wide range of problems, not using discoloured and mutisy water, smothering of the seaped, depleted dissolved oxygen and actorfication of the water. Some phytoplankton blooms can be toxin to humans. Phytoplankton blooms in late spring and summerias the water water slip and the summoves down over the souther the mispherel exact outring problems. Aim: I mit phytoplankton at the neight of the summer growing season.

 Objective WQS, Within rolyears, inforophylia in the surface water (rec, above the thermocline), of suchdal areas and obastal embayments does not exceed 5 mg m-3 during the summer when primary production is greatest.

#### Explanation:

 5 mg milot chlorophy laus recognised as a threshold above which adverse effects associated with excessive pumpry product on begin to be manifest.

Where the objective applies: The objective applies to coastal embayments (e.g., the Firth of Trames, Tamasi Straid) and subridal parts of estuaries (e.g., in the middle of the Central Wademata Harbour, around the entrance to Mahurangi Harbour)

The chlorophy La threshold may be exceeded locally at some sides, for example, fish farms, which should be given special consideration and not be included in performance, monitoring for this objective

Assessing achievement. Achievement can only be assessed at representative monitoring sides which need to be selected to consultation with agencies. Additional monitoring sides will need to be established where the existing monitoring network is too sparse to assess the achievement of this objective. Auckland Council and Walkath Regional need to betermine rational and tobust of tena for assessing achievement of the water-columnic hiorophyll alphiertive. Issues to consider include seasonal variation in primary production and oceanic upwelling, short-lived and introducent exceedances, representativeness of sides, cost, and acrounting for climate variable withoutly.

How the objective will be achieved. By achieving the Water-Column Numlents Objective - WQA.

Outcome: Fewer and less extensive and prolonged phytoprankfor blooms during times of the year, when growing conditions are favourable.

#### Water-column dissolved oxygen

Why: When phytop ankton levels are high due to excessive online its in the water op urbit classified, oxygen can be reduced, which is life-threatening to mailine animals, including she it shand fish

Aim. Fosure dissolved oxygen is maintained at a life-sustaining level.

 Objective WQ6. Within 20 years, dissolved bxygen concentration in subtidal areas and chastal, an bayments is no lower than 5 mg Ly.

#### Explanation:

5 mg . I of dissolved oxygen is internationally recognised as a "prevault nhary" imit to avoid catastrophic moniality events, except for the most sensitive plab species, and effectively conservel (monine biodiversity).

Where the objective applies. The objective applies to coostal embayments (e.g., the Firth of Thames, Tomaki Shait) and subtidal parts of estuaries (e.g., in the middle of the Central Wartemata Horbour, around the entrance to Mahurangi Harbour).

The dissolved-oxygen threshold may be failed locally at some sides for example, fish fairns, which should be given special consideration and not be included in berformance monitoring for this objective

Assessing achievement. Achievement can only be assessed at representative monitoring sites, which need to be selected in consultation with agencies. Additional monitoring sites will need to be established where the existing monitoring network is too sparse to assess the achievement of this objective. Auckland Council and Walkato Regional Council need to befermine rational and robust cuteria for assessing achievement of the water-column dissolved oxygen objective. Issues to consider include seasonal variation in primary production and upwelling, short-lived and intrequent exceedances inspiresentativeness of sites, cost, and accounting for climate variability (e.g., CNSO cyres).

How the objective will be achieved: By achieving the Water-Column Numerus Objective WQk and the Water-Column Chlorophy La Objective LWQ5

Outcome. Water-column dissolved oxygen at all times of the year that is profective of matine life.

#### 1. Firth of Thames

The Firth of Thomes is central to the identity of Francak. Mana When up it contains important customary commercial and recreational fishenes, includes a globally significant RAMSAR site, and is an advaculture focus area. All the same time, the Firth ecosystem has been profoundly changed by green-lipped mussel dredging that censed 50 years ago, and it is presently stressed by excessive outpretits and sed, percs

The Flitch is an example of a coastal embayment that has features that enhance susceptibility to eutrophication, it has a long residence time compared to the growth cycle of phytoplankton, and the upper water column is typically clear, which means primary production is not light limited. Furthermore, the water column becomes thermally) shattfied to the automat, which enhances the depletion of oxygen from the water column caused by rolling organic matter at the end of the growth. season. The problem is exacerbated in the lower water column below the thermocline, where contact with the atmosphere is shut off, which prevents re-aeration of the water.

A recent assessment has concluded that dissolved nitrogen and the proportion of small phytoplankton have increased in the outer Firth over the past 20 years, although they have been stable or slightly decreasing over the last 13 years of that 20 year period. Nitrogen from the land is one possible factor responsible for the higher phytoplankton levels. Other factors are oceanic sources of nitrogen and physical processes such as mixing and water-column stratification that enhance phytoplankton production through effects on nutrient and light availability. The available data show that the Firth of Thames is generally well oxygenated, but there are seasonal (autumnal) low-oxygen (60–70% saturation) events in the bottom waters in some parts of the Firth. Although there is no evidence that oxygen depletion has increased under the higher nitrogen and phytoplankton burdens, it is nevertheless prudent to manage nutrients to prevent it worsening in the future.

While total nitrogen loads in rivers draining to the Firth of Thames are significantly higher than in pre human times, they have been stable or increased only slowly for the past 20 years (Vant 2011).

# 3. Discussion of heavy metals objectives, actions and priorities

#### Explaining heavy metals objectives

#### Seabed heavy metals

**Why**: Heavy metals (primarily zinc, copper and lead) can build up in seabed sediments and become toxic to seabed-dwelling animals (shellfish, worms and crabs).

Aim: Limit the buildup of heavy metals in seabed sediments.

Objective WQ7: 95% of intertidal and subtidal seabed with an increasing trend in heavy metals have trend arrested within 15 years.

#### Explanation:

- Heavy metals in seabed sediments are routinely monitored, and trends can be assessed from repeated measurements over time.
- "Arresting" an increasing trend means flattening or reversing the increase.
- The 15-year timeframe recognises that seabed heavy-metal concentrations change slowly in response to changes in heavy metals from the catchment.
- Objective WQ8: 95% of intertidal and subtidal seabed with heavy-metal concentration above threshold effects level (TEL) have concentration below the TEL within 30 years, and 95% of intertidal and subtidal seabed with heavy-metal concentration above probable effects level (PEL) have concentration below the PEL within 30 years.

 Objective WQ0\_AL internidal and subridal seabed with heavy-metal concentration below the threshold effects level (TEL) remain below the TEL.

#### Explanation:

• Seabed heavy-metal concentrations above the TEL and PEL pose a threat to seabed animals. Where the objectives apply: The objectives apply to both internitial and sublicial seabed

How the objectives relate to each other: Objective WQ7 seeks to access increasing trends in seabed heavy metals within 15 years. Objective WQ8 seeks to reduce seabed heavy metals to specific levels within 30 years, and objective WQ0 seeks to prevent sites currently be now the TT. esceeding that level.

Assessing achievement. Antievement can only be assessed at representative monitoring sites which need to be selected in consultation with agent es. Warkato Reginnal Council, working from an understanding of regional issues and a review of Arick and Council's seabed heavy-meta monitoring programme, heads to assess the case for expanding the megional monitoring of heavy-metals to be able to identify trends and in be alert to exceedance of guideline concentrations issues in consider include cost and representativeness of sites. Additional monitoring sites in both regions will need to be established where the existing monitoring network is too sparse to assess the case for expending network is too sparse to assess the exertent of this objective. Additional monitoring sites may be established where the exist og non-tooling network is too sparse to assess the achievement of this objective. Additional monitoring sites may be established on all monitoring sites may be established to assess the for the exist of the catchment designated for fridere urbanish at will be vulnerable to heavy-metal runoff from at each the catchment designated for fridere urbanish on.

How the objectives will be achieved: Reducing beavy metals in urban storm water runoff; reducing discrarges such as antifouring contaminants from ports and marines reducing storm water discrarges directly into the coastal marine area.

Outcome. Seabed habitat that is not compromised by excessive, evels of heavy metals.

#### 1. Benthic ecological health

Why: An mais that live in and on the seabed (shell fish lotably worms and so on) under on the proper functioning of the water estuar ne and minime ecosystems and the benefits derived from those ecosystems by people.

Aim. Maintain and improve the health and functioning of the seabed – or "benth of – faunal

 Objective WQ10. No decline in bench decological health from present day and improvement in benchic ecological health at 25% or monitoring sites within 15 years.

#### Explanation:

- Renthucecological health" is assessed from measurements of seabed faunal Assessments focus, on species abundance and diversity, and the resilience of bench discriminations to withstand disturbances such as excessive secondents and heavy metals. There are different indicators of methods available for assessing benchicled og na health from monitoring data, some apply to interridal flats only, others are more generally applicable.
- Good be true ecological health means that things are right with the babitat and that shesson levelsteig, sed metric heavy metals) are low.
- Conversely a population decising bentric ecological health signifies that something is going wrong for example, buildup of heavy metals in the seabed.
- Any assessment of change the example is decline in benthic ecological health in a given monitoring year), will need to be judged against natural variability, which cannot be managed.

Where the objective applies. The objective applies to born intertical and subtidal areas.

Assessing achievement. Achievement canonly be assessed at representative monitoring sites, which need to be selected in consultation with agencies. Additional monitoring sites will need to be established where the existing monitoring network is too sparse to assess the achievement of this objective. Anot and Regional Council and Whikalo Regional Council heed to agree on methors and indicators for assessing pentric ecological health in intertudal and subficial habitats, and develop methods for assessing patural variability against which change can be assessed.

How the objective will be achieved: (1) Proferring seaded had rats from loss and physica disturbance, (2) Reducing sediment and beavy-metal runoff to the obastal matine area, (3) Achieving the Sedimentation Rate Objective WQL the Seabed Muddiness Objectives WQ2 and WQ3 and the Seabed Heavy Metals Objectives WQ7. WQ8 and WQ5

Outcome: Abundant and diverse seabed fail to supported by appropriate babitat, underprining the functioning of the wider estuar ne and marine ecosystems and providing a lange of benefics to people.

#### 2. Benthic ecological health

Animals that live in and on the seabed (she it shippings), whims and shiph) underbin the proper functioning of the wider estuary and marine ecosystems and the benefits derived from those ecosystems by people. Therefore ecological health fits assessed from routine measurements of seabed fairnal Assessments for us on species abundance and diversity, and the resilience of benchic communities to withstand disturbances such as excessive sed ments and heavy metals. There are different indicators or metrics available for assessing benchic ecological health from monitoring data some apply to intermidal flats only, others are more generally applicable. Once be track ecological bealth means that things are right with the baodat and that stressor levels (e.g., securients, beavy metals) are low. Conversely, a poor or declining benth dierological health signifies that something is going wrong, for example, buildup of beavy metals in the seabed.

Auck and Council assesses the benth cleological health grade from seabed monitoining data. The grade combines information on seabed muc content and metal concentration and the types and abundances of primals in the seabed. Sites are scored nominities that by to 3 (unbeality). In 2015, all halpours and estuaries had monitoining scess that were scored as only moderately bealthy and most had sites scored as unnealthy. Most sites near the older urban centres scored as unbealthy (scores of 4 to 3), particularly within the Waternata Horbour and Tamaki miet, where the issue is elevated concentrations of at least one heavy metal incovery, sites further away from urban Auck and were also rated as unrealthy, which was attributed to sedure trunch from orbit from orbit form.

#### 3. Urban water design

The Proposed Auck and Undaty Plan intends moving away from a focus of infrastructure and end-ofpipe management and lowards an integrated approach to management of landuse and stormwater discharges, including emphasison, water-sens five design (WSD), a lintended to result in achievement, of a wide range of environmental outcomes.

Provisions in the Unitary Plan designed to achieve a more integrated approach to land development and stor inwater management include:

- overainsing objectives and policies in respect of integrated management, WSD, water outlidy/flow, freshwater systems and bazards;
- controls on development landuse and discharges for water quality, hydrology and flooding;
- controls on such vision, including the application of WSD, management of development with in flood bazard areas and water dual dy/quantity;
- stormwater management recordements for integrated planning processes such as structure plans, and within-predict plans.

Water-sensitive design is central to minimising the adverse effects of stormwater runoff on freshwater and noastal ecosystems. To achieve this, WSD seeks to, amongst other things, minimise impervious area on individual sites by site design, clustering of noises, use of pervious paving and provision of open privagetated spaces, minimise the generation of contain nants, including by the use of building

<sup>.</sup> Clai dia Heliperg, Juri Mkypewiang David Meab Joné. And davdis viormwaler management under the Andkland Unitary. Plan Proceedings, Minumwater Conference poré, Waler New Zealand, 18, 26, Muy 2016, Melson.

materials that have a low contaminantly eld, and mitigating stormwater contaminants and curreft at orclose to source.

Sea Change endorses the concept of water-sensitive urban design espoused in the Proposed Auckland Unitary Plan. The principles, tools and technologies of WSD need to be used wherever possible in all municipalities that discharge into the Hauraki Gulf

# 4. Boat anti-fouling

Boat anti-fouling paints on trainfoxic substances – typical ycooper – that slowly leach our into the water over time, kulling organisms that alternipt to attach to the boat. A recent NIWA study found that and fouling paint is primatily responsible for copper occurrulation in sectments around marinas at concentrations that are above the guide rices for protection of marine life. Furthermore, as much cooper ils exported from the four marinas in the Waitemata Horbour as from inputs of stormwater from the entire cardiment of the Waitemata Horbour Alternatives to cooper based and fouling paints do exist. For instance, Rentunce: Drive-in Boatwash I school of fouling using brushes applied to the boat while it is contained in a peri, which contains all of the debries. Other innovations include ultrason include integrations of the skin of shorter, and contring brushes all of the debries.

# 4. Discussion of microbial pathogens objectives, actions and priorities Explaining microbial pathogen objectives

#### Enterococci

Why: Microhial pathogens (hocteria, viruses, protozoa) in human and an mail faeces are capable of causing illness and disease in humans that swim in polluced water.

Aim: Provide sate swimming for people

 Objective WQm, All popular swimming spots in the Haurok. Sinct to be in Microbial Assessment. Category 4 by 2030

#### Explanation:

- Enterodoccial elbacteria that are an indicator of faecal contamination. They are generally not harmful themselves, but they indicate the possible presence of partogenic barteria, viruses and protozoans that are associated with human and an malifaeces.
- Microbial Assessment Category Auspesignated when the Hazen gg-percentile of the previous graves of monitoring data is skep enterconcol per roo imit of water (MfF, 2003,1). This is the highest level of water concluding for batching.

Where the objective applies. The objective applies to a Loopular swimming spoks in the Hamaki. Sulf Assessing achievement: Warsard Regional Council, working from an understanding of regional issues, needs to assess the case for a beach-monitoring programme to be able to assess and report swimming safety. Issues to consider include cost and representativeness of sites.

How the objective will be achieved: Differentiactions depending of source proportamination, for instance, reducing wastewater overflows and eliminating storm water cross-connections, maintaining septicitanks, excluding stock from streams, controlling discharges from boots.

Outcome: Safe and enjoyable swimming experience at a Epopular swimming spots in the Hauraki. Guin

1. Innovative technologies for municipal wastewater treatment.

For many decodes, rougation ponds have been used to frept wastewater in most sinal and mediumsized communities. The conventional ponds work we hall removing suspended solids and reducing brochem colloxygen demand. They are cost effective and require little maintenance. However, when di comes to removing pathogens and nutrients, they are highly inconsistent if As an alternative to a costly upgrade to a mechanical system, dillow possible to upgrade conventional systems with an Enhanced Pond System (FPS), which uses natural physical, chemical and microbiological process to hent wastewater more effectively and cost-efficiently. The PPS consists of niselies of ponds that work together, covered anderopic bonds, high rate algal ponds, algal harvest bonds, a covered digester pondimaturation ponds and rock filters.

EPS provide significant benefits and advantages compared to traditional pond systems, the using improved natural disintection and outprent removal, with outprents being recoverable in the form of

<sup>.</sup> Microbiological Water Quality Guidelines for Warrue and Liesowster Recreational Areas, Microsog, odpr//www.mieigaztio//publications/treshwater/microbiological water quality guidelines matche and freshwarer recreation (Vetwork)

pripy; /waw piwa contriffreeowater and estillar cy/irestrwater and estillates, ipdate/irestrator, lipdate italseptemper

zong/mwatariyanget wasi ewater meatment.

NIWA estimates availableaux of PPS to be only a quarter those of dectromed voice, preatment systems reproving both. BODs and mitheolo, while operating eacy of UPS are less took boll.

a gal biomass, which in turn may be used for ferril ser, feed or biofuel reedstock, recovery of wastewater energy (by anaemoic digestion of wastewater solids and barvested a gae) as biogos, the ability to reuse the heater efficient, and reduction in greenhouse gas emissions. In addition, EPS take up about the same amount of land area, virtually eliminate sludge disposal, and produce less of our

N WA is outrently oblaborating with Warpa District Council to demonstrate, over a three-year period the use and benefits of a full-scale PRS at the Cambridge Wastewater Treatment Plant 1. A key componient of the system is the two is bectare nightrate algal points, augmented with chilbon croxide addition during the day to promote algal growth when use otten carbon-limited. The high rate algal points aerodically theat and temove numerics from the anaerobic point efficient through the programs, and a gal barvest prinds better energy as brogas and both entits as a concentrate digestate. Further efficient point to recover energy as brogas and both entits as a concentrate digestate. Further efficient point to recover energy as brogas and both entits as a concentrate digestate. Further efficient point bring is provided by maturation points and rock friend. With the entits and constate the WWTP is expected to exceed outling the demonstration period, and will varyseaso fally.

The main objectives of the Cambridge project are to shownase the technology by demonstrating efficiency and consent compliance, algal production and harvest, progas production, and the use of algal digestate as a soulfertilise. The expected benefits are many: cost savings product, and operating), reduced environmental impact, and future cultural benefits are many: cost savings product point providing habitat and a nursery for native fishce griefels, builles, whitebait) and inverteorates (e.g., koura).

See Change endorses the use of innovative technologies to improve the performance of wastewater treatment plants, and encourages small- and medium-sized communities to seriously consider new technologies when existing WWTPs are due for upgrade or re-consenting.

#### 2. Use of habitat wetlands in municipal wastewater treatment

A project between Ngåti Komki kahukural Ngåti Haua, Raukawa, Warkato Tarrini, Warpa Dishirti. Council and NIWA seeks to showcase a more culturally appropriate heatment of wastewater using

Craggy, B., Park, J., Suffer and D. and Feuerok, S., Zony, Leonemic construction and operation of neural observations and invariant of applied installed gravity (2019)3 (1997).

Craggy, B., Park, J., Feuberk, S. and Sulfierland, B., 2004. (Eg.) (See algaport) systems for the energy wastewater treatment in trient recovery species gy presed on New Zealand (2010). (B. Borany, 324), 300–73.

habitat wetlands. A compositation habitat wet and is being developed at the Cambridge WWTP being installed as the final stage of the Enhanced Pond System. The babitat wet and will further cleanse effluent by contact with the land, and will provide babitat for native plants, fish and invertebrates, some which could have economic value.

#### 3. On-site wastewater treatment systems.

On-side wastewater theatment systems, which are typically used on properties in rural on opastal areas, which are not serviced by severage systems, are used for the treatment or domestic wastewater, which includes water from the torief path room, kitchen and laundry? There are two parts to the systems, creatment and disposal. Treatment is primary (for example, by septic tanks) and secondary (for example, by septic tanks) and secondary (for example, by septic tanks) and secondary (for example, by accessed wastewater interatment systems). Treated wastewater is provide for their heatment and removal prioritical reactions and hiological processes in the solid provide for their heatment and removal prioritical reactions and hiological processes in the solid provide for their heatment and removal prioritical reactions and hiological processes in the solid provide for their heatment and removal prioritical nearbodie or plants growing in the disposal field take it water and nutrients. The disposal field needs to be primerly sized so that it does not become over loaded and there must be the means for distributing wastewater everily over the field in addition, the disposal field much separation distor the from surface water, drains and property boundaries.

Under-designed, faulty and poorly maintained on-side wastewater bleatment and disposal systems can policie goulodwater and streams – and eventually the coastal mainte area – with bit ogen and phosphorus, and create public beath risks due to escape of partogenic microbes.

Rules in the regional plan are the main vehicle for managing po-site wastewater discharges.

In the Auck and region, a building consent is required to install phaber a septicitans phother phase wastewater management system. A resource consent may also be needed for discharging the treated wastewater portoion into the land. Resource consents are typically issued for periods of io to 15 years and will have conditions which must be complied with, the using limits to the volume of wastewater discharged each day and standards for quality of the wastewater. The latter may include bota suspended solids, piochemical oxygen demand and faeral collforms. Typically, council officers will monitor compliance every five years, and there is a charge for this monitoring.

<sup>-</sup> Survice by the Walkate Siver Archard y Philippie information, go to bitp // suskeaupple cons/Archar groups/upplety/tablest wellane wastewater measurem/

nd przywwa au, kiepół o upuł gowi użył. Na wieść – o popuportyć obienie (pułdungstructures/bod utenie) zowastewater, naniegen putietru próf

The Warkato Regional Plan has permitted activity rules for existing on-side wastewater discharges new septicitank systems and new improved systems with secondary treatment. The conditions that must be satisfied relate to a number of design considerations, discharge volume, and discharge duality

A review by Trebilop et al. (2012) concluded that Waisard's permitted-activity conditions are liat least as rigorous as most other regions, and which adequately manage the effects of on-site wastewater discrariges". Nonetheless, they also identified some conditions that may onlibe stringent enough, and recommended that some review was required. Trebilop et al. (2012) noted that 1 The single biggest biostanding issue with on-site systems is wide-spread, ack of maintenance. Few councils in New Zea and have monitoring programmes in place it is suggested that where powerse effects do oncor from on-site systems, lack of maintenance is generally the primary cause cassioning of course that the system was connectly installed in the first place).

Lock of maintenance has been the message conveyed by the on-side industry in recent conferences in NZ and Australia lond is nearly a ways a central theme in studies about management of po-side systems". Further more, they noted if it is evident that the risk of adverse effects, increases as po-side systems become more numerous and older.

Sea Change recognises that there is a strong need for ongoing proactive planning to manage the key risk areas associated with proper design, maintenance and operation of on-site wastewater treatment systems. Councils need a system to ensure good maintenance of septic tanks; either a requirement for a regular pump out and compliance inspections, or incorporating it in the rates and the council doing it

#### a.Discharge of sewage from vessels.

The Proposed Auck and Undaty Plan (PAUP) recognises that the direct discharge of untreated human sewage from vessels reduces water quality and can have localised powerse effects on the values and uses of coostal waters. Sewage discribingers or totally offensive to Mann, who value the popstal marine area his topoga, and who recognise that the degradation of whole quality as a result of sewage discharge adversely affects the Marin or life force of the water. Furthermore, there is a wide range of potential adverse effects on coordinating is writting, toruism and aquaculture. The PAUP recognises that boots can be a problem in this regard, especially where they coordinate in another age areas with poor troat of coulation and limited rapporty to flush contaminants, and seeks to safeguard activities in costal waters from the effects or untited rapporty to flush contaminants, and seeks to safeguard activities in possible waters from the effects or under the disordy to flush contaminants, and seeks to safeguard activities in costal waters from the effects or under the effects or approach to be activities or approach and the cost and to be a problem on the seeks to safeguard activities from the states from the effects or approach activities from the states or approach activities and seeks to safeguard activities in operations and seeks to safeguard activities in operations activities activities and seeks to safeguard activities in operations activities activit

http://www.waikathi.egion.gov/uz/Page?ites/aggar/TEacurog.pdf

Three policies are proposed in the PAUE to give effect to two objectives relating to protecting the values of the coastal marine area and activities that rely on high water quality, and morifacting recreational and activities of the loner Hourak. Gulf:

(1) Avoid the discharge of untreated sewage from vessels with in areas that have been identified as inappropriate due to the proximity to shore, marine farms, marine reserves, or shallow water depth while providing for the health and safety of vessels and their ordinants.

(2) Repuire provision of sewage objection and disposal facilities for vessels at ports, marinas and other allied facilities, or at the time of significant upgrading of these facilities.

(3) Promote the installation of public to let facilities at high use boat ramps and boating destinations, at construction, or during significant upgrades of such facilities.

In the rules, standard D2 or 8-2 establishes that the discharge of untreated sewage from a vessel of

offshore installation will be permitted only where it complies with the following:

- a). The discharge must be in water depths greater than 5 m.
- b) The discharge must be more than 500m to 27 naulical miles) from mean high water springs.
- c). The discharge must be more than 500 m to 27 naulical miles) from an aduacy tyre activity.
- d: The discharge must be more than 500 m to 27 naulical miles) from a maradar reserve.
- e). The discharge must be more than 200 mito 108 naulical miles) from a marine reserve.
- f) Notw thstanding F2 (1.8 2 (a) to (e) the discrarge must not be utside two bead ands (point to point) of the following specific locations.
  - 1. Waitemata Harbour from North Head to Grake Whart
- II Mahutangi Hathour from Proding Island to Sadler Point;
- II. Bostacuet Bay Kawa, Island, from Browningg Port to Challenger Island,
- IV. Port Entroy Great Barner Island in side Paget rock in Man C War Passage to a line between the NET plot Kalkoura Island and Kotutu point;
- V Nagle Cove Great Barner Island from Torto se Head and Wood is and, on
- VI. Tryphena Harbour Great Barber Island from Tryphena Point to Bird Islet.
- g) Notw thstanding in harbonics, bays and emboyments listed in E2 2.5.2(f), during rough weather conditions when word conditions at the mouth of the harbonic, bay of embayment exceed: 5-15 knots untreated sewage may be discharged as necessary for health and safety reasons.

These rules conrespond to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations (1995) with an additional restriction of discharges in the bays and barbours listed in point (1). When it was onlified, the Plan included a limit of 12 km from the shore ine. However, the submissions and bearing process raised several issues with that approach. There were legal thatlenges to the ability of a round) to inhoduce a region-wide distance increase. Submitters also questioned the workability of the rules because dusid if cult to identify instances of non-compliance, some boots cannot be retrofuted with boilding tanks introduces official to occess pump-out facilities, there are safety issues with requiring vessels to move further offshore to discriving, and more operous requirements may actually lead to less compliance of the control is too difficult to comply with. The council charged as approach from a blacket distance increase to identifying particular barbours and bays where the other controls leave small goes where it was lawful to discharge but you dicause obverse effects. The independent life at ng Panel supported the revised approach.

It is expected that education about the discharge controls and promotion of holding tanks and pumpout facilities can be used to induce behavioural change among boating communities to further reduce discharges of untreated sewage

SeaChange endorses the policies and rules in the PAUP concerning untreated sewage discnarges from vessels. A priority is a review of the objectives, policies, rules and methods in the Waikato Regional Plan around discharge of sewage from vessels with a view to identifying any particular bays and harbours, where the Warine Poliution Regulations leave gaps and the controls should be strengthened as has occurred with the Proposed Auckiano Unitary Plan.

# 5. The need for integrated catchment modelling

The Haurak. Guit and os wider carchment constitute a complex and dynamic structure of natural and art on all systems that interact with each other like a grant, even changing puzzle. When making policy and management decisions about such a system, we often think about particular pieces of the puzzle. But there is a danger that decisions armed at nutromes for one part of the cuzzle will have up intended consequences for another part. One way of trying to overcome such issues is to develop an integrated model, that incorporates all the key features of the carchment, and the ways in which they unreliated with each other.

The overall quest on that this type of modelling thes to answer is **how do values change as a result of our policy decisions?** The figure below taken from a water on a try project, illustrates the process. In step one, we game rinformation that character ses all the values we associate with something to out case, this would be all those values generated by the traunax. Gulf Marine Park). Step two is to develop with a scenario that changes the system – this could, for example, de a regulatory constraint on some arcivities or their effects. Step three is to work out how this might be achieved, and given this istep four is to determine how those changes affect our values. Two broad approaches to this kind of modeling are described below.



Figure A4.1 Integrated Catchment Modelling

# 5. Extended Input-Output modelling approach

An induct-output' (or I-O) model is a method that has been used by economists to understand the changes in an economy by incorporating the way different industries interact with each other. For example, if a change happens in the dairy farming sector, this will also have how on effects on businesses in other sectors – including the incustomers (like dairy matufacture)) and the inscripters (like tertilise) companies, version agricultural consultants) and their communities schools, local services and shopping.

Input-output models can be extended to environmental features too. A study in 2006 developed a an I-O model for the Warkato region rowering all the industries in the region lout also incorporating information of land use, delivered energy, all emissions, and solid waster. It notes too that accounts for other natural resources and emissions (such as water use and discharges) bindiversity and solis) pould in principle, be incorporated.

The idea is to be all e to model scenarios of the future and look at the implications in a wider sense. For instance, looking at now the growth in forest planting in a cathement may impact on different industries, but also on discharges of sediment, water takes, carbon sequestration or habitat. It is instead, however, that while we have a well-established I-O model for the Walkato that covers the narrowly defined economy cano, incorporates land use), we do not have these other accounts at present - and developing them is neither a straightforward hor small undertaking.

Walkate Region Lonemy Phylicitatic Scill uns Report (2006) (echnical Report 2007/201

#### Constrained optimisation modelling - estimating the costs of policy

Anothe rapproach to catchment model ingrisito developian inobimisation impoel This approach allows for a model that provides information about how to manage a system solas to optimise some objective variable. Generally, there are constraints imposed on this system – for example, by biophysical limits, or by imposed by boll by choices. For example, we may choose to model a catchment according to the land uses and bin obysical processes that exist there. We you druge the model to determ neithe maximum profiles available from land use, subject to biophysical constraints can be below a remain level to achieve water as an input) and coll cylchoices (dischniges to water must be below a remain level to achieve water duality targets).

A recent joint project involving the Warkato Regional Council, the Walkato River Authority, central government, and DarryNZ used such a model ing approach to look at the management of outrient discribinges in the Warkato-Warpa catchment. This approach was subsequently plosed up and advanced by the Healthy Rivers/Wal Orapian change process.

The models described in the previous paragraph were used to provide information about the cost unterms of changes to the protitability of land use) of setting targets and units for discharges to the Warkard and Walpair versi Such an approach might be able to be developed to consider the costs of managing discharges into the constal marine environment. This would require models of representative landuise types to be developed – including information on the profitability of landuise the discharges associated with the different types of landuise, and the efficacy and cost of mitigations – and aggregated to the constale

If this approach was feasible, we could use it to help inform questions such as 'if we want to reduce sediment loads in the receiving environment by X, what mitigation actions will that require on the land - and how much will that cost."

It should be noted, however, that there are issues with this type of modelling. It is very data-intensive, and there is likely to be considerable uncertainly and dehate around some of the information inputs required (for example, estimates of sed ment loads from different land uses). The model also measures the cost of policy as a change in profitability of land use in the cases of utgan or conservation, and, which are not managed for profit, the optimismion approach would have to be a fered.

It should also be noted that this approach only considers the **COSES** of meeting targets – and only a subset of botal costs at that calced a very important subset in theeds to be considered a ongside other information about the benefits that are being achieved. In the Healthy Rivers/WollOrA process, this was done through a complementary integrated assessment approach, which essentially involved an experit assessment of the broaden effects of meeting targets and important.

# APPENDIX 5: CULTURAL HEALTH INDICATORS PIRINGA 5: NGĀ TOHU ORANGA Ā-IWI

A comprehensive and location/hapd-specific Cultural Indicators Framework is required for the Haurisk Guit Marine Park in order to properly implement Sea Change Tai Timu Tai Pao, Iwi/hapd need to be closely involved in the development of the Cultural indicators Framework. If will identify M5or environmental performance indicators. These will serve to bring together and better accommodate mana when up values. They will assist in determining carch ment impacts and enable the establishment of the system such that they control exist independently. for restoration and monitoring programmes. Cultural perceptions of the entire cultural indicators are the basis of the Kultural indicators. Framework, encouraging participation in monitoring programmes and transference of cultural knowledge.

- The Cultural Indicators Framework needs to be specific to different robe.
- InvictapE need to be closely involved in determining the threshold for the level of quality for natural resources, and to identify the attributes and measures for significant scenut its ing both cum that we and qualitative data.
- Criteria for selecting cultural monitoring sites should be determined by kruta kritar methodology, applied to case studies.
- Cultural indicators may be primarily a cichotomous choice (e.g. AF/KAO). Qualitative scales may also be used (e.g. Cultural realth indicator scale) and other Likert-type scales such as Pal Rawa (Ontstanding) to Are (Very Popi).
- Bin obtysical and Likert-type scale data oright to be augmented with narrative körero to add another layer of detail to assessments.

It is intended that local (w) and hopfi develop the cown ou tural (of rations) with support from agencies as part of the implementation of the Plan. Examples of social and ou tural indicators – to be revised following continuinty and mana whence engagement.

- Abdity for local babband marge to feed manufact.
- Number of times fishenes and swimming beaches are closed.
- Number of reported water-contact-related health issues.
- Community satisfaction with access arrangements for the Hauraki Guit Marine Park.
- Modulication or destruction of our rurally significant places.
- Ability of ocostal people to gather enough kai to feed their whatrail.
- Ability of local artisonal fishermen to make a living.
- Number of times kachaki have to restrict take from a local fishery.

#### APPENDIX 5: CULTURAL HEALTH INDICATORS PIRINGA 5: NGĀ TOHU ORANGA Ā-IWI

- Number of information to the storage for inlegal fishing.
- Afterdabudy of Hauraki Guitact vibes to mana when us, tourists ivisitors, and local residents.
- Gentrification and exclusion of the public and mana wher up across the Haurak. Guit Marine, Farku

Previously, mana when up stated expectations for involvement in monitoring and reporting, which include.

- Acknowledgement of and response to the bolistic nature of mana whenup world views, values and knowledge from traditional knowledge to contemporary knowledge.
- Mana when up tiken ga and matauranga in resource management, research and monitoring is, retoined, shared and understood.
- All tribal members, including koumàtua, kui a and rangatahi should be able to participate in resource management through koupada Måon environmental monitoring tools.
- Develop mana whe run capacity to be able to actively participate in and lead and/or partner with community, government agencies and other stakeholders in management, research and monitoring, programmes.
- Establish mana wher us leadership and collaborative relationships with other stakeholders in the Haurns. Our Mailine Park, enabling empowerment of mana wher us and communities and more effective environmental management, research and monitoring.
- Mana when us and wide communities establish bollst curregrated management approaches for restoration and monitoring programmes.

Previously-used frameworks can provide an in hal picture, such as Gall Tipa and Faurel Teirney's (2003: Cultural Health Index for Streams and Waterways Indicators for Recognising and Expressing Maori Values, developed with Ngar Tähu, and Garlh Harmsworthis (2002) Maori Environmental Performance Indicators for Wetland Condition and Trend.

Indirators relating to manif of waterways, mana when a and waterball, were gathered together in Kennedy and Jefrei es. (2005) Maori and Indigenous Environmental Performance Outcomes and Indicators and their (2005) Nga Mahi: Kaupapa Maori Outcomes and Indicators Kete.

Nga Mahi: Kaupapa Maori Outcomes and Indicators Kete 2 - Mauri of Water includes 5 indicators of mauri - protection:

- (ii) extent to which local authorities protect marine
- (2) extent to which tangets wherea protect marine
- (3) extent to which other agencies protect marine
- (4) extent to which actions of the wider community aftect main, and
- (5) physical evidence that main is proceded.

The obysical evidence and caters for ade characteristics of water, characteristics of the poloing environment, characteristics of obabitants, presence of pressures and threats. For each of these there are multiple measures, each with a set of criteria.

The qualitative nature of on tural indicators-derived data raises the expectation that an adequate level of on laboration with withoph will not it to evaluate natural resources util sing the proposed Mhor values framework. We recommend that entitles developing policy for Tai Timu Tai Fan work closely with rwithoph to identify the attributes and measures for significant sites of using both quantitative and qualitative data-deriving methods.

A robe-specific Cultural Indicator Framework can only be defined by mana whe run. The Tor Timu Tor Part process needs to engage with mana wher no to identify on tutal indicators, and to grow them capacity to engage in monitoring.

Criteria for selecting our usal monitoring for livel be determined by 'k' utalk' fail methodology, with a dual focus on babilat and taning aspecies, and armed at identifying impacts to main, determining best where monitoring efforts should go and ultimately developing optimum restoration approaches.

# Disclaimer

Seachange Stakeholder Working Group requests that if excerpts or inferences are drawn from this document for further use by individuals or organisations, due care should be taken to ensure that the appropriate context has been preserved, and is accurately reflected and referenced in any subsequent spoken or written communication.

While Seachange Stakeholder Working Group has exercised all reasonable skill and care in controlling the contents of this plan, they accept no liability in contract, tort or otherwise, for any loss, damage, injury or expense (whether direct, indirect or consequential) arising out of the provision of this information or its use by you or any other party.



# www.seachange.org.nz

Printed Deember 2016