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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Wynne-Jones, J.; Gray, A.; Hill, L.; Heinemann, A. (2014). National Panel Survey Of Marine Recreational Fishers 2011-12: Harvest Estimates.

## New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2014/67. 139p.

This report presents the results of a nationwide panel survey of over 7000 marine fishers who reported their fishing activity over the fishing year from 1 October 2011 to 30 September 2012.

The survey was intended as an improvement over previous 'phone-diary' surveys, with numerous features designed to reduce bias in terms of respondent selection, the effects of attrition, and recall accuracy. Features of the survey included: meshblock-based face-to-face recruitment, a frequent and adaptable contact regime with a SMS texting option, and a structured questionnaire administered by telephone to record fishing details. Full details of the methodology and its rationale can be found in a separate report (Heinemann et al. 2014).

The concept of this panel survey was that the same fishers remained in the survey for the whole year, in order that their whole year's fishing could be accurately recorded. Important to the survey's design was the method of recruitment. Fishers, whether avid or not so avid, were randomly selected thorough meshblock sampling (a form of geographical sampling) to ensure a robust sample with no 'self selection' into the survey - a serious problem with some fishing survey designs. Where people self-select into such surveys, this tends to result in the more avid fishers taking part, which produces harvest estimates which are too high.

1000 meshblocks in New Zealand were sampled and 30390 dwellings were visited. The screening response rate was $86 \%$ and of those successfully screened with one or more fishers, $90.8 \%$ agreed to participate. $84 \%$ of participants agreed to the SMS texting option.

Various techniques were used to ensure regular contact with the fishers. These included SMS texting, direct telephone contact and the use of prizes to encourage on-going participation. Although previous surveys relied more heavily on the participants filling in diaries of their harvest, this was relied on less for this survey. This is because people often do not fill these in dutifully which creates the possibility for improper recall to affect the results. Instead, this survey increased the frequency of contact and directly asked fishers about their catch relatively close to the time of the catch.

Collected data were expanded by recognized statistical methods to produce harvest estimates for the entire New Zealand population (aged 15 or older), for the whole country, by Fisheries Management Areas, and by Fishstock for a number of species. Estimated harvests of finfish and other marine species were converted to total harvest weight using mean weight data provided separately.

The total recreational marine harvest of all marine species, according to the methods of this survey, amounted to over 17 million by number. This included 8.7 million finfish and 8.3 million other marine species. In terms of finfish, the top three species accounted for $38 \%$ of all finfish harvested. The most common species by far was snapper, which alone amounted to nearly 27 percent of the finfish harvest by number. Of the other marine species, kina were estimated as the most common harvest, with over 2 million being harvested (but note that this estimate has a CV of 0.76 ). Next were scallops with an estimate of nearly 1.7 million harvested. Third were mussels with nearly a million harvested. Harvested estimates for commonly caught species are provided.

The report includes an evaluation section that suggests possible ways of refining the survey methodology in the future. It is concluded that the survey's methods appeared to be an advance over previous methods and able to produce more accurate and defensible harvest estimates.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

In order to sustainably manage fish stocks, fisheries managers need to account for all forms of harvesting, including fish taken by recreational fishers.

There are a number of different methods of surveying recreational catch. These include on-site surveys at boat ramps or shore sites, bus-stop (roving) style surveys, aerial over-flight surveys to observe boat activity, boat counts at ramps via observation or ramp cameras, and charter vessel reporting (Hartill et al. 2004). Some methods provide excellent counts of locally harvested marine species via direct observation and provide an opportunity to measure or weigh species. Others attempt to gauge fishing effort over time, or provide relative harvest estimates in one or more areas.

Each method has its advantages and disadvantages in terms of species and geographical coverage, measurement accuracy and scalability of results. However, the length of New Zealand's coastline, the sheer number of access points, and the need to measure fishing activity over time make it difficult and prohibitively expensive, to determine total marine harvest for all of New Zealand using such methods.

Off-site surveys offer a means of measuring all forms of fishing activity across large spatial scales to produce total harvest estimates. There are certain potential advantages with such methods, particularly in terms of geographical coverage, representativeness and thus scalability. Respondents can be asked about fishing over extended periods, especially when they are enrolled in a panel type survey.

There have been a number of attempts to conduct off-site surveys of fishing in New Zealand over the years. These include nationwide off-site surveys conducted in 1996, 1999-00 and 2000-01. Those surveys used telephone based sampling, routine telephone follow-up, and recall assisted by means of a self-completion diary. These historical surveys are generally referred to as 'telephone-diary' surveys.

However, there are potential difficulties with such off-site surveys. These include issues with the representativeness of the sample, biases arising from 'self selection' into such a study (e.g., systematic agreement to participate being related to fishing avidity), systematic attrition over the course of the survey, and the fidelity of any reporting (e.g. recall inaccuracy or 'telescoping' of events). There have been concerns over the final harvest figures provided by these surveys, particularly with the later surveys.

It is within this context that the National Panel Survey 2011-12, earlier known as the Large Scale Multi Species (LSMS) survey was conceived. Realising the potential for such an approach, but also the possible pitfalls, an improved survey method was developed to address issues encountered in past surveys.

The National Research Bureau Ltd, a specialist in large-scale social surveys, in close consultation with the Marine Amateur Fishing Working Group (MAFWG), developed and trialled an improved method (Heinemann \& Gray 2009, Wynne-Jones \& Heinemann 2010, and Wynne-Jones et al. 2010). This included a more sophisticated population-based known-probability sampling method. Features of the survey were: enrolment of a large panel of fishers to complete a survey over a 12 month period; an adaptable contact regime and use of cell phone texting to assist low burden and frequent contact with panel members, and a structured CATI (Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing) to standardise delivery of questions about fishing to the panellists. Although a 'diary' of sorts was supplied, completion was not insisted on nor relied on in the interviewing process. The new form of the national off-site survey is technically not a 'diary' survey and is more properly referred to as a 'panel survey'.

### 1.2 Survey Objectives

The overall objective of this survey was to provide estimates of New Zealand's total amateur marine harvest to inform fisheries management. It was important that these harvest figures be more scientifically robust than in the past and comparable with any repeat of the survey in the future.

Specific objectives were to implement a large scale multi species survey to estimate amateur fisheries harvest in Fisheries Management Areas and Quota Management Areas during the period 01 October 2011 through to 30 September 2012; to optimise the design to ensure that an adequate sample of fishers are surveyed according to age, avidity and location; and to provide absolute estimates of total amateur harvest on a Fishstock basis for all species recorded during the survey.

### 1.3 About This Report

This report presents summary results from the National Panel Survey Of Marine Recreational Fishers 201112. Although this document has a brief description of the method, readers interested in the development and details of the method are referred to Heinemann et al. (2014).

The main body of this report gives details of the outcomes of the recruitment phase of the survey and the resultant makeup of the panellists in terms of age and stated fishing avidity. The process and success in monitoring the panellists is shown and an examination of the 'drop-outs' conducted. A secondary survey of 'drop-in' fishers is also presented.

Key to this survey is the method of expanding the reported fishing by panellists to population estimates. Details of this are given here to better understand how the final harvest estimates were obtained (see also Heinemann et al. 2014).

A section on fishing trip data follows, with weighted data presented by week, method/platform and by FMA (Fisheries Management Area). The main output from this survey, the calculated harvest estimates in both number and tonnes, are presented for the whole of New Zealand. Harvest by species is shown by number, and for most species, by tonnage. Following this are various breakdowns for the species (by number not weight) including by FMA, by catch method, and by platform. Harvest estimates are also shown for 13 frequently caught species in a readily accessible 'one fish to a page' format. For each fish there is a summary of harvest (both number and tonnage) by Fishstock (defined by Quota Management Area, QMA), harvest (number) by method and also by platform, as well as bag size frequency by QMA. The appendices provide a detailed breakdown of harvest results within specific areas, and by fishing method (how the fishing was conducted, e.g. fishing with a rod and reel), and platform (from where the fishing was conducted, e.g. from a boat) and species.

Finally there is an evaluation of the new panel survey method approach which is intended to provide insights into the robustness of the data as well as potential improvements for future surveys of this type.

## 2. METHOD SUMMARY

### 2.1 Survey Design Summary

A detailed description of the methods employed for this survey can be found in Heinemann et al. (2014). An abridged version is presented here to provide sufficient context to understand the survey results. Key aspects of the survey's design were:

- Primary sampling of 1000 meshblocks drawn from 42946 meshblocks nationwide. Meshblocks are defined by Statistics New Zealand and are the smallest population based sampling areas.
- Secondary sampling of up to 32 dwellings/homes within each sampled meshblock. In total, 30390 dwellings were approached for this survey.
- Face-to-face interviewing of an adult in each selected home to screen for fishers (aged 15 plus) of any avidity from seldom to frequent fishers.
- Random (equal probability) selection of a fisher who was invited to be in the survey panel.
- The actual enrolment of 7013 fishers into the 12 month 2011-12 fisher panel survey.
- Panellists were instructed on the reporting requirements, given a main survey information brochure, instructions on SMS (Short Message Service) texting procedures and a web address with further information including fishing areas and species identification.
- Contact with fishers by automatic SMS or CATI (Computer Assisted Telephone Interview) at least once every month, but as often as weekly, to determine: a) if they had fished or not; and b) if they did fish, the details of their harvest. These details were always obtained by a structured telephone interview.
- Collected data expanded by recognised statistical methods to achieve harvest estimates for the entire New Zealand population (and by FMA, QMA etc.)
- Additional 'drop-in' survey of non-fishers to check on and correct for the harvest of any stated 'nonfishers' in the population who actually went fishing in 2011-12.


### 2.2 Survey Design Advantages

The development phase of the survey method was substantial and included a trial of text reporting, and a comprehensive pilot stage. It could be argued that the final design is 'state-of-the-art' and as robust as current technology and the budget allowed for. Claimed key advantages of the survey method are:

- Meshblock sampling reduces biases from working with samples based on listed/accessible telephone numbers.
- True nationwide coverage.
- 'Known probability of selection sampling' allows more accurate weighting of collected data up to population estimates.
- Face-to-face recruitment improves agreement to participate and allows physical demonstration of materials and procedures.
- Removal of reliance on a self-completion fishing diary plus user friendly contact methods (including a SMS option) that reduces respondent burden, minimises attrition rates and helps to maintain long term participation in the panel. There is no need to 'rotate' participants under such conditions.
- Overall higher frequency of contact, particularly with more avid fishers, reduces time between catch and reporting, thus reducing recall error.
- The SMS texting option allows a larger sample for the budget and provides instant and personal communication.
- The use of a CATI allows random allocation of interviewer to a fisher each call, reduces any interviewer effect, and ensures that a precise question stream is delivered - including verification and division of catch questions.


### 2.3 Schematic Of The Survey



Figure 1: Schematic of panellist selection and contact approach used in the 2011-12 national panel survey.
Figure 1 shows a schematic representation of the panellist selection and contact approach used in the 201112 national panel survey.

### 2.4 The CATI Questionnaire

NRB and the Marine Amateur Fishing Working Group designed the CATI (Computer Assisted Telephone Interview) questionnaire to deliver temporally and spatially resolved estimates of fish harvest. Improvements in the sophistication of the instrument were made by NRB during and after the pilot survey.

The purpose of the questionnaire was to find out from each respondent whether they had been fishing at all (using any method) in a defined period (usually a week or weeks), and if so, details about fishing effort and any catch on a day-by-day basis.

The routing (branching, skips etc.) was conducted by the computer and depended on the answers given by the respondent. The following gives an overview of the major routing:

- For each week the program asked whether there was fishing on any day.
- For each day, the program asked about fishing trips.
- For each trip the program asked details of each platform.
- For each platform the program asked about areas fished.
- For each area fished the program asked about fishing method.
- For each method the program asked if:

1. Nothing was caught or gathered.
2. Caught and all released or discarded.
3. Fish or other species were caught and not discarded or released.

- For each method where something was caught the program asked details on species caught.
- For each species caught by a group catch method (i.e., not rod/line, or spear fishing), there were further questions about any shared effort in catching them in order to isolate personal harvest.


### 2.5 Drop-In Fisher Survey

A random sample of 3000 'A avidity fishers' (claimed non-fishers) was drawn from all sampled homes where there was at least one declared non-fisher.

- 2621 from non-fishing homes.
- 379 from homes containing at least one fisher (B, C or D avidity).

A survey of the non-fishers (the Drop-In Fisher Survey) was conducted at the 6 month mark (close to the most likely summertime fishing) and again at the end of the main survey as a final check.

The method was a telephone interview with the interviewer following a structured paper-based questionnaire to record any fishing conducted. The question stream emulated that of the CATI questionnaire used to monitor the enrolled fishers. Data were collated and analysed separately from the main survey.

### 2.6 Survey Fishing Areas

In previous phone-diary surveys, New Zealand coastal waters were divided into 40 zones. These were further divided for the 2011-12 survey into 51 zones/areas in order to further delineate the boundaries of QMAs. Fishers reported catch within these 51 areas (Figure 2).


Figure 2: Fishing areas used by panellists when reporting the location of their fishing effort and catch.

### 2.7 Conversion to FMAs And QMAs

Table 1 shows how the 51 survey areas can be used to derive the generic FMAs (Fishery Management Areas) or species specific QMAs (Quota Management Areas for each species, used to derive the Fishstock).

Table 1: List of survey areas and equivalent FMAs/QMAs.


Species key: SNA=snapper, KIN=kingfish, $\mathrm{KAH}=$ kahawai, $\mathrm{BCO}=$ blue cod, HPB=hapuku/bass, TAR=tarakihi, GUR=gurnard, $T R E=$ trevally, $\mathrm{ALB}=\mathrm{Albacore}$ tuna, $\mathrm{SKJ}=$ skipjack tuna, $\mathrm{CRA}=$ rock lobster, $\mathrm{SCA}=$ scallop, $\mathrm{PAU}=$ paua.

## 3. SCREENING AND ENROLMENT OUTCOMES

### 3.1 Sampled Meshblocks

The geographical spread of the 1000 sample meshblocks is shown by viewing their location according to Territorial Local Authority (TLA). The numbers given in Figure 3 are the count of sampled meshblocks in each TLA. Table 2 lists each TLA name together with the meshblock count.


Figure 3: Location of sampled meshblocks within Territorial Local Authorities.

Table 2: List of Territorial Local Authorities and numbers of meshblocks sampled for the survey.

| Territorial Local Authority | Meshblock Count | Territorial Local Authority | Meshblock Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Far North District | 15 | Rangitikei District | 4 |
| Whangarei District | 18 | Manawatu District | 8 |
| Kaipara District | 5 | Palmerston North City | 19 |
| Rodney District | 23 | Tararua District | 4 |
| North Shore City | 49 | Horowhenua District | 8 |
| Waitakere City | 42 | Kapiti Coast District | 12 |
| Auckland City | 99 | Porirua City | 10 |
| Manukau City | 66 | Upper Hutt City | 10 |
| Papakura District | 9 | Lower Hutt City | 25 |
| Franklin District | 14 | Wellington City | 47 |
| Thames-Coromandel District | 7 | Masterton District | 7 |
| Hauraki District | 3 | Carterton District | 1 |
| Waikato District | 9 | South Wairarapa District | 3 |
| Matamata-Piako District | 9 | Tasman District | 12 |
| Hamilton City | 33 | Nelson City | 12 |
| Waipa District | 10 | Marlborough District | 11 |
| Otorohanga District | 2 | Buller District | 3 |
| South Waikato District | 6 | Grey District | 3 |
| Waitomo District | 3 | Westland District | 3 |
| Taupo District | 8 | Hurunui District | 4 |
| Western Bay of Plenty | 10 | Kaikoura | 0 |
| Tauranga City | 27 | Waimakariri District | 9 |
| Rotorua District | 17 | Christchurch City | 86 |
| Whakatane District | 9 | Selwyn District | 10 |
| Kawerau District | 1 | Ashburton District | 10 |
| Opotiki District | 2 | Timaru District | 13 |
| Gisborne District | 11 | Mackenzie District | 1 |
| Wairoa District | 1 | Waimate District | 3 |
| Hastings District | 18 | Waitaki District | 7 |
| Napier City | 14 | Central Otago District | 4 |
| Central Hawke's Bay District | 4 | Queenstown-Lakes District | 7 |
| New Plymouth District | 18 | Dunedin City | 30 |
| Stratford District | 1 | Clutha District | 4 |
| South Taranaki District | 8 | Southland District | 7 |
| Raupehu District | 4 | Gore District | 3 |
| Wanganui District | 11 | Invercargill City | 14 |

### 3.2 Outcome Summary

Within the 1000 sampled meshblocks, 30390 dwellings were visited, of which 24199 were successfully screened (i.e., a household member agreed to answer the screening questions) from which 7013 fishers of B, C or D avidity ${ }^{1}$ aged 15 or over agreed to be enrolled in the 12 month 2011-12 national panel survey (see Table 3). Over 80 percent of those enrolled agreed to text respond and the remainder agreed to report by phone.

Table 3: Number of dwellings visited and contact outcomes.

| Screening Summary |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dwellings Visited | 30390 |  |
| Vacant | 1777 |  |
| Household refusal | 1677 |  |
| No Reply | 1515 |  |
| Access Denied* | 667 |  |
| Unavailable ** | 203 |  |
| Language | 156 |  |
| Infirm | 105 |  |
| Not Available *** | 40 |  |
| Partial | 30 |  |
| Other | 21 |  |
| Screened | $24199$ |  |
| Enrolment Summary |  |  |
| Not Eligible | 16390 |  |
| Respondent Refusal | 589 |  |
| Unavailable ** | 76 |  |
| Not Available *** | 55 |  |
| Other | 45 |  |
| Language | 14 | * Gate, dog etc. |
| No Reply | 12 | ** Not in area during survey dates |
| Incapacitated | 5 |  |
| Enrolled | 7013 |  |

In the screened sample, 7809 households included at least one fisher and 3890 of these had one or more 'A Avidity' fishers (stated non-fishers).

### 3.3 Screening Response Rate

The screening response rate of $86 \%$ was calculated as follows:
The response rate calculations were based on the screening outcomes for all sampled dwellings as reported by the interviewers. The outcomes were allocated to categories according to Table 4 for each of the PSU's in the sample, $\mathrm{i}=1$ to 1000 .

[^0]Table 4: Categorisation of screening outcomes.

## Category

Interviews ( $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ )
Not Eligible ( $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}$ )
Eligibility Not Established ( $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}$ )
Eligible Non Response ( $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ )

## Outcomes

Interviews (I)
Not eligible (NE), Vacant (V), Unavailable (U)
No reply (NR), Access Denied (AD), Household refusal (HR)
Respondent refusal (RR), Not available (NA), Appointment (APT), Language (L), Incapacitated (INC), Hospitalised (HOS), Partial (P), Other (OTH)

An estimate of the eligible households within the $\mathrm{PSU}_{i}$ was calculated as:

$$
a_{i}+d_{i}+\frac{c_{i} \times\left(a_{i}+d_{i}\right)}{\left(a_{i}+b_{i}+d_{i}\right)}
$$

The response rate for $\mathrm{PSU}_{i}$ is the number of interviews achieved divided by the estimated eligible households.

$$
\frac{a_{i}}{a_{i}+d_{i}+\frac{c_{i} \times\left(a_{i}+d_{i}\right)}{\left(a_{i}+b_{i}+d_{i}\right)}}
$$

This reduces to the following:

$$
\frac{a_{i} \times\left(a_{i}+b_{i}+d_{i}\right)}{\left(a_{i}+d_{i}\right)\left(a_{i}+b_{i}+c_{i}+d_{i}\right)}
$$

The response rate for a group of PSU's is the average of the response rate for the individual PSU's, weighted by the estimated eligible households within each.

Applying this formula to the screening outcomes resulted in the final screening response rate.

$$
\frac{24199 \times(24199+1980+352)}{(24199+352) \times(24199+1980+3859+352)} \quad=86.0 \%
$$

### 3.4 Enrolment Response Rate

The overall enrolment response rate, calculated by the same method as for the screening response rate, was $90.8 \%$ (i.e., $90.8 \%$ of $86 \%$ ).

$$
\left(7013 \frac{7013 \times(7013+16466+708)}{(708) \times(7013+16466+12+708)} \quad=90.8 \%\right.
$$

### 3.5 Avidity Mix Of Screened Sample

Table 5 shows the raw number of those in the sample who agreed to be screened, according to the proxy reported fishing avidity of household members and their age group.

Table 5: Avidity mix of screened sample.

| Age Group (Years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TOTAL | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65-74 | 75+ | Missing |
| Unweighted Base | 51508 | 4515 | 4929 | 8002 | 9475 | 9035 | 6822 | 4822 | 3330 | 578 |
| A-Never/used to/gave it up/ retired from it now | $\begin{array}{r} 38780 \\ 75.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3355 \\ 74.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3712 \\ 75.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5907 \\ 73.8 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6748 \\ 71.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6384 \\ 70.7 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5076 \\ 74.4 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3942 \\ 81.8 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3105 \\ 93.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 551 \\ 95.3 \% \end{array}$ |
| B-Occasionally, but not more than 3 times a year | $\begin{array}{r} 6584 \\ 12.8 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 698 \\ 15.5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 679 \\ 13.8 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1100 \\ 13.7 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1434 \\ 15.1 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1314 \\ 14.5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 851 \\ 12.5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 383 \\ 7.9 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 110 \\ 3.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 2.6 \% \end{array}$ |
| C-Several times a year, about 4-9 times a year | $\begin{array}{r} 3858 \\ 7.5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 322 \\ 7.1 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 351 \\ 7.1 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 635 \\ 7.9 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 821 \\ 8.7 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 834 \\ 9.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 537 \\ 7.9 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 288 \\ 6.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \\ 1.8 \% \end{array}$ | 9 $1.6 \%$ |
| D-Regularly, 10 times a year or more | $\begin{gathered} 2286 \\ 4.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 140 \\ 3.1 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 187 \\ 3.8 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 360 \\ 4.5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 472 \\ 5.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 502 \\ 5.6 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 358 \\ 5.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 209 \\ 4.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55 \\ 1.7 \% \end{array}$ | 3 $0.5 \%$ |

The random selection of fishers ( $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ and D avidity) was taken from this sample. A further sample of nonfishers as potential 'drop ins' was later taken at the 6 month stage from the screened 'A avidity' household members.

## 4. MONITORING OF PANELISTS

### 4.1 Enrolment Rate

The start of the surveyed fishing year was 1 October 2011. However, due to some less than completed meshblocks and some backlog of entering the data, there was still some 'rolling enrolment' into the survey as shown in Table 6 below. Final enrolment was completed by the eighth week of the survey (i.e., the week beginning 14 November).

## Table 6: Cumulative total enrolments by week.

| Fishing Week | Enrolments |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1 | 4544 |
| 2 | 5511 |
| 3 | 5511 |
| 4 | 6952 |
| 5 | 6952 |
| 6 | 6955 |
| 7 | 6985 |
| 8 | 7013 |

The partial 'rolling enrolment' is of less importance for monthly reporters (B avidity fishers) and fortnightly reporters (C avidity fishers) who would not have fallen due for survey in the first few weeks in any case. However, in the first week, about 450 weekly reporters (D avidity fishers) were not available for surveying, and about 270 in the second week. The effect of this is that some fishers scheduled for weekly contact, simply had a fortnightly, 3 weekly, or monthly follow up to start.

### 4.2 Contact Regime

A number of considerations dictated timing of attempted contact with the participants - whether by text or by phone. One was their default contact frequency (in the summertime: weekly for D fishers, fortnightly for C fishers, monthly for B fishers). Another was their start week, which was staggered to even out CATI workload. Although weekly reporters ('wk') were always contacted weekly, fortnightly reporters were broken
into two groups ('F1' and 'F2') and half the sample contacted each week. Likewise monthly reporters were broken into four groups and one quarter of them contacted each week, as shown in Table 7.

Table 7: Contact regime (note that the F2 and M2 groups were selected at random to begin the contact regime in the first week).
Fishing Week
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

> Groups Contacted
> Wk, F2, M2
> $\mathrm{Wk}, \mathrm{F} 1, \mathrm{M} 3$
> $\mathrm{Wk}, \mathrm{F} 2, \mathrm{M} 4$
> $\mathrm{Wk}, \mathrm{F} 1, \mathrm{M} 1$
> $\mathrm{Wk}, \mathrm{F} 2, \mathrm{M} 2$
> $\mathrm{Wk}, \mathrm{F} 1, \mathrm{M} 3$
> $\mathrm{Wk}, \mathrm{F} 2, \mathrm{M} 4$
> $\mathrm{Wk}, \mathrm{F} 1, \mathrm{M} 1$ etc.

Where contact was not made with a person, they remained in the sample, week to week until resolved. When they were contacted, they were not just put back into the same group (unless weekly), but were given the next upcoming correct group with the promised contact frequency - e.g. if a F2 person was not contacted for several weeks, but then was - they were assigned either F1 or F2 depending on which provided the two week gap (so as to provide a minimum two week period between contacts).

### 4.3 Text Response Rate

This section reports on the success of the texting programme and is limited to those who had a cell phone and who agreed to this from initial contact ( $84 \%$ of the sample).

Text requests were sent to this group of fishers (texters) to find out if they made any fishing attempts or not (in their specific reporting period, i.e., week, fortnight, month). The fishers replied either YES or NO. The results of any fishing were still gathered by phone interview.

Following is their text response rate. This shows that these participants continued to respond at a high rate (over $80 \%$ on average) to the text requests throughout the survey (Table 8).

The initial improvement in agreement to text was partially a function of the resignations, but also to a deliberate effort by the interviewers to encourage texting.

Table 8: Text responding by week.

|  | Fishing <br> week | Texts out | Replied YES | Replied NO | Yes + No | \% Responding |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Dates | 1 | 2485 | 333 | 1632 | 1965 | 79.1 |
| 26 Sep - 2 Oct 2011 | 2 | 2206 | 278 | 1397 | 1675 | 75.9 |
| 3 Oct - 9 Oct | 3 | 2642 | 325 | 1782 | 2107 | 79.8 |
| 10 Oct - 16 Oct | $4^{*}$ | 2709 | 433 | 1657 | 2090 | 77.2 |
| 17 Oct - 23 Oct | 5 | 2660 | 434 | 1762 | 2196 | 82.6 |
| 24 Oct - 30 Oct | 6 | 2630 | 271 | 1883 | 2154 | 81.9 |
| 31 Oct - 6 Nov | 7 | 2646 | 398 | 1847 | 2245 | 84.8 |
| 7 Nov - 13 Nov | 8 | 2439 | 271 | 1779 | 2050 | 84.1 |
| 14 Nov - 20 Nov | 9 | 2764 | 317 | 2045 | 2362 | 85.5 |
| 21 Nov - 27 Nov | 10 | 2947 | 349 | 2080 | 2429 | 82.4 |
| 28 Nov - 4 Dec | 11 | 2641 | 339 | 1946 | 2285 | 86.5 |
| 5 Dec - 11 Dec | 12 | 2429 | 169 | 1945 | 2114 | 87.0 |
| 12 Dec - 18 Dec | $13 * *$ | 2694 | 336 | 1613 | 1949 | 72.3 |
| 19 Dec - 25 Dec | $14 * *$ | 2896 | 453 | 1610 | 2063 | 71.2 |
| 26 Dec - 1 Jan | 15 | 2579 | 605 | 1549 | 2154 | 83.5 |
| 2 Jan - 8 Jan 2012 | 16 | 2385 | 497 | 1598 | 2095 | 87.8 |


| Dates | Fishing week | Texts out | Replied YES | Replied NO | Yes + No | \% Responding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 Jan - 22 Jan | 17 | 2614 | 561 | 1743 | 2304 | 88.1 |
| 23 Jan - 29 Jan | 18 | 2808 | 508 | 1874 | 2382 | 84.8 |
| $30 \mathrm{Jan}-5 \mathrm{Feb}$ | 19 | 2624 | 447 | 1775 | 2222 | 84.7 |
| $6 \mathrm{Feb}-12 \mathrm{Feb}$ | 20 | 2365 | 457 | 1620 | 2077 | 87.8 |
| $13 \mathrm{Feb}-19 \mathrm{Feb}$ | 21 | 2593 | 424 | 1852 | 2276 | 87.8 |
| $20 \mathrm{Feb}-26 \mathrm{Feb}$ | 22 | 2757 | 329 | 2061 | 2390 | 86.7 |
| $27 \mathrm{Feb}-4 \mathrm{Mar}$ | 23 | 2517 | 188 | 2010 | 2198 | 87.3 |
| 5 Mar - 11 Mar | 24 | 2334 | 233 | 1831 | 2064 | 88.4 |
| 12 Mar - 18 Mar | 25 | 2486 | 261 | 1938 | 2199 | 88.4 |
| 19 Mar-25 Mar | 26 | 2664 | 218 | 2134 | 2352 | 88.3 |
| $26 \mathrm{Mar}-1 \mathrm{Apr}$ | 27 | 2433 | 249 | 1913 | 2162 | 88.9 |
| $2 \mathrm{Apr}-8$ Apr | 28 | 2243 | 303 | 1719 | 2022 | 90.1 |
| 9 Apr - 15 Apr | 29 | 2358 | 396 | 1716 | 2112 | 89.6 |
| 16 Apr - 22 Apr | 30 | 2550 | 363 | 1892 | 2255 | 88.4 |
| 23 Apr - 29 Apr | 31 | 2334 | 270 | 1786 | 2056 | 88.1 |
| 30 Apr - 6 May | 32 | 2148 | 225 | 1679 | 1904 | 88.6 |
| 7 May - 13 May | 33 | 2266 | 140 | 1880 | 2020 | 89.1 |
| 14 May - 20 May | 34 | 2441 | 158 | 1989 | 2147 | 88.0 |
| 21 May - 27 May | 35 | 1990 | 115 | 1625 | 1740 | 87.4 |
| 28 May-3 Jun*** | 36** | 1398 | 113 | 971 | 1084 | 77.5 |
| 4 Jun - 10 Jun | 37 | 1510 | 109 | 1221 | 1330 | 88.0 |
| 11 Jun - 17 Jun | 38 | 1747 | 111 | 1488 | 1599 | 91.5 |
| 18 Jun - 24 Jun | 39 | 1592 | 74 | 1283 | 1357 | 85.2 |
| 25 Jun - 1 Jul | 40 | 1373 | 66 | 1113 | 1179 | 85.9 |
| $2 \mathrm{Jul}-8 \mathrm{Jul}$ | 41 | 1513 | 72 | 1241 | 1313 | 86.8 |
| 9 Jul - 15 Jul | 42 | 1745 | 98 | 1412 | 1510 | 86.5 |
| $16 \mathrm{Jul}-22 \mathrm{Jul}$ | 43 | 1588 | 56 | 1290 | 1346 | 84.8 |
| $23 \mathrm{Jul}-29 \mathrm{Jul}$ | 44 | 1371 | 48 | 1126 | 1174 | 85.6 |
| $30 \mathrm{Jul}-5 \mathrm{Aug}$ | 45 | 1494 | 60 | 1237 | 1297 | 86.8 |
| 6 Aug - 12 Aug | 46 | 1717 | 54 | 1423 | 1480 | 86.2 |
| 13 Aug - 19 Aug | 47 | 1591 | 57 | 1294 | 1351 | 84.5 |
| 20 Aug - 26 Aug | 48 | 1365 | 103 | 1077 | 1180 | 86.4 |
| 27 Aug - 2 Sep | 49 | 1481 | 93 | 1201 | 1294 | 87.3 |
| 3 Sep - 9 Sep | 50 | 1689 | 79 | 1382 | 1461 | 86.5 |
| $10 \mathrm{Sep}-16 \mathrm{Sep}$ | 51 | 1558 | 59 | 1280 | 1339 | 85.9 |
| 17 Sep - 23 Sep | 52 | 1353 | 98 | 1067 | 1165 | 86.1 |
| 24 Sep - 30 Sep 2012 | 53**** | 5431 | 253 | 4314 | 4567 | 84.1 |
| Text out delayed one day due to Christmas day, New Years Day, Queen's Birthday. No reminders sent. *** Change to less frequent winter polling. <br> **** Fishers on all reporting scheduled finally polled to finalise survey. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.4 CATI Success Rate

CATI operators (between 11 and 23 depending on season) were trained and worked from home on the fishing CATI mainly between the hours of 5 pm and 9 pm , Monday to Thursday. For every interview obtained (recording either no fishing or fishing and details) numerous other calls were made (e.g., no answer, disconnected, busy etc.).

In Table 9, interviews 'Due for week' included YES texters (where we knew fishing had been attempted), and those where we didn't yet know about their fishing (those who did not text reply, or who don't want to text). Where a person could not be contacted, they remained in the sample - thus the 'Due plus overdue for week' number is mainly (and variably) higher than the 'Due for week' depending on the contact success rate.

Table 9: CATI success rate by week.

| Fishing Week | Due for week | Due plus overdue for week* | Completed via CATI | Not contacted this week |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1376 | 1376 | 592 | 784 |
| 2 | 1218 | 1699 | 771 | 928 |
| 3 | 1289 | 2271 | 987 | 1284 |
| 4 | 1498 | 2800 | 1073 | 1727 |
| 5 | 1365 | 2688 | 1414 | 1274 |
| 6 | 1100 | 2004 | 1415 | 589 |
| 7 | 1150 | 1571 | 1025 | 546 |
| 8 | 958 | 1311 | 880 | 431 |
| 9 | 1233 | 1500 | 1059 | 441 |
| 10 | 1243 | 1496 | 1072 | 424 |
| 11 | 1080 | 1269 | 916 | 353 |
| 12 | 791 | 1407 | 1047 | 360 |
| 13 | 1508 | 1719 | 1231 | 488 |
| 14 | 1596 | 1901 | 1322 | 579 |
| 15 | 1297 | 1654 | 1213 | 441 |
| 16 | 1088 | 1366 | 943 | 423 |
| 17 | 1336 | 1621 | 1195 | 426 |
| 18 | 1245 | 1509 | 1012 | 497 |
| 19 | 1133 | 1471 | 955 | 516 |
| 20 | 1039 | 1388 | 961 | 427 |
| 21 | 1171 | 1469 | 1051 | 418 |
| 22 | 1073 | 1329 | 947 | 382 |
| 23 | 841 | 1101 | 745 | 356 |
| 24 | 787 | 1024 | 766 | 258 |
| 25 | 955 | 1175 | 841 | 334 |
| 26 | 892 | 1127 | 779 | 348 |
| 27 | 888 | 1119 | 766 | 353 |
| 28 | 1026 | 1294 | 932 | 362 |
| 29 | 1000 | 1289 | 897 | 392 |
| 30 | 982 | 1114 | 806 | 308 |
| 31 | 864 | 1196 | 836 | 360 |
| 32 | 705 | 984 | 645 | 339 |
| 33 | 756 | 993 | 666 | 327 |
| 34 | 685 | 912 | 599 | 313 |
| 35 | 741 | 946 | 660 | 286 |
| 36** | 596 | 838 | 491 | 347 |
| 37 | 593 | 857 | 530 | 327 |
| 38 | 499 | 845 | 530 | 315 |
| 39 | 627 | 877 | 542 | 335 |
| 40 | 458 | 732 | 415 | 317 |
| 41 | 581 | 734 | 479 | 255 |
| 42 | 576 | 831 | 549 | 282 |
| 43 | 609 | 874 | 589 | 285 |
| 44 | 440 | 723 | 374 | 349 |
| 45 | 557 | 812 | 475 | 337 |
| 46 | 555 | 822 | 468 | 354 |
| 47 | 614 | 892 | 452 | 440 |
| 48 | 489 | 856 | 406 | 450 |
| 49 | 614 | 995 | 573 | 422 |
| 50 | 591 | 927 | 557 | 370 |
| 51 | 571 | 868 | 517 | 351 |
| 52 | 492 | 767 | 468 | 299 |
| 53*** | 2825 | 2860 | 2458 | 402 |

* This column is not just the sum of 'not contacted' and 'due for week'. This is because some 'not contacted' would fall due in any case the following week.
** Change to less frequent winter polling. *** Fishers on all reporting scheduled finally polled to finalise survey.

The results show the challenge of reaching participants. Over the first few weeks there was some slippage in gaining responses - the number of interviews 'remaining' was increasing, despite the interviewers achieving more and more interviews. After week 4, however, there was some gain and the number of interviews remaining (i.e., not done) started to decrease.

Realistically it is not actually possible to contact all those where an interview is needed. People are out, on evening shift, have their phones off, are on holiday, refuse to cooperate, or have lost or changed their cell phone. However when they are contacted eventually' all past weeks can be resolved (whether there was any fishing or, more commonly, none at all).

### 4.5 Final Response By Week

The survey response per week at the completion of the survey is shown in Figure 4. A 'response' included a 'No' via text, but where a 'Yes' text was received an interview must have taken place to 'count' (i.e., fishing details must have been recorded).


Figure 4: Participant's response by week.
As can be seen, the response per week for the survey overall was extremely high, only diminishing in latter weeks to around $92 \%$. Missing data in the final weeks can be seen as less critical with low rates of fishing in the winter.

A concerted effort was made throughout the survey to recover those lost to the survey because they moved or a changed their contact details. This included internet searching potential new addresses or contacting relatives who sometimes gave new contact details.

At the end of the survey, all but 23 of those 'resigned' from the survey were added back into the sample and an appeal made for fishing details despite their earlier reticence to participate. Many of these people, when politely requested, actually did furnish their fishing (or not fishing) details thus improving the response rate.

### 4.6 Drop-Outs

The following graph (Figure 5) shows cumulative drop-outs from the study from beginning to end. Dropouts in this graph, include those who no longer wished to participate in the study ('Resignations'), as well as those for whom we no longer had sufficient contact information to successfully make contact, or who were away ('Suspended').


Figure 5: Total drop outs by week and avidity.(Percent resigned or suspended by avidity group.)
Inevitably more people dropped out at the start of the survey, as they found out what the survey involved, and/or contact details were discovered to be incorrect. After this there was only a gradual but continual increase of total drop-outs. This modest drop-out rate is exceptional for a 12 month survey. This supports the proposition that it is possible to monitor the behaviour of most fishers for an extended period of time using the national panel SMS/CATI method.

At the peak point (week 42), there were 710 drop-outs ( $10.1 \%$ ) from the survey. The rate of drop-outs appears marginally higher with higher (stated) fishing avidity: B avidity $8.8 \%$, C avidity $10.6 \%$, D avidity 13.0\%.

A key point of interest in Figure 5 is the sudden decrease of drop-outs in the final week of the survey. This is because all possible participants with any contact numbers at all, whether they had resigned or been suspended, were put back into the contact sample in order to entice missing fishing information wherever possible. As shown by the final response rate, this tactic had a high degree of success. Many people, even if they had resigned from the survey did agree to provide their missing information (which may have been 'no fishing').

A closer examination of the data (Figure 6) shows that actual resignations (where people wish to withdraw from the survey) is the more significant of the two reasons for drop-outs.


Figure 6: Resignations and suspensions by week and avidity. (Percent resigned and suspended by avidity group).

### 4.7 Reasons For Resignations

The number of panellists classified as 'resigned' at week 53 of the survey was 397 . This included anyone that said they wished to quit the survey, plus the respondents we knew to be deceased. There appeared only minor variations in the propensity to resign according to sex (Table 10) and stated fishing avidity (Table 11).

Table 10: Resignations by sex.

|  | No. Enrolled | No. Resigned | \% Resigned |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Males | 5123 | 288 | $5.6 \%$ |
| Females | 1890 | 109 | $5.8 \%$ |
| Total | 7013 | 397 | $5.7 \%$ |

Table 11: Resignations by stated avidity.

|  | No. Enrolled | No. Resigned | \% Resigned |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| B Avidity | 3526 | 179 | $5.1 \%$ |
| C Avidity | 2183 | 128 | $5.9 \%$ |
| D Avidity | 1304 | 90 | $6.9 \%$ |
| Total | 7013 | 397 | $5.7 \%$ |

Contact was attempted again at the end of the survey with those that were 'resigned' (except for the deceased) to try to get fishing details. At the same time respondents were asked why they had resigned.

About half declined to answer or were not contactable. In total we obtained reasons/excuses for resigning from 191 persons ( $48.1 \%$ of the resigns), including the few deceased participants and a few that we had already documented (Table 12). Non-response was a mix of non-contactable participants and participants who refused to respond.

Table 12: Reasons / excuses for resigning.
(multiple response possible)

## Reasons for resigning

## Number of Mentions*

None known/no contact
Haven't fished** ..... 73
Claimed burden ..... 42
Don't fish ..... 40
Deceased ..... 18
Poor health ..... 16
Other (various) ..... 15
Because not the primary fisher ..... 9
Didn't see the point ..... 8
Going/gone overseas ..... 8
Sold boat/fishing gear ..... 6
Too old to fish now ..... 3* Multiple response and so do not total 397.** 16 also said 'don't fish' (i.e., don't fish plus haven't fished $=97$ or $50.8 \%$ of resigners).

Some of those that said they 'hadn't fished' could well have meant 'since last contact'. They could have fished earlier in the season and the 'non fishing' could just refer to the winter. Note that the fishing activity of a number of 'resigned' panellists was ultimately resolved at the end of the survey.

### 4.8 Contact Issues

Based on interviewers comments and examination of the contact database, the main reasons for the failure to contact panel members were:

- They refuse to reply - although they haven't resigned.
- One of more of their contact numbers is wrong.
- Failure to answer cell phones.
- Our call times may not suit.
- They have moved address or changed phone numbers without telling us.
- They may have left New Zealand (especially to Australia).
- They could be on holiday.

Not helpful to this project were a number of changes in the telecommunications industry during the time of the project.

Firstly, Telecom shut down the CDMA network from July $31^{\text {st }} 2012$. This meant that many people with cell phones on the old Telecom plan had to change to other suppliers/platforms - and often they did not provide their new number. It was not possible to determine in advance which phones might be affected by the CDMA network termination because of the new ability (unbundling rules) to 'port' telephone numbers to different networks.

Secondly, there was a significant market push by two new telecommunications providers, 2 Degrees and Skinny, into the New Zealand mobile services market. It is not known how many of the study's participants may have migrated to these new providers or whether they advised us of any new numbers if they did. However, provided NRB knew the numbers, the SMS Freetext system was able to work with all the providers except for the latest market entrant Skinny. There were no issues where anyone ported their number to a new provider, except to Skinny. Issues related mainly to unadvised changes of numbers, principally where there were no back up numbers.

The various contact issues required significant efforts to try and track participants lost to the project. These efforts included:

- White Pages check to see if better phone number could be found for the address.
- Sending of a self-completion 'Contact Repair Form' with a reply paid envelope asking participants to inform us of better contact details.
- Paperwork check to check if there were any phone number transcription errors - and to find backup contact details to trace the person.
- Ringing on a Saturday or on weekdays to try and contact those not at home weeknights.

Trial of the self-completion Contact Repair Form was not successful, with few sending these back.
At first it appeared that the White Pages would be of very limited help in tracking participants, and few matches or new numbers were found. Later in the survey a new technique was found which involved locating possible relatives by area, and related searches to obtain the participant's new number. This process repaired a good number of contacts.

Being able to remedy contact details, especially with people who move address is likely to remain a challenge for future surveys of this nature. Collecting email addresses of participants, only conducted in a limited way in this survey, would assist with this, as people often keep the same email address when they move.

### 4.9 Accounting For Non-Response

Treatment of missing data resulting from non-response was conducted after the survey's completion. NRB provided to the team's professional statistician a list of all respondents $(\mathrm{n}=397)$ for which there was one or more week of missing data together with any known reasons for the missing data. These reasons (held on the participant database) could include the participant being deceased, overseas, no longer contactable, refusing to respond, too sick etc. The intention of providing this information was to allow an informed decision on how to treat the missing data, whether by imputation or other statistical means.

## 5. DROP-IN SURVEY RESULTS

### 5.1 Response Rate

Table 13 summarises final outcomes for both waves of the survey after the prescribed six telephone calls.

## Table 13: Panel survey drop-in fisher survey telephone call final outcomes.

|  |  | Six <br> Code* | Description |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| I | Twelve month <br> Survey |  |  |
| NE or E | No phone number | $\mathbf{1 7 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 7 9}$ |
| E | Disconnected | 630 | 620 |
| E | Wrong number (incl. moved) | 175 | 265 |
| EU | Answer phone | 152 | 137 |
| EU | No reply | 104 | 122 |
| I | Interview - fished | 67 | 51 |
| E | Refused | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |
| E | Not available at time of call | 39 | 38 |
| E | Language difficulty | 17 | 18 |
| EU | Engaged | 11 | 9 |
| E | Other | 9 | 8 |
| NE | Unavailable during survey | 8 | 12 |
| E | Incapacitated | 5 | $14^{* *}$ |
|  | TOTAL | 3 | 1 |

* Key: I = Interview, E = Eligible but not interviewed, NE = Not Eligible, EU = Eligibility Unknown
** Includes 8 deceased

Note that there was a high rate of 'no phone number' for this survey because obtaining numbers from all 24 199 screened homes from which this non-fisher sample was drawn was difficult, as at the time of the initial contact there was only a low chance of a further interview being required. The rate of disconnects and wrong phone numbers is not unexpected, as many people would have moved house during this time. $13.4 \%$ of calls were to phones that were either disconnected or had a wrong number by the end of the survey.

Internal migration figures from Statistics NZ show that half the population changes address between one 5yearly Census and another, which loosely approximates to $10 \%$ in each year.

For the six month survey, from the 2370 possible calls where there was a telephone number, 1780 interviews were conducted ( 1720 having not fished, 60 having fished).

For the final twelve month survey, where there was a telephone number (including 10 new numbers obtained) 1709 interviews were conducted (1679 having not fished, 30 having fished).

The response rate can be calculated using the formula following. The letter codes are explained in Table 13.

$$
R R=\frac{I \times(I+E+N E)}{(I+E) \times(I+E+N E+E U)}
$$

The calculated response rate, assuming 'no phone number' as 'not eligible' (or 'out of frame)' was $\mathbf{7 6 . 6 \%}$ at the six month point and $\mathbf{7 3 . 1} \%$ at the twelve month point.

The calculated response rate, assuming 'no phone number' as 'eligible but not interviewed' was $\mathbf{5 9 . 4 \%}$ at the six month point and $\mathbf{5 7 . 0} \%$ at the twelve month point.

### 5.2 Fishing Activity

Of the A Avidity respondents surveyed, 86 (5\%), reported that they had in fact fished, despite them declaring themselves at the time of screening to be non-fishers (based on the final number of respondents contacted).

A summary of the fishing and personal harvest recorded from this 'drop-in fishing' is shown in Table 14.
Table 14: Drop-in fisher survey fishing summary.

|  | Non-fishers in <br> fishing homes | Non-fishers in non- <br> fishing homes | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Respondents contacted (at twelve months) | 223 | 1486 | 1709 |
| Fished | 18 | 68 | 86 |
| \% Fished | $8.1 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| Trips | 32 | 120 | 152 |
| Harvest trips | 18 | 70 | 88 |
| Finfish harvested | 34.5 | 337.63 | 372.13 |
| Finfish harvested per head | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.22 |
| Other marine species harvested | 0 | 412 | 412 |
| Other marine species harvested per head | 0 | 0.28 | 0.24 |

The number of annual trips reported by these 'non-fishers' was low and so was the harvest rate, with nearly half of the fishing trips producing no harvested fish. This results in the overall number of finfish caught per head being only about 0.22 of a fish (Table 14).

Fishing by A Avidity fishers in 'fishing homes' appears to be nearly double the rate for A Avidity fishers in 'non-fishing homes', bearing in mind sample size limitations.

Harvesting of marine species other than finfish (mainly shellfish) was conducted purely by non-fishers in ostensibly non-fishing homes. Non-fishers in fishing homes did not harvest any 'other marine species'.

### 5.3 Fishing By Platform

Around half of the fishing 'trips' were from land, although this figure was $72 \%$ for non-fishers from fishing homes, compared with about $48 \%$ for non-fishers from non-fishing homes (Table 15). Fishing from larger boats (including charter) was more common for non-fishers in non-fishing homes.

Table 15: Drop-in fisher survey trips by platform.

|  | Non-fishers in fishing <br> homes | Non-fishers in non-fishing <br> homes | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trailer boat | 7 | 41 | 48 |
| Large motor boat or launch | 2 | $16^{*}$ | 17 |
| Trailer yacht | - | - | - |
| Larger yacht or keeler | - | 4 | 4 |
| Kayak, canoe, rowboat | - | 2 | 2 |
| Land or jetty | 23 | 57 | 80 |
| TOTAL | 32 | 120 | 152 |
| * Includes 1x mussel barge |  |  |  |

### 5.4 Fishing By Method

As is shown in Table 16, the most frequent method of fishing by these supposed 'non-fishers' was by rod or line ( $83 \%$ ). Only limited types of fishing methods were reported in this drop-in survey. Hand gathering was only undertaken by non-fishers in non-fishing homes.

Table 16: Drop-in fisher survey trips by method.

|  | Non-fishers in fishing <br> homes | Non-fishers in non-fishing <br> homes | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rod or line | 22 | 104 | 126 |
| Longline, kontiki, kite | 9 | 4 | 13 |
| Net | 1 | - | -1 |
| Pot | - | - | - |
| Dredge | - | - | - |
| Hand gather, flounder | - | 4 | 4 |
| Hand gather by diving | - | 8 | 8 |
| Spearfishing | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | 32 | 120 | 152 |

### 5.5 Species Personally Harvested

The species most frequently harvested was pipi ( $\mathrm{n}=171$ ) followed by snapper ( $\mathrm{n}=161.5$ ) - Table 17. The pipi were taken by just 4 people, and the snapper by 32 people.

The range of marine species harvested by non-fishers in fishing homes was limited and did not include any shellfish.

Table 17: Drop-in fisher survey species personally harvested.

|  | Non-fishers in fishing homes | Non-fishers in non-fishing homes | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snapper | 23.5 | 138 | 161.5 |
| Herring | 6 | 68 | 74 |
| Kahawai | 4 | 46.3 | 50.3 |
| Terakihi | - | 30 | 30 |
| Red Gurnard | - | 22 | 22 |
| Blue Cod | - | 12 | 12 |
| Trevally | - | 7 | 7 |
| Kingfish | - | 5 | 5 |
| Butterfish | - | 4 | 4 |
| Skipjack Tuna | - | 3 | 3 |
| John Dory | - | 2.33 | 2.33 |
| Sea Salmon | 1 | - | 1 |
| Finfish Total | 34.5 | 337.63 | 372.13 |
| Pipi | - | 171 | 171 |
| Scallops | - | 80 | 80 |
| Cockles | - | 77 | 77 |
| Paua | - | 60 | 60 |
| Mussels | - | 20 | 20 |
| Lobster | - | 4 | 4 |
| Non Finfish Total |  | 412 | 412 |

## 6. EXPANSION TO POPULATION-LEVEL DATA

### 6.1 Estimation Method

The data on recreational fishers is collected from a probability based sample survey. Hence the usual method of estimating population quantities is to weight the respondent's data by the inverse of their probability of selection. Non-response at the respondent level (unit record level), occurs in two ways: households who refuse to participate in the avidity screening questionnaire; and people who when recruited to the panel refuse to participate. To account for this non-response, the selection (sample design) weights were modified.

The probability of selecting a sampled meshblock is:

$$
\frac{n M_{i}}{\sum_{N} M_{i}}
$$

where $n, N, M_{i}$ are respectively the sample size, population number of meshblocks and number of occupied dwellings in meshblock $i$ at the 2006 Census. The probability of selecting a dwelling within a meshblock is:

$$
\frac{m_{i}}{M_{i}^{\prime}}
$$

where $m_{i}, M_{i}^{\prime}$ are respectively the number of dwellings screened for fishers in meshblock $i$ and the number of occupied dwellings in meshblock $i$ when NRB re-enumerated the meshblock at the time of the survey. If there are $f_{i j}$ fishers in dwelling $j$ in meshblock $i$, then the probability of selecting a fisher is:

$$
\frac{1}{f_{i j}}
$$

The overall probability of selection is the product of these three probabilities and the selection weight is the inverse of this overall probability:

$$
\frac{\sum_{N} M_{i} M_{i}^{\prime} f_{i j}}{n M_{i} m_{i}}
$$

Since there is some non-response these selection weights are multiplied by a factor

$$
\frac{\left(a_{i}+d_{i}\right)\left(a_{i}+b_{i}+c_{i}+d_{i}\right)}{a_{i}\left(a_{i}+b_{i}+d_{i}\right)}
$$

where $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}, d_{i}$ are respectively the number of Eligible Responding Households, Not Eligible Households, Eligibility Not Established Households, and Eligible Non-Responding Households in meshblock $i$. This is the inverse of the meshblock screening response rate as discussed in Section 3.3. Call this weight the adjusted selection weight.

Although the median adjusted selection weight for fishers recruited to the panel was 106.60 with interquartile range ( $58.64,218.40$ ), there were some fishers with very large weights, for three reasons. Firstly, the meshblock they lived in had substantial growth in the number of dwellings so that $M_{i}^{\prime}$ was very much greater than $M_{i}$ and hence their ratio was much large than 1 . Secondly the response rate in their meshblock was much lower than average, for example $40 \%$ instead of say $80 \%$. Thirdly, they lived in a dwelling with many fishers. Although variability in weights contributes to the overall sample error, truncating the weights (which is known as winsorization) produces some bias. For the more commonly caught species (see Section 9), the impact on the estimates by these respondents with extreme weights was much smaller than the sample errors in part because there are a large number of fishers and trips contributing to the estimate ${ }^{2}$ so the weights were not truncated.

Some people refuse to participate after being recruited to the panel, but this non-response was adjusted at the calibration stage.

The above non-response adjustment controls for broad meshblock characteristics, for example, inner city dwellings may be harder to contact than suburban dwellings. But non-response also varies according to broader geographic regions as well as demographic characteristics (gender, age, ethnicity).

Having conditioned on these characteristics, non-respondents are usually assumed to be missing at random. These sorts of characteristics could be used to build a model of the probability of responding and these model derived probabilities could be used to further adjust the selection weights at the level of an individual. An alternative, which in practice has a similar outcome is to calibrate the respondent data to known population totals for these characteristics. The details of the calibration will be discussed more fully in Section 6.5. But the next paragraphs will give a summary of what is meant by calibration (Deville \& Sarndal 1992).

The basic idea behind calibration is an adjustment of the (non-response adjusted) selection weights derived from the inverse of the inclusion probabilities adjusted for non-response. Call these the design weights

[^1]$$
d_{k}=\frac{1}{\pi_{k}^{\prime}}
$$
(for respondent $k$ ). The adjustment is made so that the new weights, call these $w_{k}$, match known population totals of certain auxiliary variables, e.g. for age group or sex counts but are also as close as possible to the $d_{k}$ 's. In effect the $d_{k}$ 's can be expressed in terms of what are called $g$-factors:
$$
w_{k}=g_{k} d_{k} \text { or } w_{k}=\frac{g_{k}}{\pi_{k}^{\prime \prime}} .
$$

It is sensible to consider making the $g$-factors close to 1 by minimising an appropriate distance between 1 and the $g$-factors. For example, using the usual Euclidean distance we would minimise:

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(g_{k}-1\right)^{2}
$$

where the sum is over all the population. Of course we only have a sample so we need to minimize a sample version of this:

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\pi_{k}^{\prime}}\left(g_{k}-1\right)^{2}
$$

or

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_{k}}\left(w_{k}-d_{k}\right)^{2}
$$

Hence the $g$-factors are sample dependent. This quantity is minimised subject to the new weights, when applied to the variables thought to be related to non-response, summing to known population totals. For example, if $x_{i}$ is a (1-0 or dummy) variable which is 1 is the respondent is female aged 35-44 and zero otherwise, and the population count of such people is $t_{x_{i}}$, then the constraint is:

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{k} x_{i k}=t_{x_{i}}
$$

One disadvantage of the Euclidean distance is that the calibrated weights can be negative. A distance which avoids this problem is

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{k} \log \frac{w_{k}}{d_{k}}-w_{k}+d_{k}
$$

based on the iterative proportional fitting algorithm used to get maximum likelihood estimates in contingency tables, and this approach has been used for this survey. With this distance, calibration can be seen to be a generalisation of the raking ratio method of adjusting sample totals to census totals where there is an incomplete multiway table (Deville et al. 1993). For example, there is no sex by age by ethnicity table but only a sex by age table and a sex by ethnicity table.

With a panel survey, it is possible that a person responds for some weeks but not others, for example, because they cannot be contacted. Where possible, these missing data have been backfilled at a subsequent interview. Some method of adjusting for missing data has to be applied where this backfilling has not been possible. There are two possibilities. The first is to delete the person (and all the good information) from the sample and readjust the weights. The second is to use that person's or other respondent's recent information to impute for the missing values. This is discussed in more detail in Section 6.2.

With any survey item non-response can occur. For any time period during the 2011-12 survey, some questions may not be answered. Fortunately this was not the case with key variables such as species, platform, method and area. But some participants refused to give their age or ethnicity. including 21 stated avidity A, 8 stated avidity B, 8 stated avidity C and 6 stated avidity D. For 4 people recruited to the panel (stated avidity B, C, or D) we did not have a gender. So these missing values were imputed randomly based on avidity and the non-missing age gender or ethnicity distributions in the sample.

### 6.2 Treatment Of Missing Data

The people who did not give information for all 53 weeks that the survey ran can be categorised as follows.

1. People who exit the population: In the sample of 4126 fishers who fished at least once there are 117 of these $(2.8 \%)$. There are three ways this can occur: people who die during the year, people who migrate overseas during the year, people who move out of private dwellings, for example go to prison. These reflect the natural dynamics of the population. We do not capture births to the population, for example people who turn 15 during the survey, or who immigrate to New Zealand. This is for cost reasons. We might expect about 100000 such people in the population or about $3 \%$ of the population age 15 and over. In the screening sample we would expect to pick up about 300 such people of whom about $30-$ 40 would be fishers.
2. People who have not been able to be contacted or have resigned from the survey and where data are missing for too many weeks: In the sample there were 246 of these ( $6.0 \%$ ). The cut-off for 'too many weeks missing data' is somewhat subjective. Many of these people have long continuous spans of missing data often ending in a resignation, as opposed to long continuous spans of non-missing data interspersed with the occasional missing week. Hence the motivation for the cut-off was whether data were available from that person for the summer season (in particular over the summer holidays) when fishing activity is highest. This suggests a cut-off of about 23 weeks: week 23 of the survey being the end of February. It is usual in household surveys to identify key variables/questions which if not answered lead to the whole record being dropped and the non-respondent being imputed by adjusting the weights. For example, in the Statistics New Zealand Labour Force Survey, if labour force status cannot be established, the record is dropped (Statistics New Zealand, 1999).
3. People who we would not expect to have fished in the missing weeks: In the sample there are 194 of these ( $4.7 \%$ ). Essentially, this includes very avid fishers who have about one or two missing weeks, or not so avid fishers who have a moderate number of missing weeks.
4. People who we would expect to have fished in the missing weeks: In the sample there are 40 of these (1.0\%).

The imputation categories according to stated fishing avidity are shown in Table 18. For Category 1 people their weight is retained and they remain in the sample with no imputation for the missing records. For Category 2 people their weight is set to zero: effectively the same decision as a recruited person who refuses to participate at the outset. The expectation for Category 3 and 4 people is worked out from their activity during the weeks when they did participate in the survey. The probability of any fishing in a week is calculated by averaging over all weeks for a category, so this is potentially biased during the summer holidays. This is multiplied by the number of missing weeks and, if this rounded is less than 1 , they are assumed to have not fished during the missing weeks. So the Category 3 people retain their weight and no records are imputed. Category 4 people are candidates for imputation.

Table 18: Imputation category by stated avidity.

|  | Stated Avidity |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Imputation Category | B | D |  |
| 1. Don't Impute: death in pop | 62 | 40 | 15 |
| 2. Don't Impute Adjust Weights: too many missing weeks | 115 | 77 | 54 |
| 3. Don't Impute: Not expected to fish | 96 | 59 | 39 |
| 4. Possibly Impute | 17 | 13 | 10 |

Table 19 gives the (weighted) percentage of total fish over all species caught by people in the four categories for the weeks they responded.

## Table 19: Imputation category by catch.

| Imputation Category | Finfish <br> $\%$ | Non-finfish Species <br> $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1. Don't Impute: death in pop | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| 2. Don't Impute Adjust Weights: too many missing weeks | 0.5 | 1.2 |
| 3. Don't Impute: Not expected to fish | 2.3 | 1.3 |
| 4. Possibly Impute | 1.5 | 1.0 |

The imputation method to be used was a form of nearest neighbour imputation. The data used to determine the nearest neighbours were fishing area, species, platform and method. For a fisher with a missing week, their data for the most recent non-missing week was used to define the nearest neighbour classes. For example, if they caught snapper by rod in a trailer motor boat in the Inner Hauraki Gulf, we would look for other fishers who fished in the week of missing data with these characteristics.

Table 20 gives the number of different fishing areas, platforms, methods and species for the fishers we might impute.

Table 20: 'Nearest neighbour' parameters.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Fishing Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of areas |  | 1 |  | 2 |  |  | 3 | 5 |
| Number of fishers |  | 21 |  | 11 |  |  | 6 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Platform |  |
| Number of platforms |  | 1 |  | 2 |  |  | 3 | 5 |
| Number of fishers |  | 22 |  | 10 |  |  | 6 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Method |
| Number of methods |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 3 |
| Number of fishers |  |  |  | 23 |  |  | 8 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Species |
| Number of species | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 811 |
| Number of fishers | 4 | 13 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 |

After analysing the data it was decided not to impute the missing weeks for the Category 4 fishers, as there was insufficient appropriate nearest-neighbour data:

- Thirteen were in the top decile of finfish fishers or other marine species fishers;
- Nine had no possible donor including one in the top decile;
- Ten had only one possible donor including two in the top decile;
- Looking at the number of fishers in fishing areas by week we see a big fall off after the end of the summer season (week 31) and during July and August (weeks 41-48);
- Some fishers appeared likely to have genuinely stopped fishing: e.g., a fisher who last fished in week 30 at the end of the summer season, a fisher who last fished in week 49 that caught nothing, a fisher who last fished in week 21 (the second week of February) but fished frequently all January (otherwise only once in November), a fisher who last fished in week 27 (the weekend before Easter), etc.;
- In some cases the response to the survey appeared complete, e.g., a fisher who fished in week 53 for which there were contact issues for 6 weeks after week 1 .

The decision was therefore made to leave these records as they were (with no imputation), recognising that there could be a small undercount in the number of finfish or other marine species caught.

### 6.3 Variance Estimates

The method of calculating the variance for the numbers was to use a delete-1 jackknife (Wolter 2007) where the unit deleted was the primary sampling unit (PSU), a SNZ meshblock.

Suppose we have an estimator $\hat{\theta}$ of some population parameter $\theta$ based on the full sample. Then the Jackknife Technique has the following steps.

1. Partition the sample of size $n$ into $K$ random groups of equal size $m$. We assume that, for any given sample $s$ each group is a simple random sample from $s$ even if it itself is not a simple random sample.
2. For each group $k \in K$, calculate $\hat{\theta}_{[-k]}$, an estimator of the same functional form as $\hat{\theta}$ but based on the data omitting the $k$ th group.
3. Define for each $k \in K$, the $k$ th pseudovalue $\hat{\theta}_{-k}=K \hat{\theta}-(K-1) \hat{\theta}_{[-k]}$. This is motivated by the case of the usual sample mean estimator where the sample value $X_{i}$ can be written as $X_{i}=n \bar{X}-$ $(n-1) \bar{X}_{[-k]}$ where $\bar{X}$ is the sample mean for the full sample and $\bar{X}_{[-k]}$ is the sample mean for the sample with the $k$ th observation omitted.
4. Form the Jackknife estimator of $\theta \hat{\theta}_{[J K]}=\frac{1}{K} \sum_{1}^{K} \hat{\theta}_{-k}$ which is an alternative estimator to $\hat{\theta}$. The difference between these two estimators is the Jackknife bias.
5. Form the Jackknife variance estimator $\hat{V}_{[J K 1]}=\frac{1}{K(K-1)} \sum_{1}^{K}\left(\hat{\theta}_{-k}-\hat{\theta}_{[J K]}\right)^{2}$.

The estimator $\widehat{V}_{[J K 1]}$ is used to estimate $V(\hat{\theta})$ as well as $V\left(\hat{\theta}_{[J K]}\right)$. If the $\hat{\theta}_{-k}$ 's were uncorrelated then $\hat{V}_{[J K 1]}$ would be unbiased for $V\left(\hat{\theta}_{[J K]}\right)$. But in general they are correlated so unbiassedness does not hold. There are no exact results for the properties (bias variance, asymptotic distribution, etc.) of the Jackknife estimator and the Jackknife variance estimator for complex estimators, but empirical evidence suggests that it gives good estimates of sample errors for many complex statistics.

A little algebra shows that $\hat{V}_{[J K 1]}$ has an alternative representation as $\frac{K}{(K-1)} \sum_{1}^{K}\left(\hat{\theta}_{[-k]}-\bar{\theta}\right)^{2}$, where $\bar{\theta}$. is the mean of the $\hat{\theta}_{[-k]}$ 's. This is possibly a more intuitive way of thinking about it as a modified variance of the Jackknife estimates.

If the Jackknife bias is large then is it usual to use the Jackknife Mean Square Error estimator $\widehat{V}_{[J K 2]}=$ $\frac{1}{K(K-1)} \sum_{1}^{K}\left(\hat{\theta}_{-k}-\hat{\theta}\right)^{2}$ or alternatively $\frac{K}{(K-1)} \sum_{1}^{K}\left(\hat{\theta}_{[-k]}-\hat{\theta}\right)^{2}$.

Usually in the case of complex designs the naive Jackknife estimator given above is adjusted so that for linear estimators the Jackknife variance corresponds to the usual analytic expression of the variance.

For multistage sampling such as the National Panel Survey the random groups for the Jackknife technique are usually the primary sampling units (PSUs); meshblocks in the case of this study but quite often random groups of PSUs. For stratified samples one has to be more careful. One approach is to delete a PSU (or random group of PSUs) from one stratum only.

Because the non-response adjustment was carried out at the meshblock level this variance estimation procedure incorporates variability due to this process. The jackknife estimates were calibrated to the population totals. This means that the variance estimates include the variability due to different types of nonresponse in the categories of the calibration variables. As mentioned above there are two usual methods of calculating the variance: about the average of the jackknife estimates; and about the estimate. The latter has been used but because of the calibration these are effectively the same.

### 6.4 Fish Weights Employed

NIWA provided mean fish weight estimates for 26 species of finfish and 3 species of other marine species (Hartill et al. 2013, Hartill \& Davey 2014). These were based on fish measurements made during creel surveys of recreational fishers throughout New Zealand. In some cases separate mean weight estimates were provided for summer and winter. In other cases a yearly estimate was used which is a (weighted) average of the two seasonal weights. For the most commonly caught species there were often estimates for all or almost all Quota Management Areas (QMAs). In other cases the QMA weights are an average across all or some QMAs.

Final harvest estimates for a Fishstock were calculated by applying the appropriate (i.e. at the QMA level) mean fish weight to the respondent's catch count and then applying their calibrated weight and summing up across all respondents.

Because the weights of the major fish species also have measurement error, in theory this should be incorporated into the estimates of the weights. The samples to measure the species' weights is independent of the panel survey, so the usual estimator for a product of two independent variables has been used: if $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ independent then

$$
V(X Y)=E(X)^{2} V(Y)+E(Y)^{2} V(X)+V(X) V(Y)
$$

and hence the coefficient of variation squared (CV) is

$$
\frac{V(X Y)}{E(X Y)^{2}}=\frac{V(X Y)}{E(X)^{2} E(Y)^{2}}=\frac{V(Y)}{E(Y)^{2}}+\frac{V(X)}{E(X)^{2}}+\frac{V(X)}{E(X)^{2}} \frac{V(Y)}{E(Y)^{2}}=c v(X)^{2}+c v(Y)^{2}+c v(X)^{2} c v(Y)^{2}
$$

For the most commonly caught species the last term, the product of the CVs, is negligible because the CV of the fish weights are very small and the CV of the fish counts are less than 1 so that the product is negligible. The CV of the product of the fish count and fish weight typically increased the CV by $0 \%$, to $0.2 \%$.

### 6.5 Details Of Calibration

The intention was to calibrate the response adjusted selection weights to known population totals from the 2011 National Census of Population and Dwellings undertaken by SNZ: specifically by gender, age, and ethnicity at the regional council level. However, the 2011 Census was postponed because of the Christchurch earthquake and it was ultimately conducted on 5 March 2013. So the data were not available for estimation.

Instead, SNZ estimated resident population (ERP) data have been used. These data are accurate at the regional council level for coarse classifications of age groups and gender. The classifications by ethnicity are more problematic. The only reliable estimates are for the two broad classifications Maori and non-Maori which are published for the June year and for finer age groups.

As the panel survey started in October, the relevant population classification totals were provided by the September ERP. However, there is little difference between the estimates at the five-year age groups by gender, typically less than $0.5 \%$.

Another complicating factor is that actual age was not collected in the panel survey, rather age in age groups: "15-19", "20-24", "25-34", "35-44", "45-54", "55-64", "65-74", "75+".

So there were two obvious ways to calibrate. We could either model using variables coarse age group, sex and ethnicity plus coarse age group and region, or, fine age group, sex and ethnicity plus region alone. In model terms:
agegp $2+$ sex+eth, agegp2+region, where agegp2 is the coarser age group " $15-34$ " " $35-64$ " " $65+$ "
or
agegp+sex+eth, region, where agegp is the finer age group "15-19" "20-24" "25-34" "35-44" "4554" "55-64" "65-74" "75+".

Finally, in the panel survey some respondents refused to give their gender, age group or their ethnicity including 21 stated avidity A respondents, 8 stated avidity B, 8 stated avidity C and 6 stated avidity D. For 4 people recruited to the panel (stated avidity B, C, or D) there was no stated gender. So these missing values were imputed randomly based on their avidity alone.

The non-response adjusted selection weights by stated avidity have a Kish design effect (essentially 1 plus the square of the CV of the weights) of $1.176,1.411,1.564,2.162$ for the stated avidities $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$, and D respectively (Kish 1987).

Using the calibration increases these slightly to: $1.207,1.456,1.601,2.171$ for the first option and 1.175 , $1.459,1.662,2.185$ for the second option.

After some analysis, the second calibration option of fine age group, sex and ethnicity plus region alone (agegp + sex+eth, region) was chosen.

The "coverage" factors (how much the sample estimate is rated up or down to match the population total) for the regional council estimates and age group gender and ethnicity are given for stated avidity $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$, or D in Tables 21 and 22.

Table 21: Survey coverage by region.

| Region | Coverage | Region | Coverage |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Auckland Region | 1.12 | Northland Region | 1.29 |
| Bay of Plenty Region | 1.11 | Otago Region | 1.11 |
| Canterbury Region | 1.09 | Southland Region | 1.12 |
| Gisborne Region | 0.94 | Taranaki Region | 1.10 |
| Hawkes Bay Region | 1.12 | Tasman Region | 1.29 |
| Manawatu-Wanganui Region | 1.15 | Waikato Region | 1.10 |
| Marlborough Region | 1.20 | Wellington Region | 1.10 |
| Nelson Region | 1.06 | West Coast Region | 1.40 |

Table 22: Survey coverage by key demographics.

| Age group | Gender | Ethnicity | Coverage | Age group | Gender | Ethnicity | Coverage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-19$ | Male | Maori | 1.44 | $15-19$ | Male | Non-Maori | 1.24 |
| $20-24$ | Male | Maori | 1.33 | $20-24$ | Male | Non-Maori |  |
| $25-34$ | Male | Maori | 1.07 | $25-34$ | Male | Non-Maori |  |
| $35-44$ | Male | Maori | 1.09 | $35-44$ | Male | Non-Maori | 1.01 |
| $45-54$ | Male | Maori | 1.06 | $45-54$ | Male | Non-Maori | 0.91 |
| $55-64$ | Male | Maori | 1.26 | $55-64$ | Male | Non-Maori | 1.05 |
| $65-74$ | Male | Maori | 1.59 | $65-74$ | Male | Non-Maori | 0.12 |
| $75+$ | Male | Maori | 3.51 | $75+$ | Male | Non-Maori | 1.26 |
| $15-19$ | Female | Maori | 1.14 | $15-19$ | Female | Non-Maori | 1.09 |
| $20-24$ | Female | Maori | 1.29 | $20-24$ | Female | Non-Maori | 1.01 |
| $25-34$ | Female | Maori | 1.58 | $25-34$ | Female | Non-Maori | 1.18 |
| $35-44$ | Female | Maori | 1.08 | $35-44$ | Female | Non-Maori | 1.07 |
| $45-54$ | Female | Maori | 1.01 | $45-54$ | Female | Non-Maori | 1.22 |
| $55-64$ | Female | Maori | 1.81 | $55-64$ | Female | Non-Maori | 1.12 |
| $65-74$ | Female | Maori | 1.35 | $65-74$ | Female | Non-Maori | 1.15 |
| $75+$ | Female | Maori | 2.04 | $75+$ | Female | Non-Maori | 1.27 |

## 7. FISHING ACTIVITY

### 7.1 Fishing Trips By Week

The estimated number of fishing trips in each week, weighted to population estimates is shown in Figure 7. A 'trip' was self-defined by the fisher during the interview and is limited here to trips where at least one marine species was harvested.

The weeks shown are ISO-8601 weeks (Monday to Sunday). The first week of the survey was week 39 in 2011 and was a part week with only trips conducted on the 1st and 2nd of October counted. This is because the fishing year started on 1 October. The last week of the survey was week 39 of 2012 which ended on 30 September.

In the key, the numbers indicate the number of fishing trips of each avidity, weighted to population estimates. Not included are self professed non-fishers (A avidity).

In total, New Zealand fishers went on an estimated 2294839 trips (where something was caught) during the 2011-12 fishing year. The highest number of trips conducted in any one week was 147537 , which occurred in ISO week 1 (January 2 to 8 in 2012) and the lowest number of trips in a week was 5522 in ISO week 32 (August 6 to 12 in 2012). This is nearly a 27 fold difference in the number of trips between the busiest and quietest week. Fishing intensity would be expected to depend on many factors including the season, public holidays, the weather, the M.V. Rena shipwreck (and the consequent fisheries closure near Tauranga), and the 2012 bio toxin closure in the Bay of Plenty.

Note that the frequency of fishing trips is generally in line with the fisher's stated avidity (B low, C medium, $D$ high). An exception is in the winter where $C$ and $B$ avidity fishing levels appear similar.


Figure 7: Estimated number of fishing trips by week (excluding customary, commercial, catch and release).

### 7.2 Fishing Trips By Method And Platform

Where trips are viewed according to method and platform, it is evident that the most frequent method of fishing was by rod or line from a trailer boat. About 1044792 trips ( $42.6 \%$ of the total) were conducted in this way (Table 23).

Fishing with a rod or line from land was also frequent with $21 \%$ of trips conducted in this way. The range of trips conducted by the various combinations of method versus platform show how diverse fishing effort is.

Table 23: Number of fishing trips by method and platform.

| Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform |  | Rod/line | Longline/ Kontiki | Net | Pot | Dredge | Hand gather from shore | Hand gather by diving | Spear-fishing | Other |
| Trailer motor boat |  | 1044792 | 33529 | 13554 | 25074 | 20991 | 6646 | 93909 | 10759 | 913 |
|  | CV | 0.06 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.21 | 0.33 | 0.29 | 0.53 |
|  | \%* | 55.6 | 25.0 | 22.9 | 77.6 | 79.9 | 6.2 | 53.2 | 38.2 | 17.4 |
| Larger boat/launch |  | 183028 | 2576 | 123 | 1253 | 4104 | 455 | 9453 | 975 | 464 |
|  | CV | 0.08 | 0.34 | 1.01 | 0.43 | 0.30 | 0.60 | 0.24 | 0.38 | 0.82 |
|  | \% | 9.7 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 3.9 | 15.6 | 0.4 | 5.4 | 3.5 | 8.8 |
| Trailer yacht |  | 5000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 56 | 0 |
|  | CV | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
|  | \% | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Larger yacht/keeler |  | 25938 | 1637 | 1316 | 56 | 1002 | 0 | 2873 | 291 | 391 |
|  | CV | 0.19 | 0.41 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 0.82 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.59 | 0.75 |
|  | \% | 1.4 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 7.5 |
| Kayak/rowboat |  | 89322 | 9478 | 6697 | 1506 | 183 | 1838 | 3167 | 467 | 237 |
|  | CV | 0.13 | 0.46 | 0.34 | 0.72 | 1.01 | 0.53 | 0.33 | 0.51 | 0.66 |
|  | \% | 4.8 | 7.1 | 11.3 | 4.7 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 4.5 |
| Off land |  | 514177 | 85699 | 36904 | 4356 | 0 | 97998 | 66300 | 15501 | 2560 |
|  | CV | 0.05 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.31 | 0.24 |
|  | \% | 27.4 | 64.0 | 62.4 | 13.5 | 0.0 | 91.6 | 37.6 | 55.1 | 48.8 |
| Other |  | 16931 | 985 | 564 | 80 | 0 | 67 | 553 | 108 | 684 |
|  | CV | 0.16 | 0.54 | 0.40 | 1.02 | 0.00 | 1.02 | 0.54 | 1.00 | 0.36 |
|  | \% | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 13.0 |

*Column percent

### 7.3 Fishing Trips By Month And FMA

FMAs (Fishery Management Areas) are a set of areas defined for fisheries management purposes that are common across marine species. Note that FMAs 4 (Chatham Islands and surrounding waters) and 6 (SubAntarctic Islands) were not included in the survey and are therefore not included in the tables. FMA 4 (Chatham Islands) was not included because of difficult logistics and high costs and because it has been surveyed relatively recently (see Davey et al. 2011)). As FMA 6 (waters of the Sub-Antarctic Islands) is entirely offshore it is assumed to be generally outside the range of recreational fishing activity. The number of trips in a FMA indicates how popular it is for recreational fishing, which is influenced by factors such as proximity to population centres and attractiveness as a fishing area. See Section 2.6 for a description of FMA boundaries.

Table 24 shows that the majority of trips in New Zealand (57.9\%) were conducted in FMA 1 (East Northland, the Hauraki Gulf, and the Bay of Plenty). The next most common area, FMA 9, accounts for only $9.7 \%$ of trips.

Viewed by month, the seasonality of the fishing is shown. Rather less fishing is conducted from May through to September in each FMA.

Table 24: Fishing trips by month and FMA.


### 7.4 Fishing Trips By Method And FMA

Analysing numbers of trips by method and FMA shows that rod and line is by far the most common method in each FMA with usage ranging from $80.7 \%$ in FMA 1 to $65.6 \%$ in FMA 2.

In Table 25, variations in method usage can be seen between FMAs, e.g. hand gathering or floundering from the shore was more prevalent in FMA 3, FMA 5, and FMA 9. Hand gathering by diving was most prevalent in FMA 2 and FMA 5.

Table 25: Fishing trips by method and FMA.

| FMA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Rod/line |  | 1135009 | 157775 | 98008 | 20630 | 185260 | 119382 | 160023 |
|  | CV | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.12 |
|  | \% | 80.7 | 65.6 | 66.2 | 67.8 | 77.7 | 73.4 | 73.4 |
| Longline/kontiki |  | 87185 | 9726 | 1790 | 50 | 5176 | 16575 | 13158 |
|  | CV | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.45 | 1.01 | 0.35 | 0.20 | 0.47 |
|  | \% | 6.2 | 4.0 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 10.2 | 6.0 |
| Net |  | 19442 | 7905 | 8487 | 1393 | 5439 | 5574 | 10695 |
|  | CV | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.58 | 0.37 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.43 |
|  | \% | 1.4 | 3.3 | 5.7 | 4.6 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 4.9 |
| Pot |  | 7993 | 13811 | 5489 | 194 | 1892 | 1015 | 1875 |
|  | CV | 0.60 | 0.26 | 0.32 | 0.78 | 0.47 | 0.48 | 0.90 |
|  | \% | 0.6 | 5.7 | 3.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| Dredge |  | 9497 | 54 | 0 | 481 | 13045 | 46 | 3157 |
|  | CV | 0.51 | 1.01 | 0.00 | 0.49 | 0.24 | 1.01 | 0.39 |
|  | \% | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 1.4 |
| Hand gather from shore |  | 37649 | 9658 | 16642 | 3433 | 6623 | 10608 | 22277 |
|  | CV | 0.15 | 0.22 | 0.37 | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.32 |
|  | \% | 2.7 | 4.0 | 11.2 | 11.3 | 2.8 | 6.5 | 10.2 |
| Hand gather by diving |  | 92659 | 36332 | 14130 | 4016 | 16686 | 8296 | 4118 |
|  | CV | 0.36 | 0.13 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.31 | 0.24 | 0.34 |
|  | \% | 6.6 | 15.1 | 9.5 | 13.2 | 7.0 | 5.1 | 1.9 |
| Spearfishing |  | 13600 | 4562 | 2736 | 97 | 3928 | 837 | 2398 |
|  | CV | 0.33 | 0.28 | 0.54 | 1.02 | 0.61 | 0.59 | 0.94 |
|  | \% | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 1.1 |
| Other |  | 2627 | 679 | 812 | 123 | 444 | 282 | 167 |
|  | CV | 0.31 | 0.34 | 0.47 | 1.03 | 0.75 | 0.53 | 1.02 |
|  | \% | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

### 7.5 Fishing Trips By Platform And FMA

When trips are analysed by platform and FMA, further differences between the areas are evident (Table 26). Fishing from trailer boats was more frequent in FMA 1 and FMA 7. Conversely fishing from land was more common in the other FMAs.

Table 26: Fishing trips by platform and FMA.


### 7.6 Fishers By Area

The estimated number of persons who fished (at least once) in each of the FMAs is shown in Table 27. More fishers visited FMA 1 than any other FMA, by a large margin.

Table 27: Numbers of fishers visiting each FMA.

|  |  |  |  |  | FMA |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Estimated <br> number of <br> fishers | 268558 | 61832 | 42675 | 10427 | 47514 | 42336 | 57207 |
| CV | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 |

## 8. HARVEST ESTIMATES

### 8.1 Total Recreational Marine Harvest

The total recreational harvest estimate of all marine species in New Zealand for 2011-12, according to the methods of this survey, amounted to over 17 million by number. Of these, 8711916 were finfish and 8329 264 were non-finfish species (see Figure 8). For the purposes of this study, 'finfish' includes sharks, rays, eels and flatfish as well as true finfish. 'Non-finfish' includes shellfish, cephalopods, crustaceans, and sea urchins (kina).

Only (3.2\%) of the total recreational marine harvest was taken from charter operations. This figure is somewhat higher for finfish with $5.4 \%$ attributable to charter fishing and far lower for non-finfish ( $0.7 \%$ ).


Figure 8: Total recreational marine harvest 2011-12.

### 8.2 Finfish Total Harvest

Table 28 lists harvest estimates for finfish species in New Zealand for the 2011-12 fishing year. Mean fish weights were not available for all species and in this case, estimates of the numbers only of fish harvested are given.

The three most commonly harvested species accounted for nearly $38 \%$ of all finfish taken, by number. The most frequently harvested species was snapper with 4552908 or 4812 tonnes being taken. This amounted to $26.7 \%$ of the finfish harvest. The second most commonly harvested finfish was kahawai of which 1170324 or 1784 tonnes were harvested. The harvest of blue cod, the most common species caught in the South Island, was 682550 or 333 tonnes.

Table 28: New Zealand finfish total harvest by species.

| Species | Fishers (n) | Events (n) | Harvest (n) | CV | Mean <br> Weight (kg) | Harvest (tonnes) | CV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snapper | 2212 | 9275 | 4552908 | 0.07 | 1.06 | 4812.15 | 0.07 |
| Kahawai | 1670 | 4351 | 1170324 | 0.05 | 1.53 | 1784.83 | 0.05 |
| Cod Blue | 612 | 1583 | 682550 | 0.10 | 0.49 | 333.05 | 0.10 |
| Gurnard Red | 703 | 1586 | 430531 | 0.10 | 0.47 | 202.57 | 0.10 |
| Tarakihi | 417 | 907 | 361256 | 0.14 | 0.66 | 238.78 | 0.14 |
| Trevally | 468 | 827 | 173762 | 0.10 | 1.20 | 209.24 | 0.09 |
| Sea perch | 141 | 294 | 160581 | 0.20 | 0.49 | 78.44 | 0.20 |
| Flounder/Sole/other flatfish | 138 | 319 | 143619 | 0.21 | 0.41 | 58.92 | 0.21 |
| Mullet Yellow Eyed/Herring | 186 | 305 | 125972 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 25.17 | 0.15 |
| Mackerel Jack Mackerel | 136 | 230 | 121116 | 0.20 | 0.35 | 42.43 | 0.21 |
| Butterfish | 69 | 178 | 69831 | 0.34 | 1.10 | 76.90 | 0.33 |
| Kingfish | 284 | 435 | 64700 | 0.11 | 10.23 | 662.12 | 0.11 |
| Rig Shark | 159 | 241 | 47718 | 0.14 | 1.09 | 52.05 | 0.14 |
| Tuna Skipjack | 68 | 103 | 41182 | 0.23 | 2.24 | 92.08 | 0.23 |
| Barracouta | 133 | 197 | 39652 | 0.18 | 2.14 | 85.05 | 0.18 |
| Mullet Grey | 49 | 74 | 38127 | 0.35 | 0.92 | 35.17 | 0.35 |
| Hapuku/Bass | 117 | 167 | 37502 | 0.18 | 5.85 | 219.54 | 0.18 |
| Cod Red | 129 | 184 | 33963 | 0.13 | 1.15 | 39.00 | 0.13 |
| Mackerel Blue/Slimy/English | 42 | 56 | 32976 | 0.25 | 1.04 | 34.25 | 0.25 |
| John Dory | 171 | 227 | 32303 | 0.12 | 1.25 | 40.30 | 0.12 |
| Blue Maomao | 62 | 84 | 31488 | 0.27 | - | - | - |
| School shark | 95 | 160 | 30555 | 0.17 | - | - | - |
| Blue Moki | 50 | 118 | 27926 | 0.28 | 2.03 | 56.58 | 0.28 |
| Pilchard | 24 | 33 | 23231 | 0.47 | - | - | - |
| Garfish | 17 | 25 | 23123 | 0.53 | - | - | - |
| Spiny Dogfish Shark | 97 | 119 | 22200 | 0.19 | 1.02 | 22.60 | 0.19 |
| Tuna Albacore | 51 | 77 | 21898 | 0.21 | 4.21 | 92.09 | 0.21 |
| Eels (Not elsewhere included) | 29 | 49 | 19621 | 0.36 | - | - | - |
| Porae | 50 | 71 | 15004 | 0.24 | 1.24 | 18.61 | 0.24 |
| Bream/Brim* | 13 | 17 | 14070 | 0.48 | - | - | - |
| Stingray | 46 | 59 | 11053 | 0.40 | - | - | - |
| Spotty/Paketi | 26 | 32 | 9055 | 0.39 | - | - | - |
| Bluenose | 20 | 32 | 7784 | 0.33 | 4.47 | 34.82 | 0.33 |
| Trumpeter | 33 | 44 | 6548 | 0.26 | 1.40 | 9.20 | 0.26 |
| Elephant Fish | 24 | 47 | 6198 | 0.34 | - | - | - |
| Rock Cod | 25 | 28 | 5252 | 0.27 | - | - | - |
| Maori Chief | 12 | 12 | 4574 | 0.41 | - | - | - |
| Wrasse | 20 | 27 | 4511 | 0.28 | - | - | - |
| Parore | 8 | 13 | 4328 | 0.50 | - | - | - |
| Parrot Fish/Wrasse* | 19 | 24 | 4276 | 0.47 | - | - | - |
| Koheru | 7 | 13 | 3834 | 0.58 | - | - | - |
| Sand Shark | 10 | 18 | 3719 | 0.54 | - | - | - |
| Moki (Not elsewhere included) | 9 | 10 | 2976 | 0.49 | - | - | - |
| Leatherjacket | 14 | 19 | 2936 | 0.42 | - | - | - |
| Gemfish | 12 | 17 | 2889 | 0.39 | - | - | - |
| Salmon | 15 | 25 | 2824 | 0.37 | - | - | - |
| Kelpie | 11 | 14 | 2742 | 0.50 | - | - | - |
| Trout/Sea Trout | 8 | 15 | 2 | 0.49 | - | - | - |
| Pigfish | 10 | 13 | 2247 | 0.40 | - | - | - |
| Perch | 9 | 13 | 2247 | 0.46 | - | - | - |
| Warehou | 2 | 8 | 1968 | 0.80 | - | - | - |
| Red Moki | 14 | 15 | 1853 | 0.29 | - | - | - |
| Hammerhead Shark | 10 | 12 | 1429 | 0.34 | - | - | - |
| Ling | 7 | 9 | 1333 | 0.48 | - | - | - |
| Marlin | 3 | 5 | 985 | 0.65 | - | - | - |
| Bronze Whaler Shark | 5 | 5 | 570 | 0.52 | - | - | - |
| Stargazer/Monkfish | 4 | 5 | 534 | 0.65 | - | - | - |
| Mako Shark | 5 | 6 | 529 | 0.51 | - | - | - |
| Conger Eel | 7 | 7 | 488 | 0.41 | - | - | - |
| Carpet Shark | 3 | 5 | 452 | 0.67 | - | - | - |
| Other Finfish | 80 | 100 | 19374 | 0.16 | - | - | - |
| * Fisher's description |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 8.3 Non-Finfish Total Harvest

Table 29 gives a breakdown of the non-finfish species harvest estimates. According to this study's estimates, kina were the most commonly harvested non fin-fish species with an estimated 2279476 kina harvested in the 2011-12 fishing year. Scallops were the next most frequent species recorded with an estimated harvest of 1669681 or 184 tonnes.

Harvest figures for the very high value rock lobster were 226271 or 186 tonnes.

Table 29: New Zealand total non-finfish harvest by species.

|  | Fishers (n) | Events (n) | Harvest <br> (n) | CV | Mean Weight (kg) | Harvest (tonnes) | CV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kina * | 119 | 246 | 2279476 | 0.76 | - | - | - |
| Scallops | 209 | 479 | 1669681 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 184.79 | 0.15 |
| Mussel | 152 | 263 | 983347 | 0.19 | - | - | - |
| Tuatua | 58 | 119 | 869751 | 0.26 | - | - | - |
| Cockles | 66 | 105 | 734742 | 0.40 | - | - | - |
| Pipi | 90 | 133 | 622288 | 0.20 | - | - | - |
| Paua | 286 | 644 | 525634 | 0.11 | 0.28 | 148.82 | 0.11 |
| Oyster | 50 | 69 | 303190 | 0.34 | - | - | - |
| Crayfish/Lobster Spiny/Red | 252 | 735 | 226271 | 0.11 | 0.82 | 185.66 | 0.11 |
| Puupuu/Cats Eye/Cooks Turban | 11 | 16 | 38304 | 0.46 | - | - | - |
| Crab | 25 | 31 | 16749 | 0.37 | - | - | - |
| Paua Yellow Foot | 16 | 20 | 14076 | 0.31 | - | - | - |
| Paddle Crab | 9 | 11 | 9354 | 0.43 | - | - | - |
| Squid | 15 | 18 | 4682 | 0.53 | - | - | - |
| Crayfish/Lobster Packhorse/Green | 15 | 19 | 4080 | 0.33 | - | - | - |
| Octopus | 15 | 17 | 1521 | 0.29 | - | - | - |
| Crayfish/Lobster Spanish | 2 | 2 | 196 | 0.71 | - | - | - |
| Other Marine Species | 20 | 24 | 25921 | 0.39 | - | - | - |

* Caution: This estimate has a particularly high CV because, by chance, one very avid kina gatherer happened to have a very high 'weighting' due to multiple factors: a very large increase in size of his meshblock upon enumeration, a high number of fishers in the household, and an ethnicity weighting. Removal of this one respondent's data would reduce this estimate by more than half. See Section 10.9 for further comment on weighting extremes.


### 8.4 Finfish Species Harvest By FMA

Table 30 shows the finfish species harvest by FMA (Fisheries Management Area). The table demonstrates that most species were only caught in certain areas. Snapper, for instance, was predominantly harvested in FMA 1, rather less in other areas and, in this study, none at all were harvested from FMA 5.

Table 30: Finfish species harvest by FMA.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | FMA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Barracouta | 11283 | 4885 | 6076 | 666 | 11930 | 3142 | 1671 |
| Blue Maomao | 20132 | 10280 | 0 | 0 | 392 | 268 | 415 |
| Blue Moki | 885 | 13734 | 5739 | 243 | 6955 | 371 | 0 |
| Bluenose | 4887 | 444 | 415 | 42 | 452 | 137 | 1406 |
| Butterfish | 24724 | 13892 | 13637 | 188 | 14625 | 2221 | 544 |
| Cod Blue | 15485 | 57271 | 248687 | 84129 | 180558 | 93218 | 3202 |
| Cod Red | 1847 | 13748 | 7172 | 1021 | 2184 | 6889 | 1102 |
| Flounder/Sole/other flatfish | 30105 | 4520 | 34773 | 18702 | 12259 | 8365 | 34894 |
| Garfish | 20617 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 1515 | 532 | 401 |
| Gemfish | 2539 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 137 | 213 |
| Hapuku/Bass | 11783 | 10179 | 6383 | 138 | 2163 | 4376 | 2480 |
| Gurnard Red | 128802 | 66661 | 4605 | 0 | 23653 | 93656 | 113154 |
| John Dory | 28020 | 247 | 88 | 0 | 1351 | 1753 | 843 |
| Kahawai | 637824 | 145698 | 9614 | 0 | 95101 | 100779 | 181309 |
| Koheru | 3834 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kingfish | 52056 | 4025 | 289 | 0 | 2079 | 1202 | 5049 |
| Mackerel Blue/Slimy/English | 18438 | 3346 | 0 | 0 | 4677 | 437 | 6080 |
| Mackerel Jack Mackerel | 84916 | 16160 | 50 | 0 | 2270 | 2974 | 14747 |
| Mullet Yellow Eyed/Herring | 57504 | 12053 | 8326 | 0 | 15792 | 11762 | 20535 |
| Mullet Grey | 17806 | 890 | 5252 | 0 | 191 | 2172 | 11815 |
| Pilchard | 12827 | 1022 | 9144 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 137 |
| Porae | 12371 | 695 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 51 | 1783 |
| Rig Shark | 4976 | 7172 | 7280 | 862 | 19126 | 5499 | 2804 |
| School shark | 5483 | 2739 | 5381 | 443 | 10311 | 1892 | 4304 |
| Sea perch | 1464 | 8165 | 113955 | 4517 | 28781 | 3699 | 0 |
| Snapper | 3772874 | 55781 | 619 | 0 | 111353 | 182236 | 430045 |
| Spiny Dogfish Shark | 3112 | 2099 | 4130 | 466 | 6035 | 4524 | 1835 |
| Stingray | 2833 | 202 | 4267 | 177 | 443 | 1609 | 1522 |
| Tarakihi | 160414 | 110920 | 4208 | 141 | 48107 | 31340 | 6126 |
| Trevally | 139473 | 10308 | 859 | 0 | 1840 | 4883 | 16400 |
| Trumpeter | 898 | 787 | 2870 | 1505 | 215 | 273 | 0 |
| Tuna Skipjack | 33395 | 1616 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1497 | 4673 |
| Tuna Albacore | 3629 | 2329 | 0 | 0 | 3422 | 6435 | 6082 |
| Rock Cod | 3505 | 347 | 492 | 0 | 0 | 178 | 730 |
| Parrot Fish/Wrasse | 1673 | 2147 | 407 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 |
| Eels (not elsewhere included) | 6848 | 192 | 1730 | 211 | 111 | 5030 | 5500 |
| Leatherjacket | 1599 | 564 | 506 | 0 | 170 | 97 | 0 |
| Red Moki | 873 | 405 | 225 | 0 | 350 | 0 | 0 |
| Wrasse | 1203 | 1137 | 1347 | 117 | 658 | 49 | 0 |
| Spotty/Paketi | 1308 | 1931 | 0 | 0 | 5310 | 0 | 506 |
| Kelpie | 125 | 787 | 0 | 1452 | 107 | 0 | 271 |
| Elephant Fish | 0 | 183 | 4853 | 202 | 960 | 0 | 0 |
| Perch | 0 | 0 | 2052 | 0 | 138 | 0 | 57 |
| Salmon | 0 | 0 | 2824 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pigfish | 2247 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parore | 4328 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bronze Whaler Shark | 171 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 346 |
| Hammerhead Shark | 1272 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 157 |
| Moki (not elsewhere included) | 130 | 1866 | 388 | 0 | 592 | 0 | 0 |
| Maori Chief | 348 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 4070 | 0 | 68 |
| Sand Shark | 1890 | 1256 | 0 | 0 | 311 | 261 | 0 |
| Carpet Shark | 0 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 325 | 0 | 0 |
| Ling | 89 | 1026 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 218 |
| Marlin | 985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mako Shark | 529 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stargazer/Monkfish | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 481 | 0 | 53 |
| Conger Eel | 181 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 127 | 0 |
| Warehou | 0 | 1485 | 483 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trout/Sea Trout | 0 | 0 | 2583 | 72 | 65 | 0 | 0 |
| Bream/Brim | 85 | 314 | 12259 | 0 | 1413 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Finfish | 10945 | 3518 | 1657 | 531 | 1907 | 704 | 112 |

### 8.5 Non-Finfish Species Harvest By FMA

The distribution of non-finfish species harvest by FMA also shows that the harvest of particular species varies considerably by area (Table 31). For example, $33.9 \%$ of rock lobster and $54.4 \%$ of paua were harvested from the lower half of the North Island (FMA 2 and FMA 8) while this area of New Zealand accounts for less than $15 \%$ of the total coastline.

Table 31: Non-finfish species harvest by FMA.
Cockles
Crayfish/Lobster Spanish
Crayfish/Lobster Spiny/Red
Crayfish/Lobster Packhorse/Green
Kina
Mussel
Oyster
Paua
Paua Yellow Foot
Pipi
Puupuu/Cats Eye/Cooks Turban
Scallops
Squid
Tuatua
Octopus
Crab
Paddle Crab
Other Marine

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{F M A}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 299765 | 8789 | 300158 | 369 | 78751 | 19490 | 27418 |
| 96 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 83337 | 63856 | 33854 | 1505 | 23087 | 12782 | 7849 |
| 1191 | 1358 | 326 | 729 | 250 | 0 | 226 |
| 2018810 | 107382 | 12276 | 9709 | 12376 | 60505 | 58418 |
| 575602 | 56223 | 72925 | 8275 | 78101 | 38511 | 153711 |
| 212862 | 204 | 0 | 16022 | 30449 | 0 | 43654 |
| 23441 | 200088 | 109849 | 35590 | 50534 | 86095 | 20039 |
| 408 | 5185 | 5240 | 599 | 1521 | 731 | 393 |
| 361303 | 167155 | 5295 | 0 | 10057 | 32632 | 45847 |
| 3125 | 21360 | 3014 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10805 |
| 755525 | 36487 | 0 | 1376 | 806943 | 2306 | 67044 |
| 4236 | 159 | 288 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 565207 | 14222 | 2102 | 0 | 14503 | 42608 | 231109 |
| 518 | 599 | 138 | 0 | 191 | 74 | 0 |
| 2720 | 1891 | 1301 | 113 | 0 | 10578 | 146 |
| 2003 | 827 | 1768 | 2532 | 0 | 2225 | 0 |
| 3658 | 10452 | 7650 | 1102 | 151 | 864 | 2043 |

### 8.6 Finfish Harvest By Species And Method

Table 32 of finfish species harvest by method shows the predominance of rod and line for catching most finfish species. There were some notable exceptions such as: moki/blue moki and butterfish which were mainly caught by spearfishing, mullet which was mainly caught by net, and flounder and flatfish which were caught predominantly by net but which were also 'hand gathered from shore' (in fact by hand-held spear which is not classified as 'spearfishing').

Table 32: Finfish harvest by species and method.

|  | Rod/line | Longline/ Kontiki | Net | Pot | Dredge | Hand gather from shore | Hand gather by diving | Spearfishing | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barracouta | 38807 | 0 | 692 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 154 |
| Blue Maomao | 29588 | 643 | 328 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 775 | 154 |
| Blue Moki | 5567 | 0 | 9798 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12561 | 0 |
| Bluenose | 7573 | 210 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Butterfish | 10844 | 99 | 4171 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54717 | 0 |
| Cod Blue | 678462 | 2346 | 585 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 952 | 0 |
| Cod Red | 31182 | 1164 | 1616 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flounder/Sole/other flatfish | 711 | 198 | 90193 | 0 | 0 | 51826 | 0 | 691 | 0 |
| Garfish | 4573 | 0 | 18550 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gemfish | 2854 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hapuku/Bass | 37444 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gurnard Red | 386111 | 43019 | 1401 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| John Dory | 30691 | 69 | 175 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1214 | 154 |
| Kahawai | 1096804 | 42655 | 28758 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1904 | 203 |
| Koheru | 3139 | 0 | 153 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 542 | 0 |
| Kingfish | 60475 | 1708 | 221 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2297 | 0 |
| Mackerel Blue/Slimy/English | 29899 | 916 | 2161 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mackerel Jack Mackerel | 119948 | 1117 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mullet Yellow Eyed/Herring | 76696 | 773 | 48120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 336 | 48 |
| Mullet Grey | 8047 | 0 | 29250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 830 | 0 |
| Pilchard | 21914 | 0 | 1003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 314 |
| Porae | 7794 | 725 | 4201 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2284 | 0 |
| Rig Shark | 35888 | 7937 | 3429 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 415 | 48 |
| School shark | 25242 | 3533 | 1780 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sea perch | 158311 | 1552 | 0 | 717 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Snapper | 4268690 | 276364 | 4922 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2648 | 230 |
| Spiny Dogfish Shark | 15572 | 5425 | 1065 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 0 |
| Stingray | 5198 | 1256 | 4599 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tarakihi | 356425 | 2199 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2580 | 0 |
| Trevally | 166219 | 2505 | 4920 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 0 |
| Trumpeter | 6548 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tuna Skipjack | 41042 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tuna Albacore | 21757 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rock Cod | 5028 | 122 | 102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parrot Fish | 3501 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 598 | 154 |
| Eel (not elsewhere included) | 13898 | 211 | 1727 | 0 | 0 | 939 | 0 | 1535 | 1311 |
| Leatherjacket | 2784 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 152 | 0 |
| Red Moki | 499 | 0 | 377 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 977 | 0 |
| Wrasse | 4470 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 |
| Spotty/Paketi | 8874 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kelpie | 2523 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 166 | 0 |
| Elephant Fish | 4183 | 1990 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Perch | 2247 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Salmon | 2824 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pigfish | 1868 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 379 | 0 |
| Parore | 3792 | 0 | 536 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bronze Whaler Shark | 517 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hammerhead Shark | 1264 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Moki (not elsewhere included) | 0 | 0 | 1317 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1659 | 0 |
| Maori Chief | 4322 | 252 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sand Shark | 1752 | 1808 | 159 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Carpet Shark | 201 | 252 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ling | 1333 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Marlin | 882 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mako Shark | 529 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stargazer/Monkfish | 53 | 0 | 481 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Conger Eel | 427 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Warehou | 483 | 0 | 1485 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trout/Sea Trout | 2720 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bream/Brim | 14070 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Finfish | 16604 | 1630 | 727 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 341 | 0 |

### 8.7 Non-Finfish Harvest By Species And Method

Table 33, which shows the harvest of non-finfish species by method, also shows the dominance of certain methods, mainly involving hand gathering. Some species were most likely caught unintentionally while targeting other species, such as crabs or octopus hooked when fishing by longline/kontiki. Others were caught by differing target methods. $68.5 \%$ of rock lobster were taken by hand gathering by diving and $29.5 \%$ by lobster pots. $45.8 \%$ of scallops were taken by dredge and $53.9 \%$ by hand gathering by diving.

Table 33: Non-finfish harvest by species and method.

|  | Rod/ <br> line | Longline/K ontiki | Net | Pot | Dredge | Hand gather from shore | Hand gather by diving | Spear- <br> fishing | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cockles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 734742 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Crayfish/Lobster Spanish | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 196 | 0 | 0 |
| Crayfish/Lobster Spiny/Red | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66684 | 0 | 4467 | 154986 | 0 | 135 |
| Crayfish/Lobster Packhorse/Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1058 | 0 | 0 | 3022 | 0 | 0 |
| Kina | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 143908 | 2135568 | 0 | 0 |
| Mussel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3199 | 506552 | 470881 | 0 | 2714 |
| Oyster | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25285 | 139436 | 138469 | 0 | 0 |
| Paua | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 136660 | 388975 | 0 | 0 |
| Paua Yellow Foot | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5126 | 8950 | 0 | 0 |
| Pipi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 622288 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Puupuu/Cats Eye/Cooks Turban | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33269 | 5035 | 0 | 0 |
| Scallops | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 764704 | 5746 | 899231 | 0 | 0 |
| Squid | 4177 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 443 | 0 | 0 |
| Tuatua | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 848513 | 21237 | 0 | 0 |
| Octopus | 1073 | 24 | 0 | 257 | 0 | 80 | 87 | 0 | 0 |
| Crab | 1545 | 146 | 3978 | 1638 | 0 | 8721 | 0 | 0 | 722 |
| Paddle Crab | 816 | 2645 | 4711 | 873 | 0 | 310 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Marine | 606 | 582 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24263 | 253 | 217 | 0 |

### 8.8 Finfish Harvest By Species And Platform

The following table (Table 34) showing finfish species harvested by platform shows distinct variation between the species. For snapper, for instance, only 411893 (9\%) were harvested from land, compared with kahawai where 387450 (33\%) were taken from the land.

Table 34: Finfish harvest by species and platform.

|  | Trailer motor boat | Larger boat/launch | Trailer yacht | Larger yacht/keeler | Kayak/ Rowboat | Off land | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barracouta | 29693 | 5953 | 242 | 1950 | 49 | 1765 | 0 |
| Blue Maomao | 16613 | 3199 | 0 | 950 | 2579 | 8071 | 77 |
| Blue Moki | 15873 | 886 | 0 | 0 | 990 | 9838 | 340 |
| Bluenose | 2869 | 4915 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Butterfish | 27636 | 270 | 0 | 225 | 558 | 40496 | 646 |
| Cod Blue | 532672 | 111522 | 1628 | 2044 | 10479 | 21990 | 2215 |
| Cod Red | 14439 | 1962 | 0 | 0 | 1189 | 16232 | 141 |
| Flounder, Sole or other flatfish | 30581 | 130 | 0 | 3685 | 9761 | 98551 | 911 |
| Garfish | 1675 | 557 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 20770 | 51 |
| Gemfish | 2033 | 856 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hapuku/Bass | 19264 | 16097 | 122 | 0 | 521 | 1498 | 0 |
| Gurnard Red | 328536 | 28844 | 357 | 1188 | 26998 | 42558 | 2049 |
| John Dory | 24408 | 3367 | 0 | 149 | 1775 | 2605 | 0 |
| Kahawai | 636902 | 71074 | 975 | 9682 | 56799 | 387450 | 7442 |
| Koheru | 1287 | 0 | 0 | 558 | 0 | 204 | 1786 |
| Kingfish | 43346 | 12224 | 255 | 1855 | 1072 | 5742 | 205 |
| Mackerel Blue/Slimy/English | 20370 | 3112 | 0 | 565 | 0 | 8929 | 0 |
| Mackerel Jack Mackerel | 67617 | 9349 | 0 | 2487 | 5695 | 35519 | 450 |
| Mullet Yellow Eyed/Herring | 28666 | 385 | 0 | 2150 | 6753 | 87266 | 752 |
| Mullet Grey | 20864 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 461 | 16801 | 0 |
| Pilchard | 3001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14087 | 6143 |
| Porae | 5016 | 1648 | 0 | 448 | 1464 | 6429 | 0 |
| Rig Shark | 14138 | 2015 | 0 | 0 | 1971 | 27440 | 2154 |
| School shark | 13969 | 3186 | 0 | 317 | 131 | 12611 | 341 |
| Sea perch | 125597 | 22664 | 0 | 2139 | 3324 | 4800 | 2057 |
| Snapper | 3376018 | 472445 | 4072 | 41796 | 211729 | 411893 | 34954 |
| Spiny Dogfish Shark | 9215 | 1778 | 0 | 67 | 994 | 10146 | 0 |
| Stingray | 2598 | 311 | 0 | 4263 | 304 | 3577 | 0 |
| Tarakihi | 295214 | 54113 | 0 | 215 | 3354 | 7677 | 683 |
| Trevally | 112422 | 17787 | 63 | 672 | 12094 | 28289 | 2437 |
| Trumpeter | 4429 | 1008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1111 | 0 |
| Tuna Skipjack | 24385 | 15626 | 0 | 805 | 243 | 124 | 0 |
| Tuna Albacore | 21235 | 349 | 313 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rock Cod | 2384 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2615 | 102 |
| Parrot Fish | 1304 | 919 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2053 | 0 |
| Eel (not elsewhere included) | 1096 | 807 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17719 | 0 |
| Leatherjacket | 599 | 227 | 0 | 170 | 159 | 1781 | 0 |
| Red Moki | 1150 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 501 | 128 |
| Wrasse | 2136 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 270 | 1902 | 0 |
| Spotty/Paketi | 1097 | 0 | 568 | 0 | 421 | 6969 | 0 |
| Kelpie | 0 | 271 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 2364 | 0 |
| Elephant Fish | 1360 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 4712 | 43 |
| Perch | 1000 | 985 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 57 | 0 |
| Salmon | 697 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2127 | 0 |
| Pigfish | 2081 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parore | 896 | 528 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2904 | 0 |
| Bronze Whaler Shark | 450 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 |
| Hammerhead Shark | 1091 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 268 | 0 |
| Moki (not elsewhere included) | 784 | 74 | 56 | 0 | 1436 | 626 | 0 |
| Maori Chief | 3342 | 671 | 0 | 0 | 144 | 417 | 0 |
| Sand Shark | 1206 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 509 | 1934 | 0 |
| Carpet Shark | 127 | 252 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 |
| Ling | 829 | 343 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 161 | 0 |
| Marlin | 103 | 882 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mako Shark | 318 | 211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stargazer/Monkfish | 316 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 64 | 0 |
| Conger Eel | 200 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 183 | 0 |
| Warehou | 483 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1485 | 0 |
| Trout/Sea Trout | 254 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2466 | 0 |
| Bream/Brim | 12081 | 1292 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 697 | 0 |
| Other Finfish | 12376 | 1674 | 0 | 552 | 158 | 4614 | 0 |

### 8.9 Non-Finfish Harvest By Species And Platform

Looking at the non-finfish species by platform (Table 35), it at first appears surprising that there is a similar number taken by trailer boat as off land. Part of this is a consequence of the sequence of the question stream in which respondents were first asked what platform they fished from (and then what they harvested). For example, cockles and tuatua were reported with platform 'trailer boat'. It would seem likely that even if the respondent used a trailer boat to reach a location, the harvest was still gathered from the land. This is apparent in the table of non-finfish species harvested by method, where hand gathering from shore features heavily.

Table 35: Non-finfish harvest by species and platform.

|  | Trailer motor boat | Larger boat/launch | Trailer yacht | Larger yacht/keeler | Kayak/ <br> Rowboat | Off land | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cockles | 17698 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9146 | 707898 | 0 |
| Crayfish/Lobster Spanish | 196 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Crayfish/Lobster Spiny/Red | 157705 | 13092 | 0 | 5257 | 6306 | 43693 | 218 |
| Crayfish/Lobster Packhorse/Green | 2695 | 834 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 392 | 0 |
| Kina | 1708724 | 9696 | 0 | 2741 | 17607 | 522207 | 18502 |
| Mussel | 325597 | 10568 | 0 | 8310 | 33310 | 598750 | 6812 |
| Oyster | 114996 | 7668 | 0 | 0 | 9183 | 171343 | 0 |
| Paua | 106756 | 3793 | 0 | 304 | 6748 | 406125 | 1908 |
| Paua Yellow Foot | 321 | 260 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13496 | 0 |
| Pipi | 34250 | 3522 | 0 | 0 | 21276 | 563240 | 0 |
| Puupuu/Cats Eye/Cooks Turban | 11000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27304 | 0 |
| Scallops | 1230812 | 263968 | 2025 | 67801 | 18449 | 86626 | 0 |
| Squid | 1042 | 554 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 657 | 2366 |
| Tuatua | 38865 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 830885 | 0 |
| Octopus | 975 | 129 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 417 | 0 |
| Crab | 219 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16530 | 0 |
| Paddle Crab | 1779 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1296 | 6279 | 0 |
| Other Marine | 217 | 553 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25151 | 0 |

## 9. HARVEST ESTIMATES FOR SELECTED SPECIES

### 9.1 Snapper

The total estimated harvest for snapper for the 2011-12 fishing year was 4552908 fish, or 4812 tonnes (Table 36). The great majority of this was harvested in SNA 1 where 3772874 fish or $82.9 \%$ of the snapper were taken.

Figure 9 shows that snapper were almost exclusively caught by rod or line ( $93.8 \%$ ). The next most common method was longline/kontiki with $6 \%$ of the snapper caught this way. Figure 10 shows that snapper were mainly caught from trailer boats ( $74.2 \%$ ) followed by larger boats/launches ( $10.3 \%$ ), off land ( $9 \%$ ) and from kayak/rowboats (4.7\%).

Table 37 shows the distributions of daily bag size (number of fishers with that bag size). If there were two trips conducted by a fisher in a day, the catch is added together. The fractional catch arising from a shared catch is rounded to the nearest integer (or the nearest even integer if the fractional part is 0.5 ) except for fractional catches of less than 1 , which are included in the $<1$ category. Note that zero catches do not appear in these bag size tables.

Table 36: Snapper harvest by Fishstock.

| Fishstock | Fishers (n) | Events (n) | Harvest (n) | CV | Mean <br> Weight (kg) | Harvest <br> (tonnes) | CV |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNA 1 | 1729 | 7425 | 3772874 | 0.08 | 1.06 | 3980.99 | 0.08 |
| SNA 2 | 94 | 201 | 55781 | 0.25 | 1.03 | 57.29 | 0.25 |
| SNA 3 | 2 | 2 | 619 | 0.82 | 1.02 | 0.63 | 0.82 |
| SNA 7 | 135 | 378 | 111353 | 0.17 | 0.80 | 89.00 | 0.17 |
| SNA 8 | 455 | 1249 | 612281 | 0.14 | 1.12 | 684.24 | 0.15 |
| TOTAL | 2415 | 9255 | 4552908 | 0.07 | 1.06 | 4812.15 | 0.07 |



Table 37: Snapper bag size by Fishstock (row percent).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bag Size |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fishstock | <1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13+ |
| SNA 1 | 1.1 | 15.7 | 17.1 | 12.3 | 12.7 | 9.2 | 8.9 | 5.7 | 4.6 | 10.9 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| SNA 2 | 0.8 | 40.1 | 25.8 | 16.1 | 6.9 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| SNA 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| SNA 7 | 2.1 | 29.8 | 28.7 | 12.6 | 10.2 | 5.6 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| SNA 8 | 1.5 | 20.3 | 20.5 | 10.2 | 8.9 | 8.7 | 7.9 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 9.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| TOTAL | 1.1 | 17.4 | 18.2 | 12.1 | 12.0 | 8.9 | 8.4 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 9.5 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

### 9.2 Kahawai

The total estimated harvest for kahawai for the 2011-12 fishing year was 1170324 fish, or 1784 tonnes (Table 38). Kahawai were caught more evenly across New Zealand than snapper, with just over half caught in KAH 1, nearly a quarter in KAH 8 and the rest fairly evenly in KAH 2 and KAH 3.

Figure 11 shows that kahawai were mainly caught by rod or line ( $93.7 \%$ ). Figure 12 shows that just over half of the kahawai were caught from trailer boats (54.4\%) but a third were taken off land.

Bag sizes for kahawai (Table 39) were mainly of smaller size than snapper: 42\% were $0-1$ fish, $27.5 \% 1-2$ fish and 12\% 2-3 fish.

Table 38: Kahawai harvest by Fishstock.

| Fishstock | Fishers (n) | Events (n) | Harvest (n) | CV | Mean <br> Weight (kg) | Harvest <br> (tonnes) | CV |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KAH 1 | 965 | 2419 | 637824 | 0.07 | 1.50 | 957.71 | 0.07 |
| KAH 2 | 257 | 561 | 145698 | 0.12 | 1.57 | 228.37 | 0.12 |
| KAH 3 | 163 | 382 | 104715 | 0.18 | 1.40 | 146.57 | 0.18 |
| KAH 8 | 424 | 950 | 282088 | 0.11 | 1.60 | 452.19 | 0.11 |
| TOTAL | 1804 | 4312 | 1170324 | 0.05 | 1.53 | 1784.83 | 0.05 |



Table 39: Kahawai bag size by Fishstock (row percent).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bag Size |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fishstock | <1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13+ |
| KAH 1 | 2.0 | 40.6 | 28.6 | 12.3 | 7.5 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| KAH 2 | 2.2 | 37.1 | 28.3 | 11.1 | 7.6 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.2 |
| KAH 3 | 0.1 | 44.6 | 23.2 | 11.5 | 9.7 | 5.3 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| KAH 8 | 2.7 | 38.0 | 25.4 | 13.2 | 7.9 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| TOTAL | 2.0 | 40.0 | 27.4 | 12.3 | 7.8 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.7 |

### 9.3 Blue Cod

The total estimated harvest for blue cod for the 2011-12 fishing year was 682550 fish, or 333 tonnes (Table 40). Blue cod were caught in most waters but over $60 \%$ of the harvest was from the Marlborough Sounds area and on the East coast of the South Island ( $36 \%$ in BCO 3 and $26 \%$ in BCO 7 ).

Most blue cod was caught with a rod or line (Figure 13). Cod pots or spearfishing only account for a fraction of the harvest. Analysed by platform it can be seen that a higher proportion of blue cod ( $16 \%$ ) was caught from larger boats/launches than was the case for snapper or kahawai (Figure 14).

In terms of bag size (Table 41), the most frequent (one third of bags) was $1-2$ fish. Next was a bag size of $0-$ 1 fish (20.7\%).

Table 40: Blue cod harvest by Fishstock.

| Fishstock | Fishers (n) | Events (n) | Harvest (n) | CV | Mean <br> Weight $(k g)$ | Harvest <br> (tonnes) | CV |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| BCO 1 | 77 | 92 | 17836 | 0.20 | 0.43 | 7.65 | 0.20 |
| BCO 2 | 101 | 199 | 57271 | 0.19 | 0.49 | 27.90 | 0.19 |
| BCO 3 | 146 | 330 | 248687 | 0.18 | 0.48 | 119.22 | 0.18 |
| BCO 5 | 55 | 140 | 84129 | 0.24 | 0.60 | 50.72 | 0.23 |
| BCO 7 | 191 | 622 | 180558 | 0.17 | 0.43 | 76.76 | 0.17 |
| BCO 8 | 83 | 195 | 94070 | 0.35 | 0.54 | 50.82 | 0.35 |
| TOTAL | 653 | 1578 | 682550 | 0.10 | 0.49 | 333.05 | 0.10 |



Table 41: Blue cod bag size by Fishstock (row percent).

|  | Bag Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QMA | $<\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3 +}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BCO 1 | 1.1 | 73.5 | 14.4 | 2.9 | 4.3 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| BCO 2 | 0.0 | 27.5 | 29.1 | 8.6 | 11.5 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 1.2 |
| BCO 3 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 17.7 | 7.2 | 5.8 | 11.3 | 5.2 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 11.3 | 0.2 | 3.2 |
| BCO 5 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 16.7 | 13.5 | 8.7 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 4.1 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 4.9 |
| BCO 7 | 0.0 | 16.2 | 48.5 | 23.1 | 6.6 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| BCO 8 | 0.6 | 21.4 | 16.4 | 16.5 | 8.1 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 3.6 | 6.0 | 2.6 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| TOTAL | 0.1 | 20.5 | 31.9 | 15.5 | 7.1 | 5.3 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 1.6 |

### 9.4 Red Gurnard

The total estimated harvest for red gurnard for the 2011-12 fishing year was 430531 fish, or 202.6 tonnes (Table 42). Just over half of the red gurnard ( $56.2 \%$ ) were harvested in GUR 1 while $21.8 \%$ were taken in GUR 8, $15.5 \%$ in GUR 2 and minor catches in GUR 3 and GUR 7.

Figure 15 shows that the main catch method for red gurnard was rod or line with 386111 fish (89.7\%). Three quarters of red gurnard were harvested from a trailer boat with about $10 \%$ taken off land and $6.3 \%$ from a kayak or rowboat (Figure 16).

The bag size is skewed to the low end with nearly half of the records being bags of 1 fish (or a fraction of 1 fish) and $22 \%$ from bags of 2 fish (Table 43).

Table 42: Red Gurnard harvest by Fishstock.

| Fishstock | Fishers (n) | Events (n) | Harvest (n) | CV | Mean <br> Weight (kg) | Harvest <br> (tonnes) | CV |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GUR 1 | 440 | 909 | 241957 | 0.14 | 0.43 | 103.18 | 0.15 |
| GUR 2 | 106 | 224 | 66661 | 0.20 | 0.57 | 38.16 | 0.20 |
| GUR 3 | 7 | 23 | 4605 | 0.62 | 0.44 | 2.01 | 0.62 |
| GUR 7 | 63 | 119 | 23653 | 0.24 | 0.53 | 12.48 | 0.24 |
| GUR 8 | 97 | 303 | 93656 | 0.23 | 0.50 | 46.75 | 0.23 |
| TOTAL | 713 | 1578 | 430531 | 0.10 | 0.47 | 202.57 | 0.10 |



Table 43: Red gurnard bag size by Fishstock (row percent).

| QMA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ag Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13+ |
| GUR 1 | 4.8 | 49.1 | 21.4 | 7.9 | 5.6 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.1 |
| GUR 2 | 3.3 | 37.1 | 19.7 | 8.1 | 8.5 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| GUR 3 | 0.0 | 28.3 | 66.5 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| GUR 7 | 0.0 | 52.8 | 29.7 | 11.6 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| GUR 8 | 8.3 | 31.3 | 22.3 | 8.0 | 8.9 | 4.2 | 6.4 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| TOTAL | 4.8 | 44.5 | 22.6 | 8.2 | 6.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 1.0 |

### 9.5 Tarakihi

The total estimated harvest for tarakihi for the 2011-12 fishing year was 361256 fish (Table 44), or 238.8 tonnes, slightly fewer in number than red gurnard but slightly more by weight. Most tarakihi is harvested off the east coast of the North Island, $45.3 \%$ from TAR 1 and $31.4 \%$ from TAR 2.

Almost all tarakihi was taken by rod or line (Figure 17) and most from trailer boats $(82 \%$, Figure 18 ) with large boats the next most common platform (15\%).

The range of bag sizes reported was quite large, however, half of the reported harvest events were for bag sizes of one or two fish (Table 45).

Table 44: Tarakihi harvest by Fishstock.

| Fishstock | Fishers (n) | Events (n) | Harvest (n) | CV | Mean <br> Weight (kg) | Harvest <br> (tonnes) | CV |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TAR 1 | 188 | 359 | 164005 | 0.22 | 0.70 | 115.07 | 0.22 |
| TAR 2 | 118 | 257 | 113456 | 0.21 | 0.65 | 74.24 | 0.21 |
| TAR 3 | 13 | 28 | 4208 | 0.42 | 0.68 | 2.86 | 0.42 |
| TAR 5 | 2 | 2 | 141 | 0.73 | 0.68 | 0.10 | 0.73 |
| TAR 7 | 65 | 154 | 48107 | 0.38 | 0.48 | 23.30 | 0.38 |
| TAR 8 | 46 | 105 | 31340 | 0.29 | 0.74 | 23.21 | 0.30 |
| TOTAL | 432 | 905 | 361256 | 0.14 | 0.66 | 238.78 | 0.14 |



Table 45: Tarakihi bag size by Fishstock (row percent).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bag Size |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QMA | <1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13+ |
| TAR 1 | 0.2 | 27.2 | 18.3 | 9.7 | 8.3 | 6.9 | 8.7 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 5.3 |
| TAR 2 | 0.9 | 20.7 | 18.2 | 9.3 | 10.7 | 9.0 | 8.1 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 7.2 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 3.5 |
| TAR 3 | 0.0 | 83.4 | 12.3 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| TAR 5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| TAR 7 | 0.0 | 37.8 | 22.8 | 9.7 | 10.5 | 8.4 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| TAR 8 | 0.0 | 38.9 | 20.0 | 7.9 | 7.5 | 9.3 | 4.7 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 2.1 |
| TOTAL | 0.3 | 30.8 | 19.0 | 9.1 | 9.0 | 7.7 | 6.6 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 3.3 |

### 9.6 Trevally

The total estimated harvest for trevally for the 2011-12 fishing year was 173762 fish, or 209 tonnes (Table 46). There are only four trevally Fishstocks and $80 \%$ of trevally is taken from TRE 1 , which is the north east coast of the North Island (North Cape down to Tauranga).

Figure 19 shows that almost all the catch was by rod or line ( $96 \%$ ). Although most trevally was caught from a fishing vessel, an appreciable number (16.3\%) was caught off land (Figure 20).

Bag sizes for trevally were not high with $60 \%$ being bag sizes of 0 to 1 fish (Table 47).
Table 46: Trevally harvest by Fishstock.

| Fishstock | Fishers (n) | Events (n) | Harvest (n) | CV | Mean <br> Weight (kg) | Harvest <br> (tonnes) | CV |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TRE 1 | 349 | 612 | 139473 | 0.12 | 1.18 | 164.75 | 0.11 |
| TRE 2 | 40 | 52 | 10308 | 0.24 | 1.08 | 11.15 | 0.24 |
| TRE 3 | 3 | 6 | 859 | 0.72 | 1.26 | 1.08 | 0.73 |
| TRE 7 | 95 | 146 | 23123 | 0.16 | 1.39 | 32.26 | 0.16 |
| TOTAL | 487 | 816 | 173762 | 0.10 | 1.20 | 209.24 | 0.09 |




Table 47: Trevally bag size by Fishstock (row percent).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bag Size |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QMA | <1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 12 |
| TRE 1 | 1.6 | 55.9 | 23.0 | 10.0 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| TRE 2 | 0.0 | 58.6 | 17.5 | 4.9 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| TRE 3 | 0.0 | 62.1 | 18.9 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| TRE 7 | 4.3 | 64.9 | 17.2 | 9.4 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 2.0 | 57.5 | 21.8 | 9.6 | 3.9 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

### 9.7 Kingfish

The total estimated harvest for kingfish for the 2011-12 fishing year was 64700 fish, or 662 tonnes (Table 48). Though the fish count was not high, the tonnage was considerable, due to the large fish size. About $80 \%$ of the kingfish harvest was taken from KIN 1 (which covers the same area as TRE 1), the north east coast of the North Island (North Cape down to Tauranga).

Although most kingfish were caught with a rod and line (Figure 21), they were also taken by spearfishing (3.6\%). $8.9 \%$ were taken off land with the remainder from boats (Figure 22).

Bag sizes for kingfish were small (Table 49). $75.3 \%$ of bag sizes were 0 to 1 fish and $17.6 \% 1$ to 2 .
Table 48: Kingfish harvest by Fishstock.

| Fishstock | Fishers (n) | Events (n) | Harvest (n) | CV | Mean <br> Weight (kg) | Harvest <br> (tonnes) | CV |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KIN 1 | 219 | 324 | 52056 | 0.13 | 10.28 | 535.30 | 0.13 |
| KIN 2 | 28 | 35 | 4025 | 0.24 | 10.09 | 40.60 | 0.24 |
| KIN 3 | 2 | 2 | 289 | 0.71 | 9.97 | 2.89 | 0.71 |
| KIN 7 | 12 | 17 | 2079 | 0.38 | 9.97 | 20.73 | 0.38 |
| KIN 8 | 35 | 46 | 6252 | 0.25 | 10.01 | 62.60 | 0.25 |
| TOTAL | 296 | 424 | 64700 | 0.11 | 10.23 | 662.12 | 0.11 |



Table 49: Kingfish bag size by Fishstock (row percent).

|  |  |  | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| QMA Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KIN 1 | $<\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | 2.4 | 1.5 | 0.1 |
| KIN 2 | 0.9 | 72.8 | 17.8 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| KIN 3 | 1.5 | 81.0 | 17.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| KIN 7 | 0.0 | 72.7 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| KIN 8 | 0.0 | 69.9 | 25.7 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 2.4 | 79.7 | 13.1 | 4.8 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 |

### 9.8 Skipjack Tuna

The total estimated harvest for skipjack tuna for the 2011-12 fishing year was 41182 fish, or 92 tonnes (Table 50). There is only one Fishstock for this species so all this species is recorded as being from SKJ 1.

Virtually all of this species was taken by rod (Figure 23). Harvesting from larger boats is more common with $37.9 \%$ being taken from this platform (Figure 24).

The bag size variation is quite wide as shown in Table 51 . Note that where a bag size is zero this is not shown on the graph.

Table 50: Skipjack tuna harvest by Fishstock.

| Fishstock | Fishers (n) | Events (n) | Harvest (n) | CV | Mean <br> Weight (kg) | Harvest <br> (tonnes) | CV |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SKJ 1 | 68 | 103 | 41182 | 0.23 | 2.24 | 92.08 | 0.23 |
| TOTAL | 68 | 103 | 41182 | 0.23 | 2.24 | 92.08 | 0.23 |



Table 51: Skipjack tuna bag size by Fishstock (row percent).

|  | Bag Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | QMA | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ |
| SKJ 1 | 32.2 | 24.8 | 14.9 | 9.7 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 0.5 |

### 9.9 Hapuku/Bass

The total estimated harvest for hapuku/bass for the 2011-12 fishing year was 37502 fish, or 219.5 tonnes (Table 52). The majority ( $65 \%$ ) of hapuku were caught in the upper half of the North Island. $33.7 \%$ in HPB 1 and $31.4 \%$ in HPB 2.

Virtually all of this species was taken by rod (Figure 25). Harvesting from larger boats (42.0\%) was nearly as common (Figure 26) as harvesting from trailer boats (51.1\%).

Bag sizes were not high for hapuku/bass (Table 53). Half of the bags were just a single fish $(0-1)$. The rest were mainly over 1 and up to 5 fish.

Table 52: Hapuku harvest by Fishstock.

| Fishstock | Fishers (n) | Events (n) | Harvest (n) | CV | Mean <br> Weight (kg) | Harvest <br> (tonnes) | CV |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HPB 1 | 27 | 38 | 12655 | 0.42 | 5.85 | 74.08 | 0.42 |
| HPB 2 | 55 | 78 | 11788 | 0.25 | 5.85 | 69.01 | 0.25 |
| HPB 3 | 18 | 21 | 6383 | 0.31 | 5.85 | 37.36 | 0.31 |
| HPB 5 | 1 | 3 | 138 | 1.00 | 5.85 | 0.81 | 1.00 |
| HPB 7 | 9 | 11 | 2163 | 0.41 | 5.85 | 12.66 | 0.41 |
| HPB 8 | 8 | 15 | 4376 | 0.54 | 5.85 | 25.62 | 0.54 |
| TOTAL | 118 | 166 | 37502 | 0.18 | 5.85 | 219.54 | 0.18 |



Table 53: Hapuku/bass bag size by Fishstock (row percent).

|  | Bag Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| QMA | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| HPB 1 | 47.4 | 16.6 | 11.6 | 10.6 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| HPB 2 | 66.8 | 20.2 | 5.9 | 0.9 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| HPB 3 | 36.2 | 22.5 | 11.6 | 25.9 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| HPB 5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| HPB 7 | 31.4 | 45.2 | 14.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 0.0 |
| HPB 8 | 19.7 | 30.1 | 9.6 | 8.0 | 28.9 | 3.8 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 51.3 | 21.8 | 9.0 | 7.8 | 5.8 | 1.5 | 0.0 |

### 9.10 Albacore Tuna

The total estimated harvest for albacore tuna for the 2011-12 fishing year was 21989 fish, or 92 tonnes (Table 54). This tonnage is very similar to skipjack tuna although the fish count is nearly half. There is only one Fishstock for this species so all of this species is recorded as being from ALB 1.

Almost all of the harvest was by rod or line (Figure 27) and from trailer boats (Figure 28).
Bag sizes were mainly in the range 1 to 4 (Table 55), with most bags ( $68 \%$ ) consisting of either one fish or two.

Table 54: Albacore tuna harvest by Fishstock.


Table 55: Albacore tuna bag size by Fishstock (row percent).

## QMA

ALB 1

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bag Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.0 | 29.6 | 38.3 | 11.7 | 13.5 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 0.9 |

### 9.11 Paua

The total estimated harvest for paua for the 2011-12 fishing year was 525634 by number, or 148.8 tonnes (Table 56). There are eight paua Fishstocks but $54 \%$ of the harvest was taken from PAU 2, on the Southern coast of the North Island.

In terms of method of harvest (Figure 29), the majority (74\%) was by hand gathering by diving and the remainder hand gathering from the shore. This is one species where access is often by the land and three quarters of the harvest was off land (Figure 30).

There was a spread of bag sizes (Table 57) but many people (44\%) appear to reach the bag size limit shown here as being from 9 to 10 fish.

Table 56: Paua harvest by Fishstock.

| Fishstock | Fishers (n) | Events (n) | Harvest (n) | CV | Mean <br> Weight (kg) | Harvest <br> (tonnes) | CV |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PAU 1 | 39 | 63 | 43480 | 0.27 | 0.28 | 12.16 | 0.27 |
| PAU 2 | 158 | 378 | 286182 | 0.15 | 0.29 | 81.85 | 0.15 |
| PAU 3 | 35 | 67 | 60717 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 16.98 | 0.31 |
| PAU 5A | 2 | 3 | 1487 | 0.76 | 0.28 | 0.42 | 0.76 |
| PAU 5B | 5 | 5 | 2945 | 0.50 | 0.28 | 0.82 | 0.50 |
| PAU 5D | 41 | 84 | 80290 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 22.45 | 0.30 |
| PAU 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 0.00 | - |
| PAU 7 | 19 | 41 | 50534 | 0.34 | - | 14.13 | 0.34 |
| TOTAL | 299 | 641 | 525635 | 0.11 | 0.28 | 148.82 | 0.11 |

Table 57: Paua bag size by Fishstock (row percent).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bag Size |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QMA | <1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | $\begin{gathered} 11 \text { to } \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | 20 | 21+ |
| PAU 1 | 3.7 | 9.7 | 15.8 | 6.0 | 9.2 | 4.7 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| PAU 2 | 0.5 | 3.4 | 4.7 | 6.7 | 4.4 | 7.8 | 8.8 | 3.6 | 9.2 | 1.9 | 45.7 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| PAU 3 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 1.2 | 17.3 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 8.7 | 4.7 | 43.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| PAU 5A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 59.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PAU 5B | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.1 | 12.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.9 | 0.0 | 40.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PAU 5D | 0.0 | 14.8 | 6.8 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 8.1 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 0.8 | 47.8 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 6.0 |
| PAU 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PAU 7 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 5.3 | 2.2 | 9.5 | 4.4 | 12.4 | 0.0 | 48.7 | 0.0 | 8.1 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 0.6 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 4.3 | 8.1 | 6.6 | 4.3 | 8.3 | 2.5 | 43.3 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.7 |

### 9.12 Scallops

The total estimated harvest for scallops for the 2011-12 fishing year was 1669681 by number, or 184.8 tonnes (Table 58). There are 12 Fishstocks for this species and the harvest was spread amongst these. The highest harvest was from SCA CS (36\%) followed by SCA 7A (17.7\%).

Harvest was almost equally divided by the two main methods of harvest (Figure 31) - dredge and hand gathering by diving. Harvest by hand gathering by diving resulted in slightly more catch (53\%). Boats feature heavily in the platforms used to harvest this species (Figure 32). Only $5 \%$ were taken from land.

This species is unusual in that it appears to be common to reach the daily bag limit. The influence of the bag limit of 20 in the primary harvest area is shown in Table 59. The right hand column shows the influence of the higher bag limit of 50 in the north east area of the South Island.

Table 58: Scallop harvest by Fishstock.

| Fishstock | Fishers (n) | Events (n) | Harvest (n) | CV | Mean <br> Weight (kg) | Harvest (tonnes) | CV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCA 1 | 26 | 54 | 148905 | 0.36 | 0.11 | 16.48 | 0.36 |
| SCA 1A | 1 | 1 | 1155 | 1.01 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 1.01 |
| SCA 2A | 12 | 20 | 36487 | 0.41 | 0.11 | 4.04 | 0.41 |
| SCA 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |  | 0.00 | - |
| SCA 5 | 1 | 3 | 1376 | 1.00 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 1.00 |
| SCA 7 | 70 | 172 | 796164 | 0.23 | 0.11 | 88.11 | 0.23 |
| SCA 7A | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |  | 0.00 | - |
| SCA 7B | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |  | 0.00 | - |
| SCA 7C | 1 | 2 | 10778 | 1.06 | 0.11 | 1.19 | 1.06 |
| SCA 8A | 1 | 1 | 2306 | 1.01 | 0.11 | 0.26 | 1.01 |
| SCA 9A | 15 | 30 | 67044 | 0.42 | 0.11 | 7.42 | 0.42 |
| SCA CS | 90 | 194 | 605466 | 0.27 | 0.11 | 67.01 | 0.27 |
| TOTAL | 217 | 477 | 1669681 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 184.79 | 0.15 |




Table 59: Scallop bag size by Fishstock (row percent).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bag Size |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QMA | $<1$ to 4 | 5 to 9 | 10 | 11 to 14 | 15 to 19 | 20 | 21 to 24 | 25 to 29 | 30 | 31 to 39 | 40 | 41 to 49 | 50 | 51+ |
| SCA 1 | 4.4 | 1.7 | 8.7 | 3.6 | 24.4 | 34.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 12.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.3 |
| SCA 1A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| SCA 2A | 10.5 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 28.5 | 20.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 9.9 | 0.0 |
| SCA 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| SCA 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| SCA 7 | . 8 | 2.1 | . 9 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 5.2 | . 9 | 6.5 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 7.0 | 43.0 | 9.2 |
| SCA 7A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| SCA 7B | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| SCA 7C | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| SCA 8A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| SCA 9A | 4.1 | 19.5 | 3.7 | 1.4 | 7.0 | 40.9 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| SCA CS | 1.4 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 75.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 2.3 |
| TOTAL | 1.9 | 4.2 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 7.2 | 44.5 | 0.5 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 7.3 | 2.3 | 14.2 | 5.2 |

### 9.13 Rock Lobster

The total estimated harvest for rock lobster for the 2011-12 fishing year was 226271 by number, or 185.7 tonnes. The harvest by Fishstock was relatively evenly spread across Fishstocks as shown in Table 60. The harvest from CRA 7 and 8 was however minimal.

Of the main methods of harvesting rock lobster, hand gathering by diving furnishes the most harvest (Figure 33). $68.5 \%$ of rock lobster is harvested by hand gathering by diving compared with $29.5 \%$ via lobster pots. Nearly a fifth of rock lobsters taken by recreational fishers are taken from land (Figure 34). Divers entering the water from land would seem a not insignificant harvest method compared to the more prevalent boat based platforms.

Table 61 shows a generally even spread of bag sizes between 1 and 6 fish. Bags of 2 or less fish make up $49 \%$ of bags.

Table 60: Rock lobster harvest by Fishstock.

| Fishstock | Fishers (n) | Events (n) | Harvest (n) | CV | Mean <br> Weight $(\mathbf{k g})$ | Harvest <br> (tonnes) | CV |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CRA 1 | 32 | 90 | 29739 | 0.30 | 0.81 | 23.98 | 0.30 |
| CRA 2 | 69 | 168 | 58455 | 0.24 | 0.70 | 40.86 | 0.24 |
| CRA 3 | 26 | 47 | 13912 | 0.33 | 0.58 | 8.07 | 0.33 |
| CRA 4 | 69 | 206 | 53847 | 0.17 | 0.82 | 44.17 | 0.17 |
| CRA 5 | 44 | 143 | 49274 | 0.23 | 0.88 | 43.47 | 0.24 |
| CRA 7 | 1 | 1 | 357 | 1.03 | 0.64 | 0.23 | 1.03 |
| CRA 8 | 7 | 19 | 5153 | 0.60 | 1.34 | 6.93 | 0.60 |
| CRA 9 | 22 | 58 | 15534 | 0.30 | 1.16 | 17.96 | 0.30 |
| TOTAL | 270 | 732 | 226271 | 0.11 | 0.82 | 185.66 | 0.11 |



Table 61: Rock lobster bag size by Fishstock (row percent).

| QMA | $<$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $10$ | 11 | Bag Size |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 13-18 |
| CRA 1 | 3.0 | 22.4 | 32.7 | 10.9 | 18.1 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| CRA 2 | 4.0 | 22.3 | 26.4 | 13.7 | 7.4 | 3.4 | 16.9 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| CRA 3 | 10.2 | 6.2 | 24.3 | 15.7 | 5.6 | 13.7 | 24.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| CRA 4 | 7.3 | 25.0 | 21.0 | 17.1 | 11.2 | 4.3 | 10.9 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| CRA 5 | 5.3 | 10.6 | 16.5 | 16.9 | 15.6 | 9.9 | 22.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 |
| CRA 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| CRA 8 | 0.0 | 12.6 | 46.5 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| CRA 9 | 2.8 | 20.1 | 27.0 | 2.8 | 18.9 | 3.8 | 23.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 5.2 | 19.7 | 24.5 | 14.0 | 12.1 | 5.7 | 15.9 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.1 |

## 10. DISCUSSION AND EVALUATION

### 10.1 Sampling Process

## Primary Sampling

The types of procedures used to draw the sample were relatively straight forward, and similar to many other large scale meshblock based surveys. There was nothing unusual to report in terms of sample selection or the final sample of 1000 meshblocks. The exclusion of fewer than $2 \%$ of meshblocks (mainly remote or with very few dwellings) is considered to have little effect on the representativeness of the sample because, even if these were included, only a low number of such dwellings/respondents would fall into the sample in any case. Even if the occupants were very different in nature to the average, their numbers would be so low as to have little influence on survey results except to displace effective sampling effort from elsewhere.

One issue that did arise from the (population based) sampling procedure, was that estimates for some South Island fisheries were possibly poorly estimated. The best example for this is in CRA 5 where, by chance, no meshblock was randomly selected for the Kaikoura area. This was unfortunate as this is a well known area for CRA harvesting, especially by locals. Typically, given that Kaikoura contains only $0.1 \%$ of New Zealand's meshblocks, this would have no substantive impact on the outcomes. However, as a locally resident fisher population is assumed to account for a substantial proportion of the harvest, it is likely that this survey has underestimated the recreational harvest in the area.

The choice of sampling method is discussed in some detail in the Panel Survey Method Report (Heinemann et al. 2014). Arguments could be raised as to whether there might be benefits (or not) from other sampling methods, e.g., basing the sampling on the square root of the population to oversample lower population areas, or a purposive selection to deliberately select places where fishers are more likely to live (e.g. the Kaikoura area). These alternatives tend to direct the sample to capture more fishers or more avid fishers, but compromise the eventual precision estimates by introducing design effects.

It is noted that the final method was selected by the Ministry Of Fisheries Science Working Group (in consultation with the fisheries managers) in preference to alternatives, mainly because the statistical power to project to nationwide harvest would be superior. The issue is raised here merely to point out that alternate sample designs are still possible for future iterations of a similar panel survey, with pros and cons of each in terms of accuracy and representativeness at both local and nationwide levels. The issue is complex because fishers travel to places they like to fish. The behaviour being measured is not specifically locality based.

## Secondary Sampling

The secondary sampling involved the selection of 32 dwellings within each sampled meshblock (or less if the meshblock contained fewer than 32 houses).

The procedure used for selecting houses within meshblocks was as follows. Statistics NZ defines each meshblock. Meshblock maps are produced which clearly show all the streets, and even which side of which street, is contained within. A 'start point' is preselected at the NRB Head Office, and marked on the map to show the interviewer where they must start and progress to identify the (up to) 32 houses.

The start point is not completely random because of sampling logistics. It is based on the most practical entry into the meshblock and is designed to make the work easier for the interviewer. It has long been held to be effectively random, because the person in the office selecting the start point cannot see the houses. They cannot aim for houses of a particular type, nor avoid houses they don't like the look of. Because the interviewer doesn't select the start point, they cannot influence its choice either. Therefore the system should be fair and relatively free of bias.

However, there has been some comment that the start point is not actually random. Although this may not matter, it does allow criticism of a 'selected' rather than 'random' start point. In terms of avoiding criticism,
this could be an area for future improvement to the survey. In future surveys, consideration could be given to a more truly random way of generating start points.

One other potential criticism of the secondary level sampling method is the issue of clustering. If a group of adjacent houses is chosen, it is possible they might all have something in common (e.g., it happens to be a 'knob hill' or perhaps an area with a high density of people of one particular ethnicity). In some surveys this is countered by selecting every nth house to approach, thus accessing an even coverage of the houses.

Improvements to ensure more even coverage of houses within meshblocks could be considered. This would make no difference for smaller meshblocks (less than or close in number to 32 houses), because many or even all houses are approached already. But for larger meshblocks this could ensure slightly better coverage.

It should be pointed out that the 'clustering effect' is less profound than might first be assumed. That is because many houses have no fishers at all, and so by the time the fishers have been identified there is a much diminished 'clustering'. Fishers are dispersed adequately through the meshblock.

Despite these minor areas for possible improvement, the process of selecting houses within meshblocks can be seen as straightforward and effective.

### 10.2 Screening And Fisher Selection

Once the sampled homes are selected, the interviewer must then gain access to the home and screen the first available adult to determine whether there are any fishers that reside there. NRB utilised approximately 160 interviewers for the screening that was conducted in the 1000 meshblocks scattered throughout New Zealand. 30390 dwellings/homes were visited (many were visited multiple times) during this process and 24 199 homes were successfully screened. The calculated response rate, taking into consideration eligibility, was $86 \%$ (see Heinemann et al. 2014 report).

## Calling Regime

The sampling sheet provided for up to 8 calls to selected homes in order to find an adult who was at home to screen. This is a fairly typical number of allowed calls for a social survey, most of which allow between 4 and 10 calls. The 8 allowed calls proved to be easily sufficient to gain access to the required number of homes. Out of the 30390 homes visited, there were only 1515 (5\%) 'no reply's (excluding 1777 homes which were established as 'vacant') which is an extremely good outcome.

The timing of calling patterns (time of day, day of week) is determined by each interviewer and influenced by their training; their efforts (sometimes constrained by time); and how well the supervisors monitor the calling time efforts.

There is limited improvement to be made on the $5 \%$ of homes not contacted. Adding more calls and ensuring that supervisors monitor effort more closely by day and time could conceivably reduce the 'no reply's by a percentage point or so although this would involve additional cost.

## Access Issues

One issue where there is room for improvement is that of the properties that had restricted access - in the case of this survey $667(2.2 \%)$ of the homes. These included gated communities and apartment blocks. Sometimes the interviewer cannot gain access to these properties even after approaches to corporate bodies or other points of contact. If the dwellers were no different to the average person this might not affect results. However, in terms of fishing behaviour, if it was contended that people in such dwellings were different from average (e.g., less likely to fish), their treatment as non-responders (currently 'eligibility unknown') might require some more thought. No solution is suggested here, however it is noted that this is an ongoing and possibly increasing issue for door-to-door survey work generally.

## Eligible Non-Response

Eligible non-response (such as "refusal", "not available", "language", "incapacitated") in which people decline to be screened, counts against the response rate. In this study this was minor except for "respondent refusal", which was encountered at 1677 or $5.5 \%$ of all homes. Although it could be argued that more or better attempts might convince such 'door openers' not to refuse the screening, or that more visits to the home might reveal a different (possibly more compliant) person to screen, in practice there is limited gain to be made here. In every survey there will be some people who will not participate, even for a minor request such as a brief screen to classify the residents into fisher avidity groups.

The refusal rate of only $5.5 \%$ can be seen as very positive for this style of screening and indicates the public's positive attitude to the survey topic.

## Administering The Screening

The screening process involved the interviewer making a presentation to an adult at the dwelling (often the 'door opener') to try to convince them to participate in the screening procedure. Various materials were used to assist the interviewer, mainly the screening document itself, and the showcard with age groups, cultural/ethnic groups and marine fishing groups on it.

There were few issues reported with this process (but see following), perhaps because it is a relatively simple procedure that requires only a moment of the 'door openers' time. Fidelity of process (such as the showcards actually being employed, interviews actually taking place) is mainly a function of interviewer training and threat of audit. With NRB being a specialist in the area of nationwide face-to-face surveying and with its network of 27 supervisors, these matters are routinely managed and executed.

## Proxy Screening

Screening for marine fishers in the house was conducted with the first available adult (aged 15 plus). This was the expedient method with so many houses to screen (over 30000 ). However it does rely on one person answering for the others in the household which, technically, is a proxy process for anyone other than the answering person.

There has been doubt cast on proxy reporting before, for instance reporting other people's catch or harvest. But for a simple description of another householder's propensity to fish, this can be seen as less of an issue. It does not particularly matter if the description of another's avidity is variable between $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ and D avidity, since all had an equal probability of selection to join the fishing panel. It only matters if there was any systematic error in terms of declaring a person to be a fisher or a non-fisher (A Avidity).

While efficiency of sampling fishers (e.g. reducing false positives and false negatives) could be improved, albeit at some expense, the survey design is not critically reliant on the accuracy of proxy classification of marine fishers. A sample of non-fishers (also called the 'drop-in survey') enables an estimate of the contribution of the false negatives. This showed that the contribution of 'missed fishers' and true 'drop-in' fishers was extremely small in relation to the total harvest. Given that fishing behaviour, equipment, and talk is conspicuous to others in the home, this finding is not remarkable.

The possibility of false positives produced via proxy screening may be more of an issue in terms of ongoing monitoring costs than with the resultant data. Further investigation could be conducted to determine whether any alteration to the proxy methods could furnish any useful improvement here. 'Intention' data from each household member is a possibility, but still potentially unreliable as many behaviour intention questions are.

## Fisher Identification

The identification of fishers relied on the description of the fishing groups as expressed on the 'Marine Fishing Group' showcard (Figure 35), and its interpretation.

## Marine Fishing Group

Which group describes your/his/her fishing for food or recreation in the sea or salt water?
('Fishing' includes rod, line, net, dredge, dive and hand gathering).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Several times a year, mostly over spring and summer, mostly in the holidays or on long }
\end{aligned}
$$

Regularly: Almost every week or fortnight over spring and summer, 10 times a year or more.D

Figure 35: Fisher avidity showcard.
The showcard's wording was in the 'present tense' and also in the general sense, i.e., providing a loose description of the kind of fisher that someone might be. It also contained a description of likely fishing frequency in each option (e.g., 4-9 times). The descriptions were not descriptions of the last years fishing, or of intention to fish in the future.

This measure was not expected to perfectly relate to actual reported fishing conducted by the enrolled fishers in the subsequent year. Although, on average, D fishers fished the most, C fishers fished less, and B still less - there were many who identified as fishers of some sort that did not fish at all in the study year (41.5\%).

Some of this might be explained by unusual circumstances of the 2011-12 fishing year, e.g., the wrecking of MV Rena and closure of fishing areas near to Tauranga, a toxic shellfish situation along the Eastern coast of the North Island, and not very good weather over the summer holidays.

Some of the variation might also be due to the fact that future fishing behaviour may always only be loosely tied to the kinds of descriptions offered on such a showcard. Some people may give up fishing (for any of a number of reasons), just happen to not go out, or perhaps the opposite - get drawn in more by the activity and fish much more than they would have anticipated (e.g., if there was good summer weather).

In addition, there were several incidences of people apparently misunderstanding the fishing descriptions. One example was whitebait fishers, who believed this to be included (whitebaiting does not fall under the auspices of the Ministry for Primary Industries and was not measured). A few enrolled fishers appeared to be fresh water fishers only in the monitored year - it is possible that they had not noticed the specification of marine fishing on the showcard.

It has also been conjectured that people who take shellfish do not really consider themselves to be 'fishers' and tend not to have agreed to the status of 'fisher' via such a showcard. This notion is supported by lower than expected harvest counts of the minor shellfish species such as tuatua, pipi and cockles (although this is difficult to gauge in a year of toxic blooms).

Further research could be conducted on the possible predictability of such descriptors of behaviour to see whether further refinement or simplification would be useful. Improvements in training of the interviewers (recruiters) could also be considered to ensure that freshwater fishing and whitebait fishing are not considered as 'marine fishing'.

## Fisher Selection

For households containing more than one fisher, there was an intermediate step in which one of the fishers in the household was randomly selected to participate (Kish 1949). The system of doing this was reasonably complex (see Heinemann et al. 2014 for detail) and involved the use of a 'fisher selection table'. This might seem overly complicated for such a survey and a far simpler system would simply be to take the 'next birthday' person. However, NRB experience was that the 'next birthday' system is far from foolproof and provides an easy way for people to self-select into a study. All a person has to do is say "that's me, I have the next birthday".

The more thorough method of fisher selection used for this survey is seen as superior, and resulting in the truly random selection of one of the fishers as it negates self-selection by the respondent. Use of the fisher selection table led to problems in the pilot survey, but the issues that were identified were resolved for the main survey. With the improved training, the table system used can be considered a success.

One area of occasional friction in the households sampled, was the situation where a fisher was not selected for participation, but wanted to be. This might be, for example, where the 'secondary fisher' (often the wife) was randomly selected as the panellist instead of the 'primary fisher' (often the husband). This created some dissention and even a few cases where a husband intimidated the wife into withdrawing from the survey.

Similarly, there were also a number of 'secondary fishers' who were somewhat embarrassed to be the selected fisher. This created quite a task for the interviewers throughout the year to reassure them that is was OK to be the selected fisher, even if they caught few or no fish. Some of these reluctant panellists withdrew from the survey, although we do not have an accurate count as they might not articulate these feelings adequately on withdrawal.

If the issue of resistance or resentment from being the selected fisher (or not) were considered important, then one way of countering this would be to enrol all fishers from a household into the study. This would lead to harvest estimates projected on households rather than on population i.e., a less refined frame for calibration and projection.

## Fisher Enrolment

The enrolment task was to convince the selected fisher to agree to participate, either on a routine SMS text schedule, or a regular phone call system over a prolonged period. Fishers were relatively well informed as to what this would involve and in fact they had to do rather little compared with some ongoing panel type surveys. Their role was essentially passive. They only had to answer the texts/calls and, if they had fished, furnish details about this by telephone interview. The project design allowed fishers to select their own reporting frequency to further reduce the burden of responding.

To encourage agreement to participate, main prizes were offered including iPads, and weekly prizes of iPods or cases of wine. There were several pamphlets to advise them how to participate, and help identify main species and fishing areas. Participants were also directed to a website with further information, full scale maps and links to other sites with more detailed fish identification.

Agreement of identified fishers to participate in this study can be seen as excellent, with an initial $90.8 \%$ agreeing to participate. Theoretically there is room to improve this response rate, but in practice this might be difficult given that $90.8 \%$ is already a high initial acceptance rate. Generally, the last people to agree to participate in a survey are strong resistors and hard to convince.

## Adequacy Of Gaining Contact Details

There were two types of households where gaining of contacts was attempted: 1) Where a fisher was enrolled; 2) Where there were only non-fishers in the household.

In the former case, obtaining sufficient information on contact details was less of a problem, as participants were encouraged to provide multiple telephone numbers and give numbers not just for research purposes, but also so that they could be contacted if they won a prize. This worked moderately well, but still many people gave only one number on enrolment. NRB responded to this by mounting a very intensive campaign to try to persuade anyone they came into contact with via the CATI, to provide more numbers. As the participants became more used to the idea of ongoing contact with the interviewers, many complied in this respect and this was most helpful when people changed numbers without advising NRB.

In the case of households with non-fishers, fewer gave numbers, or backup numbers. People naturally were reticent to provide numbers when there was a low chance of further involvement in the survey. In the nonfisher survey (drop-in survey) $21 \%$ of the sample had no phone number and around another $7 \%$ did not result in a successful contact.

In the latter case (non-fishers), a solution for future iterations of the survey may lie in providing a higher incentive for providing numbers. The chance of winning attractive prizes (such as an iPad) would probably resolve this and should be organised in future.

In the case of the enrolled fishers, the importance of gaining of good contact details cannot be underestimated. Where someone moves or changes cell phone numbers, it is extremely difficult to reestablish contact. A partial solution here is to be fastidious when first collecting contact details. Landline numbers and secondary numbers of relatives not living in the participant's home should be obtained and some mechanism (such as a further incentives/ competitions) provided to perhaps gain email addresses. These tend to not vary when people change address or phone numbers and could be a valuable means of maintaining contact with panellists.

### 10.3 Materials

## The Main Brochure

The main brochure with information about the survey, fish identification and a summary map of the fishing areas, was given to all participants and was also downloadable from the fishing survey website.

The brochure was very well received with positive comments relayed via the CATI operators. Each week there were requests for additional brochures either to replace lost ones, or to obtain further copies.

We have no particular feedback on how to improve such a brochure except that fishers would sometimes point out that a particular species was not on it. It is, of course, impossible to have all species on the brochure but more species would be an advantage.

## The Cell Phone Texting Brochure

This was a simple brochure providing basic information about what was required in terms of texting and the CATI calls and also described the possible prizes to be won.

The information provided in the brochure was intentionally limited, for instance, little information was given about how long the survey was (except that it was 'over summer and winter'), nor the exact frequency of contact expected.

Despite this apparent lack of detail, we had little serious negative feedback about this brochure. Fishers that required more information and that were in contact with the CATI operators (either by fishing, or having to be contacted for non-response) simply asked for more detail from them. Most participants that agreed to the texting programme appeared to pick up quickly what was required of them with the level of instruction offered in the brochure.

## The Website

There was anecdotal evidence that participants liked the website and found it useful. This mainly came in the form of feedback from interviewers who had either directed fishers to it, or who heard about fishers who had looked at the site of their own volition. Other evidence came from the 'ranking' of the site in Google, which moved higher up the search findings as the study progressed.

Reasons given for people going to the site were: to see if they had won a prize, to seek further information on the study itself, for fish identification, or for area identification.

Websites are becoming more important nowadays and there are ways to improve such a website. Suggestions include:

- Adding a web counter (e.g., Google Analytics) to be able to study hits, hits by page, time spent on each page, etc.
- Link to a Facebook page about the survey.
- The possibility of respondents leaving messages about catch or contact details for the research team.


### 10.4 Questionnaire Design

The main form of the questionnaire was that designed for CATI administration. The questionnaire was developed by NRB in conjunction with the Ministry for Primary Industries and the Marine Amateur Fisheries Working Group. There was also a more primitive version of the questionnaire (in paper form) that was used for the fisher drop-in survey.

The complexity of the questionnaire routing was such that a high-end survey program (Blaise) was needed for this survey. This is mainly because of the huge number (over 50000 ) of combinations of potential 'pathways' required to account for all the factors that lead to a 'fishing event', including: date, area, number of trips, method, platform, catch or not, areas, species, etc. There were also built-in software checks of data collected so as to reduce error in the collection phase. The complexity of the CATI version of the questionnaire is noted here because in future surveys, this might be a limitation, as many survey tools would not be able to manage the questionnaire as it was finally configured.

A number of modifications were made to the questionnaire to remedy issues discovered in the pilot survey. These issues were significant since they profoundly affected what people say they have 'harvested' (i.e., caught and kept). The main issue was about 'sharing of catch'. People variably report exactly what their 'personal catch' was, depending on the exact line of questioning. In the final version:

- Rod and line fishing plus spearfishing were held to be 'personal catch methods' and sharing questions were not offered. This was to avoid people overly agreeing to 'divide' catch where sharing questions were offered.
- All other catch methods (such as longlining, dredging and set netting) were considered to be possibilities for a shared catch where others could have been 'active in catching' the particular species. A series of questions isolated the 'personal catch' for the enrolled fisher.

The modifications to the questionnaire from those in the pilot (Wynne-Jones et al. 2010) appeared to be an improvement especially with regard to the very tricky 'sharing of catch' issue. It is noted that there still were some catches reported over legal number limits, or even multiples of catch limits. But upon audit it was more frequently found that the checks within the instrument itself had been sufficient to produce as near as possible true 'personal catch' estimates.

Some participants were happy enough about the anonymity of the survey to report higher than legal catches. There were a number of situations where a person catching fish 'on behalf' of other passengers or hangers on
claimed these as personal catch - but would have offered a different answer to a fisheries officer (i.e., divided the catch among the available people if this proved expedient). These situations do not show the questionnaire to be lacking, but rather demonstrate issues of determining personal catch more generally.

Probably the most serious criticism of the questionnaire was its length, where there was a complicated catch situation. The questionnaire 'loops' through a series of questions that can be similar or the same, for situations of multiple trips, variations of fishing method or platform in the same day/week, etc.

At times this proved laborious for interviewer and respondent alike. Sometimes the interviewers just managed this by apologising to the participant (e.g., "I'm sorry I have to keep asking these questions, it's just we have to be very thorough about this"). But other times (fortunately rarely), to appease the participant in a hurry, catch details had to be written down and entered after the interview. An example of this is where the fisher said at the start that everything was caught in the one area. The risk when deviating from the exact question stream would be that a respondent would not be asked all the 'check' questions, for instance sharing questions.

For further survey iterations, this situation should be carefully examined to see if there is a software solution (e.g., jumping potential 'looping' question streams). An alternative, which could be considered, is the use of a more structured paper questionnaire (e.g., like the 'drop-in' survey questionnaire) to be employed in these infrequent emergency situations. These would be keyed in (answers copied across) immediately after the interview.

Despite issues relating to the length of the survey for very complex fishing trips, the questionnaire, as administered via the Blaise driven CATI system, proved very effective and efficient. The very structured nature of the interview is believed to have contributed to a far more accurate data collection than through any less structured alternatives would have done.

### 10.5 SMS Text Reporting

The use of SMS text messaging was an important tool in this iteration of the National Panel Survey. This method of contacting the enrolled fishers, has advantages in terms of cost, speed, and burden to the participant.

For those that were polled weekly, the message would come immediately after the fishing week, minimising the recall period. Those polled less frequently (fortnightly, monthly) soon learned when to expect contact. Replying was as simple as a YES or NO.

The use of texting in this study, allowed a larger sample of fishers to be monitored, and to be monitored more frequently than if phone alone was used.

The Panel Survey Method report (Heinemann et al. 2014) gives a full description of the automated text system and also an analysis of compliance with the regime over the course of the survey. To summarise, the majority of participants agreed to the texting regime and those that did text had an extremely low rate of attrition over the 12 month data collection period. In most weeks, well over 80 percent of those texted successfully replied within the specified two day reporting period.

Although very successful, there were a few drawbacks or possible dangers with the texting method. The supplier chosen for the text system was Datasquirt. There were a number of reasons for this, with the high level data management systems and ability for fishers to Freetext being important. Unfortunately, during the course of the survey, Datasquirt, was sold to a US company. This did not greatly affect matters and the New Zealand service continued uninterrupted. However it does show that the system is reliant on the operator remaining in the marketplace and continuing amicable relations with the telecommunication providers (Telecom, Vodafone, 2 Degrees). The backup plan for the demise of our supplier was to a) find another supplier b) move the contact method to CATI alone. Both of these would have proven awkward and probably would have had a negative effect on response.

Another issue with texting participants was that of having the correct contact numbers to text reminders to. This is discussed in the Panel Survey Method report and the issues and solutions around maintaining good numbers discussed. It was not helpful that in this particular survey year, Telecom shut down their CDMA network. Also that competitive pricing (especially with prepay) caused many people to change suppliers.

Despite these issues, overall the texting system can be seen as very successful and likely to be important in any future iterations of the National Panel Survey.

### 10.6 CATI Operations

Most CATI (Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing) operations are conducted in a central location where there is a bank of interviewers. For this survey, however, a decentralised CATI was used. This is where interviewers work from their own homes using 'remote desktop' to connect to the CATI system.

Although the system could have been configured so that individual interviewers had their own sample of participants to work with, this was not the case. Any interviewers operating worked from a common sample and simply took the next phone number offered to them. This was a deliberate part of the study design that effectively randomised which interviewer talks to which fisher each week. The concept is to reduce any 'interviewer effect' through this random allocation process. The advantages of this are spelt out in the Panel Survey Method report (Heinemann et al. 2014).

The CATI operated on a weekly basis with a sample loaded on Monday evening, after the texters had been given all of Monday to text back their (YES or NO) replies. Any late texters after this time and up until 3pm Tuesday were still taken into consideration and their replies edited into the CATI sample - if phone contact had not already been made with them.

The work hours for CATI interviewers were Monday to Thursday, from 6 pm to 9 pm , although they could also make appointments for other times if this suited the respondent. Generally the sample would not last the whole week and most interviews were achieved in the first few days of each week. A roster was in place to make sure that appointments outside the core interviewing times were covered (e.g., for shift workers or others who liked to be called in the day).

Management of the CATI was by via emails, telephone calls, and texting (e.g., an interviewer could ask for the CATI Manager to call them back to solve a particular issue). Emails of encouragement, feedback on how they were getting through the sample and notes about special things to watch for were sent most working days.

This system proved very flexible in its operation and most suitable for the study. The sample size each week was variable, depending on the number who had fished, and the number who successfully texted back. A decentralised CATI suits this variable demand.

Another advantage of a decentralised CATI was that it was well liked by interviewers and thus retention of staff was excellent. All but one of the interviewers used for this study were there from the start. This avoided the need for constantly replacing and retraining interviewers.

From the respondent's point of view, the interview was less like a usual CATI interview, since there was no background noise of other interviewers and a more individualised feel. Time of calling was more adaptable than in most CATIs.

One potential disadvantage of a decentralised CATI system was that the interviewers were not under constant supervision. This meant that some reliance was placed on the interviewers to behave appropriately, ask all questions accurately without skipping any, key in all detail correctly and so on.

In practice, a number of audit checks and software checks were conducted on an ongoing basis to ensure that everything was in order. Each week, checks on measures such as: call success rates, interview duration, question duration, calls per minute, and answer ranges were conducted. In addition, interviewers knew that another interviewer might talk to the respondent next time and might report anything unusual.

Note that for other forms of social surveying, including face-to-face interviewing, web surveys, and selfcompletion, the interview process is not under direct scrutiny either. This is normal and works by a combination of trust, auditing and other fidelity checking methods.

Overall the researchers consider the method of distributed CATI worked extremely well. The only suggestion for improvement for future iterations would be perhaps to have the first few weeks of calling inhouse to allow initial monitoring.

### 10.7 Fidelity Of Fisher's Reporting

Reported behaviour gathered by surveys is inherently open to the question of how well it was remembered and whether it was truthfully reported. This survey design addressed the memory issue explicitly by minimising the time period for which fishing was to be reported, and minimising the elapsed time between that period and the reporting on it. Forgetting and displacement (telescoping) are considered to have been successfully controlled.

Truthfulness in reporting is considered to arise when no pressures encourage over, under or other misreporting. For example, reporting in front of peers, an authority figure, or just a judgemental 'other' is thought to attract the risk of misreport.

The panel survey approach used here provides an anonymous and confidential means of surveying fishers with no repercussions for the reporting fisher or his/her immediate interests. The interviewer and the questions are unlikely to frame the fisher's reporting in any biased manner. Rotation of the interviewer prevented any connection that might lead to the fisher reporting to impress the interviewer. Arguably the respondent would decline or exit the survey in preference to repeatedly constructing detailed (each trip was intensely questioned) fabrications of fishing events. This argument is supported by the fact that respondents dropping out of the survey most commonly said that they hadn't fished or were unlikely to in the near future, and so felt it not worthwhile remaining in.

Underreporting, by texting NO or by understating the number of days fished, or the number caught are, in principle, options open to the fisher. It is difficult to prove that this did not happen, in the same way that it is difficult to prove it does not happen with telephone only surveys. People do not readily acknowledge that they are deliberately failing to disclose to you. The thinking is, however, that this behaviour is counterintuitive to what we understand about fishers. Catching a fish affirms the fisher's effort/skill and is rewarding to report. In essence, they want to report their catch because they are proud of it, and will take the time to do this. In any event, why would a fisher persist with texting NO when they could painlessly exit the survey rather than repeatedly experience the contact attempts?

There is also the possibility that over-catching in relation to the regulations may not be adequately reported (e.g. the catch trimmed to match the regulations) if the respondent was uncertain about the anonymity offered in the survey. Again, although we cannot be certain this did not happen, there were a good number of reports of catches exceeding regulations which is encouraging.

Quantification of fidelity effects in reporting fishing behaviour is elusive. Assessment is theoretically available through comparison between reported behaviour from the panel and intercept interviews carried out on ramps where physical observation and count is possible. However, this comparison is more difficult than it first appears. Fishers heading for a ramp may see the survey interviewers and arrange to hide or jettison some catch, and to share the catch between the persons on the boat to align it with regulations. These behaviours will lead to differences between panel reports of trips and intercept interview reports.

For the time being, surveys such as the panel survey rely on the assumption that by far the majority of people will accurately report their behaviour where there is no incentive or consequences for doing otherwise, given their ability to recall that behaviour over a short period.

### 10.8 Coding And Data Checking

The questions in this survey were mainly closed so the primary coding task was for fish species other than those precoded. Beyond this the tasks were essentially checking of spelling (boat ramp names, land points, nearest town), and then logic checks to ensure that information had been entered/gathered correctly.

Coding and data checking was done on a batch basis, with about seven batches being conducted over the course of the survey. As the survey constantly 'back filled' data (e.g., for missing weeks recovered), the batches were as at that point of time, not restricted to certain weeks.

Key crosschecks conducted were:

- Area code versus land point and nearest town.
- Species caught versus method.
- Species caught versus platform.

The coding of fish species was mainly straightforward. However some of the species names were not very specific (eel, wrasse, puupuu). Where whitebait had been counted, these catches were deleted from the database, as whitebait is not included in this survey. Usually there was no other marine fishing where freshwater species were reported and the fishing days changed to 'not fished'. Any mention of a conceivable fresh water fish (trout, salmon, eel) was crosschecked to see if the area descriptions matched marine areas. Fresh water species remaining in the data, we believe to have been caught in the sea, or a marine river mouth.

In terms of species checks against method/platform, where the result appeared unlikely, these were either checked by audit, or amended if straightforward. An example of the latter is where flounder were recorded as being caught by 'spearfishing'. In these cases they were changed to hand gather or floundering from shore as specified in the predetermined protocol. Other examples were where paua or lobster were recorded as being caught by 'spearfishing'. These were corrected to 'hand gather by diving'. This was a not an infrequent error and was caused by the interviewers not recording multiple methods during the interview. The fisher might say, "I went diving and speared a blue moki, four butterfish, oh and I got four paua". The interviewer should have known to re-enter a second method once the paua was mentioned, even if the fisher had not previously mentioned 'hand gathering by diving'.

Some improvements to the CATI software (e.g., more sophisticated built in soft error checks) would reduce the incidence of some of these issues, however coding and data checking are likely to remain an essential part of such a survey.

### 10.9 Harvest Expansion Method

When the survey was planned it was expected that results from the 2011 Census would be available. However, because of the Christchurch earthquakes, the Census was delayed until 2013. This has affected the estimation in two ways. The meshblocks were sampled using 2006 Census information and as noted in Section 6.1 the estimates of number of occupied dwellings were very different from the enumerated number of occupied dwellings, for some meshblocks. This meant that the selection weights were larger and more variable than would be the case if up-to-date Census information had been available. Secondly, the calibration to adjust for non-response had a less rich set of variables to use than would have been available from a recent census. In particular, fine-scale ethnic breakdowns were not available. If the use of different
methods or platforms or targeted species varies across different ethnic groups, potentially better estimates might have been made.

The objective of the survey was to produce reliable estimates for key species where there are large numbers of fishers and or fishing trips. As noted in Section 6.1, for key species, large statistical weights for a few respondents can impact on the estimates much less than the sample error, and hence can be ignored. If researchers are interested in some of the species caught by a small proportion of fishers, and some of them have large weights, then it might be worth investigating whether truncating and/or redistributing the weights improves the mean square error of the estimates. This approach requires expert subject matter knowledge as a naïve application of this method may lead to worse estimates.

For this survey, the analysis of the panel non-response concluded that imputing the missing (weekly) data would not produce a worthwhile gain. This might change if the survey was run again.

## 11. CONCLUSIONS

The methods employed to conduct the 2011-12 National Panel Survey are a significant improvement on those used by previous off-site surveys, producing estimates which are more defensible and more accurate (Hartill \& Edwards in review).

Contained in this report are some useful ideas to improve further iterations of the survey. These should be seen more as refining the methods, rather than any radical departure from what is believed to be an essentially sound approach to an effective population-based sample survey.
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## 14. APPENDICES - MARINE HARVEST REFERENCE TABLES

These tables show harvest estimates weighted up to population level data for the 2011-12 year.
They include charter fishing activity.
They exclude fishing with a customary permit.
They exclude personal allowance from a commercial catch.
They exclude fishing where all fish were released.

Tables are for these species:
Albacore Tuna
Bluenose
Blue Cod
Gurnard
Hapuku/Bass
Kahawai
Kingfish
Skipjack Tuna
Snapper
Sea perch
Tarakihi
Trevally
Lobster/Crayfish (Spiny/Red)
Paua
Scallops
There are four tables per species: platform $\times$ FMA, method $\times$ FMA, platform $\times$ QMA, method $\times$ QMA Note that QMAs may be different for different species. MPI or NABIS can provide further details as required.

## 15. SNAPPER HARVEST ESTIMATES

### 15.1 Snapper Harvest By Platform And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Snapper Harvest By Platform And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | 1 | 2819213 | 0.17 | 2963.67 | 0.17 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 1 | 400258 | 0.12 | 423.44 | 0.12 |
| Trailer yacht | 1 | 3465 | 0.86 | 3.96 | 0.90 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 1 | 40669 | 0.21 | 44.91 | 0.22 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 1 | 187956 | 0.44 | 198.25 | 0.50 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 1 | 289936 | 0.14 | 313.87 | 0.15 |
| Something else | 1 | 31376 | 0.30 | 32.90 | 0.29 |
| Total | 1 | 3772874 | 0.08 | 3980.99 | 0.08 |
| Trailer motor boat | 2 | 36133 | 0.32 | 37.11 | 0.32 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 2 | 2924 | 0.55 | 3.00 | 0.55 |
| Trailer yacht | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 2 | 2099 | 0.53 | 2.16 | 0.53 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 2 | 14625 | 0.31 | 15.02 | 0.31 |
| Something else | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 2 | 55781 | 0.25 | 57.29 | 0.25 |
| Trailer motor boat | 3 | 483 | 1.02 | 0.49 | 1.02 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 3 | 136 | 1.01 | 0.14 | 1.01 |
| Something else | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 619 | 0.82 | 0.63 | 0.82 |
| Trailer motor boat | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer motor boat | 7 | 90173 | 0.24 | 72.07 | 0.24 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 7 | 5206 | 0.36 | 4.16 | 0.36 |
| Trailer yacht | 7 | 607 | 0.73 | 0.49 | 0.73 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 7 | 5384 | 0.32 | 4.30 | 0.32 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 7 | 8959 | 0.96 | 7.16 | 0.96 |
| Something else | 7 | 1023 | 0.71 | 0.82 | 0.71 |
| Total | 7 | 111353 | 0.17 | 89.00 | 0.17 |
| Trailer motor boat | 8 | 129741 | 0.26 | 150.46 | 0.26 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 8 | 8135 | 0.38 | 9.43 | 0.38 |
| Trailer yacht | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 8 | 806 | 0.61 | 0.93 | 0.61 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 8 | 14854 | 0.57 | 17.23 | 0.57 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 8 | 27087 | 0.31 | 31.41 | 0.31 |
| Something else | 8 | 1613 | 1.01 | 1.87 | 1.01 |
| Total | 8 | 182236 | 0.16 | 211.34 | 0.16 |
| Trailer motor boat | 9 | 300275 | 0.19 | 334.07 | 0.21 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 9 | 55922 | 0.23 | 53.92 | 0.24 |
| Trailer yacht | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 9 | 322 | 1.00 | 0.25 | 1.00 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 9 | 1436 | 0.53 | 1.57 | 0.62 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 9 | 71150 | 0.29 | 82.38 | 0.30 |
| Something else | 9 | 941 | 0.74 | 0.72 | 0.74 |
| Total | 9 | 430045 | 0.19 | 472.90 | 0.20 |

### 15.2 Snapper Harvest By Method And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 Snapper Harvest By Method And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 1 | 3552382 | 0.26 | 3739.22 | 0.25 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 1 | 213495 | 0.19 | 233.56 | 0.21 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 1 | 4064 | 0.41 | 4.86 | 0.40 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 1 | 54 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 1.00 |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 1 | 2648 | 0.43 | 2.99 | 0.43 |
| Some other method | 1 | 230 | 1.02 | 0.29 | 1.02 |
| Total | 1 | 3772874 | 0.08 | 3980.99 | 0.08 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 2 | 53716 | 0.33 | 55.17 | 0.33 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 2 | 1995 | 0.45 | 2.05 | 0.45 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 2 | 71 | 1.06 | 0.07 | 1.06 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 2 | 55781 | 0.25 | 57.29 | 0.25 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 3 | 619 | 0.82 | 0.63 | 0.82 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 619 | 0.82 | 0.63 | 0.82 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 7 | 102878 | 0.24 | 82.22 | 0.24 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 7 | 7934 | 0.93 | 6.34 | 0.93 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 7 | 541 | 1.28 | 0.43 | 1.28 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 7 | 111353 | 0.17 | 89.00 | 0.17 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 8 | 162016 | 0.15 | 187.89 | 0.15 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 8 | 20084 | 0.26 | 23.29 | 0.26 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 8 | 136 | 1.01 | 0.16 | 1.01 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 182236 | 0.16 | 211.34 | 0.16 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 9 | 397080 | 0.17 | 431.78 | 0.19 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 9 | 32856 | 0.56 | 41.04 | 0.56 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 9 | 110 | 1.00 | 0.08 | 1.00 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 9 | 430045 | 0.19 | 472.90 | 0.20 |

### 15.3 Snapper Harvest By Platform And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Snapper Harvest By Platform And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | SNA 1 | 2819213 | 0.12 | 2963.67 | 0.12 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | SNA 1 | 400258 | 0.11 | 423.44 | 0.10 |
| Trailer yacht | SNA 1 | 3465 | 0.41 | 3.96 | 0.43 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | SNA 1 | 40669 | 0.22 | 44.91 | 0.22 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | SNA 1 | 187956 | 0.18 | 198.25 | 0.18 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | SNA 1 | 289936 | 0.16 | 313.87 | 0.16 |
| Something else | SNA 1 | 31376 | 0.35 | 32.90 | 0.34 |
| Total | SNA 1 | 3771345 | 0.08 | 3980.99 | 0.08 |
| Trailer motor boat | SNA 2 | 36133 | 0.27 | 37.11 | 0.27 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | SNA 2 | 2924 | 0.58 | 3.00 | 0.58 |
| Trailer yacht | SNA 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | SNA 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | SNA 2 | 2099 | 0.50 | 2.16 | 0.50 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | SNA 2 | 14625 | 0.34 | 15.02 | 0.34 |
| Something else | SNA 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SNA 2 | 55768 | 0.25 | 57.29 | 0.25 |
| Trailer motor boat | SNA 3 | 483 | 1.02 | 0.49 | 1.02 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | SNA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | SNA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | SNA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | SNA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | SNA 3 | 136 | 1.01 | 0.14 | 1.01 |
| Something else | SNA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SNA 3 | 619 | 0.82 | 0.63 | 0.82 |
| Trailer motor boat | SNA 7 | 90173 | 0.27 | 72.07 | 0.27 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | SNA 7 | 5206 | 0.39 | 4.16 | 0.39 |
| Trailer yacht | SNA 7 | 607 | 0.73 | 0.49 | 0.73 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | SNA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | SNA 7 | 5384 | 0.31 | 4.30 | 0.31 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | SNA 7 | 8959 | 0.97 | 7.16 | 0.97 |
| Something else | SNA 7 | 1023 | 0.71 | 0.82 | 0.71 |
| Total | SNA 7 | 111346 | 0.17 | 89.00 | 0.17 |
| Trailer motor boat | SNA 8 | 430016 | 0.13 | 484.53 | 0.14 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | SNA 8 | 64057 | 0.75 | 63.35 | 0.59 |
| Trailer yacht | SNA 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | SNA 8 | 1128 | 0.94 | 1.18 | 0.72 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | SNA 8 | 16290 | 0.86 | 18.79 | 0.87 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | SNA 8 | 98236 | 0.25 | 113.79 | 0.25 |
| Something else | SNA 8 | 2555 | 0.69 | 2.60 | 0.75 |
| Total | SNA 8 | 612318 | 0.14 | 684.24 | 0.15 |

### 15.4 Snapper Harvest By Method And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Snapper Harvest By Method And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | SNA 1 | 3552382 | 0.18 | 3739.22 | 0.17 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | SNA 1 | 213495 | 0.22 | 233.56 | 0.24 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | SNA 1 | 4064 | 0.38 | 4.86 | 0.39 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | SNA 1 | 54 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 1.00 |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | SNA 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | SNA 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | SNA 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | SNA 1 | 2648 | 0.46 | 2.99 | 0.47 |
| Some other method | SNA 1 | 230 | 1.02 | 0.29 | 1.02 |
| Total | SNA 1 | 3771345 | 0.08 | 3980.99 | 0.08 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | SNA 2 | 53716 | 0.22 | 55.17 | 0.22 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | SNA 2 | 1995 | 0.47 | 2.05 | 0.47 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | SNA 2 | 71 | 1.06 | 0.07 | 1.06 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | SNA 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | SNA 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | SNA 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | SNA 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | SNA 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | SNA 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SNA 2 | 55768 | 0.25 | 57.29 | 0.25 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | SNA 3 | 619 | 0.82 | 0.63 | 0.82 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | SNA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | SNA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | SNA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | SNA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | SNA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | SNA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | SNA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | SNA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SNA 3 | 619 | 0.82 | 0.63 | 0.82 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | SNA 7 | 102878 | 0.20 | 82.22 | 0.20 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | SNA 7 | 7934 | 1.33 | 6.34 | 1.33 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | SNA 7 | 541 | 0.87 | 0.43 | 0.87 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | SNA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | SNA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | SNA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | SNA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | SNA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | SNA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SNA 7 | 111346 | 0.17 | 89.00 | 0.17 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | SNA 8 | 559096 | 0.15 | 619.67 | 0.16 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | SNA 8 | 52940 | 0.58 | 64.33 | 0.60 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | SNA 8 | 245 | 0.71 | 0.24 | 0.74 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | SNA 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | SNA 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | SNA 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | SNA 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | SNA 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | SNA 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SNA 8 | 612318 | 0.14 | 684.24 | 0.15 |

## 16. KAHAWAI HARVEST ESTIMATES

### 16.1 Kahawai Harvest By Platform And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Kahawai Harvest By Platform And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | 1 | 379276 | 0.08 | 574.06 | 0.08 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 1 | 33531 | 0.14 | 50.50 | 0.14 |
| Trailer yacht | 1 | 477 | 0.66 | 0.75 | 0.66 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 1 | 8199 | 0.31 | 12.19 | 0.32 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 1 | 41560 | 0.38 | 61.70 | 0.38 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 1 | 170856 | 0.15 | 252.59 | 0.15 |
| Something else | 1 | 3926 | 0.38 | 5.93 | 0.39 |
| Total | 1 | 637824 | 0.07 | 957.71 | 0.07 |
| Trailer motor boat | 2 | 71445 | 0.22 | 112.06 | 0.22 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 2 | 4031 | 0.38 | 6.34 | 0.39 |
| Trailer yacht | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 2 | 162 | 1.02 | 0.26 | 1.02 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 2 | 5835 | 0.42 | 9.24 | 0.42 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 2 | 63788 | 0.17 | 99.78 | 0.17 |
| Something else | 2 | 437 | 0.61 | 0.69 | 0.61 |
| Total | 2 | 145698 | 0.12 | 228.37 | 0.12 |
| Trailer motor boat | 3 | 1878 | 0.65 | 2.55 | 0.62 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 3 | 95 | 1.01 | 0.12 | 1.01 |
| Trailer yacht | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 3 | 275 | 0.71 | 0.35 | 0.71 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 3 | 7281 | 0.26 | 9.47 | 0.26 |
| Something else | 3 | 85 | 1.00 | 0.11 | 1.00 |
| Total | 3 | 9614 | 0.27 | 12.60 | 0.27 |
| Trailer motor boat | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer motor boat | 7 | 44593 | 0.21 | 62.07 | 0.20 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 7 | 6616 | 0.28 | 9.80 | 0.28 |
| Trailer yacht | 7 | 159 | 1.04 | 0.20 | 1.04 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 7 | 998 | 0.68 | 1.76 | 0.56 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 7 | 3380 | 0.48 | 4.32 | 0.48 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 7 | 37641 | 0.29 | 52.99 | 0.29 |
| Something else | 7 | 1713 | 0.54 | 2.80 | 0.56 |
| Total | 7 | 95101 | 0.19 | 133.96 | 0.19 |
| Trailer motor boat | 8 | 50279 | 0.28 | 82.04 | 0.28 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 8 | 2946 | 0.37 | 4.90 | 0.37 |
| Trailer yacht | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 8 | 323 | 1.02 | 0.54 | 1.02 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 8 | 4460 | 0.61 | 7.33 | 0.62 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 8 | 41785 | 0.23 | 66.14 | 0.24 |
| Something else | 8 | 986 | 0.94 | 1.64 | 0.94 |
| Total | 8 | 100779 | 0.18 | 162.60 | 0.17 |
| Trailer motor boat | 9 | 89431 | 0.29 | 143.52 | 0.30 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 9 | 23856 | 0.76 | 37.99 | 0.77 |
| Trailer yacht | 9 | 339 | 1.01 | 0.56 | 1.01 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 9 | 1289 | 0.42 | 2.12 | 0.43 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 9 | 66100 | 0.18 | 104.90 | 0.18 |
| Something else | 9 | 295 | 0.79 | 0.49 | 0.79 |
| Total | 9 | 181309 | 0.14 | 289.59 | 0.14 |

### 16.2 Kahawai Harvest By Method And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Kahawai Harvest By Method And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 1 | 602995 | 0.07 | 905.76 | 0.07 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 1 | 22867 | 0.42 | 34.15 | 0.44 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 1 | 10110 | 0.41 | 14.99 | 0.41 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 1 | 1852 | 1.61 | 2.80 | 1.52 |
| Some other method | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 1 | 637824 | 0.07 | 957.71 | 0.07 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 2 | 137024 | 0.17 | 214.83 | 0.17 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 2 | 5734 | 0.50 | 8.92 | 0.49 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 2 | 2738 | 0.53 | 4.33 | 0.53 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 2 | 203 | 0.72 | 0.29 | 0.72 |
| Total | 2 | 145698 | 0.12 | 228.37 | 0.12 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 3 | 9478 | 0.27 | 12.28 | 0.27 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 3 | 136 | 1.01 | 0.32 | 1.01 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 9614 | 0.27 | 12.60 | 0.27 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 7 | 94246 | 0.17 | 132.87 | 0.18 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 7 | 446 | 1.05 | 0.57 | 1.05 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 7 | 357 | 0.79 | 0.46 | 0.79 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 7 | 52 | 1.00 | 0.07 | 1.00 |
| Some other method | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 7 | 95101 | 0.19 | 133.96 | 0.19 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 8 | 85978 | 0.20 | 139.77 | 0.19 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 8 | 5532 | 0.32 | 9.09 | 0.32 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 8 | 9269 | 0.43 | 13.74 | 0.42 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 100779 | 0.18 | 162.60 | 0.17 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 9 | 167084 | 0.14 | 266.84 | 0.14 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 9 | 7940 | 0.50 | 13.21 | 0.50 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 9 | 6284 | 0.58 | 9.54 | 0.56 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 9 | 181309 | 0.14 | 289.59 | 0.14 |

### 16.3 Kahawai Harvest By Platform And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Kahawai Harvest By Platform And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | KAH 1 | 379276 | 0.12 | 574.06 | 0.12 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | KAH 1 | 33531 | 0.14 | 50.50 | 0.14 |
| Trailer yacht | KAH 1 | 477 | 0.52 | 0.75 | 0.52 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | KAH 1 | 8199 | 0.31 | 12.19 | 0.31 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | KAH 1 | 41560 | 0.19 | 61.70 | 0.19 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | KAH 1 | 170856 | 0.11 | 252.59 | 0.11 |
| Something else | KAH 1 | 3926 | 0.62 | 5.93 | 0.63 |
| Total | KAH 1 | 637620 | 0.07 | 957.71 | 0.07 |
| Trailer motor boat | KAH 2 | 71445 | 0.20 | 112.06 | 0.20 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | KAH 2 | 4031 | 0.38 | 6.34 | 0.38 |
| Trailer yacht | KAH 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | KAH 2 | 162 | 1.02 | 0.26 | 1.02 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | KAH 2 | 5835 | 0.46 | 9.24 | 0.46 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | KAH 2 | 63788 | 0.34 | 99.78 | 0.35 |
| Something else | KAH 2 | 437 | 0.61 | 0.69 | 0.61 |
| Total | KAH 2 | 145655 | 0.12 | 228.37 | 0.12 |
| Trailer motor boat | KAH 3 | 46471 | 0.50 | 64.62 | 0.52 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | KAH 3 | 6711 | 0.31 | 9.92 | 0.31 |
| Trailer yacht | KAH 3 | 159 | 1.04 | 0.20 | 1.04 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | KAH 3 | 998 | 0.52 | 1.76 | 0.58 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | KAH 3 | 3655 | 0.72 | 4.67 | 0.72 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | KAH 3 | 44922 | 0.27 | 62.47 | 0.27 |
| Something else | KAH 3 | 1798 | 0.69 | 2.91 | 0.67 |
| Total | KAH 3 | 104780 | 0.18 | 146.57 | 0.18 |
| Trailer motor boat | KAH 8 | 139710 | 0.20 | 225.56 | 0.20 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | KAH 8 | 26802 | 0.48 | 42.89 | 0.47 |
| Trailer yacht | KAH 8 | 339 | 1.01 | 0.56 | 1.01 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | KAH 8 | 323 | 1.02 | 0.54 | 1.02 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | KAH 8 | 5750 | 0.30 | 9.45 | 0.31 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | KAH 8 | 107885 | 0.18 | 171.05 | 0.17 |
| Something else | KAH 8 | 1280 | 0.75 | 2.13 | 0.75 |
| Total | KAH 8 | 282101 | 0.11 | 452.19 | 0.11 |

### 16.4 Kahawai Harvest By Method And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Kahawai Harvest By Method And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | KAH 1 | 602995 | 0.07 | 905.76 | 0.07 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | KAH 1 | 22867 | 0.26 | 34.15 | 0.27 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | KAH 1 | 10110 | 0.41 | 14.99 | 0.40 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | KAH 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | KAH 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | KAH 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | KAH 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | KAH 1 | 1852 | 2.48 | 2.80 | 2.35 |
| Some other method | KAH 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | KAH 1 | 637620 | 0.07 | 957.71 | 0.07 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | KAH 2 | 137024 | 0.22 | 214.83 | 0.23 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | KAH 2 | 5734 | 0.48 | 8.92 | 0.45 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | KAH 2 | 2738 | 0.70 | 4.33 | 0.70 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | KAH 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | KAH 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | KAH 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | KAH 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | KAH 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | KAH 2 | 203 | 0.72 | 0.29 | 0.72 |
| Total | KAH 2 | 145655 | 0.12 | 228.37 | 0.12 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | KAH 3 | 103723 | 0.15 | 145.15 | 0.15 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | KAH 3 | 582 | 0.84 | 0.89 | 0.77 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | KAH 3 | 357 | 0.55 | 0.46 | 0.55 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | KAH 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | KAH 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | KAH 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | KAH 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | KAH 3 | 52 | 1.00 | 0.07 | 1.00 |
| Some other method | KAH 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | KAH 3 | 104780 | 0.18 | 146.57 | 0.18 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | KAH 8 | 253062 | 0.12 | 406.60 | 0.12 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | KAH 8 | 13473 | 0.26 | 22.30 | 0.27 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | KAH 8 | 15554 | 0.45 | 23.29 | 0.42 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | KAH 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | KAH 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | KAH 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | KAH 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | KAH 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | KAH 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | KAH 8 | 282101 | 0.11 | 452.19 | 0.11 |

## 17. BLUE COD HARVEST ESTIMATES

### 17.1 Blue Cod Harvest By Platform And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Blue Cod Harvest By Platform And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | 1 | 10628 | 0.46 | 4.57 | 0.49 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 1 | 2761 | 0.38 | 1.17 | 0.37 |
| Trailer yacht | 1 | 121 | 1.00 | 0.05 | 1.00 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 1 | 1191 | 0.59 | 0.54 | 0.59 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 1 | 785 | 0.51 | 0.35 | 0.51 |
| Something else | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 1 | 15485 | 0.21 | 6.68 | 0.21 |
| Trailer motor boat | 2 | 47347 | 0.27 | 23.07 | 0.27 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 2 | 4649 | 0.47 | 2.26 | 0.47 |
| Trailer yacht | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 2 | 1630 | 2.27 | 0.79 | 2.27 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 2 | 3645 | 0.34 | 1.78 | 0.34 |
| Something else | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 2 | 57271 | 0.19 | 27.90 | 0.19 |
| Trailer motor boat | 3 | 192969 | 0.22 | 92.51 | 0.22 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 3 | 47553 | 0.29 | 22.80 | 0.29 |
| Trailer yacht | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 3 | 451 | 0.71 | 0.22 | 0.71 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 3 | 7469 | 0.48 | 3.58 | 0.48 |
| Something else | 3 | 246 | 1.00 | 0.12 | 1.00 |
| Total | 3 | 248687 | 0.18 | 119.22 | 0.18 |
| Trailer motor boat | 5 | 54513 | 0.64 | 32.91 | 0.63 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 5 | 25625 | 0.77 | 15.37 | 0.77 |
| Trailer yacht | 5 | 242 | 1.03 | 0.15 | 1.03 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 5 | 1454 | 1.02 | 0.90 | 1.02 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 5 | 2143 | 0.66 | 1.30 | 0.65 |
| Something else | 5 | 150 | 1.02 | 0.09 | 1.02 |
| Total | 5 | 84129 | 0.24 | 50.72 | 0.23 |
| Trailer motor boat | 7 | 139830 | 0.19 | 59.30 | 0.19 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 7 | 27197 | 0.30 | 11.70 | 0.29 |
| Trailer yacht | 7 | 1264 | 0.73 | 0.53 | 0.73 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 7 | 2044 | 0.69 | 0.85 | 0.69 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 7 | 4449 | 0.92 | 1.88 | 0.91 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 7 | 3955 | 0.52 | 1.74 | 0.52 |
| Something else | 7 | 1819 | 0.90 | 0.76 | 0.89 |
| Total | 7 | 180558 | 0.17 | 76.76 | 0.17 |
| Trailer motor boat | 8 | 84183 | 0.27 | 45.48 | 0.27 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 8 | 3737 | 0.38 | 2.02 | 0.38 |
| Trailer yacht | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 8 | 1305 | 0.99 | 0.71 | 0.99 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 8 | 3993 | 0.60 | 2.16 | 0.60 |
| Something else | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 93218 | 0.36 | 50.36 | 0.36 |
| Trailer motor boat | 9 | 3202 | 0.44 | 1.43 | 0.42 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 9 | 3202 | 0.44 | 1.43 | 0.42 |

### 17.2 Blue Cod Harvest By Method And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Blue Cod Harvest By Method And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 1 | 15376 | 0.40 | 6.63 | 0.31 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 1 | 109 | 0.66 | 0.04 | 0.62 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 1 | 15485 | 0.21 | 6.68 | 0.21 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 2 | 55799 | 0.20 | 27.18 | 0.20 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 2 | 643 | 1.06 | 0.31 | 1.06 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 2 | 585 | 1.01 | 0.28 | 1.01 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 2 | 131 | 1.02 | 0.06 | 1.02 |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 2 | 113 | 1.02 | 0.05 | 1.02 |
| Some other method | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 2 | 57271 | 0.19 | 27.90 | 0.19 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 3 | 247854 | 0.27 | 118.82 | 0.27 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 3 | 760 | 0.72 | 0.36 | 0.72 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 3 | 73 | 1.01 | 0.04 | 1.01 |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 248687 | 0.18 | 119.22 | 0.18 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 5 | 83520 | 0.25 | 50.34 | 0.25 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 5 | 124 | 1.01 | 0.08 | 1.01 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 5 | 485 | 1.02 | 0.30 | 1.02 |
| Some other method | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 84129 | 0.24 | 50.72 | 0.23 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 7 | 180033 | 0.15 | 76.54 | 0.15 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 7 | 244 | 0.93 | 0.10 | 0.93 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 7 | 282 | 0.73 | 0.12 | 0.73 |
| Some other method | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 7 | 180558 | 0.17 | 76.76 | 0.17 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 8 | 92679 | 0.24 | 50.07 | 0.24 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 8 | 466 | 0.89 | 0.25 | 0.89 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 8 | 73 | 1.01 | 0.04 | 1.01 |
| Some other method | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 93218 | 0.36 | 50.36 | 0.36 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 9 | 3202 | 0.44 | 1.43 | 0.42 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 9 | 3202 | 0.44 | 1.43 | 0.42 |

### 17.3 Blue Cod Harvest By Platform And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Blue Cod Harvest By Platform And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | BCO 1 | 12979 | 0.23 | 5.53 | 0.23 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | BCO 1 | 2761 | 0.37 | 1.17 | 0.38 |
| Trailer yacht | BCO 1 | 121 | 1.00 | 0.05 | 1.00 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | BCO 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | BCO 1 | 1191 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | BCO 1 | 785 | 0.51 | 0.35 | 0.51 |
| Something else | BCO 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | BCO 1 | 17837 | 0.20 | 7.65 | 0.20 |
| Trailer motor boat | BCO 2 | 47347 | 0.26 | 23.07 | 0.26 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | BCO 2 | 4649 | 0.29 | 2.26 | 0.29 |
| Trailer yacht | BCO 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | BCO 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | BCO 2 | 1630 | 2.27 | 0.79 | 2.27 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | BCO 2 | 3645 | 0.61 | 1.78 | 0.61 |
| Something else | BCO 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | BCO 2 | 57257 | 0.19 | 27.90 | 0.19 |
| Trailer motor boat | BCO 3 | 192969 | 0.22 | 92.51 | 0.22 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | BCO 3 | 47553 | 0.29 | 22.80 | 0.29 |
| Trailer yacht | BCO 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | BCO 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | BCO 3 | 451 | 0.71 | 0.22 | 0.71 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | BCO 3 | 7469 | 0.66 | 3.58 | 0.66 |
| Something else | BCO 3 | 246 | 1.00 | 0.12 | 1.00 |
| Total | BCO 3 | 237869 | 0.18 | 119.22 | 0.18 |
| Trailer motor boat | BCO 5 | 54513 | 0.26 | 32.91 | 0.26 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | BCO 5 | 25625 | 0.48 | 15.37 | 0.49 |
| Trailer yacht | BCO 5 | 242 | 1.03 | 0.15 | 1.03 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | BCO 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | BCO 5 | 1454 | 1.02 | 0.90 | 1.02 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | BCO 5 | 2143 | 0.59 | 1.30 | 0.58 |
| Something else | BCO 5 | 150 | 1.02 | 0.09 | 1.02 |
| Total | BCO 5 | 84140 | 0.24 | 50.72 | 0.23 |
| Trailer motor boat | BCO 7 | 139830 | 0.17 | 59.30 | 0.16 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | BCO 7 | 27197 | 0.23 | 11.70 | 0.23 |
| Trailer yacht | BCO 7 | 1264 | 0.73 | 0.53 | 0.73 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | BCO 7 | 2044 | 0.69 | 0.85 | 0.69 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | BCO 7 | 4449 | 0.75 | 1.88 | 0.74 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | BCO 7 | 3955 | 0.83 | 1.74 | 0.78 |
| Something else | BCO 7 | 1819 | 0.90 | 0.76 | 0.89 |
| Total | BCO 7 | 180794 | 0.17 | 76.76 | 0.17 |
| Trailer motor boat | BCO 8 | 85035 | 0.25 | 45.94 | 0.25 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | BCO 8 | 3737 | 0.42 | 2.02 | 0.42 |
| Trailer yacht | BCO 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | BCO 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | BCO 8 | 1305 | 0.99 | 0.71 | 0.99 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | BCO 8 | 3993 | 0.60 | 2.16 | 0.60 |
| Something else | BCO 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | BCO 8 | 94049 | 0.36 | 50.82 | 0.35 |

### 17.4 Blue Cod Harvest By Method And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Blue Cod Harvest By Method And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | BCO 1 | 17726 | 0.18 | 7.60 | 0.17 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | BCO 1 | 109 | 0.81 | 0.04 | 0.75 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | BCO 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | BCO 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | BCO 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | BCO 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | BCO 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | BCO 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | BCO 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | BCO 1 | 17837 | 0.20 | 7.65 | 0.20 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | BCO 2 | 55799 | 0.36 | 27.18 | 0.36 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | BCO 2 | 643 | 1.06 | 0.31 | 1.06 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | BCO 2 | 585 | 1.01 | 0.28 | 1.01 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | BCO 2 | 131 | 1.02 | 0.06 | 1.02 |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | BCO 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | BCO 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | BCO 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | BCO 2 | 113 | 1.02 | 0.05 | 1.02 |
| Some other method | BCO 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | BCO 2 | 57257 | 0.19 | 27.90 | 0.19 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | BCO 3 | 247854 | 0.25 | 118.82 | 0.25 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | BCO 3 | 760 | 0.72 | 0.36 | 0.72 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | BCO 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | BCO 3 | 73 | 1.01 | 0.04 | 1.01 |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | BCO 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | BCO 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | BCO 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | BCO 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | BCO 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | BCO 3 | 237869 | 0.18 | 119.22 | 0.18 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | BCO 5 | 83520 | 0.31 | 50.34 | 0.30 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | BCO 5 | 124 | 1.01 | 0.08 | 1.01 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | BCO 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | BCO 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | BCO 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | BCO 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | BCO 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | BCO 5 | 485 | 1.02 | 0.30 | 1.02 |
| Some other method | BCO 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | BCO 5 | 84140 | 0.24 | 50.72 | 0.23 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | BCO 7 | 180033 | 0.14 | 76.54 | 0.14 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | BCO 7 | 244 | 0.75 | 0.10 | 0.75 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | BCO 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | BCO 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | BCO 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | BCO 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | BCO 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | BCO 7 | 282 | 0.73 | 0.12 | 0.73 |
| Some other method | BCO 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | BCO 7 | 180794 | 0.17 | 76.76 | 0.17 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | BCO 8 | 93530 | 0.25 | 50.53 | 0.25 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | BCO 8 | 466 | 0.89 | 0.25 | 0.89 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | BCO 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | BCO 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | BCO 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | BCO 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | BCO 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | BCO 8 | 73 | 1.01 | 0.04 | 1.01 |
| Some other method | BCO 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | BCO 8 | 94049 | 0.36 | 50.82 | 0.35 |

## 18. RED GURNARD HARVEST ESTIMATES

### 18.1 Red Gurnard Harvest By Platform And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Red Gurnard Harvest By Platform And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | 1 | 98597 | 0.14 | 37.06 | 0.15 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 1 | 7270 | 0.28 | 2.65 | 0.29 |
| Trailer yacht | 1 | 52 | 1.01 | 0.02 | 1.01 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 1 | 580 | 0.62 | 0.22 | 0.61 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 1 | 9211 | 0.76 | 3.56 | 0.74 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 1 | 12684 | 0.23 | 5.00 | 0.23 |
| Something else | 1 | 409 | 0.79 | 0.15 | 0.80 |
| Total | 1 | 128802 | 0.16 | 48.66 | 0.16 |
| Trailer motor boat | 2 | 50520 | 0.23 | 28.79 | 0.23 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 2 | 7447 | 0.80 | 4.21 | 0.81 |
| Trailer yacht | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 2 | 653 | 0.72 | 0.37 | 0.72 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 2 | 7934 | 0.31 | 4.74 | 0.30 |
| Something else | 2 | 106 | 1.02 | 0.06 | 1.02 |
| Total | 2 | 66661 | 0.20 | 38.16 | 0.20 |
| Trailer motor boat | 3 | 4020 | 0.52 | 1.75 | 0.52 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 3 | 585 | 1.01 | 0.26 | 1.01 |
| Something else | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 4605 | 0.62 | 2.01 | 0.62 |
| Trailer motor boat | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer motor boat | 7 | 18537 | 0.28 | 9.78 | 0.28 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 7 | 1821 | 0.47 | 0.96 | 0.47 |
| Trailer yacht | 7 | 136 | 1.05 | 0.07 | 1.05 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 7 | 607 | 0.64 | 0.32 | 0.64 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 7 | 302 | 0.74 | 0.16 | 0.74 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 7 | 835 | 1.18 | 0.44 | 1.18 |
| Something else | 7 | 1416 | 0.72 | 0.75 | 0.72 |
| Total | 7 | 23653 | 0.24 | 12.48 | 0.24 |
| Trailer motor boat | 8 | 64438 | 0.25 | 32.21 | 0.25 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 8 | 1649 | 0.71 | 0.83 | 0.71 |
| Trailer yacht | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 8 | 16704 | 0.55 | 8.27 | 0.55 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 8 | 10866 | 0.29 | 5.44 | 0.29 |
| Something else | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 93656 | 0.23 | 46.75 | 0.23 |
| Trailer motor boat | 9 | 92424 | 0.24 | 44.55 | 0.24 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 9 | 10658 | 0.43 | 5.08 | 0.43 |
| Trailer yacht | 9 | 169 | 1.01 | 0.08 | 1.01 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 9 | 129 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 1.00 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 9 | 9656 | 0.47 | 4.68 | 0.48 |
| Something else | 9 | 119 | 1.01 | 0.06 | 1.01 |
| Total | 9 | 113154 | 0.24 | 54.52 | 0.24 |

### 18.2 Red Gurnard Harvest By Method And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Red Gurnard Harvest By Method And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 1 | 102812 | 0.15 | 38.47 | 0.16 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 1 | 25825 | 0.30 | 10.13 | 0.29 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 1 | 165 | 0.74 | 0.07 | 0.74 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving |  | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 1 | 128802 | 0.16 | 48.66 | 0.16 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 2 | 63644 | 0.19 | 36.45 | 0.19 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 2 | 2417 | 0.44 | 1.38 | 0.43 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 2 | 600 | 0.94 | 0.34 | 0.94 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 2 | 66661 | 0.20 | 38.16 | 0.20 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 3 | 4156 | 0.75 | 1.81 | 0.75 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 3 | 449 | 1.01 | 0.20 | 1.01 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 4605 | 0.62 | 2.01 | 0.62 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 7 | 22986 | 0.20 | 12.12 | 0.20 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 7 | 492 | 0.77 | 0.26 | 0.77 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 7 | 175 | 1.15 | 0.09 | 1.15 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 7 | 23653 | 0.24 | 12.48 | 0.24 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 8 | 81248 | 0.27 | 40.52 | 0.27 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 8 | 11947 | 0.43 | 6.02 | 0.43 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 8 | 461 | 0.84 | 0.21 | 0.82 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 93656 | 0.23 | 46.75 | 0.23 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 9 | 111264 | 0.19 | 53.61 | 0.19 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 9 | 1890 | 0.51 | 0.91 | 0.51 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 9 | 113154 | 0.24 | 54.52 | 0.24 |

### 18.3 Red Gurnard Harvest By Platform And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Red Gurnard Harvest By Platform And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | GUR 1 | 191021 | 0.16 | 81.61 | 0.16 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | GUR 1 | 17928 | 0.25 | 7.73 | 0.26 |
| Trailer yacht | GUR 1 | 221 | 0.81 | 0.10 | 0.82 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | GUR 1 | 580 | 0.73 | 0.22 | 0.74 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | GUR 1 | 9340 | 1.37 | 3.62 | 1.34 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | GUR 1 | 22339 | 0.22 | 9.68 | 0.24 |
| Something else | GUR 1 | 527 | 0.68 | 0.21 | 0.65 |
| Total | GUR 1 | 241857 | 0.14 | 103.18 | 0.15 |
| Trailer motor boat | GUR 2 | 50520 | 0.24 | 28.79 | 0.24 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | GUR 2 | 7447 | 0.52 | 4.21 | 0.51 |
| Trailer yacht | GUR 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | GUR 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | GUR 2 | 653 | 0.72 | 0.37 | 0.72 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | GUR 2 | 7934 | 0.27 | 4.74 | 0.27 |
| Something else | GUR 2 | 106 | 1.02 | 0.06 | 1.02 |
| Total | GUR 2 | 66604 | 0.20 | 38.16 | 0.20 |
| Trailer motor boat | GUR 3 | 4020 | 0.52 | 1.75 | 0.52 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | GUR 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | GUR 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | GUR 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | GUR 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | GUR 3 | 585 | 1.01 | 0.26 | 1.01 |
| Something else | GUR 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | GUR 3 | 4635 | 0.62 | 2.01 | 0.62 |
| Trailer motor boat | GUR 7 | 18537 | 0.28 | 9.78 | 0.28 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | GUR 7 | 1821 | 0.47 | 0.96 | 0.47 |
| Trailer yacht | GUR 7 | 136 | 1.05 | 0.07 | 1.05 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | GUR 7 | 607 | 0.52 | 0.32 | 0.52 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | GUR 7 | 302 | 0.74 | 0.16 | 0.74 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | GUR 7 | 835 | 0.96 | 0.44 | 0.96 |
| Something else | GUR 7 | 1416 | 0.95 | 0.75 | 0.95 |
| Total | GUR 7 | 23692 | 0.24 | 12.48 | 0.24 |
| Trailer motor boat | GUR 8 | 64438 | 0.23 | 32.21 | 0.23 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | GUR 8 | 1649 | 0.71 | 0.83 | 0.71 |
| Trailer yacht | GUR 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | GUR 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | GUR 8 | 16704 | 0.55 | 8.27 | 0.55 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | GUR 8 | 10866 | 0.29 | 5.44 | 0.29 |
| Something else | GUR 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | GUR 8 | 93673 | 0.23 | 46.75 | 0.23 |

### 18.4 Red Gurnard Harvest By Method And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Red Gurnard Harvest By Method And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | GUR 1 | 214077 | 0.13 | 92.08 | 0.13 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | GUR 1 | 27715 | 0.48 | 11.04 | 0.46 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | GUR 1 | 165 | 0.74 | 0.07 | 0.74 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | GUR 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | GUR 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | GUR 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | GUR 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | GUR 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | GUR 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | GUR 1 | 241857 | 0.14 | 103.18 | 0.15 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | GUR 2 | 63644 | 0.19 | 36.45 | 0.19 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | GUR 2 | 2417 | 0.31 | 1.38 | 0.31 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | GUR 2 | 600 | 0.94 | 0.34 | 0.94 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | GUR 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | GUR 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | GUR 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | GUR 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | GUR 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | GUR 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | GUR 2 | 66604 | 0.20 | 38.16 | 0.20 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | GUR 3 | 4156 | 0.53 | 1.81 | 0.53 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | GUR 3 | 449 | 1.01 | 0.20 | 1.01 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | GUR 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | GUR 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | GUR 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | GUR 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | GUR 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | GUR 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | GUR 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | GUR 3 | 4635 | 0.62 | 2.01 | 0.62 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | GUR 7 | 22986 | 0.24 | 12.12 | 0.24 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | GUR 7 | 492 | 1.24 | 0.26 | 1.24 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | GUR 7 | 175 | 0.71 | 0.09 | 0.71 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | GUR 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | GUR 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | GUR 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | GUR 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | GUR 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | GUR 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | GUR 7 | 23692 | 0.24 | 12.48 | 0.24 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | GUR 8 | 81248 | 0.24 | 40.52 | 0.24 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | GUR 8 | 11947 | 0.62 | 6.02 | 0.62 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | GUR 8 | 461 | 0.84 | 0.21 | 0.82 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | GUR 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | GUR 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | GUR 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | GUR 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | GUR 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | GUR 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | GUR 8 | 93673 | 0.23 | 46.75 | 0.23 |

## 19. TARAKIHI HARVEST ESTIMATES

### 19.1 Tarakihi Harvest By Platform And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Tarakihi Harvest By Platform And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | 1 | 125179 | 0.22 | 87.92 | 0.22 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 1 | 34074 | 0.34 | 23.96 | 0.34 |
| Trailer yacht | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 1 | 398 | 0.43 | 0.29 | 0.43 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 1 | 762 | 0.40 | 0.53 | 0.40 |
| Something else | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 1 | 160414 | 0.22 | 112.69 | 0.22 |
| Trailer motor boat | 2 | 93111 | 0.20 | 60.85 | 0.20 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 2 | 11379 | 0.36 | 7.48 | 0.36 |
| Trailer yacht | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 2 | 1409 | 1.01 | 0.90 | 1.01 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 2 | 4704 | 0.77 | 3.03 | 0.77 |
| Something else | 2 | 318 | 1.01 | 0.20 | 1.01 |
| Total | 2 | 110920 | 0.22 | 72.46 | 0.22 |
| Trailer motor boat | 3 | 3521 | 0.80 | 2.39 | 0.80 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 3 | 639 | 0.57 | 0.43 | 0.57 |
| Trailer yacht | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 3 | 47 | 1.00 | 0.03 | 1.00 |
| Something else | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 4208 | 0.42 | 2.86 | 0.42 |
| Trailer motor boat | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 5 | 141 | 0.73 | 0.10 | 0.73 |
| Trailer yacht | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 141 | 0.73 | 0.10 | 0.73 |
| Trailer motor boat | 7 | 41853 | 0.45 | 20.27 | 0.45 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 7 | 4328 | 0.69 | 2.10 | 0.69 |
| Trailer yacht | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 7 | 215 | 1.05 | 0.10 | 1.05 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 7 | 1345 | 0.64 | 0.65 | 0.64 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 7 | 365 | 1.01 | 0.18 | 1.01 |
| Total | 7 | 48107 | 0.38 | 23.30 | 0.38 |
| Trailer motor boat | 8 | 27328 | 0.33 | 20.26 | 0.33 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 8 | 2847 | 0.36 | 1.99 | 0.36 |
| Trailer yacht | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 8 | 201 | 0.83 | 0.14 | 0.83 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 8 | 964 | 0.70 | 0.82 | 0.70 |
| Something else | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 31340 | 0.29 | 23.21 | 0.30 |
| Trailer motor boat | 9 | 4222 | 0.94 | 2.87 | 0.94 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 9 | 704 | 0.68 | 0.48 | 0.68 |
| Trailer yacht | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 9 | 1200 | 0.73 | 0.81 | 0.73 |
| Something else | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 9 | 6126 | 0.48 | 4.16 | 0.48 |

### 19.2 Tarakihi Harvest By Method And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Tarakihi Harvest By Method And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 1 | 158817 | 0.20 | 111.58 | 0.20 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 1 | 111 | 0.87 | 0.08 | 0.89 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 1 | 51 | 1.00 | 0.04 | 1.00 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 1 | 1435 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 1.01 |
| Some other method | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 1 | 160414 | 0.22 | 112.69 | 0.22 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 2 | 110483 | 0.20 | 72.18 | 0.20 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 2 | 437 | 0.71 | 0.28 | 0.71 |
| Some other method | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 2 | 110920 | 0.22 | 72.46 | 0.22 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 3 | 4208 | 0.87 | 2.86 | 0.87 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 4208 | 0.42 | 2.86 | 0.42 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 5 | 141 | 0.73 | 0.10 | 0.73 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 141 | 0.73 | 0.10 | 0.73 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 7 | 48107 | 0.27 | 23.30 | 0.27 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 7 | 48107 | 0.38 | 23.30 | 0.38 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 8 | 30599 | 0.28 | 22.70 | 0.28 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 8 | 33 | 1.01 | 0.02 | 1.01 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 8 | 708 | 1.01 | 0.48 | 1.01 |
| Some other method | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 31340 | 0.29 | 23.21 | 0.30 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 9 | 4071 | 0.52 | 2.76 | 0.52 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 9 | 2055 | 1.02 | 1.40 | 1.02 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 9 | 6126 | 0.48 | 4.16 | 0.48 |

### 19.3 Tarakihi Harvest By Platform And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Tarakihi Harvest By Platform And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | TAR 1 | 129401 | 0.22 | 90.78 | 0.22 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | TAR 1 | 34779 | 0.29 | 24.43 | 0.28 |
| Trailer yacht | TAR 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | TAR 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | TAR 1 | 398 | 0.43 | 0.29 | 0.43 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | TAR 1 | 1962 | 0.59 | 1.35 | 0.58 |
| Something else | TAR 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | TAR 1 | 166449 | 0.22 | 116.85 | 0.22 |
| Trailer motor boat | TAR 2 | 93111 | 0.22 | 60.85 | 0.22 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | TAR 2 | 11379 | 0.34 | 7.48 | 0.34 |
| Trailer yacht | TAR 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | TAR 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | TAR 2 | 1409 | 1.01 | 0.90 | 1.01 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | TAR 2 | 4704 | 0.93 | 3.03 | 0.92 |
| Something else | TAR 2 | 318 | 1.01 | 0.20 | 1.01 |
| Total | TAR 2 | 110870 | 0.22 | 72.46 | 0.22 |
| Trailer motor boat | TAR 3 | 3521 | 0.42 | 2.39 | 0.42 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | TAR 3 | 639 | 0.52 | 0.43 | 0.52 |
| Trailer yacht | TAR 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | TAR 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | TAR 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | TAR 3 | 47 | 1.00 | 0.03 | 1.00 |
| Something else | TAR 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | TAR 3 | 4229 | 0.42 | 2.86 | 0.42 |
| Trailer motor boat | TAR 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | TAR 5 | 141 | 0.73 | 0.10 | 0.73 |
| Trailer yacht | TAR 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | TAR 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | TAR 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | TAR 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | TAR 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | TAR 5 | 141 | 0.73 | 0.10 | 0.73 |
| Trailer motor boat | TAR 7 | 41853 | 0.36 | 20.27 | 0.36 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | TAR 7 | 4328 | 0.59 | 2.10 | 0.59 |
| Trailer yacht | TAR 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | TAR 7 | 215 | 1.05 | 0.10 | 1.05 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | TAR 7 | 1345 | 0.72 | 0.65 | 0.72 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | TAR 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | TAR 7 | 365 | 1.01 | 0.18 | 1.01 |
| Total | TAR 7 | 48160 | 0.38 | 23.30 | 0.38 |
| Trailer motor boat | TAR 8 | 27328 | 0.28 | 20.26 | 0.28 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | TAR 8 | 2847 | 0.41 | 1.99 | 0.41 |
| Trailer yacht | TAR 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | TAR 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | TAR 8 | 201 | 0.83 | 0.14 | 0.83 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | TAR 8 | 964 | 0.90 | 0.82 | 0.90 |
| Something else | TAR 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | TAR 8 | 31320 | 0.29 | 23.21 | 0.30 |

### 19.4 Tarakihi Harvest By Method And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Tarakihi Harvest By Method And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | TAR 1 | 162888 | 0.22 | 114.35 | 0.22 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | TAR 1 | 2167 | 0.96 | 1.47 | 0.96 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | TAR 1 | 51 | 1.00 | 0.04 | 1.00 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | TAR 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | TAR 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | TAR 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | TAR 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | TAR 1 | 1435 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 1.01 |
| Some other method | TAR 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | TAR 1 | 166449 | 0.22 | 116.85 | 0.22 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | TAR 2 | 110483 | 0.36 | 72.18 | 0.35 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | TAR 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | TAR 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | TAR 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | TAR 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | TAR 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | TAR 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | TAR 2 | 437 | 0.71 | 0.28 | 0.71 |
| Some other method | TAR 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | TAR 2 | 110870 | 0.22 | 72.46 | 0.22 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | TAR 3 | 4208 | 0.35 | 2.86 | 0.35 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | TAR 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | TAR 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | TAR 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | TAR 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | TAR 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | TAR 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | TAR 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | TAR 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | TAR 3 | 4229 | 0.42 | 2.86 | 0.42 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | TAR 5 | 141 | 0.73 | 0.10 | 0.73 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | TAR 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | TAR 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | TAR 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | TAR 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | TAR 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | TAR 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | TAR 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | TAR 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | TAR 5 | 141 | 0.73 | 0.10 | 0.73 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | TAR 7 | 48107 | 0.29 | 23.30 | 0.29 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | TAR 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | TAR 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | TAR 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | TAR 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | TAR 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | TAR 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | TAR 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | TAR 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | TAR 7 | 48160 | 0.38 | 23.30 | 0.38 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | TAR 8 | 30599 | 0.35 | 22.70 | 0.34 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | TAR 8 | 33 | 1.01 | 0.02 | 1.01 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | TAR 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | TAR 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | TAR 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | TAR 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | TAR 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | TAR 8 | 708 | 1.01 | 0.48 | 1.01 |
| Some other method | TAR 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | TAR 8 | 31320 | 0.29 | 23.21 | 0.30 |

## 20. TREVALLY HARVEST ESTIMATES

### 20.1 Trevally Harvest By Platform And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Trevally Harvest By Platform And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | 1 | 90012 | 0.12 | 109.97 | 0.12 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 1 | 12872 | 0.22 | 15.00 | 0.23 |
| Trailer yacht | 1 | 63 | 1.00 | 0.07 | 1.00 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 1 | 672 | 0.47 | 0.78 | 0.46 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 1 | 11313 | 0.54 | 12.82 | 0.63 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty |  | 22378 | 0.29 | 23.55 | 0.24 |
| Something else | 1 | 2162 | 0.77 | 2.56 | 0.76 |
| Total | 1 | 139473 | 0.12 | 164.75 | 0.11 |
| Trailer motor boat | 2 | 5737 | 0.46 | 6.21 | 0.46 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 2 | 2299 | 0.55 | 2.49 | 0.55 |
| Trailer yacht | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 2 | 489 | 0.71 | 0.53 | 0.71 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 2 | 1784 | 0.42 | 1.93 | 0.42 |
| Something else | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 2 | 10308 | 0.24 | 11.15 | 0.24 |
| Trailer motor boat | 3 | 859 | 0.72 | 1.08 | 0.73 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 859 | 0.72 | 1.08 | 0.73 |
| Trailer motor boat | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer motor boat | 7 | 1338 | 0.63 | 1.87 | 0.63 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 7 | 188 | 1.05 | 0.26 | 1.05 |
| Trailer yacht | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 7 | 44 | 1.05 | 0.06 | 1.05 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 7 | 148 | 1.06 | 0.21 | 1.06 |
| Something else | 7 | 122 | 1.01 | 0.17 | 1.01 |
| Total | 7 | 1840 | 0.43 | 2.57 | 0.43 |
| Trailer motor boat | 8 | 4491 | 0.42 | 6.27 | 0.42 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 8 | 248 | 1.02 | 0.35 | 1.02 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 8 | 144 | 0.73 | 0.20 | 0.73 |
| Something else | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 4883 | 0.32 | 6.81 | 0.32 |
| Trailer motor boat | 9 | 9985 | 0.26 | 13.93 | 0.26 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 9 | 2428 | 0.73 | 3.39 | 0.73 |
| Trailer yacht | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 9 | 3834 | 0.62 | 5.35 | 0.62 |
| Something else | 9 | 153 | 1.00 | 0.21 | 1.00 |
| Total | 9 | 16400 | 0.20 | 22.88 | 0.20 |

### 20.2 Trevally Harvest By Method And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Trevally Harvest By Method And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 1 | 133495 | 0.20 | 157.96 | 0.22 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 1 | 1527 | 0.77 | 1.73 | 0.95 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 1 | 4450 | 0.74 | 5.07 | 0.83 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 1 | 139473 | 0.12 | 164.75 | 0.11 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 2 | 9575 | 1.22 | 10.36 | 1.22 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 2 | 263 | 1.02 | 0.28 | 1.02 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 2 | 470 | 0.84 | 0.51 | 0.84 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 2 | 10308 | 0.24 | 11.15 | 0.24 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 3 | 859 | 0.72 | 1.08 | 0.73 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 859 | 0.72 | 1.08 | 0.73 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 7 | 1632 | 0.53 | 2.28 | 0.53 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 7 | 208 | 1.06 | 0.29 | 1.06 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 7 | 1840 | 0.43 | 2.57 | 0.43 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 8 | 4708 | 0.37 | 6.57 | 0.37 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 8 | 57 | 1.01 | 0.08 | 1.01 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 8 | 118 | 1.01 | 0.16 | 1.01 |
| Some other method | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 4883 | 0.32 | 6.81 | 0.32 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 9 | 15949 | 0.21 | 22.25 | 0.21 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 9 | 451 | 0.74 | 0.63 | 0.74 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 9 | 16400 | 0.20 | 22.88 | 0.20 |

### 20.3 Trevally Harvest By Platform And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Trevally Harvest By Platform And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | TRE 1 | 90012 | 0.12 | 109.97 | 0.12 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | TRE 1 | 12872 | 0.22 | 15.00 | 0.23 |
| Trailer yacht | TRE 1 | 63 | 1.00 | 0.07 | 1.00 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | TRE 1 | 672 | 0.47 | 0.78 | 0.46 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | TRE 1 | 11313 | 0.54 | 12.82 | 0.63 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | TRE 1 | 22378 | 0.29 | 23.55 | 0.24 |
| Something else | TRE 1 | 2162 | 0.77 | 2.56 | 0.76 |
| Total | TRE 1 | 139418 | 0.12 | 164.75 | 0.11 |
| Trailer motor boat | TRE 2 | 5737 | 0.46 | 6.21 | 0.46 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | TRE 2 | 2299 | 0.55 | 2.49 | 0.55 |
| Trailer yacht | TRE 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | TRE 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | TRE 2 | 489 | 0.71 | 0.53 | 0.71 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | TRE 2 | 1784 | 0.42 | 1.93 | 0.42 |
| Something else | TRE 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | TRE 2 | 10309 | 0.24 | 11.15 | 0.24 |
| Trailer motor boat | TRE 3 | 859 | 0.72 | 1.08 | 0.73 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | TRE 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | TRE 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | TRE 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | TRE 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | TRE 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | TRE 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | TRE 3 | 864 | 0.72 | 1.08 | 0.73 |
| Trailer motor boat | TRE 7 | 15814 | 0.19 | 22.06 | 0.19 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | TRE 7 | 2615 | 0.36 | 3.65 | 0.36 |
| Trailer yacht | TRE 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | TRE 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | TRE 7 | 292 | 0.88 | 0.41 | 0.88 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | TRE 7 | 4127 | 0.29 | 5.76 | 0.29 |
| Something else | TRE 7 | 275 | 0.72 | 0.38 | 0.72 |
| Total | TRE 7 | 23118 | 0.16 | 32.26 | 0.16 |

### 20.4 Trevally Harvest By Method And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Trevally Harvest By Method And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | TRE 1 | 133495 | 0.41 | 157.96 | 0.47 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | TRE 1 | 1527 | 0.79 | 1.73 | 0.96 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | TRE 1 | 4450 | 0.55 | 5.07 | 0.55 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | TRE 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | TRE 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | TRE 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | TRE 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | TRE 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | TRE 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | TRE 1 | 139418 | 0.12 | 164.75 | 0.11 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | TRE 2 | 9575 | 0.27 | 10.36 | 0.27 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | TRE 2 | 263 | 1.02 | 0.28 | 1.02 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | TRE 2 | 470 | 0.91 | 0.51 | 0.91 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | TRE 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | TRE 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | TRE 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | TRE 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | TRE 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | TRE 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | TRE 2 | 10309 | 0.24 | 11.15 | 0.24 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | TRE 3 | 859 | 4.28 | 1.08 | 4.42 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | TRE 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | TRE 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | TRE 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | TRE 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | TRE 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | TRE 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | TRE 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | TRE 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | TRE 3 | 864 | 0.72 | 1.08 | 0.73 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | TRE 7 | 22289 | 0.17 | 31.09 | 0.17 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | TRE 7 | 716 | 0.80 | 1.00 | 0.80 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | TRE 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | TRE 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | TRE 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | TRE 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | TRE 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | TRE 7 | 118 | 1.01 | 0.16 | 1.01 |
| Some other method | TRE 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | TRE 7 | 23118 | 0.16 | 32.26 | 0.16 |

## 21. KINGFISH HARVEST ESTIMATES

### 21.1 Kingfish Harvest By Platform And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Kingfish Harvest By Platform And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | 1 | 33711 | 0.81 | 346.68 | 0.81 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 1 | 10703 | 0.28 | 110.10 | 0.28 |
| Trailer yacht | 1 | 255 | 0.80 | 2.58 | 0.80 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 1 | 1855 | 0.83 | 19.31 | 0.84 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 1 | 995 | 0.42 | 10.13 | 0.42 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 1 | 4332 | 0.27 | 44.44 | 0.27 |
| Something else | 1 | 205 | 0.77 | 2.08 | 0.77 |
| Total | 1 | 52056 | 0.13 | 535.30 | 0.13 |
| Trailer motor boat | 2 | 2985 | 0.28 | 29.90 | 0.29 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 2 | 474 | 0.47 | 4.73 | 0.47 |
| Trailer yacht | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 2 | 565 | 0.62 | 5.97 | 0.61 |
| Something else | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 2 | 4025 | 0.24 | 40.60 | 0.24 |
| Trailer motor boat | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 3 | 289 | 0.71 | 2.89 | 0.71 |
| Something else | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 289 | 0.71 | 2.89 | 0.71 |
| Trailer motor boat | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer motor boat | 7 | 1801 | 0.41 | 17.97 | 0.41 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 7 | 77 | 1.06 | 0.77 | 1.06 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 7 | 200 | 1.05 | 1.99 | 1.05 |
| Something else | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 7 | 2079 | 0.38 | 20.73 | 0.38 |
| Trailer motor boat | 8 | 1142 | 0.43 | 11.39 | 0.43 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 8 | 61 | 1.01 | 0.60 | 1.01 |
| Something else | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 1202 | 0.42 | 11.99 | 0.42 |
| Trailer motor boat | 9 | 3707 | 0.34 | 37.06 | 0.34 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 9 | 1046 | 0.35 | 10.59 | 0.35 |
| Trailer yacht | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 9 | 296 | 0.68 | 2.95 | 0.68 |
| Something else | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 9 | 5049 | 0.29 | 50.60 | 0.29 |

### 21.2 Kingfish Harvest By Method And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Kingfish Harvest By Method And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 1 | 48275 | 0.63 | 495.33 | 0.62 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 1 | 1581 | 0.86 | 17.47 | 0.87 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 1 | 221 | 1.01 | 2.48 | 1.01 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 1 | 1979 | 0.44 | 20.02 | 0.44 |
| Some other method | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 1 | 52056 | 0.13 | 535.30 | 0.13 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 2 | 4025 | 0.23 | 40.60 | 0.23 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 2 | 4025 | 0.24 | 40.60 | 0.24 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 3 | 289 | 1.54 | 2.89 | 1.54 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 289 | 0.71 | 2.89 | 0.71 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 7 | 1879 | 0.44 | 18.74 | 0.44 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 7 | 200 | 1.05 | 1.99 | 1.05 |
| Some other method | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 7 | 2079 | 0.38 | 20.73 | 0.38 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 8 | 958 | 0.50 | 9.55 | 0.50 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 8 | 127 | 0.72 | 1.26 | 0.72 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 8 | 118 | 1.01 | 1.18 | 1.01 |
| Some other method | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 1202 | 0.42 | 11.99 | 0.42 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 9 | 5049 | 0.29 | 50.60 | 0.29 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 9 | 5049 | 0.29 | 50.60 | 0.29 |

### 21.3 Kingfish Harvest By Platform And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Kingfish Harvest By Platform And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | KIN 1 | 33711 | 0.81 | 346.68 | 0.81 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | KIN 1 | 10703 | 0.28 | 110.10 | 0.28 |
| Trailer yacht | KIN 1 | 255 | 0.80 | 2.58 | 0.80 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | KIN 1 | 1855 | 0.83 | 19.31 | 0.84 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | KIN 1 | 995 | 0.42 | 10.13 | 0.42 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | KIN 1 | 4332 | 0.27 | 44.44 | 0.27 |
| Something else | KIN 1 | 205 | 0.77 | 2.08 | 0.77 |
| Total | KIN 1 | 52062 | 0.13 | 535.30 | 0.13 |
| Trailer motor boat | KIN 2 | 2985 | 0.28 | 29.90 | 0.29 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | KIN 2 | 474 | 0.47 | 4.73 | 0.47 |
| Trailer yacht | KIN 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | KIN 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | KIN 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | KIN 2 | 565 | 0.62 | 5.97 | 0.61 |
| Something else | KIN 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | KIN 2 | 4023 | 0.24 | 40.60 | 0.24 |
| Trailer motor boat | KIN 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | KIN 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | KIN 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | KIN 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | KIN 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | KIN 3 | 289 | 0.71 | 2.89 | 0.71 |
| Something else | KIN 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | KIN 3 | 291 | 0.71 | 2.89 | 0.71 |
| Trailer motor boat | KIN 7 | 1801 | 0.41 | 17.97 | 0.41 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | KIN 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | KIN 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | KIN 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | KIN 7 | 77 | 1.06 | 0.77 | 1.06 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | KIN 7 | 200 | 1.05 | 1.99 | 1.05 |
| Something else | KIN 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | KIN 7 | 2081 | 0.38 | 20.73 | 0.38 |
| Trailer motor boat | KIN 8 | 4849 | 0.27 | 48.45 | 0.27 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | KIN 8 | 1046 | 0.44 | 10.59 | 0.44 |
| Trailer yacht | KIN 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | KIN 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | KIN 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | KIN 8 | 357 | 0.59 | 3.56 | 0.59 |
| Something else | KIN 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | KIN 8 | 6249 | 0.25 | 62.60 | 0.25 |

### 21.4 Kingfish Harvest By Method And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Kingfish Harvest By Method And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | KIN 1 | 48275 | 0.63 | 495.33 | 0.62 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | KIN 1 | 1581 | 0.86 | 17.47 | 0.87 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | KIN 1 | 221 | 1.01 | 2.48 | 1.01 |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | KIN 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | KIN 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | KIN 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | KIN 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | KIN 1 | 1979 | 0.44 | 20.02 | 0.44 |
| Some other method | KIN 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | KIN 1 | 52062 | 0.13 | 535.30 | 0.13 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | KIN 2 | 4025 | 0.23 | 40.60 | 0.23 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | KIN 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | KIN 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | KIN 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | KIN 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | KIN 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | KIN 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | KIN 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | KIN 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | KIN 2 | 4023 | 0.24 | 40.60 | 0.24 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | KIN 3 | 289 | 1.54 | 2.89 | 1.54 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | KIN 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | KIN 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | KIN 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | KIN 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | KIN 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | KIN 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | KIN 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | KIN 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | KIN 3 | 291 | 0.71 | 2.89 | 0.71 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | KIN 7 | 1879 | 0.44 | 18.74 | 0.44 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | KIN 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | KIN 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | KIN 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | KIN 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | KIN 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | KIN 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | KIN 7 | 200 | 1.05 | 1.99 | 1.05 |
| Some other method | KIN 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | KIN 7 | 2081 | 0.38 | 20.73 | 0.38 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | KIN 8 | 6007 | 0.64 | 60.16 | 0.64 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | KIN 8 | 127 | 0.72 | 1.26 | 0.72 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | KIN 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | KIN 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | KIN 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | KIN 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | KIN 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | KIN 8 | 118 | 1.01 | 1.18 | 1.01 |
| Some other method | KIN 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | KIN 8 | 6249 | 0.25 | 62.60 | 0.25 |

## 22. SKIPJACK TUNA HARVEST ESTIMATES

### 22.1 Skipjack Tuna Harvest By Platform And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Skipjack Tuna Harvest By Platform And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | 1 | 17160 | 0.43 | 38.37 | 0.43 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 1 | 15063 | 0.42 | 33.68 | 0.42 |
| Trailer yacht | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 1 | 805 | 0.85 | 1.80 | 0.85 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 1 | 243 | 1.01 | 0.54 | 1.01 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 1 | 124 | 1.00 | 0.28 | 1.00 |
| Something else | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 1 | 33395 | 0.28 | 74.67 | 0.28 |
| Trailer motor boat | 2 | 1394 | 0.50 | 3.12 | 0.50 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 2 | 222 | 1.02 | 0.50 | 1.02 |
| Trailer yacht | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 2 | 1616 | 0.43 | 3.61 | 0.43 |
| Trailer motor boat | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer motor boat | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer motor boat | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer motor boat | 8 | 1497 | 0.57 | 3.35 | 0.57 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 1497 | 0.57 | 3.35 | 0.57 |
| Trailer motor boat | 9 | 4333 | 0.39 | 9.69 | 0.39 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 9 | 340 | 1.00 | 0.76 | 1.00 |
| Trailer yacht | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 9 | 4673 | 0.37 | 10.45 | 0.37 |

### 22.2 Skipjack Tuna Harvest By Method And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Skipjack Tuna Harvest By Method And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 1 | 33255 | 0.28 | 74.36 | 0.28 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 1 | 140 | 1.02 | 0.31 | 1.02 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 1 | 33395 | 0.28 | 74.67 | 0.28 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 2 | 1616 | 0.43 | 3.61 | 0.43 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 2 | 1616 | 0.43 | 3.61 | 0.43 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 8 | 1497 | 0.57 | 3.35 | 0.57 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 1497 | 0.57 | 3.35 | 0.57 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 9 | 4673 | 0.37 | 10.45 | 0.37 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 9 | 4673 | 0.37 | 10.45 | 0.37 |

### 22.3 Skipjack Tuna Harvest By Platform And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Skipjack Tuna Harvest By Platform And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | SKJ 1 | 24385 | 0.43 | 54.52 | 0.43 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | SKJ 1 | 15626 | 0.58 | 34.94 | 0.58 |
| Trailer yacht | SKJ 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | SKJ 1 | 805 | 0.85 | 1.80 | 0.85 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | SKJ 1 | 243 | 1.01 | 0.54 | 1.01 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | SKJ 1 | 124 | 1.00 | 0.28 | 1.00 |
| Something else | SKJ 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SKJ 1 | 41176 | 0.23 | 92.08 | 0.23 |

### 22.4 Skipjack Tuna Harvest By Method And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Skipjack Tuna Harvest By Method And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | SKJ 1 | 41042 | 0.21 | 91.77 | 0.21 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | SKJ 1 | 140 | 1.02 | 0.31 | 1.02 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | SKJ 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | SKJ 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | SKJ 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | SKJ 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | SKJ 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | SKJ 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | SKJ 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SKJ 1 | 41176 | 0.23 | 92.08 | 0.23 |

## 23. HAPUKU/BASS HARVEST ESTIMATES

### 23.1 Hapuku/Bass Harvest By Platform And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Hapuku/Bass Harvest By Platform And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | 1 | 3419 | 0.45 | 20.02 | 0.45 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 1 | 7645 | 0.46 | 44.75 | 0.46 |
| Trailer yacht | 1 | 122 | 1.01 | 0.71 | 1.01 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 1 | 473 | 1.02 | 2.77 | 1.02 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 1 | 125 | 1.01 | 0.73 | 1.01 |
| Something else | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 1 | 11783 | 0.44 | 68.98 | 0.44 |
| Trailer motor boat | 2 | 6851 | 0.28 | 40.11 | 0.28 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 2 | 2400 | 0.32 | 14.05 | 0.32 |
| Trailer yacht | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 2 | 49 | 1.01 | 0.29 | 1.01 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 2 | 880 | 0.98 | 5.15 | 0.98 |
| Something else | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 2 | 10179 | 0.28 | 59.59 | 0.28 |
| Trailer motor boat | 3 | 4005 | 0.35 | 23.44 | 0.35 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 3 | 2237 | 0.61 | 13.10 | 0.61 |
| Trailer yacht | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 3 | 141 | 1.03 | 0.82 | 1.03 |
| Something else | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 6383 | 0.31 | 37.36 | 0.31 |
| Trailer motor boat | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 5 | 138 | 1.00 | 0.81 | 1.00 |
| Trailer yacht | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 138 | 1.00 | 0.81 | 1.00 |
| Trailer motor boat | 7 | 1527 | 0.49 | 8.94 | 0.49 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 7 | 636 | 0.68 | 3.72 | 0.68 |
| Trailer yacht | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 7 | 2163 | 0.41 | 12.66 | 0.41 |
| Trailer motor boat | 8 | 2365 | 0.56 | 13.84 | 0.56 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 8 | 2011 | 0.52 | 11.77 | 0.52 |
| Trailer yacht | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 4376 | 0.54 | 25.62 | 0.54 |
| Trailer motor boat | 9 | 1096 | 0.51 | 6.42 | 0.51 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 9 | 1030 | 0.74 | 6.03 | 0.74 |
| Trailer yacht | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 9 | 354 | 1.03 | 2.07 | 1.03 |
| Something else | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 9 | 2480 | 0.45 | 14.52 | 0.45 |

### 23.2 Hapuku/Bass Harvest By Method And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Hapuku/Bass Harvest By Method And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 1 | 11783 | 0.31 | 68.98 | 0.31 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 1 | 11783 | 0.44 | 68.98 | 0.44 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 2 | 10179 | 0.28 | 59.59 | 0.28 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 2 | 10179 | 0.28 | 59.59 | 0.28 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 3 | 6324 | 0.46 | 37.02 | 0.46 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 3 | 59 | 1.01 | 0.34 | 1.01 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 6383 | 0.31 | 37.36 | 0.31 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 5 | 138 | 1.00 | 0.81 | 1.00 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 138 | 1.00 | 0.81 | 1.00 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 7 | 2163 | 0.46 | 12.66 | 0.46 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 7 | 2163 | 0.41 | 12.66 | 0.41 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 8 | 4376 | 0.42 | 25.62 | 0.42 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 4376 | 0.54 | 25.62 | 0.54 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 9 | 2480 | 0.45 | 14.52 | 0.45 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 9 | 2480 | 0.45 | 14.52 | 0.45 |

### 23.3 Hapuku/Bass Harvest By Platform And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Hapuku/Bass Harvest By Platform And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | HPB 1 | 3198 | 0.35 | 18.72 | 0.35 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | HPB 1 | 8509 | 0.41 | 49.81 | 0.41 |
| Trailer yacht | HPB 1 | 122 | 1.01 | 0.71 | 1.01 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | HPB 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | HPB 1 | 473 | 1.02 | 2.77 | 1.02 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | HPB 1 | 354 | 1.03 | 2.07 | 1.03 |
| Something else | HPB 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | HPB 1 | 12644 | 0.42 | 74.08 | 0.42 |
| Trailer motor boat | HPB 2 | 8169 | 0.26 | 47.82 | 0.26 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | HPB 2 | 2566 | 0.36 | 15.02 | 0.36 |
| Trailer yacht | HPB 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | HPB 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | HPB 2 | 49 | 1.01 | 0.29 | 1.01 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | HPB 2 | 1004 | 0.54 | 5.88 | 0.54 |
| Something else | HPB 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | HPB 2 | 11781 | 0.25 | 69.01 | 0.25 |
| Trailer motor boat | HPB 3 | 4005 | 0.43 | 23.44 | 0.43 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | HPB 3 | 2237 | 0.62 | 13.10 | 0.62 |
| Trailer yacht | HPB 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | HPB 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | HPB 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | HPB 3 | 141 | 1.03 | 0.82 | 1.03 |
| Something else | HPB 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | HPB 3 | 5105 | 0.39 | 37.36 | 0.31 |
| Trailer motor boat | HPB 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | HPB 5 | 138 | 1.00 | 0.81 | 1.00 |
| Trailer yacht | HPB 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | HPB 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | HPB 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | HPB 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | HPB 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | HPB 5 | 137 | 1.00 | 0.81 | 1.00 |
| Trailer motor boat | HPB 7 | 1527 | 0.47 | 8.94 | 0.47 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | HPB 7 | 636 | 0.68 | 3.72 | 0.68 |
| Trailer yacht | HPB 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | HPB 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | HPB 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | HPB 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | HPB 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | HPB 7 | 2165 | 0.41 | 12.66 | 0.41 |
| Trailer motor boat | HPB 8 | 2365 | 0.79 | 13.84 | 0.79 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | HPB 8 | 2011 | 0.73 | 11.77 | 0.73 |
| Trailer yacht | HPB 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | HPB 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | HPB 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | HPB 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | HPB 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | HPB 8 | 4373 | 0.54 | 25.62 | 0.54 |

### 23.4 Hapuku/Bass Harvest By Method And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Hapuku/Bass Harvest By Method And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | HPB 1 | 12655 | 0.27 | 74.08 | 0.27 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | HPB 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | HPB 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | HPB 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | HPB 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | HPB 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | HPB 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | HPB 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | HPB 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | HPB 1 | 12644 | 0.42 | 74.08 | 0.42 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | HPB 2 | 11788 | 0.21 | 69.01 | 0.21 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | HPB 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | HPB 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | HPB 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | HPB 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | HPB 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | HPB 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | HPB 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | HPB 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | HPB 2 | 11781 | 0.25 | 69.01 | 0.25 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | HPB 3 | 6324 | 0.28 | 37.02 | 0.28 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | HPB 3 | 59 | 1.01 | 0.34 | 1.01 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | HPB 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | HPB 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | HPB 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | HPB 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | HPB 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | HPB 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | HPB 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | HPB 3 | 5105 | 0.39 | 37.36 | 0.31 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | HPB 5 | 138 | 1.00 | 0.81 | 1.00 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | HPB 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | HPB 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | HPB 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | HPB 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | HPB 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | HPB 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | HPB 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | HPB 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | HPB 5 | 137 | 1.00 | 0.81 | 1.00 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | HPB 7 | 2163 | 0.44 | 12.66 | 0.44 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | HPB 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | HPB 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | HPB 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | HPB 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | HPB 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | HPB 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | HPB 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | HPB 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | HPB 7 | 2165 | 0.41 | 12.66 | 0.41 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | HPB 8 | 4376 | 0.54 | 25.62 | 0.54 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | HPB 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | HPB 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | HPB 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | HPB 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | HPB 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | HPB 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | HPB 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | HPB 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | HPB 8 | 4373 | 0.54 | 25.62 | 0.54 |

## 24. ALBACORE TUNA HARVEST ESTIMATES

### 24.1 Albacore Tuna Harvest By Platform And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Albacore Tuna Harvest By Platform And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | 1 | 3017 | 0.53 | 12.69 | 0.53 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 1 | 300 | 0.59 | 1.26 | 0.59 |
| Trailer yacht | 1 | 313 | 1.00 | 1.32 | 1.00 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 1 | 3629 | 0.35 | 15.26 | 0.35 |
| Trailer motor boat | 2 | 2329 | 0.50 | 9.80 | 0.50 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 2 | 2329 | 0.47 | 9.80 | 0.47 |
| Trailer motor boat | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer motor boat | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer motor boat | 7 | 3422 | 0.74 | 14.39 | 0.74 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 7 | 3422 | 0.78 | 14.39 | 0.78 |
| Trailer motor boat | 8 | 6435 | 1.02 | 27.06 | 1.02 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 6435 | 0.38 | 27.06 | 0.38 |
| Trailer motor boat | 9 | 6032 | 0.37 | 25.37 | 0.37 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 9 | 50 | 1.01 | 0.21 | 1.01 |
| Trailer yacht | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 9 | 6082 | 0.38 | 25.58 | 0.38 |

### 24.2 Albacore Tuna Harvest By Method And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Albacore Tuna Harvest By Method And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 1 | 3489 | 0.55 | 14.67 | 0.55 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 1 | 140 | 1.02 | 0.59 | 1.02 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 1 | 3629 | 0.35 | 15.26 | 0.35 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 2 | 2329 | 0.50 | 9.80 | 0.50 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 2 | 2329 | 0.47 | 9.80 | 0.47 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 7 | 3422 | 0.74 | 14.39 | 0.74 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 7 | 3422 | 0.78 | 14.39 | 0.78 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 8 | 6435 | 1.02 | 27.06 | 1.02 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 6435 | 0.38 | 27.06 | 0.38 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 9 | 6082 | 0.38 | 25.58 | 0.38 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 9 | 6082 | 0.38 | 25.58 | 0.38 |

### 24.3 Albacore Tuna Harvest By Platform And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Albacore Tuna Harvest By Platform And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | ALB 1 | 21235 | 0.31 | 89.30 | 0.31 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | ALB 1 | 349 | 0.52 | 1.47 | 0.52 |
| Trailer yacht | ALB 1 | 313 | 1.00 | 1.32 | 1.00 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | ALB 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | ALB 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | ALB 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | ALB 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | ALB 1 | 21928 | 0.21 | 92.09 | 0.21 |

Note: There is only one QMA for Tuna Albacore for NZ.

### 24.4 Albacore Tuna Harvest By Method And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Albacore Tuna Harvest By Method And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | ALB 1 | 21757 | 0.21 | 91.50 | 0.21 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | ALB 1 | 140 | 1.02 | 0.59 | 1.02 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | ALB 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | ALB 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | ALB 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | ALB 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | ALB 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | ALB 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | ALB 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | ALB 1 | 21928 | 0.21 | 92.09 | 0.21 |

Note: There is only one QMA for Tuna Albacore for NZ.

## 25. PAUA HARVEST ESTIMATES

### 25.1 Paua Harvest By Platform And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Paua Harvest By Platform And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | 1 | 8724 | 0.68 | 2.44 | 0.68 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 1 | 304 | 1.30 | 0.09 | 1.30 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 1 | 991 | 0.72 | 0.28 | 0.72 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 1 | 12112 | 0.47 | 3.39 | 0.47 |
| Something else | 1 | 1309 | 1.01 | 0.37 | 1.01 |
| Total | 1 | 23441 | 0.36 | 6.56 | 0.36 |
| Trailer motor boat | 2 | 31133 | 0.27 | 8.90 | 0.27 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 2 | 2497 | 0.69 | 0.71 | 0.69 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 2 | 165859 | 0.26 | 47.44 | 0.26 |
| Something else | 2 | 599 | 1.02 | 0.17 | 1.02 |
| Total | 2 | 200088 | 0.17 | 57.23 | 0.17 |
| Trailer motor boat | 3 | 18301 | 0.68 | 5.12 | 0.68 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 3 | 103 | 1.01 | 0.03 | 1.01 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 3 | 91445 | 0.40 | 25.57 | 0.40 |
| Something else | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 109849 | 0.25 | 30.72 | 0.25 |
| Trailer motor boat | 5 | 3067 | 0.52 | 0.86 | 0.52 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 5 | 217 | 1.02 | 0.06 | 1.02 |
| Trailer yacht | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 5 | 32306 | 0.31 | 9.03 | 0.31 |
| Something else | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 35590 | 0.37 | 9.95 | 0.37 |
| Trailer motor boat | 7 | 31186 | 0.51 | 8.72 | 0.51 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 7 | 2716 | 0.71 | 0.76 | 0.71 |
| Trailer yacht | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 7 | 208 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 1.00 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 7 | 16423 | 0.40 | 4.59 | 0.40 |
| Something else | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 7 | 50534 | 0.34 | 14.13 | 0.34 |
| Trailer motor boat | 8 | 13239 | 0.47 | 3.79 | 0.47 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 8 | 539 | 1.01 | 0.15 | 1.01 |
| Trailer yacht | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 8 | 789 | 1.02 | 0.23 | 1.02 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 8 | 71528 | 0.29 | 20.46 | 0.29 |
| Something else | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 86095 | 0.29 | 24.62 | 0.29 |
| Trailer motor boat | 9 | 1104 | 0.73 | 0.31 | 0.73 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 9 | 322 | 1.03 | 0.09 | 1.03 |
| Trailer yacht | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 9 | 2161 | 1.02 | 0.60 | 1.02 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 9 | 16452 | 0.37 | 4.60 | 0.37 |
| Something else | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 9 | 20039 | 0.38 | 5.60 | 0.38 |

### 25.2 Paua Harvest By Method And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Paua Harvest By Method And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 1 | 1935 | 0.78 | 0.54 | 0.78 |
| Hand gather by diving | 1 | 21506 | 0.29 | 6.01 | 0.29 |
| Spearfishing | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 1 | 23441 | 0.36 | 6.56 | 0.36 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 2 | 23610 | 0.27 | 6.75 | 0.27 |
| Hand gather by diving | 2 | 176478 | 0.38 | 50.47 | 0.38 |
| Spearfishing | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 2 | 200088 | 0.17 | 57.23 | 0.17 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 3 | 33601 | 0.37 | 9.40 | 0.37 |
| Hand gather by diving | 3 | 76248 | 0.25 | 21.32 | 0.25 |
| Spearfishing | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 109849 | 0.25 | 30.72 | 0.25 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 5 | 12957 | 0.51 | 3.62 | 0.51 |
| Hand gather by diving | 5 | 22633 | 0.41 | 6.33 | 0.41 |
| Spearfishing | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 35590 | 0.37 | 9.95 | 0.37 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 7 | 5232 | 0.77 | 1.46 | 0.77 |
| Hand gather by diving | 7 | 45301 | 0.28 | 12.67 | 0.28 |
| Spearfishing | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 7 | 50534 | 0.34 | 14.13 | 0.34 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 8 | 53620 | 0.33 | 15.34 | 0.33 |
| Hand gather by diving | 8 | 32475 | 0.50 | 9.29 | 0.50 |
| Spearfishing | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 86095 | 0.29 | 24.62 | 0.29 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 9 | 5704 | 0.66 | 1.60 | 0.66 |
| Hand gather by diving | 9 | 14335 | 0.36 | 4.01 | 0.36 |
| Spearfishing | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 9 | 20039 | 0.38 | 5.60 | 0.38 |

### 25.3 Paua Harvest By Platform And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Paua Harvest By Platform And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | PAU 1 | 9829 | 0.95 | 2.75 | 0.95 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | PAU 1 | 322 | 1.03 | 0.09 | 1.03 |
| Trailer yacht | PAU 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | PAU 1 | 304 | 1.30 | 0.09 | 1.30 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | PAU 1 | 3152 | 0.74 | 0.88 | 0.74 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | PAU 1 | 28564 | 0.44 | 7.99 | 0.44 |
| Something else | PAU 1 | 1309 | 1.01 | 0.37 | 1.01 |
| Total | PAU 1 | 43471 | 0.28 | 12.16 | 0.27 |
| Trailer motor boat | PAU 2 | 44373 | 0.24 | 12.69 | 0.24 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | PAU 2 | 539 | 1.01 | 0.15 | 1.01 |
| Trailer yacht | PAU 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | PAU 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | PAU 2 | 3285 | 0.84 | 0.94 | 0.84 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | PAU 2 | 237386 | 0.17 | 67.89 | 0.17 |
| Something else | PAU 2 | 599 | 1.02 | 0.17 | 1.02 |
| Total | PAU 2 | 286088 | 0.15 | 81.85 | 0.15 |
| Trailer motor boat | PAU 3 | 12453 | 0.41 | 3.48 | 0.41 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | PAU 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | PAU 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | PAU 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | PAU 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | PAU 3 | 48264 | 0.32 | 13.50 | 0.32 |
| Something else | PAU 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | PAU 3 | 61000 | 0.31 | 16.98 | 0.31 |
| Trailer motor boat | PAU 5A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | PAU 5A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | PAU 5A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | PAU 5A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | PAU 5A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | PAU 5A | 1487 | 0.68 | 0.42 | 0.68 |
| Something else | PAU 5A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | PAU 5A | 1486 | 0.76 | 0.42 | 0.76 |
| Trailer motor boat | PAU 5B | 2398 | 0.60 | 0.67 | 0.60 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | PAU 5B | 217 | 1.02 | 0.06 | 1.02 |
| Trailer yacht | PAU 5B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | PAU 5B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | PAU 5B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | PAU 5B | 330 | 1.03 | 0.09 | 1.03 |
| Something else | PAU 5B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | PAU 5B | 2957 | 0.50 | 0.82 | 0.50 |
| Trailer motor boat | PAU 5D | 6517 | 0.51 | 1.82 | 0.51 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | PAU 5D | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | PAU 5D | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | PAU 5D | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | PAU 5D | 103 | 1.01 | 0.03 | 1.01 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | PAU 5D | 73670 | 0.27 | 20.60 | 0.27 |
| Something else | PAU 5D | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | PAU 5D | 80294 | 0.30 | 22.45 | 0.30 |
| Trailer motor boat | PAU 6 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | PAU 6 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | PAU 6 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | PAU 6 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | PAU 6 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | PAU 6 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | PAU 6 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | PAU 6 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer motor boat | PAU 7 | 31186 | 0.67 | 8.72 | 0.67 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | PAU 7 | 2716 | 0.69 | 0.76 | 0.69 |
| Trailer yacht | PAU 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | PAU 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | PAU 7 | 208 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 1.00 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | PAU 7 | 16423 | 0.47 | 4.59 | 0.47 |
| Something else | PAU 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | PAU 7 | 50510 | 0.34 | 14.13 | 0.34 |

### 25.4 Paua Harvest By Method And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Paua Harvest By Method And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | PAU 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | PAU 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | PAU 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | PAU 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | PAU 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | PAU 1 | 7639 | 0.53 | 2.14 | 0.53 |
| Hand gather by diving | PAU 1 | 35841 | 0.76 | 10.02 | 0.76 |
| Spearfishing | PAU 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | PAU 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | PAU 1 | 43471 | 0.28 | 12.16 | 0.27 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | PAU 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | PAU 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | PAU 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | PAU 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | PAU 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | PAU 2 | 77230 | 0.27 | 22.09 | 0.27 |
| Hand gather by diving | PAU 2 | 208952 | 0.19 | 59.76 | 0.19 |
| Spearfishing | PAU 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | PAU 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | PAU 2 | 286088 | 0.15 | 81.85 | 0.15 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | PAU 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | PAU 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | PAU 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | PAU 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | PAU 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | PAU 3 | 6940 | 0.57 | 1.94 | 0.57 |
| Hand gather by diving | PAU 3 | 53777 | 0.41 | 15.04 | 0.41 |
| Spearfishing | PAU 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | PAU 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | PAU 3 | 61000 | 0.31 | 16.98 | 0.31 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | PAU 5A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | PAU 5A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | PAU 5A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | PAU 5A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | PAU 5A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | PAU 5A | 486 | 1.02 | 0.14 | 1.02 |
| Hand gather by diving | PAU 5A | 1001 | 1.03 | 0.28 | 1.03 |
| Spearfishing | PAU 5A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | PAU 5A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | PAU 5A | 1486 | 0.76 | 0.42 | 0.76 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | PAU 5B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | PAU 5B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | PAU 5B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | PAU 5B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | PAU 5B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | PAU 5B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | PAU 5B | 2945 | 0.44 | 0.82 | 0.44 |
| Spearfishing | PAU 5B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | PAU 5B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | PAU 5B | 2957 | 0.50 | 0.82 | 0.50 |

Continued

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Paua Harvest By Method And QMA (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | PAU 5D | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | PAU 5D | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | PAU 5D | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | PAU 5D | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | PAU 5D | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | PAU 5D | 39132 | 0.46 | 10.94 | 0.46 |
| Hand gather by diving | PAU 5D | 41157 | 0.32 | 11.51 | 0.32 |
| Spearfishing | PAU 5D | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | PAU 5D | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | PAU 5D | 80294 | 0.30 | 22.45 | 0.30 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | PAU 6 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | PAU 6 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | PAU 6 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | PAU 6 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | PAU 6 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | PAU 6 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | PAU 6 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | PAU 6 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | PAU 6 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | PAU 6 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Rod or line (not long line) | PAU 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | PAU 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | PAU 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | PAU 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | PAU 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | PAU 7 | 5232 | 0.77 | 1.46 | 0.77 |
| Hand gather by diving | PAU 7 | 45301 | 0.36 | 12.67 | 0.36 |
| Spearfishing | PAU 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | PAU 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | PAU 7 | 50510 | 0.34 | 14.13 | 0.34 |

## 26. SCALLOP HARVEST ESTIMATES

### 26.1 Scallop Harvest By Platform And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Scallop Harvest By Platform And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | 1 | 583234 | 0.25 | 64.55 | 0.25 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 1 | 74708 | 0.33 | 8.27 | 0.33 |
| Trailer yacht | 1 | 2025 | 1.00 | 0.22 | 1.00 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 1 | 29538 | 0.46 | 3.27 | 0.46 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 1 | 4548 | 0.84 | 0.50 | 0.84 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 1 | 61472 | 0.35 | 6.80 | 0.35 |
| Something else | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 1 | 755525 | 0.23 | 83.62 | 0.23 |
| Trailer motor boat | 2 | 21597 | 1.04 | 2.39 | 1.04 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 2 | 104 | 1.01 | 0.01 | 1.01 |
| Trailer yacht | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 2 | 14787 | 0.48 | 1.64 | 0.48 |
| Something else | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 2 | 36487 | 0.41 | 4.04 | 0.41 |
| Trailer motor boat | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer motor boat | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 5 | 1376 | 1.00 | 0.15 | 1.00 |
| Trailer yacht | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 1376 | 1.00 | 0.15 | 1.00 |
| Trailer motor boat | 7 | 562905 | 0.27 | 62.30 | 0.27 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 7 | 185475 | 0.32 | 20.53 | 0.32 |
| Trailer yacht | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 7 | 38263 | 0.78 | 4.23 | 0.78 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 7 | 13901 | 1.00 | 1.54 | 1.00 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 7 | 6399 | 1.10 | 0.71 | 1.10 |
| Something else | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 7 | 806943 | 0.23 | 89.31 | 0.23 |
| Trailer motor boat | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 8 | 2306 | 1.01 | 0.26 | 1.01 |
| Trailer yacht | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 2306 | 1.01 | 0.26 | 1.01 |
| Trailer motor boat | 9 | 63076 | 0.45 | 6.98 | 0.45 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 9 | 3968 | 1.00 | 0.44 | 1.00 |
| Something else | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 9 | 67044 | 0.42 | 7.42 | 0.42 |

### 26.2 Scallop Harvest By Method And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Scallop Harvest By Method And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 1 | 166166 | 0.58 | 18.39 | 0.58 |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 1 | 2442 | 1.03 | 0.27 | 1.03 |
| Hand gather by diving | 1 | 586918 | 0.91 | 64.96 | 0.91 |
| Spearfishing | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 1 | 755525 | 0.23 | 83.62 | 0.23 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 2 | 104 | 1.01 | 0.01 | 1.01 |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 2 | 36384 | 0.35 | 4.03 | 0.35 |
| Spearfishing | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 2 | 36487 | 0.41 | 4.04 | 0.41 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 5 | 1376 | 1.00 | 0.15 | 1.00 |
| Spearfishing | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 1376 | 1.00 | 0.15 | 1.00 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 7 | 537499 | 0.24 | 59.49 | 0.24 |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 7 | 3304 | 1.00 | 0.37 | 1.00 |
| Hand gather by diving | 7 | 266139 | 0.44 | 29.45 | 0.44 |
| Spearfishing | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 7 | 806943 | 0.23 | 89.31 | 0.23 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 8 | 2306 | 1.01 | 0.26 | 1.01 |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 2306 | 1.01 | 0.26 | 1.01 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 9 | 58629 | 0.38 | 6.49 | 0.38 |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 9 | 8415 | 0.72 | 0.93 | 0.72 |
| Spearfishing | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 9 | 67044 | 0.42 | 7.42 | 0.42 |

### 26.3 Scallop Harvest By Platform And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Scallop Harvest By Platform And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | SCA 1 | 103500 | 0.41 | 11.45 | 0.41 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | SCA 1 | 16100 | 0.62 | 1.78 | 0.62 |
| Trailer yacht | SCA 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | SCA 1 | 1772 | 1.02 | 0.20 | 1.02 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | SCA 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | SCA 1 | 27532 | 0.48 | 3.05 | 0.48 |
| Something else | SCA 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SCA 1 | 148859 | 0.36 | 16.48 | 0.36 |
| Trailer motor boat | SCA 1A | 1155 | 1.01 | 0.13 | 1.01 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | SCA 1A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | SCA 1A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | SCA 1A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | SCA 1A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | SCA 1A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | SCA 1A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SCA 1A | 1154 | 1.01 | 0.13 | 1.01 |
| Trailer motor boat | SCA 2A | 21597 | 0.57 | 2.39 | 0.57 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | SCA 2A | 104 | 1.01 | 0.01 | 1.01 |
| Trailer yacht | SCA 2A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | SCA 2A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | SCA 2A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | SCA 2A | 14787 | 0.38 | 1.64 | 0.38 |
| Something else | SCA 2A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SCA 2A | 36621 | 0.40 | 4.04 | 0.41 |
| Trailer motor boat | SCA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | SCA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | SCA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | SCA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | SCA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | SCA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | SCA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SCA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer motor boat | SCA 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | SCA 5 | 1376 | 1.00 | 0.15 | 1.00 |
| Trailer yacht | SCA 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | SCA 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | SCA 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | SCA 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | SCA 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SCA 5 | 1375 | 1.00 | 0.15 | 1.00 |
| Trailer motor boat | SCA 7 | 552127 | 0.26 | 61.11 | 0.26 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | SCA 7 | 185475 | 0.30 | 20.53 | 0.30 |
| Trailer yacht | SCA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | SCA 7 | 38263 | 0.75 | 4.23 | 0.75 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | SCA 7 | 13901 | 1.00 | 1.54 | 1.00 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | SCA 7 | 6399 | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0.73 |
| Something else | SCA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SCA 7 | 797126 | 0.23 | 88.11 | 0.23 |
| Trailer motor boat | SCA 7A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | SCA 7A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | SCA 7A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | SCA 7A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | SCA 7A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | SCA 7A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | SCA 7A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SCA 7A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |

Continued ...

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Scallop Harvest By Platform And QMA (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | SCA 7B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | SCA 7B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | SCA 7B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | SCA 7B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | SCA 7B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | SCA 7B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | SCA 7B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SCA 7B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer motor boat | SCA 7C | 10778 | 1.06 | 1.19 | 1.06 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | SCA 7C | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | SCA 7C | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | SCA 7C | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | SCA 7C | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | SCA 7C | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | SCA 7C | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SCA 7C | 10767 | 1.06 | 1.19 | 1.06 |
| Trailer motor boat | SCA 8A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | SCA 8A | 2306 | 1.01 | 0.26 | 1.01 |
| Trailer yacht | SCA 8A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | SCA 8A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | SCA 8A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | SCA 8A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | SCA 8A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SCA 8A | 2304 | 1.01 | 0.26 | 1.01 |
| Trailer motor boat | SCA 9A | 63076 | 0.43 | 6.98 | 0.43 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | SCA 9A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | SCA 9A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | SCA 9A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | SCA 9A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | SCA 9A | 3968 | 1.00 | 0.44 | 1.00 |
| Something else | SCA 9A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SCA 9A | 67009 | 0.42 | 7.42 | 0.42 |
| Trailer motor boat | SCA CS | 478579 | 1.02 | 52.97 | 1.02 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | SCA CS | 58608 | 0.36 | 6.49 | 0.36 |
| Trailer yacht | SCA CS | 2025 | 1.00 | 0.22 | 1.00 |
| Larger yacht or keeler | SCA CS | 27766 | 0.48 | 3.07 | 0.48 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | SCA CS | 4548 | 0.84 | 0.50 | 0.84 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | SCA CS | 33940 | 0.44 | 3.76 | 0.44 |
| Something else | SCA CS | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SCACS | 605050 | 0.27 | 67.01 | 0.27 |

### 26.4 Scallop Harvest By Method And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Scallop Harvest By Method And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | SCA 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | SCA 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | SCA 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | SCA 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | SCA 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | SCA 1 | 2442 | 1.03 | 0.27 | 1.03 |
| Hand gather by diving | SCA 1 | 146463 | 0.29 | 16.21 | 0.29 |
| Spearfishing | SCA 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | SCA 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SCA 1 | 148859 | 0.36 | 16.48 | 0.36 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | SCA 1A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | SCA 1A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | SCA 1A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | SCA 1A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | SCA 1A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | SCA 1A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | SCA 1A | 1155 | 1.01 | 0.13 | 1.01 |
| Spearfishing | SCA 1A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | SCA 1A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SCA 1A | 1154 | 1.01 | 0.13 | 1.01 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | SCA 2A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | SCA 2A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | SCA 2A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | SCA 2A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | SCA 2A | 104 | 1.01 | 0.01 | 1.01 |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | SCA 2A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | SCA 2A | 36384 | 0.74 | 4.03 | 0.74 |
| Spearfishing | SCA 2A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | SCA 2A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SCA 2A | 36621 | 0.40 | 4.04 | 0.41 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | SCA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | SCA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | SCA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | SCA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | SCA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | SCA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | SCA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | SCA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | SCA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SCA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Rod or line (not long line) | SCA 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | SCA 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | SCA 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | SCA 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | SCA 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | SCA 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | SCA 5 | 1376 | 1.00 | 0.15 | 1.00 |
| Spearfishing | SCA 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | SCA 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SCA 5 | 1375 | 1.00 | 0.15 | 1.00 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | SCA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | SCA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | SCA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | SCA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | SCA 7 | 526721 | 0.23 | 58.29 | 0.23 |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | SCA 7 | 3304 | 1.00 | 0.37 | 1.00 |
| Hand gather by diving | SCA 7 | 266139 | 0.39 | 29.45 | 0.39 |
| Spearfishing | SCA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | SCA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SCA 7 | 797126 | 0.23 | 88.11 | 0.23 |

Continued ...

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Scallop Harvest By Method And QMA (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | SCA 7A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | SCA 7A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | SCA 7A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | SCA 7A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | SCA 7A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | SCA 7A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | SCA 7A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | SCA 7A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | SCA 7A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SCA 7A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Rod or line (not long line) | SCA 7B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | SCA 7B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | SCA 7B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | SCA 7B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | SCA 7B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | SCA 7B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | SCA 7B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | SCA 7B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | SCA 7B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SCA 7B | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Rod or line (not long line) | SCA 7C | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | SCA 7C | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | SCA 7C | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | SCA 7C | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | SCA 7C | 10778 | 1.06 | 1.19 | 1.06 |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | SCA 7C | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | SCA 7C | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | SCA 7C | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | SCA 7C | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SCA 7C | 10767 | 1.06 | 1.19 | 1.06 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | SCA 8A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | SCA 8A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | SCA 8A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | SCA 8A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | SCA 8A | 2306 | 1.01 | 0.26 | 1.01 |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | SCA 8A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | SCA 8A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | SCA 8A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | SCA 8A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SCA 8A | 2304 | 1.01 | 0.26 | 1.01 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | SCA 9A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | SCA 9A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | SCA 9A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | SCA 9A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | SCA 9A | 58629 | 0.38 | 6.49 | 0.38 |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | SCA 9A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | SCA 9A | 8415 | 1.78 | 0.93 | 1.78 |
| Spearfishing | SCA 9A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | SCA 9A | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SCA 9A | 67009 | 0.42 | 7.42 | 0.42 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | SCA CS | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | SCA CS | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | SCA CS | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | SCA CS | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | SCA CS | 166166 | 0.45 | 18.39 | 0.45 |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | SCA CS | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | SCA CS | 439300 | 0.19 | 48.62 | 0.19 |
| Spearfishing | SCA CS | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | SCA CS | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SCA CS | 605050 | 0.27 | 67.01 | 0.27 |

## 27. ROCK LOBSTER (CRAYFISH) HARVEST ESTIMATES

### 27.1 Rock Lobster (Crayfish) Harvest By Platform And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Rock Lobster (Crayfish) Harvest By Platform And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | 1 | 54322 | 0.25 | 39.87 | 0.25 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 1 | 9520 | 0.48 | 6.80 | 0.47 |
| Trailer yacht | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 1 | 5016 | 0.58 | 3.63 | 0.57 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 1 | 1980 | 0.46 | 1.41 | 0.46 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 1 | 12280 | 0.26 | 9.06 | 0.26 |
| Something else | 1 | 218 | 1.01 | 0.15 | 1.01 |
| Total | 1 | 83337 | 0.20 | 60.93 | 0.20 |
| Trailer motor boat | 2 | 38323 | 0.31 | 27.51 | 0.28 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 2 | 1084 | 0.73 | 0.63 | 0.73 |
| Trailer yacht | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 2 | 240 | 1.01 | 0.24 | 1.01 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 2 | 4326 | 0.77 | 2.68 | 0.77 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 2 | 19882 | 0.32 | 17.29 | 0.33 |
| Something else | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 2 | 63856 | 0.15 | 48.34 | 0.15 |
| Trailer motor boat | 3 | 27070 | 0.43 | 21.21 | 0.39 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 3 | 315 | 0.69 | 0.28 | 0.70 |
| Trailer yacht | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 3 | 6468 | 0.80 | 6.04 | 0.80 |
| Something else | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 33854 | 0.26 | 27.53 | 0.26 |
| Trailer motor boat | 5 | 108 | 1.02 | 0.09 | 1.02 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 5 | 1397 | 0.73 | 2.26 | 0.73 |
| Trailer yacht | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 1505 | 0.68 | 2.35 | 0.70 |
| Trailer motor boat | 7 | 18439 | 0.32 | 20.05 | 0.33 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 7 | 722 | 0.75 | 0.76 | 0.76 |
| Trailer yacht | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 7 | 3927 | 0.84 | 4.17 | 0.92 |
| Something else | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 7 | 23087 | 0.32 | 24.98 | 0.32 |
| Trailer motor boat | 8 | 11870 | 0.40 | 13.08 | 0.39 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 8 | 54 | 1.01 | 0.05 | 1.01 |
| Trailer yacht | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 8 | 858 | 1.04 | 0.99 | 1.04 |
| Something else | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 12782 | 0.34 | 14.13 | 0.34 |
| Trailer motor boat | 9 | 7572 | 0.67 | 7.08 | 0.60 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 9 | 277 | 0.73 | 0.32 | 0.73 |
| Something else | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 9 | 7849 | 0.67 | 7.40 | 0.61 |

### 27.2 Rock Lobster (Crayfish) Harvest By Method And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Rock Lobster (Crayfish) Harvest By Method And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) |  | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 1 | 9727 | 0.62 | 6.89 | 0.62 |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 1 | 1398 | 0.56 | 1.06 | 0.55 |
| Hand gather by diving | 1 | 72212 | 0.18 | 52.98 | 0.18 |
| Spearfishing | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 1 | 83337 | 0.20 | 60.93 | 0.20 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 2 | 29319 | 0.26 | 17.05 | 0.26 |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 2 | 1330 | 0.47 | 1.12 | 0.48 |
| Hand gather by diving | 2 | 33207 | 0.40 | 30.18 | 0.44 |
| Spearfishing | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 2 | 63856 | 0.15 | 48.34 | 0.15 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 3 | 17193 | 0.39 | 12.01 | 0.39 |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 3 | 397 | 0.76 | 0.37 | 0.76 |
| Hand gather by diving | 3 | 16263 | 0.72 | 15.15 | 0.72 |
| Spearfishing | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 33854 | 0.26 | 27.53 | 0.26 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 5 | 108 | 1.02 | 0.09 | 1.02 |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 5 | 1397 | 0.73 | 2.26 | 0.73 |
| Spearfishing | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 1505 | 0.68 | 2.35 | 0.70 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 7 | 4351 | 0.55 | 4.44 | 0.57 |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 7 | 1341 | 0.96 | 1.29 | 0.94 |
| Hand gather by diving | 7 | 17260 | 0.39 | 19.10 | 0.39 |
| Spearfishing | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 7 | 135 | 1.08 | 0.16 | 1.08 |
| Total | 7 | 23087 | 0.32 | 24.98 | 0.32 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 8 | 919 | 0.80 | 1.06 | 0.80 |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 8 | 11863 | 0.51 | 13.06 | 0.48 |
| Spearfishing | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 12782 | 0.34 | 14.13 | 0.34 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 9 | 5066 | 0.96 | 4.19 | 0.94 |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 9 | 2784 | 0.69 | 3.22 | 0.69 |
| Spearfishing | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 9 | 7849 | 0.67 | 7.40 | 0.61 |

### 27.3 Rock Lobster (Crayfish) Harvest By Platform And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Rock Lobster (Crayfish) Harvest By Platform And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | CRA 1 | 22690 | 0.36 | 18.29 | 0.36 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | CRA 1 | 1289 | 0.42 | 1.04 | 0.42 |
| Trailer yacht | CRA 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | CRA 1 | 1126 | 0.87 | 0.91 | 0.87 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | CRA 1 | 209 | 0.80 | 0.17 | 0.80 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | CRA 1 | 4425 | 0.60 | 3.57 | 0.60 |
| Something else | CRA 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | CRA 1 | 29720 | 0.30 | 23.98 | 0.30 |
| Trailer motor boat | CRA 2 | 36489 | 0.27 | 25.49 | 0.27 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | CRA 2 | 8231 | 0.46 | 5.76 | 0.46 |
| Trailer yacht | CRA 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | CRA 2 | 3891 | 0.75 | 2.73 | 0.75 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | CRA 2 | 1771 | 0.69 | 1.24 | 0.69 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | CRA 2 | 7855 | 0.28 | 5.49 | 0.28 |
| Something else | CRA 2 | 218 | 1.01 | 0.15 | 1.01 |
| Total | CRA 2 | 58413 | 0.24 | 40.86 | 0.24 |
| Trailer motor boat | CRA 3 | 7164 | 0.36 | 4.16 | 0.36 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | CRA 3 | 539 | 1.05 | 0.31 | 1.05 |
| Trailer yacht | CRA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | CRA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | CRA 3 | 2914 | 0.60 | 1.69 | 0.60 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | CRA 3 | 3295 | 0.48 | 1.91 | 0.48 |
| Something else | CRA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | CRA 3 | 13912 | 0.33 | 8.07 | 0.33 |
| Trailer motor boat | CRA 4 | 35009 | 0.26 | 27.20 | 0.27 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | CRA 4 | 599 | 0.92 | 0.37 | 0.87 |
| Trailer yacht | CRA 4 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | CRA 4 | 240 | 1.01 | 0.24 | 1.01 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | CRA 4 | 1413 | 0.63 | 0.99 | 0.86 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | CRA 4 | 16587 | 0.40 | 15.38 | 0.41 |
| Something else | CRA 4 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | CRA 4 | 53813 | 0.17 | 44.17 | 0.17 |
| Trailer motor boat | CRA 5 | 39469 | 0.42 | 34.03 | 0.43 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | CRA 5 | 663 | 0.54 | 0.60 | 0.51 |
| Trailer yacht | CRA 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | CRA 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | CRA 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | CRA 5 | 9142 | 0.42 | 8.83 | 0.43 |
| Something else | CRA 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | CRA 5 | 47493 | 0.24 | 43.47 | 0.24 |
| Trailer motor boat | CRA 7 | 357 | 1.03 | 0.23 | 1.03 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | CRA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | CRA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | CRA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | CRA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | CRA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | CRA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | CRA 7 | 357 | 1.03 | 0.23 | 1.03 |
| Trailer motor boat | CRA 8 | 3575 | 0.56 | 4.52 | 0.60 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | CRA 8 | 1397 | 0.73 | 2.26 | 0.73 |
| Trailer yacht | CRA 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | CRA 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | CRA 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | CRA 8 | 180 | 1.06 | 0.14 | 1.06 |
| Something else | CRA 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | CRA 8 | 5149 | 0.60 | 6.93 | 0.60 |
| Trailer motor boat | CRA 9 | 12952 | 0.44 | 14.97 | 0.44 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | CRA 9 | 374 | 1.07 | 0.43 | 1.07 |
| Trailer yacht | CRA 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | CRA 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | CRA 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | CRA 9 | 2209 | 0.49 | 2.55 | 0.49 |
| Something else | CRA 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | CRA 9 | 15530 | 0.30 | 17.96 | 0.30 |

### 27.4 Rock Lobster (Crayfish) Harvest By Method And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Rock Lobster (Crayfish) Harvest By Method And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | CRA 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | CRA 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | CRA 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | CRA 1 | 5478 | 0.90 | 4.42 | 0.90 |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | CRA 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | CRA 1 | 763 | 1.03 | 0.62 | 1.03 |
| Hand gather by diving | CRA 1 | 23498 | 0.35 | 18.95 | 0.35 |
| Spearfishing | CRA 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | CRA 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | CRA 1 | 29720 | 0.30 | 23.98 | 0.30 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | CRA 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | CRA 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | CRA 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | CRA 2 | 9106 | 0.60 | 6.38 | 0.60 |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | CRA 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | CRA 2 | 635 | 0.94 | 0.44 | 0.94 |
| Hand gather by diving | CRA 2 | 48714 | 0.37 | 34.03 | 0.37 |
| Spearfishing | CRA 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | CRA 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | CRA 2 | 58413 | 0.24 | 40.86 | 0.24 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | CRA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | CRA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | CRA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | CRA 3 | 6660 | 0.34 | 3.86 | 0.34 |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | CRA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | CRA 3 | 486 | 0.70 | 0.28 | 0.70 |
| Hand gather by diving | CRA 3 | 6767 | 0.45 | 3.92 | 0.45 |
| Spearfishing | CRA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | CRA 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | CRA 3 | 13912 | 0.33 | 8.07 | 0.33 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | CRA 4 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | CRA 4 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | CRA 4 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | CRA 4 | 22581 | 0.38 | 13.13 | 0.38 |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | CRA 4 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | CRA 4 | 844 | 0.62 | 0.84 | 0.62 |
| Hand gather by diving | CRA 4 | 30422 | 0.28 | 30.20 | 0.28 |
| Spearfishing | CRA 4 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | CRA 4 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | CRA 4 | 53813 | 0.17 | 44.17 | 0.17 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | CRA 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | CRA 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | CRA 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | CRA 5 | 17628 | 0.60 | 12.51 | 0.59 |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | CRA 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | CRA 5 | 1595 | 0.82 | 1.50 | 0.82 |
| Hand gather by diving | CRA 5 | 30050 | 0.28 | 29.46 | 0.29 |
| Spearfishing | CRA 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | CRA 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | CRA 5 | 47493 | 0.24 | 43.47 | 0.24 |

Continued

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Rock Lobster (Crayfish) Harvest By Method And QMA (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | CRA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | CRA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | CRA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | CRA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | CRA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | CRA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | CRA 7 | 357 | 1.03 | 0.23 | 1.03 |
| Spearfishing | CRA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | CRA 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | CRA 7 | 357 | 1.03 | 0.23 | 1.03 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | CRA 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | CRA 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | CRA 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | CRA 8 | 1705 | 0.81 | 1.34 | 0.81 |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | CRA 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | CRA 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | CRA 8 | 3448 | 0.47 | 5.59 | 0.47 |
| Spearfishing | CRA 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | CRA 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | CRA 8 | 5149 | 0.60 | 6.93 | 0.60 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | CRA 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | CRA 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | CRA 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | CRA 9 | 3527 | 0.59 | 4.08 | 0.59 |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | CRA 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | CRA 9 | 143 | 1.07 | 0.17 | 1.07 |
| Hand gather by diving | CRA 9 | 11730 | 0.55 | 13.56 | 0.55 |
| Spearfishing | CRA 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | CRA 9 | 135 | 1.08 | 0.16 | 1.08 |
| Total | CRA 9 | 15530 | 0.30 | 17.96 | 0.30 |

## 28. BLUENOSE HARVEST ESTIMATES

### 28.1 Bluenose Harvest By Platform And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Bluenose Harvest By Platform And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | 1 | 881 | 1.46 | 3.94 | 1.46 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 1 | 4007 | 0.59 | 17.92 | 0.59 |
| Trailer yacht | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 1 | 4887 | 0.44 | 21.86 | 0.44 |
| Trailer motor boat | 2 | 130 | 1.01 | 0.58 | 1.01 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 2 | 314 | 0.55 | 1.40 | 0.55 |
| Trailer yacht | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 2 | 444 | 0.48 | 1.99 | 0.48 |
| Trailer motor boat | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 3 | 415 | 1.01 | 1.86 | 1.01 |
| Trailer yacht | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 415 | 1.01 | 1.86 | 1.01 |
| Trailer motor boat | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 5 | 42 | 1.01 | 0.19 | 1.01 |
| Trailer yacht | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 42 | 1.01 | 0.19 | 1.01 |
| Trailer motor boat | 7 | 452 | 1.00 | 2.02 | 1.00 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 7 | 452 | 1.00 | 2.02 | 1.00 |
| Trailer motor boat | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 8 | 137 | 1.03 | 0.61 | 1.03 |
| Trailer yacht | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 137 | 1.03 | 0.61 | 1.03 |
| Trailer motor boat | 9 | 1406 | 0.95 | 6.29 | 0.95 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 9 | 1406 | 0.95 | 6.29 | 0.95 |

### 28.2 Bluenose Harvest By Method And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Bluenose Harvest By Method And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 1 | 4677 | 0.44 | 20.92 | 0.44 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 1 | 210 | 1.02 | 0.94 | 1.02 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 1 | 4887 | 0.44 | 21.86 | 0.44 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 2 | 444 | 0.48 | 1.99 | 0.48 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 2 | 444 | 0.48 | 1.99 | 0.48 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 3 | 415 | 1.01 | 1.86 | 1.01 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 415 | 1.01 | 1.86 | 1.01 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 5 | 42 | 1.01 | 0.19 | 1.01 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 42 | 1.01 | 0.19 | 1.01 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 7 | 452 | 1.00 | 2.02 | 1.00 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 7 | 452 | 1.00 | 2.02 | 1.00 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 8 | 137 | 1.03 | 0.61 | 1.03 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 137 | 1.03 | 0.61 | 1.03 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 9 | 1406 | 0.95 | 6.29 | 0.95 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 9 | 1406 | 0.95 | 6.29 | 0.95 |

### 28.3 Bluenose Harvest By Platform And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Bluenose Harvest By Platform And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | BNS 1 | 2286 | 0.58 | 10.23 | 0.58 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | BNS 1 | 4007 | 0.62 | 17.92 | 0.62 |
| Trailer yacht | BNS 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | BNS 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | BNS 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | BNS 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | BNS 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | BNS 1 | 6287 | 0.40 | 28.15 | 0.40 |
| Trailer motor boat | BNS 2 | 130 | 1.01 | 0.58 | 1.01 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | BNS 2 | 314 | 0.55 | 1.40 | 0.55 |
| Trailer yacht | BNS 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | BNS 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | BNS 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | BNS 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | BNS 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | BNS 2 | 444 | 0.48 | 1.99 | 0.48 |
| Trailer motor boat | BNS 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | BNS 3 | 457 | 0.92 | 2.05 | 0.92 |
| Trailer yacht | BNS 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | BNS 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | BNS 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | BNS 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | BNS 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | BNS 3 | 461 | 0.91 | 2.05 | 0.92 |
| Trailer motor boat | BNS 7 | 452 | 1.00 | 2.02 | 1.00 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | BNS 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | BNS 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | BNS 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | BNS 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | BNS 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | BNS 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | BNS 7 | 456 | 1.00 | 2.02 | 1.00 |
| Trailer motor boat | BNS 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | BNS 8 | 137 | 1.03 | 0.61 | 1.03 |
| Trailer yacht | BNS 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | BNS 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | BNS 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | BNS 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | BNS 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | BNS 8 | 137 | 1.03 | 0.61 | 1.03 |

### 28.4 Bluenose Harvest By Method And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Bluenose Harvest By Method And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | BNS 1 | 6083 | 0.47 | 27.21 | 0.47 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | BNS 1 | 210 | 1.02 | 0.94 | 1.02 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | BNS 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | BNS 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | BNS 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | BNS 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | BNS 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | BNS 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | BNS 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | BNS 1 | 6287 | 0.40 | 28.15 | 0.40 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | BNS 2 | 444 | 0.48 | 1.99 | 0.48 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | BNS 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | BNS 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | BNS 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | BNS 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | BNS 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | BNS 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | BNS 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | BNS 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | BNS 2 | 444 | 0.48 | 1.99 | 0.48 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | BNS 3 | 457 | 0.92 | 2.05 | 0.92 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | BNS 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | BNS 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | BNS 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | BNS 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | BNS 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | BNS 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | BNS 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | BNS 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | BNS 3 | 461 | 0.91 | 2.05 | 0.92 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | BNS 7 | 452 | 1.00 | 2.02 | 1.00 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | BNS 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | BNS 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | BNS 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | BNS 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | BNS 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | BNS 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | BNS 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | BNS 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | BNS 7 | 456 | 1.00 | 2.02 | 1.00 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | BNS 8 | 137 | 1.03 | 0.61 | 1.03 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | BNS 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | BNS 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | BNS 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | BNS 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | BNS 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | BNS 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | BNS 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | BNS 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | BNS 8 | 137 | 1.03 | 0.61 | 1.03 |

## 29. SEA PERCH HARVEST ESTIMATES

### 29.1 Sea Perch Harvest By Platform And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Sea Perch Harvest By Platform And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | 1 | 431 | 0.63 | 0.20 | 0.63 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 1 | 496 | 0.68 | 0.23 | 0.68 |
| Trailer yacht | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 1 | 195 | 1.02 | 0.09 | 1.02 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 1 | 342 | 1.01 | 0.16 | 1.01 |
| Something else | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 1 | 1464 | 0.40 | 0.67 | 0.40 |
| Trailer motor boat | 2 | 4952 | 0.91 | 2.58 | 0.91 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 2 | 770 | 0.89 | 0.40 | 0.89 |
| Trailer yacht | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 2 | 292 | 1.01 | 0.15 | 1.01 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 2 | 2151 | 1.04 | 1.12 | 1.04 |
| Something else | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 2 | 8165 | 0.33 | 4.26 | 0.33 |
| Trailer motor boat | 3 | 92016 | 0.89 | 45.67 | 0.80 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 3 | 16310 | 0.28 | 9.00 | 0.33 |
| Trailer yacht | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 3 | 2661 | 0.93 | 1.16 | 0.93 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 3 | 1098 | 0.57 | 0.50 | 0.55 |
| Something else | 3 | 1869 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 |
| Total | 3 | 113955 | 0.25 | 57.14 | 0.25 |
| Trailer motor boat | 5 | 3122 | 0.75 | 1.42 | 0.75 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 5 | 1396 | 0.82 | 0.63 | 0.82 |
| Trailer yacht | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 4517 | 0.57 | 2.05 | 0.57 |
| Trailer motor boat | 7 | 22628 | 0.45 | 9.91 | 0.45 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 7 | 2734 | 0.54 | 1.21 | 0.54 |
| Trailer yacht | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 7 | 2139 | 0.88 | 0.95 | 0.88 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 7 | 175 | 1.00 | 0.08 | 1.00 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 7 | 917 | 0.72 | 0.41 | 0.72 |
| Something else | 7 | 188 | 1.05 | 0.08 | 1.05 |
| Total | 7 | 28781 | 0.39 | 12.64 | 0.39 |
| Trailer motor boat | 8 | 2448 | 0.58 | 1.11 | 0.58 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 8 | 957 | 1.03 | 0.43 | 1.03 |
| Trailer yacht | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 8 | 293 | 0.74 | 0.13 | 0.74 |
| Something else | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 3699 | 0.48 | 1.68 | 0.48 |
| Trailer motor boat | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |

### 29.2 Sea Perch Harvest By Method And FMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Sea Perch Harvest By Method And FMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | FMA | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 1 | 1464 | 0.65 | 0.67 | 0.65 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 1 | 1464 | 0.40 | 0.67 | 0.40 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 2 | 8165 | 0.51 | 4.26 | 0.51 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 2 | 8165 | 0.33 | 4.26 | 0.33 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 3 | 112256 | 0.28 | 56.03 | 0.26 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 3 | 981 | 0.98 | 0.80 | 0.99 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 3 | 717 | 0.70 | 0.31 | 0.70 |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 3 | 113955 | 0.25 | 57.14 | 0.25 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 5 | 4517 | 0.45 | 2.05 | 0.45 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 5 | 4517 | 0.57 | 2.05 | 0.57 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 7 | 28210 | 0.31 | 12.38 | 0.31 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 7 | 571 | 1.05 | 0.25 | 1.05 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 7 | 28781 | 0.39 | 12.64 | 0.39 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 8 | 3699 | 0.48 | 1.68 | 0.48 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 8 | 3699 | 0.48 | 1.68 | 0.48 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |

### 29.3 Sea Perch Harvest By Platform And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Sea Perch Harvest By Platform And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platform | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Trailer motor boat | SPE 1 | 431 | 0.63 | 0.20 | 0.63 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | SPE 1 | 496 | 0.68 | 0.23 | 0.68 |
| Trailer yacht | SPE 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | SPE 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | SPE 1 | 195 | 1.02 | 0.09 | 1.02 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | SPE 1 | 342 | 1.01 | 0.16 | 1.01 |
| Something else | SPE 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SPE 1 | 1464 | 0.40 | 0.67 | 0.40 |
| Trailer motor boat | SPE 2 | 4952 | 0.91 | 2.58 | 0.91 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | SPE 2 | 770 | 0.89 | 0.40 | 0.89 |
| Trailer yacht | SPE 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | SPE 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | SPE 2 | 292 | 1.01 | 0.15 | 1.01 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | SPE 2 | 2151 | 1.04 | 1.12 | 1.04 |
| Something else | SPE 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SPE 2 | 8160 | 0.33 | 4.26 | 0.33 |
| Trailer motor boat | SPE 3 | 92016 | 0.89 | 45.67 | 0.80 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | SPE 3 | 16310 | 0.28 | 9.00 | 0.33 |
| Trailer yacht | SPE 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | SPE 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | SPE 3 | 2661 | 0.93 | 1.16 | 0.93 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | SPE 3 | 1098 | 0.57 | 0.50 | 0.55 |
| Something else | SPE 3 | 1869 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 |
| Total | SPE 3 | 107093 | 0.27 | 57.14 | 0.25 |
| Trailer motor boat | SPE 5 | 3122 | 0.75 | 1.42 | 0.75 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | SPE 5 | 1396 | 0.82 | 0.63 | 0.82 |
| Trailer yacht | SPE 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | SPE 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | SPE 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | SPE 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | SPE 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SPE 5 | 4523 | 0.57 | 2.05 | 0.57 |
| Trailer motor boat | SPE 7 | 22628 | 0.45 | 9.91 | 0.45 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | SPE 7 | 2734 | 0.54 | 1.21 | 0.54 |
| Trailer yacht | SPE 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | SPE 7 | 2139 | 0.88 | 0.95 | 0.88 |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | SPE 7 | 175 | 1.00 | 0.08 | 1.00 |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | SPE 7 | 917 | 0.72 | 0.41 | 0.72 |
| Something else | SPE 7 | 188 | 1.05 | 0.08 | 1.05 |
| Total | SPE 7 | 28792 | 0.39 | 12.64 | 0.39 |
| Trailer motor boat | SPE 8 | 2448 | 0.58 | 1.11 | 0.58 |
| Larger motor boat or launch | SPE 8 | 957 | 1.03 | 0.43 | 1.03 |
| Trailer yacht | SPE 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | SPE 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | SPE 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | SPE 8 | 293 | 0.74 | 0.13 | 0.74 |
| Something else | SPE 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SPE 8 | 3697 | 0.48 | 1.68 | 0.48 |
| Trailer motor boat | SPE 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger motor boat or launch | SPE 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Trailer yacht | SPE 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Larger yacht or keeler | SPE 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Kayak, canoe, or rowboat | SPE 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Off land, including beach, rocks or jetty | SPE 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Something else | SPE 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SPE 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |

### 29.4 Sea Perch Harvest By Method And QMA

| National Panel Survey 2011-12 - Sea Perch Harvest By Method And QMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Fishstock | Harvest Count | CV | Harvest Tonnes | CV |
| Rod or line (not long line) | SPE 1 | 1464 | 0.65 | 0.67 | 0.65 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | SPE 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | SPE 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | SPE 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | SPE 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | SPE 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | SPE 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | SPE 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | SPE 1 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SPE 1 | 1464 | 0.40 | 0.67 | 0.40 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | SPE 2 | 8165 | 0.51 | 4.26 | 0.51 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | SPE 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | SPE 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | SPE 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | SPE 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | SPE 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | SPE 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | SPE 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | SPE 2 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SPE 2 | 8160 | 0.33 | 4.26 | 0.33 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | SPE 3 | 112256 | 0.28 | 56.03 | 0.26 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | SPE 3 | 981 | 0.98 | 0.80 | 0.99 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | SPE 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | SPE 3 | 717 | 0.70 | 0.31 | 0.70 |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | SPE 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | SPE 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | SPE 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | SPE 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | SPE 3 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SPE 3 | 107093 | 0.27 | 57.14 | 0.25 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | SPE 5 | 4517 | 0.45 | 2.05 | 0.45 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | SPE 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | SPE 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | SPE 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | SPE 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | SPE 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | SPE 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | SPE 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | SPE 5 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SPE 5 | 4523 | 0.57 | 2.05 | 0.57 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | SPE 7 | 28210 | 0.31 | 12.38 | 0.31 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | SPE 7 | 571 | 1.05 | 0.25 | 1.05 |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | SPE 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | SPE 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | SPE 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | SPE 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | SPE 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | SPE 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | SPE 7 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SPE 7 | 28792 | 0.39 | 12.64 | 0.39 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | SPE 8 | 3699 | 0.48 | 1.68 | 0.48 |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | SPE 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | SPE 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | SPE 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | SPE 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | SPE 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | SPE 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | SPE 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | SPE 8 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SPE 8 | 3697 | 0.48 | 1.68 | 0.48 |
| Rod or line (not long line) | SPE 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Long-line including set line, contiki or kite | SPE 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Net (not including landing net used if caught on line) | SPE 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Pot (eg. for crayfish) | SPE 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Dredge, grapple or rake | SPE 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather or floundering from shore | SPE 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Hand gather by diving | SPE 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Spearfishing | SPE 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Some other method | SPE 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Total | SPE 9 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See page 12 for avidity classifications.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ For example, for snapper, for a fisher who both had an extreme weight and whose number of trips and total snapper catch were in the top $5 \%$ of fishers, truncating their adjusted selection weight to the $99 \%$ percentile of those who caught snapper reduces their weight by a third, and the estimate of snapper caught by about $40 \%$ of the sample error.

